

**From:** Sandra Kelly  
**Sent:** 20 Mar 2019 15:13:47 +1300  
**To:** Sandra Kelly  
**Subject:** FW: Submission addendum

---

**From:** consultant2  
**Sent:** Friday, 15 March 2019 11:21 a.m.  
**To:** Sandra Kelly  
**Subject:** Submission addendum

**From:** Mark Tollemache [mailto:[mark@tollemache.co](mailto:mark@tollemache.co)]  
**Sent:** Friday, 21 December 2018 8:47 a.m.  
**To:** Katherine Overwater  
**Subject:** Re: Submission for Van Den Brink Group

Hi Katherine

Below is red

Cheers, Mark  
mark tollemache town planner  
[021 1068991](tel:021_1068991) [mark@tollemache.co](mailto:mark@tollemache.co)

On Wed, 12 Dec 2018 at 10:49, Katherine Overwater <[Katherine.Overwater@waidc.govt.nz](mailto:Katherine.Overwater@waidc.govt.nz)> wrote:

Good Morning Mark

Could you please clarify a couple of points within the Van den Brinks submission that you prepared on their behalf.

The points are as follows:

- Submission Point #10 – Submission says that you oppose objective 4.5.25 and then in the relief sought it states that you “support insofar as it gives effect to the submitters sought relief”

**Can you please clarify whether you support or oppose (given your reasons, I am assuming that you do oppose?). There is an error there, sorry - it says oppose but the relief sought says support insofar as gives effect to the submitter's sought relief. The key is really in the reasons which is that the intent is to oppose where this objective is inconsistent with developing new business areas (as its only focus is the existing character -rather than establishment of a new character for new growth areas). So it**

**should read "oppose - where this is inconsistent with the submitter's primary relief to have its landholding rezoned as Business.**

- Submission Point#29 – Submission says that you support in part/oppose in part and then says that you “support insofar as it gives effect to the submitters sought relief”

**Can you please confirm what relief you are seeking. Also does this relief relate to both sites (Ryders Road and Whangarata Business Park)? It should read "oppose - where this is inconsistent with the submitter's primary relief to have its landholding rezoned as Business. This relates to both land holdings.**

- Submission Point #41 – Submission refers to exclusion from rule 20.3.3 in the relief sought column.

**Can you please confirm whether you meant Rule 17.3.2. Yes**

- Submission Point #42 – Submission refers to Rule 20.2.7.2 in the relief sought column and rule 20.2.7.1 in the reasons.

**Can you please confirm whether you meant Rule 17.2.7.2. and 17.2.7.1. Yes**

Additionally, did you have any specific address details for the land zoned Industrial at the Whangarata Business Park? From my look on our GIS there are several properties and the submission has not identified these specifically. **The interest is in the Business Park as a whole, however the ownership and associated interests relates to 231, 235, 235A to D Whangarata Road**

If you could let me know ASAP that would be great.

Kind Regards

**Katherine Overwater**

Senior Policy Planner

**Waikato District Council**

■ P 07 824 8633 ■ F 07 824 8091 ■ Call Free 0800 492 452

Private Bag 544, Ngaruawahia 3742

[www.waikatodistrict.govt.nz](http://www.waikatodistrict.govt.nz)



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail