### Hearing 18 – Rural

Evidence Highlights Package Nicola Rykers



#### **Rural Subdivision**

Support the s42A report recommendations

 Potential for <u>subdivision and subsequent activities to adversely affect</u> <u>adjoining activities through</u> reverse sensitivity

• A single rural dwelling requires same consideration as multiple houses

### Waikato Regional Policy Statement

Policy 4.4 and Section 4.4.1

District and regional plans should provide for regionally significant industry ......

(d) recognising the potential for regionally significant to have adverse effects beyond its boundaries and the need to avoid or minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity effects

# Chapter 5: Rural Objectives and Policies Policy 5.3.7 – Separation of Incompatible Activities

• Requires adequate separation within the zone for intensive farming, rural industry, strategic infrastructure and extractive activities

 Omits any separation from equally adverse/incompatible activities in adjoining zone

Does not give effect to Regional Policy Statement

## Consistency in the Plan

 Chapter 4 Urban Environment (Policy 4.7.11) requires reverse sensitivity effects in the urban environment to address reverse sensitivity effects on intensive farming, extraction and industrial activities

 There is no reciprocal policy protecting urban activities from new activities in the rural zone

### Policy 5.3.7 – Separation of Incompatible Activities

New wording (or similar that achieves same effect):

Ensure that the design and location of new sensitive land uses achieves adequate separation distances to mitigate potential reverse sensitivity effects on lawfully-established heavy industrial activities in the adjoining Heavy Industrial Zone.

# Proposed Setback

Suggest alignment with intensive farming of 300m

Precautionary approach

• Signals potentially lower amenity inappropriate for sensitive activities