IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AUCKLAND REGISTRY

ENV-2022-AKL-000036

IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act 1991	
AND		
IN THE MATTER	of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First Schedule of the Act in relation to the Proposed Waikato District Plan	
BETWEEN	Director-General of Conservation	
	Appellant	
AND	Waikato District Council	
	Respondent	
NOTICE OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991		

To: The Registrar

Environment Court Auckland

- Horticulture New Zealand ("HortNZ") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("RMA") to the following proceedings:
 - (a) Director-General of Conservation v Waikato District Council (ENV-2022-AKL-000036) being an appeal against decisions of the Waikato District Council on the Proposed Waikato District Plan.
- HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Waikato District Plan (submission number 419 and further submission number FS1168 for Stage 1, submission number 2149 and further submission number FS3027 for Stage 2).
- HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Appellant.
- 4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
- 5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
- 6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in, including the particular issues and whether HortNZ supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.
- 7. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Inlady

Jordyn Landers Environmental Policy Advisor Horticulture New Zealand

16 March 2022

Address for service of the Appellant: Horticulture New Zealand PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143 Phone:04 470 5669 Email: jordyn.landers@hortnz.co.nz Contact person: Jordyn Landers

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	Support / Oppose	Reason
'New and / or stronger objectives, policies and rules to control potential effects of the use, development or protection of land for the purpose of preventing any adverse effects associated with the spread of kauri dieback. In particular, provisions that control activities such as earthworks, gardening, cultivation and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts within the kauri root zone'	Oppose in part	The extent of the changes sought in the Director-General of Conservation's appeal is not clear. The decision version of the Plan already includes controls relating to kauri dieback in respect of ancillary rural earthworks.