

Appendix 10: RMA s32AA evaluation template

Note: The blue text provides guidance notes for completing the template set out below.

Table 1: Rezoning Proposal

The specific provisions sought to be amended	Assessment of the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions in achieving the objectives of the Proposed Waikato District Plan (PDP)
The rezoning proposal	<i>Amend the planning map to re zone the Country living Zone at Scotsman Valley the properties that are Country Living Zone in the Operative District Plan</i>
Relevant objectives of the PDP	<i>Landowners in the Country Living Zone at Scotsman Valley have already made plans and investment decisions that reflect the value of the land and subdivision potential of the Country living Zone. It is unfair to change it to Rural Zone, there will be no value to the district from rural zoning in terms of Agricultural production.</i>
Scale and significance of the rezoning proposal	<p><i>Comment on the scale and significance of the rezoning proposal, to determine whether the rezoning proposal will result in a substantial change to the zoning management framework contained in the PDP. You may consider matters such as:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the spatial extent of the rezoning request (i.e. single site, few sites, or large scale rezoning request)? <i>You are only effecting a small area of existing country living zoned properties</i> • Is the rezoning request of local, district or regional significance? <i>Local</i> • Would the requested rezoning align with or result in significant change in the anticipated outcomes character / amenity of the subject area and communities? <i>No significant change</i>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the scale of the development have any implications in terms of land use and transport integration matters? No • Does the scale of development have any implications in terms in terms of infrastructure servicing (i.e wastewater, water supply and stormwater). No • Would the rezoning limit the anticipated future development planned for in the subject area. No
<p>Other reasonably practicable options to achieve the objectives (alternative options)</p>	<p><i>List the alternative options available here:</i></p> <p>Alternative 1: The amendments only hinder the existing land owners within the current country Living Zone and would remove some of their existing rights.</p> <p>Alternative 2:</p> <p>Alternative X: Do nothing option</p>

Table 2: Benefits and Costs Analysis of the Rezoning Proposal

Rezoning Proposal: <i>state the rezoning proposal being evaluated</i>	
Benefits	Costs
General	N/A
Environmental	N/A

Social	N/A	
Economic – General	N/A	
Economic Growth <i>Must give specific consideration to the matter of economic growth that are anticipated to be provided or reduced as a result of the proposal</i>	N/A	
Employment <i>Must give specific consideration to the matter of employment that are anticipated to be provided or reduced as a result of the proposal.</i>	N/A	
Cultural	N/A	

Table 3: Evaluation of the proposal

Reasons for the selection of the preferred option.	<i>This section requires the “balancing” of the costs and benefits of all the options, and provide reasons as to why the preferred option has been chosen.</i>
Extent to which the objectives of the proposal being evaluated are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the RMA.	<i>Section 5 of the RMA sets out the purpose of the RMA: (1) the purpose of this Act is to promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources. (2) In this Act, sustainable management means managing the use, development, and protection of natural and physical resources in a way, or at a rate, which enables people and communities to provide for their social, economic, and cultural well-being and for their health and safety while –</i>

	<p>(a) <i>sustaining the potential of natural and physical resources (excluding minerals) to meet the reasonably foreseeable needs of future generations; and</i></p> <p>(b) <i>safeguarding the life-supporting capacity of air, water, soil and ecosystems; and</i></p> <p>(c) <i>avoiding, remedying, or mitigating any adverse effects of activities on the environment.</i></p>
<p>Assessment of the risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain information about the subject matter of the provisions.</p>	<p><i>Consider whether there is sufficient information available to make an informed assessment on the proposal, and risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain information on the subject matter.</i></p>
<p>Conclusion</p>	<p><i>This section should set out the conclusion of the findings of the assessment. You may set out the conclusion as follows:</i></p> <p><i>The proposed provisions (e.g. proposed rezoning) will be efficient and effective in achieving the objectives of the PDP for the following reasons:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ... <p>....</p>