

# ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY

**RIVERVIEW DRIVE, HUNTLY** 

TRAFFIC IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Prepared for Gleeson and Cox Ltd 6 September 2019 Reference 19098

> TRAFFIC ENGINEERING & MANAGEMENT LTD Level 2, 1b Buscomb Avenue, Henderson, Auckland | PO Box 21-803, Henderson, Auckland Phone 09-836 3888 | Email info@teamtraffic.co.nz

Address	RIVERVIEW DRIVE, HUNTLY			
Project:	ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY			
File Path:	Z:\2019_PROJECTS\19098 - HUNTLY QUARRY\6 REPOR 19098 GLEESON AND COX HUNTLY CLEANFILL V5.DOCX	TS\CLEANFILL\190906		
Prepared By:	A. Hunter	toom		
Reviewed by:	S.P. Goodwin			

#### **Revisions:**

Date	Revision Number	Reviewed By	Initials
24/07/2019	Draft V1	Andrew Hunter	flurder.
05/08/2019	Draft V2	Simon Goodwin	1.
06/08/2019	Draft V3	Andrew Hunter	flurder.
06/08/2019	Draft V4	Andrew Hunter	flurder .
06/09/2019	V5	Andrew Hunter	flurder .

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION1								
2	THE EXISTING SITUATION								
	2.1	Existin	Existing Traffic & Roading Characteristics						
	2.2	Existin	ng Access Arrangements3						
	2.3	Traffic	Counts						
	2.4	Speed	Environment5						
	2.5	Crash	History5						
3	THE	PROPOS/	AL						
4	BAC	KGROUN	D9						
	4.1	Traffic	Surveys						
	4.2	Capac	ity of the Road10						
	4.3	Traffic	Generation						
		4.3.1	Existing Quarry Truck Activity11						
		4.3.2	Assumptions and Facts11						
5	EFFE	CTS OF T	HE PROPOSAL13						
	5.1	Likely	Additional Truck Movements13						
	5.2	Weigh	bridge14						
	5.3	Whee	l Wash						
	5.4	Prope	rty Access Arrangements15						
	5.5	5.5 Capacity of the Intersections							
	5.6	0.6 Operational Considerations on the Local Roads							
	5.7	7 Impact on Local Non-Motorised Traffic16							
	5.8 Impact on Wider Roading Network16								
6	CONCLUSION								
		APPENDIX A: COLLISION DIAGRAM							
APP	'ENDI)	K A: COLL	ISION DIAGRAM						

### LIST OF FIGURES

h

Figure 1: Site in relation to road network and local features2	2
Figure 2: Proposed areas to be filled7	,
Figure 3: Estimated number of heavy vehicle trips per hour combined14	ŀ

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Summary of traffic count data north of entrance - 2018	.4
Table 2: Summary of traffic count data south of entrance - 2018	.4
Table 3: Summary of traffic count data north of entrance - 2017	.4
Table 4: Summary of traffic count data south of entrance - 2017	.5
Table 5: Crash Types	. 5
Table 6: Crash Factors	.6
Table 7: Turning movements at the entrance	14

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Team Traffic has been engaged by Gleeson and Cox Limited to undertake a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) of the proposal to create a managed fill operation on the existing quarry site on Riverview Road in Huntly. The activity currently operating on site is a quarry producing aggregate for roading and construction purposes. A fill operation is considered to work hand in hand with the quarry operation as the quarry has a consent for stripping of overburden and the disposal of overburden and cleanfill will be used to fill designated areas around the site.

This proposal seeks a consent to operate for 35 years and it is anticipated that the site can accept 300,000m<sup>3</sup> of managed fill including cleanfill material per annum.

This TIA addresses the following matters:

- Assessment of the existing road safety, efficiency, and traffic patterns of the existing local road network.
- The traffic likely to be generated by the proposed development and the ability of the road network to accommodate the generated vehicle trips.
- The ability of the proposed development to satisfy the design standards and layout requirements of the Waikato District Plan.

These and other matters are addressed in the detail of this report.

The findings of the report are that the proposed activity can be established without adversely impacting on the function, capacity, or safety of the surrounding road network. Traffic effects are considered to be less than minor.



# **2** THE EXISTING SITUATION

The existing Gleeson and Cox quarry is located on the western side of Riverview Road in Huntly, approximately 2.9 kilometres south of the Tainui Bridge.

Sole access to the site is provided from Riverview Road.

The location of the site in relation to the road network and surrounding properties is shown in Figure 1 below.



Figure 1: Site in relation to road network and local features.



### 2.1 Existing Traffic & Roading Characteristics

Riverview Road generally runs in a north-south direction with the subject site located on the western side of the road. The area is designated as rural under the Waikato District Plan however the quarry and surrounding land is also overlaid with and "Aggregate Extraction Policy Area" and "Aggregate Resource Policy Area".

Riverview Road in the vicinity of site is characterised by a single lane in each direction, delineated by a dashed centre line marking. However access to the site is provided via a single, wide, high quality vehicle crossing that is capable of comfortably accommodating the simultaneous movement of two-way truck traffic.

To provide for the off-tracking requirements of these vehicles a large gravelled slip lane is provided on the western side of Riverview Road to accommodate left turning movements from the south and towards the north. A wide shoulder area is also provided on the eastern side of the road for vehicles to use if required, but this is seldom necessary due to the availability of a dedicated right turn bay with associated deceleration space in the middle of the road for traffic approaching from the north.

Although the route choice depends on the origin and destination of the trucks, the most significant route is to and from the north via Tainui Bridge Road.

In the locality of the site, Riverview Road has characteristics that typically consist of a sealed carriageway ranging in width between 7.0 to 12.2 metres, speed limits of 70-100km/hr, generally gentle horizontal and vertical curvatures consistent with the flat to rolling terrain in the surrounding area, and adjacent land uses of a rural and low-density residential nature.

There are sections of footpaths provided near the residential areas and typically these areas have kerb and channel. In the areas without kerb and channel stormwater is funnelled along shallow side drains on both sides of the carriageway.

# 2.2 Existing Access Arrangements

As a part of the previously consented activity a number of improvements were made to the entrance and access to the site.

Specifically, the entrance to the site was redesigned to accommodate the spatial requirements of heavy vehicles and to provide visibility that complied with the sight distance requirements under the Austroad Geometric Design Standards. These works included road widening to provide a fully complying right turn bay with appropriate tapers and a left turn slip lane into the entrance of the property. The entrance to the site has a lockable barrier style gate system positioned 17 metres from the edges of the carriageway which is sufficiently far off the carriageway to allow a truck to stop clear of the road if the gate is locked.

In addition to these works the internal road was sealed for a short distance into the site to reduce the possible dust nuisance. This internal road is sufficiently wide to safely accommodate two trucks passing in opposite directions. As required in condition 17A-17C of the March 2018 consent variation



which was reworded to more accurately reflect the intention, a combined wheel wash and cattle stop device has been installed to avoid debris being tracked onto Riverview Road. It is considered that these additional treatments will also cater for the additional number of truck movements generated by this application. As part of the latest variation, it was proposed that condition 17A is reworded:

"The consent holder shall provide design plans of the proposed Wheel wash installation for approval by Council within 1 month from the date of this consent. The internal road shall be sealed between the weighbridge and the public road to dislodge material from heavy vehicles."

The entrance is considered appropriate for the proposed increase in the number of vehicles and is expected to provide for the safe and convenient operation of the activities on the site.

#### 2.3 Traffic Counts

There have been a number of traffic volume and speed surveys undertaken in recent years, within approximately 150 metres north and south of the entrance to the site. The latest survey was carried out in June 2018 and the results were as shown in Tables 1 and 2 below.

Summary of Traffic Count Data North of Quarry Entrance (06/06/2018)							
Count Site	5-Day ADT	7-Day ADT	5-Day	AM peak	Inter Peak	PM Peak	Saturday Peak
Riverview Rd	(vpd)	(vpd)	%HCV	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)
Northbound	876	858	8.5%	70	129	151	-
Southbound	800	783	7.5%	78	128	115	-
Total	1,676	1,641	-	148	257	266	-

 Table 1: Summary of traffic count data north of entrance - 2018

Summary of Traffic Count Data South of Quarry Entrance (06/06/2018)							
Count Site	5-Day ADT	7-Day ADT	5-Day	AM peak	Inter Peak	PM Peak	Saturday Peak
Riverview Rd	(vpd)	(vpd)	%HCV	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)
Northbound	841	833	11.0%	74	127	149	-
Southbound	754	748	10.6%	71	127	123	-
Total	1,595	1,581	-	145	254	172	-

A previous count was undertake seven months earlier and the results are shown in Tables 3 and 4 below.

Summary of Traffic Count Data North of Quarry Entrance (07/11/2017)							
Count Site	5-Day ADT	7-Day ADT	5-Day	AM peak	Inter Peak	PM Peak	Saturday Peak
Riverview Rd	(vpd)	(vpd)	%HCV	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)
Northbound	1,040	1,072	20.1%	77	64	108	81
Southbound	990	1,080	20.1%	63	67	104	199
Total	2,029	2,153	-	141	132	212	280

Table 3: Summary of traffic count data north of entrance - 2017



Summary of Traffic Count Data South of Quarry Entrance (07/11/2017)							
Count Site	5-Day ADT	7-Day ADT	5-Day	AM peak	Inter Peak	PM Peak	Saturday Peak
Riverview Rd	(vpd)	(vpd)	%HCV	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)	(vph)
Northbound	988	1,031	15.9%	75	68	101	69
Southbound	932	1,033	15.0%	59	57	98	279
Total	1,920	2,064	-	135	125	199	345

Table 4: Summary of traffic count data south of entrance - 2017

The differences in the volumes is largely attributed to seasonal variations and consequently the higher demand for rock in the summer months during the construction season. The number of heavy vehicle movements reflect this assumption.

#### 2.4 Speed Environment

The site is located within a 100 km/h posted speed zone and the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed that was measured south of the entrance showed that drivers were travelling at 87km/h in the northbound direction and 92 km/h in the southbound direction.

### 2.5 Crash History

A study has been made of the crash records maintained by NZTA for the five-year period 2014 to 2018 inclusive. There were no trucks involved in any recorded crashes during this time period.

The searched area included approximately a 6.0 kilometre length of Riverview Road and Hakarimata Road. The study covered circa 3 km north and south of the entrance to the site. A copy of the collision diagram and crash listing obtained from this search is attached in Appendix A.

There were 23 reported crashes which were comprised of 19 non injury crashes and 4 minor injury crashes. These crashes resulted in 8 minor injuries being sustained.

The crashes are typically grouped into types depending upon various factors. Table 5 below shows a summary of the crash types and the number of crashes that were a result of each type.

Crash Type	Number
Bend – Lost control/head on	12
Straight Road Lost control / Head On	6
Rear End / Obstruction	4
Overtaking	1
Total	23

#### Table 5: Crash Types

Each crash has at least one factor that contributed towards the crash. There were a number of factors involved and in many cases more than one factor contributed towards a crash. A summary of the main factors is shown in Table 6 below.

ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY



Factor	Number
Poor handling	12
Too fast	8
Incorrect lane position	5
Poor Observation	2
Road factors	2
Alcohol	6
Poor judgement	1
Other	4
Weather	1
Fatigue	2
Total	43

#### Table 6: Crash Factors

The crash record shows that the vast majority of the factors were the result of human error and only 3 factors were related to environmental conditions. In addition, 10 of the crashes occurred at times when the proposed managed fill will not be operating.

There was no trucks, pedestrians or cyclists involved in any of the crashes.

The crashes that have been reported as occurring on Riverview Road are considered to be random in nature and do not indicate that there are any deficiencies with the configuration of the road. The existing crash record does not indicate the presence of any inherent safety issues that could affect this application.



# **3 THE PROPOSAL**

This report discusses the traffic-related aspects of the proposal to establish a managed fill site on a property at Riverview Road in Huntly. The areas to be filled are shown in Figure 2 below. Initially there were 5 areas identified for the fill activity, however fill site 1 was discarded. Areas 3 and 5 are to be filled concurrently as area 5 is restricted to the disposal of overburden only and once these sites are filled areas 2 and thereafter area 4 will commence operation.



Figure 2: Proposed areas to be filled.

It is proposed to provide space to place a maximum of 300,000 m<sup>3</sup> of managed fill per annum. It is expected that the Gleeson and Cox trucks that currently travel empty to the site will be able to carry managed fill including cleanfill from the companies various projects and exit the site with a load of quarry aggregate. This should be much more efficient and productive for the company.

There is currently a quarry operating on the site located immediately to the south of the fill areas and recent applications have been made to expand the quarry operations and open up new areas to increase the rate of extraction, which in turn requires larger volumes of stripping to expose the rock for extraction. As a function of the quarry expansion there is a requirement to remove overburden and this waste needs to be placed somewhere on the site.

This application seeks a 35 year design life for the managed fill, and initially, as the quarry expands the overburden will be relocated to the cleanfill areas. The quantity of overburden is thought to be a total



ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY

of 674.940 m<sup>3</sup> and during the first few years it is expected that somewhere between 150,000m<sup>3</sup> and 200,000m<sup>3</sup> will be moved per annum until the quarry is clear to operate.

The operators will be constructing an internal haul road, marked in black in Figure 2 above, to link the two sites and this aspect of the works is considered to be included in this application for consent. It should be noted that it is in the quarry's interest to design the internal roads as efficiently as possible to minimise wear and tear on the trucks and other machinery. It is understood that the internal road will be constructed so that it will be approximately 15 metres wide and will have a grade not exceeding 10 percent. These parameters will ensure that the trucks and plant can easily move around on the site and trucks will be able to pass each other without incident.

Recent new activities have been introduced to the quarry operation that require a small number of truck movements to occur outside of the current consented operating hours. Aggregate to supply the concrete industry is required to be picked up earlier in the morning and starting around 5.00am.

The quarry operation currently runs between 6.00am and 7.00pm all year round and the recent changes require some small amendments to the operating hours.

This proposal also seeks to increase the operating hours to the following:

#### Between 1 October and the 30 April;

Monday to Friday 5.00am to 8.00pm.

Saturday 5.00am to 3.00pm

#### Between 1 May and the 30 September;

- Monday to Friday 5.00am to 6.00pm.
- Saturday 5.00am to 3.00pm

To provide consistency across both operations on the site it is proposed to have the same operating hours for both activities.



### **4 BACKGROUND**

The Riverview Road quarry was operating under existing use rights since the 1930's. On 17 November 2010 the quarry was granted consent to expand into the adjacent block referred to as the Payne Block. At that time the maximum tonnage in any 12 month period of operation was not to exceed 900,000 tonnes with an average of 550,000 tonnes over a five year period.

In 2014 TEAM prepared a Traffic Impact Assessment to amend condition PC14 and increase the average tonnage measured over a five year period that could be extracted from 550,000 tonnes per year to 650,000 tonnes per year. The maximum allowed tonnage of 900,000 tonnes per year was not changed. Consent was approved however the demand for material has increased further mainly due to a number of large roading projects within the region which is placing further demand on the quarry to exceed the consented limits.

In 2017 another S127 application was made to further increase the extraction rates. Approval was granted in March 2018 to increase the average annual extraction from 650,000 tonnes to 800,000 tonnes which is averaged over a five year period. In addition, approval was granted to increase the maximum extraction tonnage from the 900,000 tonnes to 1,000,000 tonnes per year.

During the due diligence process a further S127 application was lodged to increase the average annual extraction from 800,000 tonnes to 1,000,000 tonnes which is averaged over a five year period. In addition, approval was granted to increase the maximum extraction tonnage from the 1,000,000 tonnes to 1,400,000 tonnes per year. It is understood that Council assessed heavy impact vehicle fee for the extension to the site on the maximum tonnage extracted not the average and by increasing the maximum tonnages permitted to be removed the effects of the removal of the additional material will have a lesser impact on the road network.

The original consent under condition PC16 provided for a total resource extraction of 19,350,000 tonnes over the life of the quarry. Over the past 8 years a total of circa 5,295,314 tonnes has been removed noting that there were a few months where data was not provided. The extraction rate is largely dependent upon the demand and it is expected that the rate of extraction will fluctuate accordingly and is unlikely to reach the maximum levels for several years at least, if at all.

The discussions with Council prior to the 2018 S127 application indicated that Council would like to take the opportunity to revisit the Heavy Vehicle impact fee for the consent and this is likely to apply to this application as well. In addition Council requested an assessment of the one lane bridge immediately south of the quarry entrance. A video survey was undertaken at the one lane bridge as well as the entrance to the quarry. The video surveys of the entrance and the bridge were conducted over a three day period to provide clarity on the direction that trucks were arriving and leaving the site and the impacts of traffic on the bridge.

Given that the last application was just over 12 months ago, the data collected is still considered to be relevant and further surveys have not been undertaken.

There is currently another S127 before council to increase the maximum permitted rates from the currently consented 1.4m tonnes to 1.8m tonnes per annum. It is anticipated that this consent will be approved prior to the lodgement of this application.

#### 4.1 Traffic Surveys

A number of traffic surveys have been undertaken during 2017 and 2018 for the proposed increases in the output from the quarry. These surveys were considered recent enough to provide an assessment of the current volume and speed of vehicles along Riverview Road.

A survey of the entrance to the quarry was also undertaken in 2018 however the anticipated low level of additional heavy vehicle movements that is likely to be generated by the managed fill makes redoing this survey superfluous.

### 4.2 Capacity of the Road

Riverview Road is a rural road that is commonly used as an alternative route to State Highway 1 when the main road is congested. A rural road that is used as a collector road is considered capable of carrying in the order of 5,000 to 10,000 vehicles per day. Currently the traffic surveys show that the road is carrying circa 2,000 vehicles per day. With the presence of the subject quarry and open cast coal mine on Riverview Road, the percentage of Heavy Commercial Vehicle (HCV) movements is quite high. It is understood that the coal mine has now been capped and is no longer operational, and therefore it can be expected that there will be some reduction in truck movements along the route.

A comprehensive assessment of the carriageway north of the entrance to the site was undertaken in the 2014 TIA and this review is considered to still be relevant. The assessment determined that the northern section of Riverview Road could typically cope with over 1,600 vehicles **per hour** in both directions. This volume is close to the **daily** volumes being experienced and is therefore not considered to be an issue from a traffic engineering perspective.

The section of Riverview Road south of the site is of a similar nature with an average sealed width being 8.2 metres. The lane widths are on average in excess of 3.5 metres wide in both directions and considered suitable for the movement of heavy vehicles.

The constraint in the southbound direction is the one lane bridge located approximately 650 metres south of the entrance to the quarry. Onsite observations indicate that this bridge has adequate visibility and drivers respect each other resulting in there being few instances where delays were experienced.

Video cameras were setup on both sides of the bridge and recorded all movements and queues between the hours of 6.00am and 6.00pm from Tuesday 5 June 2018 to Thursday 7 June 2018. The southbound approach to the bridge has priority and the results clearly demonstrate that motorists are complying. Over the three days a total of 13 vehicles were delayed at the one lane bridge control in the southbound direction and these vehicles were delayed for less than a minute.

ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY



In the northbound direction there were considerably more vehicle delays, however these normally only affected a single vehicle at a time. There were only 12 occasions when two or more vehicles queued to wait for the opposing vehicles to clear the bridge.

It is considered that the one lane bridge is performing more than adequately and is providing for the safe and efficient operation for all vehicles.

#### 4.3 Traffic Generation

#### 4.3.1 Existing Quarry Truck Activity

If it is assumed that the quarry has been granted consent for the extraction of 1.8m tonnes of aggregate per annum and the site is operating at full capacity. The following facts and assumptions will apply:

- The maximum annual quarry operations is for the extraction of 1,800,000 tonnes of aggregate per annum;
- The average capacity of a truck and trailer is 28 tonnes;
- The site will be open 276 days per year including 52 Saturdays which are considered to be half days;
- This equates to 6,522 tonnes per day;
- This equates to **233 trucks** per day;

The number of truck movements per day is lower than previously calculated due to the fact that the number of days per annum the site will be open has increased from 275 to 276 days per annum and the quarry has advised that the average weight is now 28 tonnes per load where it was previously calculated based on 26 tonnes per load. However the quarry is currently operating at a much reduced capacity at approximately half of the maximum capacity.

#### 4.3.2 Assumptions and Facts

The managed fill operation is expected to operate under the following assumptions;

- The maximum annual managed fill operations is for the importation of 300,000m<sup>3</sup> of fill;
- The average capacity of a truck and trailer is 18m<sup>3</sup>;
- The site will be open 276 days per year including 52 Saturdays which are considered as half days. Statuary days are not included;
- This equates to 1,087 m<sup>3</sup> per day;
- This equates to **60 trucks** per day;
- The site has one weighbridge;
- The weighbridge can process 30 trucks per hour (it is currently processing 125 trucks per day);
- The quarry currently has one wheel wash;
- The quarry and managed fill trucks will be using the same wheel wash. The area as indicated on the access road map
- 80 percent of truck and trailers will belong to Gleeson and Cox;



- 20 Percent of deliveries to the site will be third party operators;
- 80 percent of those trucks delivering fill will leave with aggregate;
- 50 percent of trips to the site will be from the north and 50 percent from the south.



# 5 EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSAL

This assessment considers the traffic-related aspects of a proposal by Gleeson and Cox Limited to establish a managed cleanfill operation adjacent to the quarry Riverview Road in Huntly. It is understood that the circa 300,000m<sup>3</sup> of fill will be deposited on the site in the areas indicated in Figure 2 above.

To assess the impact of the proposal, consideration has been given to a number of key elements that define the traffic-related characteristics and constraints along the routes to and from the site.

These characteristics and constraints are:

- the property's access arrangements;
- the capacity of the roads (based on width and types of vehicles);
- the capacity of the intersections;
- operational considerations on the roads;
- safety considerations demonstrated from recent historical patterns;
- impact on local non-motorised traffic.

The limitations of each of these are discussed below, with this assessment focussing on the weekday traffic environment when the managed fills traffic movements are at their greatest.

This assessment is also based on the expectation that the managed cleanfill operation will operate under the assumptions and facts listed in section 4.3.2 above.

#### 5.1 Likely Additional Truck Movements

The proposed managed fill is expecting to have 60 truck and trailers or 120 trips delivering material to and from the site per day. Gleeson and Cox have numerous projects around the region that require the removal and disposal of fill material and these projects also require the importation of aggregate. The company proposes to utilise trucks that are currently travelling to the quarry site empty to carry clean fill to the site and back load with aggregate. To achieve this it is anticipated that approximately 25 percent of the empty trucks that are going to the quarry will now have a load of fill material.

An assumption has been made 80 percent of the trucks carrying managed fill will be owned by Gleeson and Cox whilst the remaining 20 percent will be owned by other organisations. Therefore it is anticipate that all 60 trucks will be laden when delivering fill however not all the third party contractors will back load with aggregate, whilst all the Gleeson and Cox trucks will be expected to carry a backload.

If these assumptions are correct it can be expected that a maximum of 20 percent of the fill trips will be made by other contractors and therefore up to 12 trucks a day or 24 trips per day could be made by other drivers. This number of additional trips per day is likely to add in the order of two additional trips per hour onto the local road network and this is less than the hourly variations that currently occur along Riverview Road. In reality there will be a few trucks that do leave empty for a variety of reasons however the number is considered to be so low that it is inconsequential and not included in the calculations.

ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY

Based upon the information provided and listed above the anticipated traffic flows at the entrance to the site are as shown in table

	Left in	Left out	Right In	Right out
Quarry 466 trips	116	116	116	116
Fill 120 trips	6	6	6	6
Total Number	122	122	122	122

Table 7: Turning movements at the entrance

These trips are likely to be spread throughout the day and are likely to follow a similar pattern as currently operates at the quarry. The extension of the operating hours is not expected to generate many movements as and the bulk of the trips will be made between 7.00am and 5.00pm. The table below shows a chart of the anticipated number of HCV trips per hour by both the quarry and the managed fill operations.



Figure 3: Estimated number of heavy vehicle trips per hour combined

# 5.2 Weigh bridge

There is currently one weigh bridge servicing the quarry operation and this weigh bridge can handle in the order of 30 truck per hour. The quarry will in the future be operating for up to 15 hours per day although the busiest period will be between 7.00am and 5.00pm which is a 10 hour period. The quarry is expected to have an average of 233 trucks per day spread over the whole day and each truck is typically weighed when entering the site and weighed again when the truck leaves the site. Therefore the weigh bridge will need to cater for 466 events per day from the quarry.

80 percent of the fill related deliveries are made by the existing quarry trucks and therefore the number of additional trips related to the managed fill activity is limited to those deliveries made by contractors. There will be 12 additional trucks per day which equates to 24 trips and each trips is measured on the weigh bridge. Therefore the total activities for the weigh bridge on the site will include the 466 trips by quarry related activities plus the 24 trips generated by the contractors. The total number of times the weigh bridge is utilised is 490 times per day. It is expected that the weigh bridge will struggle with the number of events reaches the peak number as each truck needs to be



weighed when it enters the site and when it leaves the site. The increase in movements will not happen overnight and the company will have advance warning of increased requirements and can determine when a second weigh bridge is required on the site.

#### 5.3 Wheel Wash

The proposal is to place a wheel wash in a convenient position so that both the quarry and the fill operations can utilise the same facility before venturing onto the local road network. The location of the wash plant is shown on Figure 2. The high pressure water jets in the wheel wash then dislodge the bulk of any detritus material left on the truck. This whole process typically takes less than one minute and as only departing trucks require this treatment only circa 293 trucks will need to be processed on a daily basis. The single wheel wash is expected to adequately cater for the anticipated number of trucks leaving the site.

In addition it is proposed to have a truck wash to clean the truck decks that deliver fill material so that cross contamination does not occur when the trucks are back loaded with metal.

#### 5.4 Property Access Arrangements

The proposal does not anticipate any changes to the existing access arrangements.

From an examination of the existing access, it is considered that the current operation is acceptable from a traffic engineering perspective and that no changes are required to these arrangements.

#### 5.5 Capacity of the Intersections

From an on-site appraisal of the traffic flows through key intersections in the local area, it is considered that the intersections in the local area have significant reserve capacity when the quarry is expected to have its peak traffic movements (during the inter-peak periods of the day).

In light of this it is considered that there is no benefit in undertaking an analysis to determine the effects of the additional truck movements on the operational performance of the intersections - as such an analysis is likely to be of academic interest only, with no apparent change expected to be noticeable in the key performance indicators on-site.

#### 5.6 Operational Considerations on the Local Roads

From the review of the operating characteristics of the roads in the immediate area, it is considered that there are no issues that could be cause for concern from the few additional truck movements that are expected to occur from the establishment of the managed cleanfill.

These small increases in truck volumes during both the peak and off-peak periods of the day are so low that they are expected to be less than the variations that presently occur in the local and wider traffic environment.

In light of this it is considered that there are no operational considerations on the local roads that could be cause for concern.

#### 5.7 Impact on Local Non-Motorised Traffic

The potential additional flows are also not expected to adversely interact with any non-motorised traffic movements (including pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians) that have been observed, are understood to be occurring, and are expected to occur on the identified route.

From the observations that have been made and discussions that have been held with on-site staff, it is understood that there is a very low level of non-motorised traffic activity occurring in the local area and that these movements are being accommodated without any difficulty by the passing truck traffic.

The presence of some 12 extra truck movements per day (24 trips per day) is considered a very small change from the current situation and is expected to be accommodated in the same or very similar way as all other road users.

Therefore it is expected that the future traffic environment will continue to operate in an acceptable manner.

#### 5.8 Impact on Wider Roading Network

Beyond the identified local routes, the potential additional traffic generation of the managed cleanfill will become part of the traffic environment in the wider area, and this additional traffic is expected to be easily absorbed into the existing traffic flows.

These additional volumes will not create operational problems on the road network, and when considered against the background traffic flows, are likely to be less than the variability that presently occurs.



# 6 CONCLUSION

This report considers the traffic-related impacts of the proposal to establish a managed fill on the site in Riverview Road in Huntly.

It is concluded that:

- The anticipated increase in the truck volumes is very low from a traffic engineering perspective and is helped in part through the expected distribution of trucks that are presently travelling to/from the quarry via the northern and southern routes.
- It is anticipated that 48 truck (80% of the 60 trucks required to deliver material) owned by Gleeson and Cox Ltd that were originally travelling with no payload to the quarry will now be travelling with a load of cleanfill. This number of trips although relatively small when compared with the total number of truck movements, with a fully laden truck, will contribute towards road derogation. This additional loading will need to be factored into the Heavy Vehicle Impact fee structure. Those trucks will also be fully laden when leaving the site however these trips are already accounted for in the quarry trips.
- From the comprehensive assessment of Riverview Road and its existing use, it is considered that the impact of the additional truck movements associated with the proposed establishment of a managed fill will be acceptable from a traffic engineering perspective, and that no operational or capacity problems will arise on the road network.
- Regardless of this very conservative approach, it is found that there is significant spare capacity on Riverview Road and the local roading network with the anticipated increase in trucks to and from the managed fill.
- For these reasons it is considered that the proposed increase in production at the Gleeson and Cox managed fill in Huntly is acceptable from a traffic engineering perspective.
- It is expected that a second weigh bridge will be required before the activities on the site reach the consented volumes and this could be some time away. The company will monitor the situation and will install a second weigh bridge when it is deemed to be required.
- It is expected that the single wheel wash will adequately cater for both the quarry operation and the managed fill activity.

Overall, this proposal would result in traffic effects that are less than minor. There is therefore no traffic-related reason why resource consent should not be granted.



# **APPENDIX A: COLLISION DIAGRAM**



**Riverview Road Collision Diagram** 



8/1/2019								Crash Analysis Syste	m (CAS)   NZTA							
ACENCY ACENCY	OCAS															
Generic - Auckland	(5 Year) (coj	pyl														
Creatly years 2113 - 2112																
Crash severity Future Code Controls D	tik sinor Ge	ch. Jone Into	the second													
TLA (Territorial local as	therityl															
Weylkenso Riteration																
Saved sites.																
Pierrica Stat1																
Plain English report																
23 results from your q	./Lan													Shawing 20	100 re	sults at c
1+20 of 23																
Costmat	* Obtance	Direction	Sterast	a	atri	<u>tared</u>	THUS	Description of energy	Craith factors	Surface condition	NARANI Ugut	Disatility.	ANGLIEGO	Contros	and	data and
ALEXAMPRA ST	uga	ž	RIVERSIEN ROAD	व्ययस्थित	10/02/2016	5	×40	Confliggerof WDB on ALEXANDRA 51 base compositionent off read to right. Cari/Waggerd Bit Bercoal, trees	CAR/WWCON1, other fost control	ž.	Dark	1971 Set	NI (Default)	Uninow		0
накаярната ясар	1024	lai	KAURICONE	TUNIEDE	FI02/11/02	Para	0150	Certifiagoo1 308 on HARURANA ROAD lost central turming right, Cortradpets hit ciffs, poles	CARIWASONIL Automotivation turning, speed emering commissioner	jeni,	Diversion	Fine .	NE (Default)	IN IN		a
HAKRINATA ROAD	100m		Rumanat	1111111	24.042014	The	4021	Certifugers HOB en Handstruktik Rijud fost centrol turning Left, Cantifugers his offic	Call, huddont, jour connort when turning, reas dhishicular turning, reas dhishicular manuclaru, yareka engeng conno(turne), worved ba avoid eeti chi, Stopery road due to nar	ti di	Concose Concose Concose	<u> 1</u>	Né Defeult	Unisertation		
накаяныта роар	9994 1	**	INURIANE	111111	23.09/2016	Ž.	0550	Car/Wagent INDB on Hakarimura of Izer control turming Inft. Car/Wagent Int embeniments	CARINGCALL Instrumenti - mod conditions, EWE fog or mitt	1900	Bark	Nig of Pog	NI (Default)	Unknown (		0
Накаліната пояр	H	ж	WAUSS LANE	HART IN	21,03/2017	Tue	0510	Car/Wegen1 IIIDB on Hekanimore read Puritly overtaking Car/Wegen2	CAR/MAGONL evading enforcement	<i>b</i> .0	Bark	N.	N1 (DeSault)	Unlangue		.0

ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY

RIVERVIEW DRIVE, HUNTLY

13

W1/2019								Crash Analysis Systen	n (CAS)   NZTA								
Coshmad	Distance	Direction	Sternad	9	and a	Dan of store		Description of events	Gradit factors	Surface	Hetronol Listet	Monther	Linction	Control	Creation Control	Crath Donell Second	Cred Court United
HAKARIMATA ROAD	m 068)		KAURI LAVE	210214100	18,06/2019	Hen	06:00	CartWappen 1 SDB on Hunderswith Houdo, HUNTLC, WANKATO Hit obstruction, CardWapponL Mt an Imdia	CARWAGORI, swenned to avoid a nimul. Entri-household pet rushed out or playing	Duy.	Overcast	Fise	tell (Detkulti)	Drington		-	
HAKARIM ATA ROAD	m065	31	PARKER	20121212	5102/01/62	Ē	16.30	Carintagen1 \$06 on HakkuttanTA ROAD fost control turining left. Carithagen1 hit bridgeosturmels	CAR/WAGON1, last cannol when burning	Ret	Overcast	Light csin	Ni (Default)	Unferient			
На Калши ата поа D	H022	is .	PARKER ROAD	201653-20102	13/11/2016	ung	16-00	Caroliagen 1 SOB an Makarimata 15 kist correct turning left, Caroliagon 1 hit emban kments	CARJWNGON1, speed ernering conser/curve, anhile seturning op saal from unsaalad sheukdar	Dr.	Bright sun	Fina	Ni (Default)	Unisation			0
НАКАЯІМАТА ПОМ.D	1908) 1	z	PMRKER ROAD	1010101	1102/50/12	.ª	0022	Car/Nagen1 NDB on Hakarimata Road (out control; want off road to right	CAR/WIGON1, ether lest control	Dry	Dark.	Fine	nd Dodaulti	Unionovin	6		
RIVERVIEW RD (HUMTLY)	150m	un .	нанан	512420107	8102/01/91	E	99:20	Car/Magani NDB on Biserview Road leet control; went off noad to left, Car/Magani, hit ciffs, to Infic sign	C.R.N.N.G.C.N.1, too far lyek	Dry.	Bark	110	NI	Unionoum			
BIVERVIEW ROAD	E St	z	HAHARMATA ROAD	2012/06/202	14/11/2014	5	12.09	Car/Wagani 508 on RiviEnviEn RDAD last control turming left, Car/Wagani hit bridges/turmeh	CAR/WAGCP1L vice/hof test alreave a mail or test relaxed, lost control when turning, speed entering commorganise	Ê	Bright Sum	Fire	MI (Default)	194			0
RIVERVIEW ROAD		-	PORRITT MICTRUE	201616024	13/09/20105	ng	18:00	CarrWagonLMDB on Riverview Read hit rear and of Car/Ragon2 stopped/moving Kowly, CarrWagon1 hit lences	CAR/WallOPUL atochol two above limit or test rebused, over the speed limit, speed on straight	Dry	Overcast	Fing	Junction	Gire uay			
BIVERVIEW ROAD	120L	10	RDTOWARO RIZKD	201351002	11/12/2013	Nov	14:50	Cart/Wagen1 POB on RIVERVEW ROAD lost control burning JaR. Cart/Wagen1 hit polies	CAR/WIGGH1, modical illness (not sudden), other foligue	Drv	Bright Stim	Fire	Nil Default	100			
RIVERUIEM ROAD	TIOm	on .	RDTCWMR0 RGAD	2014JUDE	9102/10/VD	ung	00:40	Catifiagent E08 on Riverview Boad lost control turn ingleft, Catifiagent hit trees	CAR(WM8DN1, slochal set above limit or test refused, speed entering comediture	Bry	Dark	Fine	Kil Default	Unknown			
RIVERVIEW ROAD	401m	01	TAPULI BRDGE RQAD	201416125	2015/2013	Ē	14:05	Carrynagon1 HDB on RIVERNEW ROAD faat cantrol; went off sood to left. Carringson1 hit kenting, traffic sign	Call;WWOON1, other failigue	7-10 1-1-1	Bright	Fine	va Defsuft	10			
RIVERVIEW ROAD	100 m	on.	TAINUT BIRIDGE ROAD	131816102	23/12/2013	Man	98:52	CarWagnel IsDB on PIVER/IEW ROAD ht parked veh. CarMagnet hit stationary vehicle	CAR/INVICINI2, other attention diversed, too far left	Dry	Bright sun	Fine	ki. Defaulti	19			
RIVERVIEW ROAD	2001	si.	TANNUI BRIDGE ROAD	1+01-0100	12/06/2017	Nun	0511	Car/Wagant EDB on Riverview Road, Huntty loss control turning Off, Car(Wagant hit kenting	CAR/INAGONU, alcohol test above limit or ross relused, lost control when turning, speed entering conner/curve	ĥ	Twilight	Fire	41 Default)	Uniorown			

23

https://cas.nzta.govt.nz/query-builder

01/2019								Crash Analysis System	(CAS)   NZTA								
Costs said	anozan .	Direction	Side road	ą	2440	Raw of several	Time	Beacription of events	Crossh factors	Surface	Tanata Maria	Weather	Junction	Control	Contract Contract Decision	di na suno Sinte	timer timer
BIVERVIEW ROAD	1460m	*1	WALIGH	<u>701618235</u>	10/12/2016	Sat	50.47	Car/Wagon1 MDB on Wove Road lost control; went off road to left. Car/Wagon1 hit cliffs, disches	Cat/nut/condl_ other attention diverted, too far left	West	Overcast	Hairry rain	Nel (Default)	Unknown	0	10	
RUVERWIEW ROAD	130m	10	WAUGH	157551742	27/68/2017	Wed	19.00	Can/Wagani 500 an Rhienview nd lost controllamining right, Can/Wagani bit water	CAR/WAGOPAL, SICorhol test above Irrat ar text reduced, loss control whan turning, uneary any in ame way street, in DOOrway or soundabou	Wee	Overcast	Light rain	Petault)	Unknawn	0	0	
ROTOWING ROAD	atm	2	RIVERVIEW ROAD	<u>701196110</u>	16/10/2013	Wed	16:50	Carl/Magon1 WDB on ROYONLARD ROAD hit Von2 parking/unparking	10402, failed to signal in time CAR WARDR1, following too d oody	Dry	Bright	Fine	NGI (Default)	19	0		
									the second s								

1-20 of 23

ESTABLISHMENT AND OPERATION OF A MANAGED FILL ACTIVITY

https://cas.nzta.govt.nz/query-builder



RIVERVIEW DRIVE, HUNTLY

33

