

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT
AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

ENV-2022-AKL-000055

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of appeals under Clause 14(1) of the First
Schedule of the Act in relation to the
Proposed Waikato District Plan

BETWEEN **Middlemiss Farm Holdings Limited**

Appellant

AND **Waikato District Council**

Respondent

**NOTICE OF WISH TO BE
PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO
SECTION 274 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

To: The Registrar

Environment Court

Auckland

1. Horticulture New Zealand ("**HortNZ**") wishes to be a party pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991 ("**RMA**") to the following proceedings:
 - (a) Middlemiss Farm Holdings Limited v Waikato District Council (**ENV-2022-AKL-000055**) being an appeal against decisions of the Waikato District Council on the Proposed Waikato District Plan.
2. HortNZ made submissions and further submissions on the Proposed Waikato District Plan (submission number 419 and further submission number FS1168 for Stage 1, submission number 2149 and further submission number FS3027 for Stage 2).
3. HortNZ also has an interest in these proceedings that is greater than the general public as it represents interest groups in the community that are likely to be affected by the proposed relief sought by the Appellant.
4. HortNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the RMA.
5. HortNZ is interested in part of the proceedings.
6. The parts of the proceedings HortNZ is interested in, including the particular issues and whether HortNZ supports, opposes or conditionally opposes the relief sought are set out in the attached table.
7. HortNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

J Landers

Jordyn Landers
Environmental Policy Advisor
Horticulture New Zealand

16 March 2022

Address for service of the Appellant:

Horticulture New Zealand
PO Box 10232, Wellington 6143
Phone: 04 470 5669
Email: jordyn.landern@hortnz.co.nz
Contact person: Jordyn Landers

Provision or decision appealed by Appellant	Support / Oppose	Reason
Appeals in so far as they relate to SD—Strategic Direction, SUB-Subdivision and GRUZ- General rural zone provisions.	Support in part/Oppose in part	The relief sought is not clear in the appeal (and whether it relates solely to Conservation Lot provisions) in respect to amendments to these chapters.