

# Ohinewai Rezoning Proposals

## Zoom Call to Discuss Expert Conferencing Matters

### 22 May 2020

### Key Outcomes

#### ATTENDEES

##### Facilitators

Phil Mitchell  
Paul Cooney

##### Parties' representatives

Ian Mayhew - NZTA and WRC  
Catherine Somerville Frost and Fraser Grafhuis Mercury  
Giles Boundy – Waikato-Tainui  
Ken Tremaine – Future Proof (part)  
John Olliver – Ambury  
Chloe Trenouth and Carolyn Wratt – WDC  
Catherine Mayer – submitter (part)

ISSUE IDENTIFIED BY PARTIES		AGREED APPROACH
1	Should laypeople be involved in conferencing?	No, it is an “expert” forum – agreed and accepted by all present. Note: Outputs from all expert conferencing will be made available to all submitters.

ISSUE IDENTIFIED BY PARTIES		AGREED APPROACH
2	Should cultural aspects be addressed in technical discussions?	Yes – agreed and accepted by all present - cultural experts should be able to attend each session. Note: Waikato – Tainui and Ambury are both likely to have cultural advisors involved and should confirm attendances ASAP – see Table 1 below.
3	Should planners attend technical conferencing?	Yes – agreed and accepted by all present, noting that their attendance is optional. Planners to have observer role only, but will have the opportunity to briefly “scene set” the statutory framework to guide technical discussions. Parties should confirm attendances ASAP – see Table 1 below.
4	Should the economics conferencing be in one session or split between Business and Residential land uses?	Agreed that there will be one integrated session, that is split into three sequential stages: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. “Big picture” / overall matters;</li> <li>2. Residential-specific matters;</li> <li>3. Business-specific matters.</li> </ol>
5	Is facilitated conferencing on ecology, water / wastewater and stormwater necessary, or can it be left to the experts themselves?	Agreed that facilitated conferencing should not be needed, but other than not being facilitated, the process to be followed by the experts, and the timetable should be the same as for those topics that will be facilitated.
6	Should the “strategic planning” issues be at the start or end of the conferencing process?	Agreed that all planning topics would be addressed at a single conferencing session – to be held once all other conferencing has been completed.

ISSUE IDENTIFIED BY PARTIES		AGREED APPROACH
7	Overall process	<p>Agreed that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Ambury to provide “summary position statements”</b> for all topics, including those for which conferencing will not be facilitated, to be received no later than <b>5pm Friday 29 May 2020</b>.</li> <li>2. Each of the “summary position statements” need not be a formal “will say” statement (as produced in the Environment Court), but rather a clear, concise statement, identifying: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The key relevant planning matters the experts should be aware of (prepared by Ambury’s planners);</li> <li>b. The clear conclusions of Ambury’s expert(s), together with reasons;</li> <li>c. What Ambury’s expert(s) consider to be the key issues that are agreed and not agreed.</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. <b>Submitter and WDC experts are to provide their “summary position statements”</b> on each topic: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>Other than in respect of transportation and planning, by 5pm Wednesday 10 June 2020 (this date also applies to conferencing on topics that are not facilitated);</b></li> <li>b. <b>For transportation and planning, by 5pm Wednesday 17 June.</b></li> </ol> </li> <li>4. Submitter and WDC “summary position statements” for each topic should clearly and concisely articulate: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The areas of agreement with matters addressed in Ambury’s “summary position statement”;</li> <li>b. The areas of disagreement with matters in Ambury’s “summary position statement, including reasons;</li> <li>c. Identification of any significant matters not addressed in Ambury’s “summary position statement” (i.e. those additional matters that the expert considers should be addressed during conferencing) and the expert’s conclusions on them, including reasons.</li> </ol> </li> <li>5. The experts for each topic are to liaise prior to the commencement of their respective conferencing session to prepare an agreed agenda.</li> <li>6. A record of agreements and disagreements will be recorded during each conferencing session, and, post conferencing, the experts will liaise to produce a clear, concise “Joint Witness Statement”, the focus of which is to record all matters of agreement and disagreement, including reasons, and to do so within a timeframe agreed at the conferencing.</li> </ol>
8	Joint Witness statements to be produced?	<p>Yes – agreed and accepted by all present.</p> <p>See point 7.6 above .</p>

ISSUE IDENTIFIED BY PARTIES		AGREED APPROACH
9	Topics, attendees and timetable	See Table 1 below. It was agreed that expert conferencing will occur via Zoom, unless the first few sessions demonstrate this is not suitable and in person conferencing is needed.

**Table I: Expert Conferencing Participants (still to be finalised) and Timetable**

Topic	Submitter	Technical / Cultural Expert(s) <sup>1</sup>	Planner observing <sup>2</sup>	Date(s) for conferencing
Residential and Business Economics	Ambury Properties Ltd	Tim Heath, Phil Osborne and Brent Wheeler		15 and 16 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Douglas Fairgray (Residential) Derek Kemp (Business)	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato Regional Council	Blair Keenan		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald potentially		
Flooding	Mercury	Grant Webby and David Payne		17 June 2020
	Ambury Properties Ltd	Ajay Desai		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald		
	Waikato District Council	Megan Blackburn	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato Regional Council	Rick Liefing, Ghassan Basheer and Mark Pennington		
Urban Design (including integration)	Ambury Properties Ltd	Jonathan Broekhuysen and Michael Graham		18 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Matthew Jones	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald potentially		
Social Impacts	Ambury Properties Ltd	Robert Quigley		19 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Jo Healy	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato Regional Council	Melissa Hackell		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald		
Ecology (not facilitated initially, but see yellow box below)	Ambury Properties Ltd	Chad Croft		To be completed no later than 19 June 2020
	Waikato Regional Council	Andrea Julian		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald potentially		
Water and wastewater (not facilitated initially, but see yellow box below)	Ambury Properties Ltd	Tim Harty		To be completed no later than 19 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Jim Bradley	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald		
Stormwater (not facilitated initially, but see yellow box below)	Ambury Properties Ltd	Pranil Wadan		To be completed no later than 19 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Megan Blackburn	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald		

Transport	Ambury Properties Ltd	Cameron Inder		22 and 23 June 2020
	Waikato District Council	Naomi McMinn	Chloe Trenouth	
	Waikato Regional Council / The NZ Transport Agency	Robert Swears (NZTA) and Vincent Kuo (WRC)		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald potentially		
Ecology, water and wastewater and stormwater - facilitated session – if needed	As above			24 June 2020
Strategic Planning and Plan Drafting	<b>Strategic Planning</b>			25 and 26 June 2020
	Mercury	Angus Mackenzie and Fraser Graafhuis		
	Ambury Properties Ltd	John Olliver		
	Waikato District Council	Chloe Trenouth		
	Waikato Regional Council / The NZ Transport Agency	Ian Mayhew (WRC and NZTA) and Sarah Loynes (NZTA)		
	Future Proof	Ken Tremaine		
	Waikato-Tainui	Gavin Donald		
	<b>Plan Drafting</b>			
	Mercury	Angus Mackenzie and Fraser Graafhuis		
	Ambury Properties Ltd	John Olliver and Stuart Penfold		
	Waikato District Council	Chloe Trenouth		
	Waikato Regional Council / The NZ Transport Agency	Ian Mayhew		
	Future Proof	Ken Tremaine		
	Waikato-Tainui	Giles Boundy		

Note 1: Persons attending to be confirmed ASAP

Note 2: Persons attending to be confirmed ASAP