## **BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT**

## ENV-2022-AKL-000048

#### **AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

## I MUA I TE KOOTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA

#### KI TAMAKI MAKAURAU

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act 1991 (Act)

And

In the Matter of an appeal under clause 14(1) of the First Schedule

of the Act

**Between** Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency

**Appellants** 

And Waikato District Council

Respondent

# Section 274 Notice on behalf of Tony Young and Cindy Young

## Dated 22 March 2022

Jeremy Brabant

Barrister

Level 4, Vulcan Building Chambers

PO Box 1502, Shortland St

**Auckland City** 

021 494 506

Email: jeremy@brabant.co.nz

**To:** The Registrar

**Environment Court** 

Auckland

 Tony Young and Cindy Young (Youngs) give notice that they wish to be a party to the following appeal:

ENV-2022-AKL-000048 Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency v Waikato District Council.

## 2. The Youngs:

- a. Made a submission about the subject matter of the appeal (FS#1221); and
- b. Have an interest in the proceeding that is greater than the interest that the general public has on the grounds that they own land at 80 Fraser Road within the proposed 100m building setback area sought by the Appellant.
- 3. The Youngs are not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 4. The Youngs are interested in the appeal to the extent that it seeks to amend the Proposed Waikato District Plan provisions to impose a 100m building setback from state highways for noise sensitive activities.
- 5. The Youngs oppose the relief sought as:
  - a. Appropriate setbacks for noise sensitive activities are already provided for.
  - b. A 100m setback from state highways:
    - i. Is not an efficient use of the land resource particularly in relation to the objectives and policies of the Waikato Regional Policy Statement and National Policy Statement for Urban Development.

ii. Is not justified from a noise, vibration, or amenity

perspective.

iii. Is not supported by a sufficiently robust assessment

demonstrating reasonably practical alternatives, the

effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed provisions, or

the cost-benefit that will likely arise from the adoption of

the relief sought.

iv. Is not an appropriately balanced or equitable approach to

managing land use and resources, particularly as it transfers

the cost and responsibility of noise and vibration mitigation

onto adjacent landowners.

6. The Youngs agree to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute

resolution of the appeal.

Signature:

Tony Young and Cindy Young by their

authorised agent:

**Jeremy Brabant** 

Date:

22 March 2022

Address for service: Jeremy Brabant

PO Box 1502, Shortland St

Auckland

**Mobile:** 021 494 506

**Email:** jeremy@brabant.co.nz

## Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Auckland.