BEFORE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT AUCKLAND	ENV-2022-AKL-000086
I MUA I TE KŌTI TAIAO TAMAKI MAKAURAU ROHE	
IN THE MATTER	of the Resource Management Act 1991
AND	
IN THE MATTER	of an appeal under clause 14 of Schedule 1 to the RMA against decisions of the Waikato District Council on the proposed Waikato District Plan
BETWEEN	THE SURVEYING COMPANY LIMITED
	Appellant
AND	WAIKATO DISTRICT COUNCIL
	Respondent

NOTICE OF HAMILTON CITY COUNCIL'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

DATED 22 March 2022

LACHLAN MULDOWNEY

BARRISTER

P +64 7 834 4336
M +64 21 471 490
Office Panama Square, 14 Garden Place, Hamilton
Postal PO Box 9169, Waikato Mail Centre, Hamilton 3240

www.lachlanmuldowney.co.nz

Instruction solicitor: Michelle.Hawthorne@hcc.govt.nz

- To: The Registrar Environment Court Auckland
- Hamilton City Council (HCC) wishes to be a party to the appeal by The Survey Company Limited against parts of the decisions of the Waikato District Council (WDC) on Proposed Waikato District Plan (Proposed Plan).

Nature of interest

- HCC is a local authority, and as such, has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the interest that the general public has. HCC also made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- HCC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).

Extent of interest

4. HCC is interested in part of the proceedings. The part of the appeal HCC is interested in is set out in **Schedule 1**.

Relief sought

- 5. HCC opposes the relief sought by the appellant in the relevant parts of the appeal for the reasons outlined in HCC's appeal and because it:
 - (a) Is inconsistent with the outcomes sought in The Survey Company Limited's appeal;
 - (b) Will not promote the sustainable management of the natural and physical resource within the Waikato Region, and is therefore inconsistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA;
 - (c) Will not meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations;

- (d) Will not enable the social, economic and cultural wellbeing of the people of the Waikato Region;
- (e) Does not avoid, remedy or mitigate actual or potential adverse effects on the environment; and
- (f) Is not the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives of the Waikato Regional Plan in terms of section 32 of the RMA.

Alternative dispute resolution

6. HCC agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

hung

••••••

L F Muldowney / S K Thomas Counsel for Hamilton City Council

Dated 22 March 2022

Address for service:

C/- Lachlan Muldowney Barrister Panama Square, 14 Garden Place PO Box 9169 Hamilton 3244 Attention: Lachlan Muldowney / Shaye Thomas

Telephone:(07) 834 4336Email:lachlan@muldowney.co.nz / shayethomas@muldowney.co.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.

Schedule 1

Relevant	HCC's interest
The addition of full provisions for Transferable	HCC opposes the relief sought. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent
Rural Lot Subdivision within the Rural Zone.	with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource
	is protected from both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned and
	integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
SUB-R40 and SUB-R41 – Prohibited activity status	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks to retain SUB-R40 and SUB-R41. HCC opposes any change
	to activity status. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent with the
High class soil SUB-R40(1)(a) and SUB-R41(1)(a)	outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource is
	protected from both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned and integrated
	planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
SUB-R42 – Prohibited activity status	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks to retain SUB-R42. HCC opposes any change to activity
	status. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent with the outcomes
Subdivision of a donor lot resulting from a	sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource is protected from
transferable rural lot right	both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned and integrated planning of the
	area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
SUB-R43 – General Subdivision	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks retention of the standard. HCC seeks to ensure that any
	outcomes in this appeal are consistent with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC
SUB-R46- Boundary Relocation	seeks to ensure that the land resource is protected from both subdivision and land uses that would
	compromise well-planned and integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development
SUB-R48-Rural Hamlet subdivision	outside of defined growth areas.
New or additional lots to not contain more than	
15% high class soil	
SUB-R46 – Boundary relocation	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks retention of 5000m2 of area of Record of Title. HCC seeks
	to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal.
SUB-R46(1)(a)(ii)(1) – titles used must contain at	In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource is protected from both subdivision and land
least 5000m2	uses that would compromise well-planned and integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc
	development outside of defined growth areas.

SUB-R43 – General Subdivision	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks retention of a 40ha title size and the non-complying activity
	status for lots less than 8000m2. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are
SUB-R43(1)(a)(ii) 40ha title size	consistent with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the
	land resource is protected from both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned
SUB-R43(1)(a)(iv) 8000m2 minimum lot size	and integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth
	areas.
R43(1)(a)(v) High class soil	
Policy SUB-P16(3)(a) and Policy SUB-P16(3)(b)	HCC opposes the relief sought and seeks retention of the Decisions Version of SUB-P16(3)(a) and
and Policy SUB-P16(4)	SUB-P16(3)(b). HCC seeks retention of the requirement for balance lots greater than 40ha HCC
	seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent with the outcomes sought in HCC's
	appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource is protected from both subdivision
	and land uses that would compromise well-planned and integrated planning of the area and to avoid
	ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
Rule 24.4.2 Subdivision – Te Kowhai and Tuakau	HCC opposes the relief sought. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent
	with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource
	is protected from both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned and
	integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
Other appeal points:	HCC opposes the relief sought. HCC seeks to ensure that any outcomes in this appeal are consistent
other appear points.	with the outcomes sought in HCC's appeal. In particular, HCC seeks to ensure that the land resource
Part 2 – SD – Strategic Direction – UFD – Urban	is protected from both subdivision and land uses that would compromise well-planned and
form and development	integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-hoc development outside of defined growth areas.
	integrated planning of the area and to avoid ad-not development outside of defined growth areas.
Part 2 – SUB – Subdivision	
Part 3 – GRUZ – General rural zone	
Part 3 – RLZ – Rural lifestyle Zone	
Part 3 – LLRZ – Large lot residential zone	
5	