

# Memorandum

SCANNED

Doc No \_\_\_\_\_

To: Ms Sandra Kelly (Hearings Administrator, Proposed Waikato District Plan)

From: John Manning (Planman Consultants Ltd)

Subject: Hearings Commissioners First Directions and Register of Interests/Potential Conflicts of Interest

Date: 7 June 2019

## The Issue:

Firstly I would like to note that the Waikato District Council Website link to the Original Submissions to the Proposed Waikato District Plan has been 'down' so far today so I have been unable to view the actual original submissions and or confirm who was the original submitter with the exception of the one detailed below. I am aware however that there has been some issues with the summary of submissions incorrectly identifying submitters versus contact person, an example being submission 341, made by Tainui Group Holdings Ltd (TGHL), but which the summary of submissions has registered under the name of Brian Croad as submitter.

With regard to Paragraphs 10 & 11 of the Commissioners first directions, and using the above submission as an example, I note that the Chair of the Commissioners (Dr Phil Mitchell) has reported on his relationship with TGHL - but that Commissioner Linda Te Aho has not, but instead referenced her relationship to the organisation that 'owns' TGHL being Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Inc (who I have not been able to find a submission from within the summarised submissions) as well as Waikato Tainui (who have also made several submissions by different agents). I am not suggesting anything untoward, just perhaps a lack of consistency regarding reporting and/or knowledge/transparency of the original submitter details which can lead to confusion with service and or the Commissioners relationships with submitters.

## Actions:

- Ensure that submitters have access to the list of original submissions via the Council Website and that the summarised submissions accurately reflects original submitter details and contact person/s
- Ensure that the table of 'Relationships with Submitter Parties and Actions Proposed' accurately reflects original Submitter name and the relationship that the Commissioners have with the Submitter, and add another column if there is a further, or other relationship with the Submitters agent or contact person/s.

I am happy to receive a phone call to discuss if necessary

regards

John Manning  
Planman Consultants Ltd  
dd (07) 856 6544  
(022) 62 00653  
[john@planmanconsultants.co.nz](mailto:john@planmanconsultants.co.nz)

## Sandra Kelly

---

**From:** andrew wilson <anaru.wilson@me.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, 2 July 2019 12:02 p.m.  
**To:** DistrictPlan  
**Subject:** Proposed Waikato District Plan Hearings: Second Directions

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Completed

To Whom it may concern,

I'm responding to the Second Directions from Hearing Commissioners email I received from the WDC.

Andrew Wilson: Submission Number 981; 23rd January 2019.

I initially lodged my submission online within the initial submission timeframe, on the afternoon of 9th October, 2018.

I did this with help from staff in the council offices in Raglan, as I was experiencing technical difficulties with your website.

In following up, it was discovered that my submission had not been received and I worked through the process with Sandra Kelly.

I finally resubmitted via email on the 21st of January.

My submission appears to be late due to technical issues with the online process.  
It was originally submitted on time.

Please advise if there are any further issues with this,

Thanks & regards,  
Andrew wilson

## Sandra Kelly

---

**From:** Amy deLangen <adponystud@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, 5 July 2019 1:11 p.m.  
**To:** DistrictPlan  
**Subject:** Proposed Waikato District plan

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

**Categories:** Completed, Forwarded

The District Plan Hearings Administrator  
Waikato District Council  
Private Bag 544 Ngaruawahia 3742

Attention: Sandra Kelly

Re: the reason for our late submission

Our immediate neighbors along our back boundary ( the Matangi Factory) advised us in writing after the submission closing date of their intentions to change the zoning and the land use to the back part of their property which is currently zoned Rural to Industrial . Upon calling the council and after numerous phone conversations with the council between my-self ( Andrew de Langen ) and Susan Chibnall , also Sandra Kelly regarding my concerns about these changes and how they would adversely affect our outlook and property use etc , we were advised by Sandra we could put forward our own late submission to the council regarding potential to change our property zoning . The zone changes at the Matangi factory along our back boundary from Rural to Industrial would have a huge impact on our rural outlook along with significant impact on our equestrian property and its current use . Possible impact to the safety of the Horses we have grazing here and our riders. We have over the last 18 years spent time and money developing it into a property suitable for training and grazing horses as you will read in our submission.

Many thanks for your consideration

Andrew and Amy de Langen