Appendix 10: RMA s32AA evaluation template

Note: The blue text provides guidance notes for completing the template set out below.

Table 1: Rezoning Proposal

The specific provisions sought to be amended	Assessment of the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions in achieving the objectives of the Proposed Waikato District Plan (PDP)
The rezoning proposal	Amend R 22.3.7.1 P1(a)(iii) by deleting the "25m' and replacing it with 12 mt
Relevant objectives of the PDP	The 25mt proposed setback is unreasonable and will unnecessarily limit my building and development options on my lifestyle property and consented subdivision in the future. With a zoning change
Scale and significance of the rezoning proposal	 Comment on the scale and significance of the rezoning proposal, to determine whether the rezoning proposal will result in a substantial change to the zoning management framework contained in the PDP. You may consider matters such as: What is the spatial extent of the rezoning request (i.e. single site, few sites, or large scale rezoning request)? You are only effecting a small area of existing country living zoned properties Is the rezoning request of local, district or regional significance? Local Would the requested rezoning align with or result in significant change in the anticipated outcomes

	 Does the scale of the development have any implications in terms of land use and transport integration matters? No Does the scale of development have any implications in terms in terms of infrastructure servicing (i.e wastewater, water supply and stormwater. No Would the rezoning limit the anticipated future development planned for in the subject area. No
Other reasonably practicable options to achieve the objectives (alternative options)	List the alternative options available here: Alternative I: The amendments only hinder the existing land owners within the current country Living Zone and would remove some of their existing rights Alternative 2: Alternative X: Do nothing option

Table 2: Benefits and Costs Analysis of the Rezoning Proposal

Rezoning Proposal: state the rezoning proposal being evaluated			
	Benefits	Costs	
General	N/A		
Environmental	N/A		

Social	N/A	
Economic – General	N/A	
Economic Growth Must give specific consideration to the matter of economic growth that are anticipated to be provided or reduced as a result of the proposal	N/A	
Employment Must give specific consideration to the matter of employment that are anticipated to be provided or reduced as a result of the proposal.	N/A	
Cultural	N/A	

Table 3: Evaluation of the proposal

Reasons for the selection of the preferred option.	This section requires the "balancing" of the costs and benefits of all the options, and provide reasons as to why the preferred option has been chosen.
Actent to which the objectives of the roposal being evaluated are the ost appropriate way to achieve the urpose of the RMA.Section 5 of the RMA sets out the purpose of the RMA: (1) the purpose of this Act is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources. (2) In this Act, sustainable management means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and p resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and being and for their health and safety while –	

	 (a) sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and (b) safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems; and (c) avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.
Assessment of the risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain information about the subject matter of the provisions.	Consider whether there is sufficient information available to make an informed assessment on the proposal, and risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain information on the subject matter.
Conclusion	This section should set out the conclusion of the findings of the assessment. You may set out the conclusion as follows: The proposed provisions (e.g. proposed rezoning) will be efficient and effective in achieving the objectives of the PDP for the following reasons: