

**BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AT AUCKLAND**

**I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA
TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU ROHE**

ENV-2022-AKL-000088

UNDER

the Resource Management Act 1991
(the **Act**)

IN THE MATTER OF

an appeal pursuant to Clause 14(1) of
the First Schedule of the Act

BETWEEN

KIRRIEMUIR TRUSTEE LIMITED

Appellant

AND

WAIKATO DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

**NOTICE OF HUGHES DEVELOPMENTS LIMITED'S WISH TO BE A
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

GREENWOOD ROCHE
LAWYERS
CHRISTCHURCH
Solicitor: L J Semple
(Lauren@greenwoodroche.com)

Level 3
680-690 Colombo Street
Christchurch 8011
PO Box 8140
Christchurch 8140

TO: The Registrar

Environment Court

Auckland

1 Hughes Developments Limited (**HDL**) wishes to be a party to the following proceeding:

(a) *Kirriemuir Trustee Limited v Waikato District Council* (ENV-2022-AKL-000088), an appeal in relation to the Proposed Waikato District Plan (the **Appeal**) (the **PDP**).

2 HDL has a greater interest than the general public in the Appeal for the following reasons:

(a) HDL has lodged an appeal¹ on the PDP that also seeks the reinstatement of the General Residential zone over HDL's site.² As with the Appellant's site, a residential zoning of HDL's site was rejected by the Hearings Panel in favour of a General Rural zone in its Decision Report 28D: Zoning – Tuakau (the **Decision**).

(b) In both cases, the primary reason given for that Decision was that zoning of those properties for residential development would be inappropriate given the identified existence of high class soils in those locations, and the related directions regarding protection of those soils within the Waikato Regional Policy Statement Te Tauāki Kaupapahere Te-Rohe O Waikato (**RPS**).³

(c) In substance, both HDL and the Appellant consider that the Panel incorrectly interpreted the directions of WRPS (specifically Objective 3.26, Policy 14.2 and Method 14.2.1), and failed to appropriately address the requirements of the National Policy

¹ ENV-2022-AKL-000071.

² The land at 2339A Buckland Road, Tuakau is legally described as:

- Part Allot 5 PSH of Tuakau and Lot 1 DP 29843 (contained in record of title NA733/133); and
- Part Lot 1 DP 22667 (contained in record of title NA1830/93)

³ Waikato District Council (2022) Reports and Decisions of the Waikato District Plan Hearings Panel *Decision Report 28D: Zoning – Tuakau*, dated 17 January 2022, at 4.36 – 4.44.

Statement on Urban Development 2020 (**NPS-UD**), particularly as they relate to the provision of sufficient development capacity to meet expected demand for housing.

- (d) As it traverses these same issues, the outcome of the Appeal will have a significant effect by way of advantage or disadvantage on HDL's own proceedings, which elevates HDL's interest in the Appeal beyond that of the general public.⁴

3 HDL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Act.

4 HDL is interested in all of the Appeal.

5 The particular issues HDL is interested in are:

- (a) The appropriateness (or otherwise) of applying the General Residential Zone to Kirriemuir's Site in light of Objective 3.26, Policy 14.2 and Method 14.2.1 of the WRPS.

- (b) The appropriateness (or otherwise) of applying the General Residential Zone to Kirriemuir's Site in light of the requirements of the NPS-UD and any other directions within subsidiary RMA or non-RMA documents regarding the provision of sufficient housing capacity.

- (c) The existence or otherwise of any actual or potential reverse sensitivity effects for residential development of the Kirriemuir Site.

- (d) All other issues incidental to the appropriateness (or otherwise) of applying the General Residential Zone to Kirriemuir's Site.

6 To the extent that the Appeal seeks to challenge the finding that rezoning from the General Rural Zone to the General Residential Zone would reduce the availability of high class soils for primary production and is therefore contrary to the RPS, HDL supports the relief sought.

⁴ *Purification Technologies Limited v Taupo District Council* [1995] NZRMA 197, at 7; *Mt Christina Ltd v Queenstown Lakes District Council* [2018] NZEnvC 190 at [64].

- 7 HDL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the Appeal.

DATED this 22nd day of March 2022



Lauren Semple

Counsel for Hughes Developments Limited

Address for Service of Appellant:

Address: c/- Greenwood Roche Lawyers
Level 3, Kettlewell Lane
680 – 690 Colombo Street
Christchurch 8011
PO Box 139
Christchurch 8140

Phone: 03 353 0570

Email: lauren@greenwoodroche.com

Copy to:

Kirriemuir Trustee Limited
c/o Lachlan Muldowney and Shaye Thomas
PO Box 9169
Waikato Mail Centre
lachlan@muldowney.co.nz and shayethomas@muldowney.co.nz

Waikato District Council
Kirsty Ridling
Private Bag 544
Ngaruawahia 3742
Kirsty.Ridling@waidc.govt.nz

Waikato District Council
c/o Beth Ford
Tompkins Wake
PO Box 2543
Auckland 1140
beth.ford@tompkinswake.co.nz

Waikato District Council
c/o Bridget Parham
Tompkins Wake
P.O Box 258
DX GP20031
Hamilton
bridget.parham@tompkinswake.co.nz