

Appendix I: Table of submission points

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
44.1	William Ormsby for Maniapoto Maori Trust Board	<p>Amend clause (c) in Section 2.10 Iwi Management Plans, as follows: 2.10 Iwi Management Plans The Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan and the Maniapoto Iwi Management Plans are redress instruments of Treaty settlements: <u>AND (1) A local authority served under section 15(a) preparing, reviewing, or changing a Resource Management Act 1991 planning document must recognise the environmental plan in the same manner as would be required under the Resource Management Act 1991 for any planning document recognised by an iwi authority.</u></p> <p><u>(2) A consent authority considering an application for a resource consent under section 104 of the Resource Management Act 1991 must have regard to the environmental plan, if it considers that section 104(1) (c) applies to the plan.</u></p> <p><u>(3) A person carrying out functions or exercising powers under sections 12 to 14 of the Fisheries Act 1996 must recognise and provide for the environmental plan to the extent to which its contents relate to the functions or powers.</u></p> <p><u>(4) A person carrying out functions or exercising powers under the conservation legislation in relation to the Waipa River and its catchment must have particular regard to the environmental plan to the extent to which its contents relate to the functions or powers.</u></p> <p>NOTE: It is Council's responsibility to show HOW it gives EFFECT to the Iwi Management Plans and how the Iwi Management Plan is APPLIED within the context of the Proposed District Plan and ALL of its chapters.</p>	<p>Nga wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012</p> <p>The overarching purpose of this Act is to restore and maintain the quality and integrity of the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa River for present and future generations, and the care and protection of the mana tuku iho o Waiwaia. Co-governance and Co-management</p> <p>Arrangements between the Crown and river iwi ushered in a new era of Crown-iwi co-management of the Waikato and Waipa River catchment. Co-management provides iwi with mechanisms to manage the river in partnership with central and local government. The co-governance co-management arrangements allow for, joint management agreements, participation in specific and defined river-related resource consent decision-making, recognition of the Waikato-Tainui environmental plan and Ko Ta Maniapoto o Mahere Taiao & Ko Ta Maniapoto Mahere ika mo te Waipa and other river iwi plans and provision for regulations relating to fisheries and other matters managed under conservation legislation; and an integrated river management plan. Joint Management Agreement with the Waikato District Council</p> <p>Te Awa o Waipa is a taonga to Maniapoto. Maniapoto have a deep-felt obligation and desire to restore, maintain and protect all of the waters that flow and/or fall within the Maniapoto rohe (Nga Wai o Maniapoto), whether the waters are above, on or underground. Te Mana o Te Wai (the quality and integrity of the waters) is paramount. The obligation includes the waters that flow into and form part of the</p>	Accept in part	7

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>Waipa River. The relationship between Maniapoto and the Waipa River is historic, intellectual, physical and spiritual.</p> <p>Historically, Te Mana o Te Wai was such that it provided all manner of sustenance to Maniapoto including physical and spiritual nourishment that has, over generations, maintained the quality and integrity of Maniapoto marae, whanau, hapu and iwi. To Maniapoto the Waipa River has mana and in turn represents the mana of Maniapoto. The Waipa River is a single indivisible entity that flows from Pekepeke to its confluence with the Waikato River and includes its waters, banks, bed (and all minerals under it) and its streams, waterways, tributaries, lakes, fisheries, vegetation, floodplains, wetlands, islands, springs, geothermal springs, water column, airspace and substratum as well as its metaphysical elements with its own mauri.</p>		
FSI108.40	<i>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>General support for the submission.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
FSI293.5	<i>Department of Conservation</i>	<i>Seek that the submission point is allowed.</i>	<i>The Director-General supports further detail in the plan with regard to Iwi Management Plans and how they are addressed throughout the Proposed Plan.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
FSI035.105	<i>Pareoranga Te Kata</i>	<i>9.3 Objectives, policies and actions be allowed. Full submission be consented.</i>	<i>Able to develop papakāinga and marae to meet their needs.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
380.10	Norman Hill for Waahi Whaanui Trust	No specific decision sought, but the submission supports the introduction of a specific chapter addressing Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	No reasons provided.	Reject	26
493.23	Jackie Colliar	Amend the definition of "Maori Customary Land" within Chapter 13 Definitions to ensure consistency with section 129(2) (a) of the Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993.	<p>The submitter seeks the definitions applying to Maori land are clarified.</p> <p>Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.</p> <p>The submitter seeks greater clarity of these definitions.</p>	Accept in part	28
FSI035.76	<i>Pareoranga Te Kata</i>	<i>Agree and support the whole submission.</i>	<i>• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.</i>	<i>Accept in part</i>	28
493.21	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan following engagement with Waikato-Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that respective land development plans and opportunities are	The Proposed Plan should signal and provide for greater opportunities to utilise Matauranga Maori for the benefit of the environment.	Reject	26

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		adequately provided for.	There may be future opportunities to develop initiatives and programs of work where Marae and Maori owned land is better utilised for the benefit of the land owners.		
FS1035.74	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan. 	Reject	26
493.13	Jackie Colliar	Add a new district-wide Maaori land chapter that includes specific objectives and policy framework, a wider range of activities relevant to Maori land such as urupa and koohanga (could be specifically identified rather than just covered by the definition of "Marae Complex") and would apply District-wide.	<p>The submitter considers a more effective and efficient method of providing for the use and development of Maaori land in accordance with maatauranga and tikanga is by way of a district-wide Maaori land chapter.</p> <p>The use of the proposed Concept Management Plans could provide for Permitted Activities, should the clarification of the Concept Management Plans sought from this submission occur.</p> <p>In addition to the practical benefits, this would ensure that the Tanagata Whenua Chapter as notified is brought through the entire plan, not simply a heading at the beginning of the proposed plan. This approach has been successfully implemented in the Auckland Unitary Plan.</p>	Reject	26
FS1035.66	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan. 	Reject	26
493.12	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide for the most enabling provisions to facilitate the development of marae and papakainga throughout the district.	<p>Submitter understands that Marae and papakainga housing will default to Discretionary Activity status without an approved Concept Management Plan. This would appear to be a restrictive activity status for what could be small scale development.</p> <p>Aside from Resource Management Act issues around Concept management Plans, the submitter understands the key benefits being the development of papakainga housing and the ability to futureproof in some situations future land use.</p> <p>This is supported provided additional resource consents are not required to satisfy district plan requirements.</p>	Accept in part	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1035.65	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Accept in part	8
493.11	Jackie Colliar	Provide greater clarity as to who resources the development of Concept Management Plans and what council information and assistance will be provided to Marae and/or trusts.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	8
FS1035.64	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Accept in part	8
493.27	Jackie Colliar	No specific decision sought, but submission supports the introduction of a specific chapter addressing Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	5
FS1139.112	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	5
FS1035.80	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Accept in part	5
FS1108.124	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support		Accept in part	8
380.11	Norman Hill for Waahi Whaanui Trust	No specific decision sought, but the submitter seeks to work together in partnership with Waikato District Council to enhance cultural relationships that Whaanui has with the Waikato River and including initiatives intended to address loss of erosion of mana, historical knowledge, tikanga, tribal identity and including identifying, preserving and where appropriate protecting waahi tapu.	Whaanui reaffirm the passing of the legislation of the Waikato-Tainui Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010, which instigated the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River which provides Waikato-Tainui co-management of the Waikato River from the Karapiro Dam to Te Puaha o Waikato (Port Waikato). The Vision and Strategy also forms part of the operative Waikato Regional Policy Statement. The discussion within the region now considers what "restore and protect" looks like in relation to activities and impacts on the Waikato River and its resources.	Accept in part	26
493.29	Jackie Colliar	No specific decision sought, but submission generally supports the introduction of concept plans.	The ability of Marae trusts to develop Maori freehold land has historically proven challenging and any initiative that remedies this is generally supported.	Reject	8
FS1139.114	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Any initiative that remedies marae trusts to develop Maori freehold land is generally supported.	Reject	8
FS1035.82	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to	Reject	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<i>ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.</i>		
493.34	Jackie Colliar	Amend the definition of "Maori Freehold Land" within Chapter 13 Definitions, to ensure consistency with section 129(2) (b) of the Te Ture Whenua Maaori Act 1993.	The submitter seeks the definitions applying to Maaori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad. The submitter seeks greater clarity of these definitions.	Accept in part	28
FS1035.87	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan. 	Accept in part	28
493.33	Jackie Colliar	Amend the definition of "Marae Complex" in Chapter 13 Definitions, although submission does not set out specific amendments sought.	No reasons provided.	Reject	28
FS1035.86	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan. 	Reject	28
367.43	Liam McGrath for Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Retain Section 2.9 Examples of a Concept Management Plan.	An ideal example for Mercer Domain.	Accept	8
367.18	Liam McGrath for Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Retain Chapter 13 Definition of "Marae Complex".	No reasons provided.	Accept	5
330.55	Andrew and Christine Gore	No specific decision sought, however submission refers to Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	5
286.26	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	No specific decision sought but submission requests the blueprint and structure plan processes recognise tikanga and Maatauranga Maaori.	Waikato-Tainui are of the opinion that any structure plans or Blueprinting exercises should recognise Waikato-Tainui tikanga and Maatauranga Maaori, to reflect the districts rich Maaori heritage.	Reject	27
FS1035.32	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	27
286.24	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the definition of "Maaori Customary Land" in Chapter 13 Definitions to be consistent with section 129(2) (a) of the Te Ture Whenua Maaori Act 1993.	Waikato-Tainui seek the definitions that apply to Maaori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Accept in part	28

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1035.30	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Accept in part	28
286.23	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the definition of "Maaori Freehold Land" in Chapter 13 Definitions to be consistent with section 129(2) (b) of the Te Ture Whenua Maaori Act 1993.	Waikato-Tainui seek the definitions that apply to Maaori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Accept in part	28
FS1035.29	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Accept in part	28
286.22	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the definition of "Marae Complex" in Chapter 13 Definitions as follows: Means a group of buildings that constitutes a marae and can be made up of a whareniui (meeting house), wharekai (eating house), an aatea (courtyard area in front of the whareniui), urupaa (graveyard), tuaahu (memorial-statues sacred place for ritual practices), waharoa (archway entrance at the entrance to the aatea), and other buildings, (church, hauora (health clinic), koohanga (pre-school), conference centre and facilities, waananga (education facility), recreation facilities, places of cultural significance, a papakainga/papakainga building and utility services.	Waikato-Tainui seek the definitions that apply to Maaori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Reject	28
FS1035.28	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	28
286.21	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide for a new district-wide Maaori land Chapter, which provides a specific objective and policy framework, a wider range of activities relevant to Maaori land, such as Urupaa and koohanga (could be specifically identified rather than just covered under the definition of Marae Complex), and Concept Management Plans which provide for permitted activities. This chapter would apply district wide and ensure the benefits are not limited to certain zones.	Waikato-Tainui considers a more effective and efficient method of providing for the use and development of Maaori land in accordance with matauranga and tikanga is by way of a district-wide Maaori land chapter. In addition to the practical benefits, this would ensure that the Tangata Whenua Chapter as notified is brought through the entire plan, not simply a heading at the beginning of the proposed plan. This approach has been successful implemented in the Auckland Unitary Plan.	Reject	26
FS1035.27	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	26
FS1323.174	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support - That the revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua land matters as this will create greater clarity in the WaiDC PDP and give effect to s6 of the	Reject	26

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			RMA.		
553.30	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Rule 24.1.1 Permitted Activities.	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae.</p> <p>The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions.</p> <p>The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region.</p> <p>RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept	24
553.36	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Policy 5.3.18 Specific area – Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae. The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions. The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept in part	25
553.35	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Policy 3.3.4 The relationships of Maaori with natural resources and land.	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae. The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions. The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept in part	25

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
553.23	Malibu Hamilton	Retain the following rules in Rule 22.7 Specific Area – Whaanga Coast Development Areas: 22.7.1.1 Permitted Activities 22.7.1.2 Discretionary Activities (I) 22.7.1.3 Earthworks within a Development Area 22.7.1.4 Building height within a Development Area 22.7.1.5 Accessory building within a Development Area 22.7.1.6 Building setback within a Development Area 22.7.1.7 Papakainga Building - Gross Floor Area 22.7.1.8 Dwelling - Gross Floor Area	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae.</p> <p>The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions.</p> <p>The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept	22
553.21	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Rule 22.1.2 P1, P2, P3, and P4 Permitted Activities.	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae.</p> <p>The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions. The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region.</p> <p>RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept	22
FS1388.790	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	<p><i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to</i></p>	Reject	22

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.		
553.15	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Rule 17.1.2 P16, P17 and P18 Permitted Activities.	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae.</p> <p>The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions.</p> <p>The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept in part	20
FS1388.789	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	<p>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</p>	Reject	20
553.12	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features).	<p>The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises Tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae. The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions. The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata whenua.</p>	Accept	18

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
553.11	Malibu Hamilton	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area.	The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) recognises tangata whenua needs for papakāinga, marae. The Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 also has Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions. The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15 that seeks developments of papakāinga housing that meets the needs and aspirations in the sub-region. RMA sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 set out legal obligations when managing the natural and physical resources of the region to Tangata Whenua.	Accept	18
559.13	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 2.14.1 Kaitiakitanga (stewardship/guardianship).	Supports Policy 2.14.1 Kaitiakitanga (stewardship/guardianship) as it gives effect to Part 2 matters, in particular s7 (a).	Accept	15
559.12	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Objective 2.14 Kaitiaki (steward/guardian).	Supports Objective 2.14 Kaitiaki (steward/guardian) as it gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	15
559.11	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 2.13.1(a), (b) and (c) Tangata Whenuatanga (utilisation by landowners).	Supports Policy 2.13.1(a), (b) and (c) Tangata Whenuatanga (utilisation by landowners) as it gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	14
559.10	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Objective 2.13 Whenuatanga (land management).	Supports Objective 2.13 Whenuatanga (land management) as it gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	14
286.20	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure consistency of wording in the Rural and Residential Zones in relation to the approval process for a Concept Management Plan.	Waikato-Tainui seeks additional information as to the implementation of the plans. Whilst the introduction of Concept Management Plans and the potential for reduce regulation is positive, Waikato-Tainui want to ensure that the development of these plans is no overly onerous. Waikato-Tainui do not want to see individual marae or Maaori freehold land owners required to provide a Concept Management Plan and then still require further resource consents	Reject	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>application to satisfy district plan requirements. The development and process of Concept Management Plan requires greater explanation in the proposed plan. Whilst Waikato-Tainui supports ease of development on marae and Maaori freehold land, the potential still remains for a lengthy consenting process. Clarification is also sought in the proposed plan as to the application of the plan zoning when a Concept Management Plan is not developed. It is presumed that this means the underlying zone applies and this could create issues for those located in Residential and Rural Zones. It is understood that marae and papakainga housing will default to Discretionary Activity status without an approved Concept Management Plan. This would appear to be a restrictive activity status for what could be small scale development. Aside from the RMA issues around Concept Management Plans, Waikato-Tainui understands the key benefits being the development of papakainga housing and the ability to futureproof in some situations future land use. This is supported provided additional resource consents are not required to satisfy district plan requirements.</p>		
FS1035.26	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River.	Reject	8
559.15	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	<p>Retain Policy 2.15.1 Ngaa taonga tuku iho (Maaori sites and areas of significance), except for the amendments sought below.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Policy 2.15.1 Ngaa taonga tuku iho (Maaori sites and areas of significance) as follows: Maaori sites and areas of significance <u>and waahi tapu</u> (a) Ensure subdivision, use and development does not compromise the cultural and spiritual significance of areas, including waahi tapu, urupaa, maunga and other landforms, mahinga kai, and indigenous flora and fauna. (b) Area and sites of significance to Maaori including waahi tapu sites and waahi tapu areas are protected from adverse effects of development or activities on those sites, <u>including inappropriate modification.</u> (c) <u>Avoid the destruction areas and sites of significance to Maaori and Waahi Tapu Sites and Waahi Tapu Areas.</u></p>	<p>Submitter supports in parts Policy 2.15.1 as the submitter is concerned that these policies do not explicitly address the matters of modification or destruction.</p> <p>Inclusion of these matters within this policy would contribute to a policy and rules cascade that assesses these matters.</p> <p>Currently these activities are not addressed in the Plan rules and amendment is being sought to include these matters into the rules.</p>	Reject	17

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1108.115	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Support the amendment in principle.	Reject	17
FS1139.103	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Support the amendment in principle.	Reject	17
936.1	Kimberley Modlik	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	<p>The submitter is a Ngaati Koata owner in land blocks in Whaingaroa who feels the current District Plan provisions presented barriers which prevented them from having a relationship with their ancestral lands.</p> <p>The submitter appreciates the Proposed District Plan which supports more effectively the occupation and development of Maori land.</p> <p>This will allow them to utilise, manage and enjoy their traditional resources in accordance with Tikanga Maaori and strengthen their connection with the area.</p>	Accept in part	4
940.4	Dennis Ngataki on behalf of Turangawaewae Board of Trustees	No specific decision sought, but the submitter notes that Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua discusses a range of statutory requirements specific to the Treaty of Waitangi, the Waikato River Settlement Act 2010 and the Resource Management Act.	No reason provided.	Reject	6
FS1035.6	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support the submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wellbeing of iwi, hapu, marae and whanau associated to Turangawaewae marae. Support to restore the mauri of Te Taiao etc. 	Reject	6
940.3	Dennis Ngataki on behalf of Turangawaewae Board of Trustees	No specific decision sought, but the submitter identifies a main interest around Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua which addresses Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	No reason provided.	Accept	5
FS1035.5	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support the submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wellbeing of iwi, hapu, marae and whanau associated to Turangawaewae marae. Support to restore the mauri of Te Taiao etc. 	Accept	5
940.1	Dennis Ngataki on behalf of Turangawaewae Board of Trustees	<p>No specific decision sought, but submitter would like to provide a cultural value based position on the Proposed Waikato District Plan. The cultural value based principles are included in their submission and include: Tangata Whenua values Wai - Mauri Whenua - Mauri</p> <p>Full details on each of these values can be found in the submission.</p>	No reasons provided.	Reject	10

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1035.3	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support the submission.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wellbeing of iwi, hapu, marae and whanau associated to Turangawaewae marae. Support to restore the mauri of Te Taiao etc. 	Reject	10
939.7	David Totman on behalf of Waipa District Council	<p>Retain the proposed approach for addressing development on Maaori land through the preparation of a Concept Management Plan;</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide further information as to how the Concept Management Plans will be implemented as part of a Resource Management Act process.</p>	In support of Waikato-Tainui, the Waipa District Council would like further information as to the implementation of these plans.	Accept in part	8
FS1108.138	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	General support.	Accept in part	8
939.6	David Totman on behalf of Waipa District Council	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua, as notified.	Supports the inclusion of the chapter.	Accept in part	4
FS1369.25	Ngati Tamaoho Trust	Support	Ngati Tamaoho requests that Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua be retained as notified	Accept in part	4
935.1	Baylee Modlik	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments made to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that they support the social, cultural, and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices of their lands in Whaingaroa, they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, with local iwi and the wider community.</p>	Accept in part	4
942.5	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	No specific decision is sought for Section 2.2 Background, but the submitter seeks recognition of whanau and hapu who have the ultimate responsibility for ensuring the mauri and taonga inherited from tupuna are not negatively affected through resource consents and activities.	<p>The submitter supports the attempt to recognise the encumbrances placed on tangata whenua in terms of land use and development in both Te Ture Whenua Maori Act and the Resource Management Act.</p> <p>The submitter also understands the need to recognise statutory obligations and take into account iwi management plans.</p> <p>While Waikato Tainui is currently recognised through a raupatu land settlement as being iwi authority, it is likely during the term of this planning process that the resolution of the West Coast treaty claims will see other iwi given the recognition they deserve.</p>	Accept	15

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FSI139.124	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Submitter understands the need to recognise...take into account iwi management plans.	Accept	15
FSI108.139	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Submitter understands need to recognise...take into account iwi management plans.	Accept	15
933.1	Megan Tuhoro	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments made to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that it supports the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast. Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, with local iwi and the wider community.	Accept in part	4
932.1	Ashton Frew	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	Ngati Koata has close owner ties to Whaingaroa As owners of land blocks in the area; the current restrictions make it difficult to development their land in sustainable ways. The proposed changes to the plan will provide Tangata whenua with the ability to utilise communally owned land in accordance with cultural values and to create economic development that will allow whanau to visit their lands more frequently.	Accept in part	4
931.1	Graham Kendall	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua as notified.	While the District Council have expressed an obligation to upholding the Rangiratanga principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi in respect to Tangata whenua the existing District Council plan created barriers to the actualisation of iwi controlling their resources as their own. The Proposed District Council plan goes a long way in significantly reducing those barriers, thus allowing Ngaati Koata to develop their land blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their own values.	Accept in part	4
930.1	Natasha Osborne	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata, the submitter has strong links to Whaingaroa and sees the removal of barriers to sustainable development of their lands as supporting Iwi aspirations to grow a prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovative and culturally strong people.	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
929.2	Chantelle White	Retain Rules as notified in the proposed plan to support Maaori land development.	Reasons of support based on submitter's comments on previous point. (921.1).	Accept in part	19
FS1387.1556	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Reject	19
929.1	Chantelle White	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	The submitter feels that the policies and rules as outlined in the chapter addresses the barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilizing the land for social, cultural and economic well-being. As Ngaati Koata she is excited about the prospect of being able to develop a master plan for our land blocks in Whaingaroa that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more frequent visits of our Iwi to the area. The submitter adds that maybe Council's proposed plan may be the star of Whaingaroa becoming once again a turangawaewae stronghold for Ngaati Koata.	Accept in part	4
928.1	Ben Knapp	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngaati Koata land block owners in Whaingaroa, the submitter feels that barriers expressed in the current district plan prevent Tangata whenua from maintaining their relationship with their ancestral lands. They appreciate that the laws and policies in the Proposed District Plan supports more effectively the occupation and development of maaori land, allowing mana whenua to utilise, manage and enjoy their traditional resources in accordance with tikanga Maaori.	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			The submitter feels it will strengthen their connections to the area once again for generations to come.		
942.4	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	<p>Add the following activities as permitted activities on Maaori Freehold Land on Riria Kereopa Drive:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilities for surface water activities; • Recreation; • Kohanga reo; • Education facilities; • Public toilets; • Camp site, grocery or boating store and marae; • Restaurant; • Conference facilities; • Traveller's accommodation. <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Section 1.12.1 Strategic Direction to recognise the Tihei Mauriora Plan.</p>	<p>The submitter has requested provisions to facilitate and bring to fruition the vision contained in the Tihei Mauriora Plan.</p> <p>This Tihei Mauriora Plan was presented and taken in to account in two previous District Plans.</p> <p>The submitter seeks an exception from the Maaori Freehold Rules to have this plan accepted in its entirety as there are several stages to complete, before long term benefits to whanau and the local community of employment and self-sufficient economic growth can be realised.</p>	Accept in part	23
286.19	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	<p>No specific decision sought but the submission supports the introduction of Concept Management Plans.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide greater clarity as to what information must be provided when developing a Concept Management Plan.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide further information as to how Concept Management Plans will be implemented as part of a Resource Management Act process.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide greater clarity as to the application of the plan if a Concept Management Plan is not developed.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide greater clarity as to who resources the development of Concept Management Plans and what</p>	<p>The ability of marae or trusts to develop Maaori Freehold Land has historically proven challenging and any initiative that remedies this is generally supported.</p> <p>Aside from the RMA issues around Concept Management Plans, Waikato-Tainui understands the key benefits being the development of papakainga housing and the ability to futureproof in some situations future land use. This is supported provided additional resource consents are not required to satisfy district plan requirements.</p> <p>Waikato-Tainui seeks additional information as to the implementation of the plans. Whilst the introduction of Concept Management Plans and the potential for reduce regulation is positive, Waikato-Tainui want to ensure that the development of these plans is not overly onerous. Waikato-Tainui do not want to see individual marae or Maaori freehold land owners required to provide a Concept Management Plan and then still require further resource consents</p>	Reject	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		council information and assistance will be provided to marae and/or trusts.	<p>application to satisfy district plan requirements. The development and process of Concept Management Plan requires greater explanation in the proposed plan. Whilst Waikato-Tainui supports ease of development on marae and Maori freehold land, the potential still remains for a lengthy consenting process.</p> <p>Clarification is also sought in the proposed plan as to the application of the plan zoning when a Concept Management Plan is not developed. It is presumed that this means the underlying zone applies and this could create issues for those located in Residential and Rural Zones. It is understood that marae and papakaainga housing will default to Discretionary Activity status without an approved Concept Management Plan. This would appear to be a restrictive activity status for what could be small scale development.</p>		
FSI035.25	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	8
984.3	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the Proposed District Plan to allow for greater use of matauranga Maori.	<p>Turangawaewae Trust Board expects that Waikato District Council look to matauranga Maori to remedy adverse effects of development.</p> <p>Matauranga Maori is increasingly being used as conditions of resource consents and in the identification of restoration projects as an alternative to engineering solutions.</p> <p>The Proposed Plan should signal and provide for greater opportunities to utilise matauranga Maori for the benefit of the environment.</p>	Reject	27
FSI108.179	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	PDP should signal and provide for greater opportunities to utilise matauranga maori for the benefit of the environment.	Reject	27
FSI323.175	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, as this is consistent with section 6(e) of the RMA.	Reject	27
286.10	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure that the Objectives, Policies, principles and intent of the Tangata Whenua Chapter is provided for across the plan and how it is implemented.	It is important the Tangata whenua issues are addressed throughout the proposed plan to ensure a holistic approach is taken to resource management, consistent with the principles of whakapapa, whanaunatanga, tangata	Reject	10

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>whenuatanga, and kaitiaki.</p> <p>These principles are a way to interact and behave with the environment. By including these principles in the Proposed District Plan, Waikato District Council is committing to observe and work consistently with these principles.</p> <p>It is important to Waikato-Tainui that this recognition of responsibilities and commitments that the crown has made are acknowledged throughout the plan and how it is implemented.</p>		
FS1323.172	Heritage New Zealand Puhere Taonga	That the revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua matters throughout the whole of the WaiDC PDP as opposed to just the over view chapter. HNZPT supports amendments sought by the submitter, as this will give effect to s6 of the	Reject	10
FS1035.16	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	10
984.8	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the definition of "Maaori Customary Land" in Chapter 13 Definitions to be consistent with section 129(2) (a) of the Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993.	Turangawaewae Trust Board seek the definitions that apply to Maori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Accept in part	28
984.7	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the definition of "Maaori Freehold Land" in Chapter 13 Definitions to be consistent with section 129(2) (b) of the Te Ture Whenua Maori Act 1993.	Turangawaewae Trust Board seek the definitions that apply to Maori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Accept in part	28
984.6	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the definition of "Marae Complex" in Chapter 13 Definitions to provide greater clarity and include individual definitions where appropriate.	Turangawaewae Trust Board seek the definitions that apply to Maori land are clarified. Some definitions remain undefined and very broad.	Reject	28
984.5	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide for a new district-wide Maaori land Chapter, which provides a specific objective and policy framework, a wider range of activities relevant to Maaori land, such as Urupaa and koohanga (could be specifically identified rather than just covered under the definition of Marae Complex), and Concept Management Plans which provide for permitted activities. This chapter would apply district wide and ensure the benefits are not limited to certain zones.	Turangawaewae Trust Board consider a more effective and efficient method of providing for the use and development of Maaori land in accordance with maatauranga and tikanga is by way of a district-wide Maaori land chapter. In addition to the practical benefits, this would ensure that the Tangata Whenua Chapter as notified is brought through the entire plan, not simply a heading at the beginning of the	Reject	26

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			proposed plan. This approach has been successful implemented in the Auckland Unitary Plan.		
FS1323.173	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	Support - That the revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua land matters as this will create greater clarity in the WaiDC PDP and give effect to s6 of the RMA.	Reject	26
984.4	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure that the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan, Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao has been included, following engagement with Turangawaewae Trust Board.	<p>Turangawaewae Trust Board considers greater regard be given to the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan, Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao than has occurred in the notified version.</p> <p>This statutory document provides significant information to provide council staff and the community in general an understanding of the expectations upon resource users in the rohe. In particular, but not limited to, Turangawaewae Trust Board consider that greater weight and consideration should have been given to Chapter 7 of the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan, Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao which discusses Environmental Enhancement. At its core this chapter requires resource users not to simply mitigate adverse effects on the environment, but to act in a manner that enhances an environment for the betterment of the natural resource.</p> <p>There may be future opportunities for Turangawaewae Trust Board and Council to develop initiatives and programmes of work where marae and Maori owned land is better utilised for the benefit of the land owners.</p>	Reject	7
FS1108.180	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Greater regard be given to environment plan.	Reject	7
942.6	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Amend Section 2.2(a) Background to recognise all whanau, hapu and iwi within the district. e.g. Tainui, Nga Uri o Mahanga/Hourua, Ngati Whakamarurangi and Ngati Tamainupo/Nga Tokotoru.	Waikato Tainui are a corporate organisation established in 1995 to receive and manage the raupatu land settlement. The district is home to a diverse range of people including Whanau, hapu and iwi of many rohe and they are the tangata whenua who have interests in and around the district and Whaingaroa harbour area. They practice kaitiakitanga and are obliged to make decisions regarding activities that impact upon our people, environment and future.	Reject	6
984.2	Glenda Raumati on behalf	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure that the	It is important that Tangata whenua issues are	Reject	10

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Objectives, Policies, principles and intent of the Tangata Whenua Chapter is provided for across the plan and how it is implemented.	not simply addressed in the Tangata whenua chapter but throughout the proposed plan to ensure a holistic approach is taken to resource management, consistent with the principles of whakapapa, whanaunatanga, tangata whenuatanga, and kaitiaki. These principles are a way to interact and behave with the environment. By including these principles in the Proposed District Plan, Waikato District Council is committing to observe and work consistently with these principles. It is important to Turangawaewae Trust Board that this recognition of responsibilities and commitments that the crown has made (in the Treaty of Waitangi, The Waikato River Settlement Act 2010 and the Resource Management Act amongst others) are acknowledged throughout the plan and how it is implemented.		
FS1108.178	<i>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</i>	Support	Recognise tangata whenua issues are not only addressed in specific chapter but across entire plan.	Reject	10
FS1323.171	<i>Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga</i>	Support - That the revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua matters throughout the whole of the WaiDC PDP as opposed to just the over view chapter. HNZPT supports amendments sought by the submitter, as this will give effect to s6 of the RMA.	Reject	10
984.1	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	No specific decision sought but the submission supports the introduction of a specific chapter addressing Tangata whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	The Tangata whenua Chapter discusses statutory responsibilities that are required of the Treaty of Waitangi, The Waikato River Settlement Act 2010 and the Resource Management Act amongst others. It is important to Turangawaewae Trust Board that this recognition of responsibilities and commitments that the crown has made are acknowledged throughout the plan and how it is implemented. Turangawaewae Trust Board seeks the best possible opportunities to utilise lands and provide opportunities for its people and the subsequent benefits to the whole community.	Reject	26
FS1323.169	<i>Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga</i>	Support - That revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitters, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua matters as this will give effect to s6 of the RMA.	Reject	26
942.9	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Amend Objective 2.15 Waikatotanga (way of life) to clarify.	There are hapu and iwi who do not identify or practice Waikatotanga within the Waikato District.	Reject	16

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
942.8	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Amend Section 2.4 Principles of the Treaty of Waitangi (Te Tiriti o Waitangi) to include principles of partnership, reciprocity, mutual benefit, to make informed decisions and active protection.	Principles supported by case law and Waitangi Tribunal decisions should be included.	Reject	6
942.7	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Amend Section 2.2(b) Background, as follows: The Waikato River is the tuupuna (ancestor) of Waikato-Tainui from which Tainui derive their name. <u>Tainui relates to the sea, waka and the people who descend from the original people of an area that includes Waikato. Tainui also refers to a confederation of the 12 hapu of Whaingaroa. The Kingitanga movement ...</u>	No reasons provided.	Reject	6
559.14	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Objective 2.15 Waikatotanga (way of life)	Supports Objective 2.15 Waikatotanga (way of life) as it gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	16
926.1	Jevan Kaka	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngaati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that it supports the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast. Through the development of sustainable practices they will be able to reinvigorate their connections to their lands in Whaingaroa, with local Iwi and the wider community.	Accept in part	4
923.24	Waikato District Health Board	Retain Strategic Objective 2.11- Tautoko Te Whakatupuranga Tautoko Te Whakatupuranga as notified.	The objective reflects the importance of Maori Communities being supported to achieve prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovative and culturally strong outcomes within the District, which is of high importance to achieving strong public health and well-being goals for the Waikato DHB. Prominence provided by stating the above issue in Chapter 2 is supported.	Accept	11

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
984.20	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	<p>No specific decision sought but the submission supports the introduction of Concept Management Plans</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide greater clarity as to what information must be provided when developing a Concept Management Plan.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide further information as to how Concept Management Plans will be implemented as part of a Resource Management Act process.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide greater clarity as to the application of the plan if, a Concept Management Plan is not developed.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Provide greater clarity as to who resources the development of Concept Management Plans and what council information and assistance will be provided to marae and/or trusts.</p>	<p>The ability of marae or trusts to develop Maori Freehold Land has historically proven challenging and any initiative that remedies this is generally supported.</p> <p>Turangawaewae Trust Board seeks additional information as to the implementation of the plans. Whilst the introduction of Concept Management Plans and the potential for reduce regulation is positive, Turangawaewae Trust Board want to ensure that the development of these plans is not overly onerous.</p> <p>Turangawaewae Trust Board do not want to see individual marae or Maaori freehold land owners required to provide a Concept Management Plan and then still require further resource consents application to satisfy district plan requirements. The development and process of Concept Management Plan requires greater explanation in the proposed plan. Whilst Turangawaewae Trust Board supports ease of development on marae and Maori freehold land, the potential still remains for a lengthy consenting process. Clarification is also sought in the proposed plan as to the application of the plan zoning when a Concept Management Plan is not developed. It is presumed that this means the underlying zone applies and this could create issues for those located in Residential and Rural Zones. It is understood that marae and papakainga housing will default to Discretionary Activity status without an approved Concept Management Plan. This would appear to be a restrictive activity status for what could be small scale development.</p> <p>Aside from the RMA issues around Concept Management Plans, Turangawaewae Trust Board understands the key benefits being the development of papakainga housing and the ability to futureproof in some situations future land use. This is supported provided additional resource consents are not required to satisfy district plan requirements.</p>	Reject	8
FS1108.185	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Oppose in principle.	Reject	8
942.87	Angeline Greensill for	Retain Policy 2.15.1 Ngaa taonga tuku iho (Maori Sites and	The submitter supports the policy.	Accept	17

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Tainui o Tainui	Areas of Significance).			
942.82	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Retain the provisions within Rule 22.1.2 PI Permitted Activities, and the objectives and policies relating to Maori Freehold Land.	<p>The provisions will enable Maori owners to use their Maori freehold land for a number of uses including marae, kohanga reo and papakainga housing.</p> <p>Planning staff made a genuine attempt to understand the aspirations of Whaanga Coast land owners of Maori Freehold Land and have delivered a document that improves options for Maori not only in Whaingaroa/Raglan but throughout the district.</p> <p>There are long overdue for whanaa who have been denied the right to build and live on their land.</p>	Accept	22
942.16	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	<p>Amend the Proposed District Plan, including Policy 4.1.6 Commercial and Industrial activities to permit the following activities at Te Kopua 3 and 4, Riria Kereopa Memorial Drive:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilities for surface water activities • Recreation • Kohanga reo • Education facilities • Public toilets • Camp site, grocery or boating store and marae • Restaurant • Conference facilities • Travellers accommodation 	<p>The submitter has a plan focused on creating an economic base for its iwi.</p> <p>This request has already been accepted on two previous occasions.</p> <p>The submitter requests an exception to the rule to allow planned development to take place.</p>	Accept in part	23
942.11	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features).	The submitter supports the policy.	Accept	18
942.10	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Special Area.	The submitter supports this policy.	Accept	18
831.75	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	Retain Rule 22.7.1.1 Permitted Activities.	Gives effect to the Resource Management Act 1991 and Te Tiriti o Waitangi Allows for resettlement of Maori lands in line with the	Accept	22

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			general population.		
330.186	Andrew and Christine Gore	No specific decision sought, however submission refers to Rule 22.7 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	No reasons provided.	Reject	22
831.74	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features).	Gives effect to the Resource Management Act 1991 and Te Tiriti o Waitangi Allows for resettlement of Maori lands in line with the general population	Accept	18
831.66	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	No specific decision is requested, but the submitter seeks to protect the wild and natural character of the Whaanga Coast with reference to Policy 5.3.18 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	The coast retains a relatively wild landscape and should be free from commercial development.	Accept in principle	25
831.10	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	Retain Rule 22.7 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	Gives effect to the Resource Management Act 1991 and Te Tiriti o Waitangi Allows for resettlement of Maori lands in line with the general population.	Accept	22
825.51	John Lawson	Retain Rule 22.7.1.1 Permitted Activities	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	22
825.44	John Lawson	Retain Section 22.7 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (Policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	22
825.42	John Lawson	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features)	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District	Accept	18

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (Policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)		
825.41	John Lawson	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	18
984.21	Glenda Raumati on behalf of Trustee Turangawaewae Trust Board	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure consistency of wording in the Rural and Residential Zones in relation to the approval process for a Concept Management Plan.	Turangawaewae Trust Board seek additional information as to the implementation of the plans. Whilst the introduction of Concept Management Plans and the potential for reduce regulation is positive, Turangawaewae Trust Board want to ensure that the development of these plans is not overly onerous. Turangawaewae Trust Board do not want to see individual marae or Maori freehold land owners required to provide a Concept Management Plan and then still require further resource consents application to satisfy district plan requirements.	Reject	8
330.187	Andrew and Christine Gore	No specific decision sought, however submission refers to Rule 22.7.1 Application of Rules within a Whaanga Coast Development Area, and/or all rules sitting under Rule 22.7.1.	No reasons provided.	Reject	22
780.44	John Lawson (Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated) on behalf of Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated Society	Retain Section 22.7 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas as notified.	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (Policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	22
697.359	Waikato District Council	Amend 2.8 Concept Management Plan to replace	Consistency of terminology.	Accept	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		references to the "concept plan" and "the plan" with the words "Concept Management Plan"	Concept Management Plan is a defined term.		
FS1108.10	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Appropriate wording change.	Reject	8
FS1139.10	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Appropriate wording change.	Reject	8
697.938	Waikato District Council	Delete Rule 24.1.1 P2(a) Activity Specific Conditions (A Marae Complex or Papakainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land)	Condition (a) was not intended to be included. The rule needs to enable development of the site without building coverage.	Accept	24
FS1387.741	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Reject	24
697.843	Waikato District Council	Amend the heading of Rule 22.7 Specific Area - Whaanga Coast Development Areas, as follows: Whaanga Coast AND Amend to make consequential changes where relevant.	This is to provide consistency with the name for the Whaanga Coast Specific Area.	Accept	22
697.513	Waikato District Council	Amend the definition of 'marae' in Chapter 13: Definitions as follows: See Definitions of marae complex	Correcting an error.	Accept	28
697.493	Waikato District Council	Amend the definition of "Papakainga housing development" as follows: Means a comprehensive residential development for a recognised Tangata Whenua group or organisation residing in the Waikato district to support...	There is no need for the definition to require residing in the Waikato District.	Accept	28
697.399	Waikato District Council	Amend the definition of "Marae complex" as follows: ... a	More complete definition of marae complex.	Accept	28

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		papakaainga/papakaainga building and utility services. <u>These facilities provide for cultural events that take place on a marae.</u>			
FS1108.11	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Appropriate wording change.	Accept	28
697.358	Waikato District Council	Amend Policy 2.2(c) Background as follows: ...have also developed relationships with the <u>Waikato</u> District Council.	Provides clarity to this policy that this relates to the Waikato District Council.	Accept	6
330.188	Andrew and Christine Gore	No specific decision sought, however submission refers to Rule 22.7.2 Application of Rules outside a Whaanga Coast Development Area.	No reasons provided.	Reject	22
697.357	Waikato District Council	Amend Policy 2.2(a) Background as follows: There are 41 marae within the Waikato District Council area.	Provides clarity to this policy that it relates to the Waikato District.	Accept	6
FS1139.9	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Appropriate wording change.	Accept	6
FS1108.9	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Appropriate wording change.	Accept	6
697.356	Waikato District Council	Amend 2.8 (b) Concept Management Plan to read as follows; (b) Although the concept plan must be approved by the <u>owners through the Maori Land Court process</u> , it is not set in concrete.	To ensure clarity.	Accept	8
FS1139.8	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Provides no clarity as to how the Concept Management Plans will work.	Reject	8
FS1108.8	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Provides no clarity as to how the Concept Management Plans will work.	Reject	8
697.166	Waikato District Council	Amend Rule 17.1.2 P16 (a)(i) A Marae Complex or Papakaainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land, as follows: (i) A Concept Management Plan <u>approved endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court AND Amend Rule 17.1.2(P16)(b)(i), as follows: (i) A Concept Management Plan <u>approved endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court;	The correct term is "endorsed" rather than "approved".	Accept	21

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1387.466	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	21
695.101	Sharp Planning Solutions Ltd	Amend Rule 22.7.1.3 P(a)(i) to be applied on a ratio based on the site area i.e. a 1:1 ratio so that a 450m ² site would provide 450m ³ of earthworks.	The Proposed District Plan penalises bigger sites for no apparent planning outcome, especially when a bigger site is likely to be able to absorb and diffuse effects. Earthworks totals should be counted as not cancelling each other out i.e. cut and fill are added together.	Accept in part Deferred to Hearing 21B	22
695.100	Sharp Planning Solutions Ltd	Retain a maximum area of earthworks in Rule 22.7.1.3 PI (a) (i) Earthworks within a Development Area.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part Deferred to Hearing 21B	22
780.50	John Lawson (Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated) on behalf of Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated Society	Retain Rule 22.7.1.1 Permitted Activities as notified.	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	22
FS1387.1209	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate	Reject	22

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<i>significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>		
780.42	John Lawson (Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated) on behalf of Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated Society	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features) as notified.	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (Policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	18
559.16	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Objective 2.16 Tikanga aa-iwi o te takiwaa o Waikato.	Gives effect to Part 2, s6 Matters of National Importance, in particular s6 (e) and s6 (f).	Accept	18
579.23	Simon Ash for Lakeside Developments 2017 Limited	Delete Rule 22.8.2 PI relating to A Marae Complex or Papakainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land. AND Amend the Proposed District Plan to make any amendments or consequential changes that are necessary to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Such an activity is inappropriate within the Te Kauwhata Precinct.	Reject	22
FS1388.903	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Accept	22
680.23	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Objective 2.12 (a) -Whakapapa (connection to nature) as follows: Relationships with ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga are protected from inappropriate subdivision, use and development, and enhanced <u>where appropriate</u> .	This aspect of whakapapa should be addressed in the Proposed District Plan, and should relate to the effects of land use. This is in keeping with a general theme across our submission which seeks to ensure WDC restricts the Proposed District Plan provisions to matters that district	Reject	12

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		AND Any consequential changes needed to give effect to this relief.	councils have the jurisdiction to regulate.		
FSI387.160	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Accept	12
FSI139.36	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	12
FSI108.45	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	12
FSI323.7	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT opposes the additions as they repeat the RMA in part, and dilute the policy.	Accept	12
579.88	Simon Ash for Lakeside Developments 2017 Limited	No specific decision sought, but submission generally supports the objective and policies relating to Tangata Whenua (Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua).	No reasons provided.	Accept	10
567.31	Ngati Tamaoho Trust	No specific decision sought, but submission supports the Papakainga Section.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	19
680.24	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 2.12.1 (a) Whanaungatanga (relationship to nature) as follows: (a) Recognise the relationship of Tangata Whenua with areas of significance, including waahi tapu, urupaa, maunga and other landforms, mahinga kai, and indigenous flora and consider these matters through provisions which <u>trigger requirement for resource consent or Notice of Requirement for Designation, which</u> may include: ... AND Any consequential changes needed to give effect to this relief.	The submitter understands the purpose and intent of this policy but considers it appropriate for the Proposed District Plan to include detail as to how this policy will be implemented. It is difficult to determine how and when the provisions listed in Points (i-vii) will be required. The resource consent and/or designation process is the most appropriate method to incorporate the stated provisions - as and when necessary.	Reject	13

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FS1139.37	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	13
FS1108.46	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	13
FS1323.8	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT considers that as policies are typically implemented through rules that trigger resource consents, there is no need for this to be written into the policy framework.	Accept	13
559.41	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 3.3.4 (a), (b) and (c) The relationships of Maaori with natural resources and land.	The submitter supports Policy 3.3.4 (a), (b) and (c) as these policies give effect to s6 (e) of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in principle	25
559.38	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Rule 24.1.2 P2 - A Marae complex or Papakainga Housing development on Maaori Freehold land or on Maaori Customary Land.	The submitter supports these permitted activities as this gives effect to s6 (e), s6 (f) and 6(g) of the Resource Management Act.	Accept	24
FS1388.801	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	24
559.37	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Rule 22.1.2 PI - A Marae complex or Papakainga Housing development on Maaori Freehold land or on Maaori Customary Land.	The submitter supports these permitted activities as this gives effect to s6 (e), s6 (f) and 6(g) of the Resource Management Act.	Accept	22
FS1388.800	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include	Reject	22

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<i>management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>		
559.36	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Rule 17.1.2 P16 - A Marae complex or Papakainga Housing development on Maaori Freehold land or on Maaori Customary Land.	The submitter supports these permitted activities as this gives effect to s6 (e), s6 (f) and 6(g) of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part	21
FS1388.799	<i>Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E</i>	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Reject	21
559.35	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Rule 16.1.2 P2 - A Marae complex or Papakainga Housing development on Maaori Freehold land or on Maaori Customary Land.	The submitter supports these permitted activities as this gives effect to s6 (e), s6 (f) and 6(g) of the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part	20
FS1388.798	<i>Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E</i>	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Reject	20
559.18	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga Motuhake (special features).	Gives effect to Part 2, s6 Matters of National Importance, in particular s6 (e) and s6 (f).	Accept	18

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
559.17	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area.	Gives effect to Part 2, s6 Matters of National Importance, in particular s6 (e) and s6 (f).	Accept	18
780.41	John Lawson (Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated) on behalf of Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Incorporated Society	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area as notified.	Considers it appropriate that the provisions for Marae and papakāinga are recognised and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to a range of policies. The New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 (policy d) The Waikato Regional Policy Statement (Policy 6.4) Future Proof Strategy (Priority 15)	Accept	18
680.26	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 2.15.1 Ngaa taonga tuku iho (Maaori Sites and Areas of Significance) as follows: (a) Ensure <u>new</u> subdivision, use and development does not compromise... (b) Areas and sites of significance to Maaori including waahi tapu sites and waahi tapu areas are protected from adverse effects of <u>inappropriate land use change</u> development or activities on those sites. AND Any consequential changes needed to give effect to this relief.	Not all subdivision, development or land use should be subject to this policy. There are many legitimate and expected activities which should be able to continue without undue restriction. Submitter accepts that changes to existing land use activities may need to be assessed against potential adverse effects on these sites and areas.	Reject	17
FS1323.11	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the amendments sought are declined	HNZPT submitted in support of this Policy and is concerned that the proposed amendments dilute the policy such that it will not cover all instances of subdivision, use and development.	Accept	17
FS1139.39	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	17
FS1108.48	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	17
697.89	Waikato District Council	Amend Rule 16.1.2 P2 Permitted Activities A Marae Complex or Papakainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land as follows: (b) (i) A Concept Management Plan <u>approved endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court AND (c) (i) A Concept Management Plan <u>approved endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court;	The correct term is "endorsed" rather than "approved".	Accept	20

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
FSI387.433	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	20
FSI139.1	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Support appropriate terminology.	Accept	20
FSI108.1	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Support appropriate terminology.	Accept	20
697.88	Waikato District Council	Delete Rule 16.1.2 Permitted Activities Activity P2 Activity Specific Condition (a) A Marae Complex or Papakainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land specific.	There was no intention to restrict building coverage for these activities.	Accept	20
FSI387.432	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	20
680.25	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Amend Policy 2.14.1 (a) (i) Kaitiakitanga (stewardship/guardianship) as follows: (i) Establishing who should be consulted, <u>in what circumstances within a resource consent application process or in a resource consent implementation process, and the consultation fees;</u> AND	The submitter understands the purpose and intent of this policy but seek to remind Council that a broad policy like this can isolate landowners. In the submitter's experience most landowners want to do the right thing with regards to cultural heritage but it is Council's role to facilitate this with a planning framework	Reject	15

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		Any consequential changes needed to give effect to this relief.	that creates certainty and consistency. The Council needs to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to existing landowner's rights and their reasonable expectations to manage their land, when recognising and providing for tangata whenua's exercise of kaitiakitanga.		
FS1108.47	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	15
FS1323.10	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT opposes the inclusion of this amendment as consultation, when required, should be considered with regard to each individual set of circumstances. Details such as fees are typically not part of District Plan content.	Accept	15
FS1139.38	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Oppose	Inappropriate addition.	Accept	15
927.1	Alesha Ramari Kaka (Parker)	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngaati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato Districts Proposal Plan in that they support. The social, cultural, and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast. Through development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, with local Iwi and the wider community	Accept in part	4
925.1	Karen Hoki Parker	Retain Section B Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua.	As Ngaati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter sees the removal of barriers to sustainable development of their lands as supporting Iwi aspirations to grow prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovative and culturally strong people.	Accept	4
493.3	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan after engaging with Waikato-Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan, Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	The proposed plan should signal and provide for greater opportunities to utilise Maaturanga Maaori for the benefit of the environment. There may be future opportunities to develop initiatives and programs of work where marae and Maaori owned land is better utilised for the benefit of the land owners.	Reject	7
FS1108.117	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	Amend PDP to ensure W-T Env Plan has been included in WDP.	Reject	7
FS1035.56	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tumu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans	Reject	7

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			have been included in the Waikato District Plan.		
FS1139.105	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	Amend PDP to ensure W-T Env Plan has been included in WDP.	Reject	7
FS1388.489	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Support	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	7
504.1	Michael Edmonds	Amend Section 2.2(a) Background, to apply the RMA definitions as follows: Tangata whenua: In relation to a particular area, means the iwi, or hapu, that holds mana whenua over that area. Mana whenua: Means customary authority exercised by an iwi or hapu in an identified area. AND Amend Section 2.2 Background which states that Waikato-Tainui is Tangata whenua of the Waikato District.	Waikato-Tainui is not 'the' Tangata whenua, as if to proclaim that they are an entity that is has a higher status than other iwi, hapu and marae. Waikato-Tainui Iwi Authority was established to receive settlement funds from the Crown as a result of Raupatu. Waikato District Council must not confuse authority exercised by an iwi or this entity with its obligation under the RMA to all Tangata whenua groupings within its district. On behalf of the Uri o Te Kopua 2B3, the only Tangata whenua in Whaingaroa are those that can whakapapa to Whaingaroa. Ko Karioi te maunga Ko Whaingaroa te Moana Ko Tainui te Waka Ko Tainui te Iwi Ko Ngati Koata, Ngati Hounuku, Ngati Te Ikaunahi, Ngati Kahu, Ngati Karu, Ko Ngati Paetoka, Ngati Pukoro, Ngati Tira, Ngati RuaAruhe, Ngati Heke, Ngati Tahau, Ngati Te Kore nga hapu	Accept	6
493.9	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide greater clarity to the application of the Plan if a Concept Management Plan is not developed.	The submitter seeks greater clarification in the Proposed Plan, as to the application of the plan zoning when a concept management plan is not developed. It is presumed that the underlying zoning will apply. Submitter understands that Marae and Papakainga housing will default to Discretionary Activity status without an	Reject	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			approved Concept Management Plan. This would appear to be a restrictive activity status for what could be small scale development.		
FS1035.62	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Reject	8
493.8	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide further information as to how Concept Management Plans will be implemented as part of a Resource Management Act process.	The submitter is of the opinion that the development and process of Concept Management Plans requires greater explanation in the Proposed District Plan. Whilst submitter supports ease of development on marae and Maaori freehold land, the potential still remains for a lengthy consenting process.	Reject	8
FS1035.61	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Reject	8
493.7	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan to provide greater clarity as to what information must be provided when developing a Concept Management Plan.	The submitter seeks additional information to the implementation of the plans. Whilst the introduction of the Concept Management Plans and the potential for reduced regulation is positive, the submitter wants to ensure that the development of these is not overly onerous. The submitter does not want to see individual marae or Maaori freehold land owners required to provide a Concept Management Plan and then still require further resource consents applications to satisfy district plan requirements. The introduction of Concept Management Plans is generally supported. The ability of Marae or trusts to develop Maaori Freehold Land has historically proven challenging and any initiative which remedies this is generally supported.	Reject	8
FS1035.60	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.		
505.1	Keren Paekau on behalf of Te Kopua 2B3 Incorporation	Amend Section 2.2(a) Background, to apply the RMA definitions, as follows: Tangata whenua: In relation to a particular area, means the iwi, or hapu, that holds mana whenua over that area. Mana whenua: Means customary authority exercised by an iwi or hapu in an identified area. AND Amend Section 2.2 Background, which states that Waikato-Tainui is Tangata whenua of the Waikato District.	Waikato-Tainui is not 'the' Tangata whenua, as if to proclaim that they are an entity that is has a higher status than other iwi, hapu and marae. Waikato-Tainui Iwi Authority was established to receive settlement funds from the Crown as a result of Raupatu. Waikato District Council must not confuse authority exercised by an iwi or this entity with its obligation under the RMA to all Tangata whenua groupings within its district.	Accept	6

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			On behalf of the Uri o Te Kopua 2B3, the only Tangata whenua in Whaingaroa are those that can whakapapa to Whaingaroa. Ko Karioi te maunga Ko Whaingaroa te Moana Ko Tainui te Waka Ko Tainui te Iwi Ko Ngati Koata, Ngati Hounuku, Ngati Te Ikaunahi, Ngati Kahu, Ngati Karu, Ko Ngati Paetoka, Ngati Pukoro, Ngati Tira, Ngati RuaAruhe, Ngati Heke, Ngati Tahau, Ngati Te Kore nga hapu		
493.1	Jackie Colliar	Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure that the objectives, policies, principles and intent of the Tangata Whenua Chapter are provided for across the Plan and how they are implemented.	Submission supports the introduction of a specific Chapter addressing Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities. It is important that Tangata Whenua issues are not simply addressed in this Tangata Whenua chapter but throughout the proposed consent plan, to ensure a holistic approach is taken to resource management, consistent with the principles of whakapapa, whanaunatanga, tangata whenuatanga, and kaitiaki.	Reject	10
FS1035.54	Pareoranga Te Kata	Agree and support the whole submission.	• Engage with Waikato Tainui and mana whenua to ensure that the Tainui Environmental Plan Tai Tunu, Tai Pari, Tai Ao and marae environmental plans have been included in the Waikato District Plan.	Reject	10
FS1323.168	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That revised material is circulated for consideration and comment prior to decision making occurring on the WaiDC PDP.	HNZPT supports the amendments sought by the submitter, for improved consideration of Tangata Whenua matters throughout the WaiDC PDP	Reject	10
FS1388.486	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Accept	10
435.3	Jade Hyslop	Retain Objective 2.12 Whakapapa (connection to nature).	No reasons provided.	Accept	12

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
504.2	Michael Edmonds	Amend the rules to provide for the following as permitted activities on Riria Kereopa Drive, Raglan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilities for surface water activities; • Recreation; • Kohanga reo; • Education facilities; • Public toilets; • Camp site and associated car wash, grocery or boating store and marae; • Restaurant; • Conference facilities; and • Travellers' accommodation. 	The current (operative) rules reflect the aspirations of the Uri o Te Kopua 2B3 Trust shareholders.	Accept in part	23
FS1388.511	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	23
505.2	Keren Paekau on behalf of Te Kopua 2B3 Incorporation	Amend the rules to provide for the following as permitted activities on Riria Kereopa Drive, Raglan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilities for surface water activities; • Recreation; • Kohanga reo; • Education facilities; • Public toilets; • Camp site and associated car wash, • Grocery or boating store and marae; • Restaurant; • Conference facilities; and • Travellers' accommodation. 	The current (operative) rules reflect the aspirations of the Uri o Te Kopua 2B3 Trust shareholders and align with the Tihei Mauriora Plan lodged with Council.	Accept in part	23
FS1388.512	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury E	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear	Reject	23

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</p>		
435.1	Jade Hyslop	Amend Section 2.10 (a) Tangata Whenua Iwi Management Plans to so that the use of Iwi/Hapu management plans must be adhered to (not merely considered) by working in partnership with iwi representatives/planners.	<p>Iwi Management Plans should have equal status to the District Plan.</p> <p>District Council Planners should sit down with Iwi Authority/Planners for directive on how to use and understand Iwi Management Plans.</p>	Reject	7
FS1371.6	Lakeside Development Limited	Lakeside development limited seeks the retention of the provisions of Chapter 2- Iwi Management Plans as currently stated in the Proposed District Plan.	<p>Requiring adherence to Iwi Management Plans when the landowner is not necessarily involved in the preparation of these plans nor has any input in these plans could detrimentally affect the landowner's interest. LDL has had a long and constructive relationship with local Mana Whenua in Te Kauwhata. LDL have worked closely with Mana Whenua to prepare the precinct plan provisions. LDL supports the existing plan provisions and believes it recognises the importance of iwi management plans.</p> <p>It will not promote the sustainable management of resources and will not achieve the purpose of the RMA 1991.</p> <p>This submission appears to relate predominantly to the Raglan area. Were the submitter to confine their submission to the Raglan area only, LDL would have no further interest in this submission.</p>	Accept	7
642.2	Waikato River Authority	Add a section to the Proposed District Plan acknowledging the related settlements to the Waikato District area including the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010.	<p>Section 13 of the Waikato River Act requires every local authority to review its Regional or District Plan to see whether it gives effect to the vision and strategy.</p> <p>Section 17 of the Waikato River Act describes the effect of the vision and strategy as it relates to the carrying out of the functions or the exercise of powers under the Acts referred to</p>	Reject	6

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>in subsections (4) and (7), in particular the Resource Management Act 1991.</p> <p>The Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River carries significant weighting in relation to the District Plan, as the primary direction setting document for the Waikato River.</p>		
FSI139.88	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	6
FSI108.101	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	6
FSI037.2	Waikato River Authority	Seek that the whole of the submission is allowed.	Aligns to Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River (Te Ture Waimana o Te Awa o Waikato) statutory obligations to the Vision and strategy. Recommendation to Chapter 13:1, 11 are achieved that the entirety of the submission receives full support in the restoration of the river.	Reject	6
FSI035.46	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support. The entirety of the submission should be allowed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aligns to Waikato River Vision and Strategy (Te Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato). Aligns to statutory Vision and Strategy. 	Reject	6
FSI223.124	Mercury NZ Limited	Support	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure perspective. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	6
642.3	Waikato River Authority	Retain the Taangata Whenua chapter to better express and give effect to the relationship between River Iwi, Hapuu and Marae, to the Waikato River and all it embraces.	<p>To realise the Vision and Strategy, the following objectives (B, C and M) will be pursued:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The restoration and protection of the relationship of Waikato-Tainui with the Waikato River, including their economic, social, cultural and spiritual relationships. The restoration and protection of the relationship of the Waikato River iwi according to their tikanga and kawa, with the Waikato River, including their economic, social, cultural and spiritual relationships. 	Reject	6

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			- The application to the above of both Maatauranga Maaori and the latest available scientific methods.		
FS1037.3	Waikato River Authority	Seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed.	Aligns to Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River (Te Ture Waimana o Te Awa o Waikato) statutory obligations to the Vision and strategy. Recommendation to Chapter 13:1, 11 are achieved that the entirety of the submission receives full support in the restoration of the river.	Reject	6
FS1108.102	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	6
FS1293.37	Department of Conservation	Seek that the submission point is allowed.	The Vision and Strategy identifies iwi connections to the river. This chapter ensures that the Vision and Strategy is given effect to.	Reject	6
FS1087.20	Ports of Auckland Limited	Support submission point 642.3.	Ports of Auckland Limited considers the objectives and policies of Chapter 2 to be appropriate.	Reject	6
FS1035.47	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support. The entirety of the submission should be allowed.	• Aligns to Waikato River Vision and Strategy (Te Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato). • Aligns to statutory Vision and Strategy.	Reject	6
FS1139.89	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	6
559.9	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Policy 2.12.1(a) Whanaungatanga (relationship to nature).	Supports Policy 2.12.1 Whanaungatanga (relationship to nature) as it gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	13
559.8	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Strategic Objective 2.12 Whakapapa (connection to nature).	Supports Strategic Objective 2.12 Strategic Objective Whakapapa (connection to nature) as this objective provides for the relationship of traditional resources on all lands not just Maori owned land. It also gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	12
559.7	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain Strategic Objective 2.11 Tautoko te Whakatupuranga.	Supports Strategic Objective 2.11 Tautoko te Whakatupuranga as this objective gives effect to Part 2 s6 Matters of national importance, in particular s6(e) and s6(f).	Accept	11
559.2	Sherry Reynolds on behalf of Heritage New Zealand Lower Northern Office	Retain the format of a separate chapter relating to Tangata whenua values. AND Amend the format of the Proposed District Plan to include	Submitter seeks that plan objectives, policies and rules related to a topic, for example Tangata Whenua values or Historic Heritage values are located within a single chapter. This format allows the reader to view the	Reject	26

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		<p>the related rules and matters of control and discretion that give effect to the objectives and policies into the Tangata whenua chapter.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to include cross referencing to the rule numbers within the other chapters of the Plan that give effect to the objective and policies.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to make any other consequential amendments as required.</p>	<p>framework and cascade of reasoning and related actions for a topic.</p> <p>This type of format has been advocated as part of the proposed National Planning Standards.</p> <p>The plan would be easier to follow if the rules that give effect to these issues, objectives and policies were included within the same chapter, much as they are shown in the objective, policies and rules cascade within the s32 discussion documents.</p> <p>In the alternative there needs to be a system to link the Objectives and Policies with the rules.</p>		
435.2	Jade Hyslop	Retain Strategic Objective 2.11 Tautoko te Whakaturanga.	No reasons provided.	Accept	11
388.7	Sonny Karena for Tangata Whenua Working Group	No specific decision sought, but the submission outlined the responsibility to protect waahi tapu/waahi tupuna and other taonga, and avoiding inappropriate activities that have adverse effects on Paptuuanuku.	The relationship with the whenua is of utmost importance to all hapu, especially maintaining customs and traditions. Kaitiaki have responsibilities to look after and futureproof whenua, even if mana whenua are not the 'owners' of the land.	Accept in part	17
FS1139.96	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	General support for the principle.	Accept in part	17
FS1108.109	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	General support for the principle.	Accept in part	17
188.1	Sheryl Tukiri	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua as notified.	These changes are allowing Maori to use the land and develop the land for more of the whanau and are protecting Maori heritage, especially for future generation (Mokopuna).	Accept in part	4
286.1	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	<p>Add provisions to the Proposed District Plan to enable land in Te Wherowhero title at Hopuhopu to be planned for, developed and used in accordance with the mandate outlined below (or words to similar effect):</p> <p><u>(b) Other land is held in Te Wherowhero title, created under the Waikato Raupatu Claims Settlement Act 1995. Te Wherowhero title land is held by Custodian Trustees for the benefit of all Waikato. The former Hopuhopu military base, now the site of Waikato- Tainui College for Research and Development and other activities, is in Te Wherowhero title. The district plan addresses this land</u></p>	To enable the land at Hopuhopu to be planned for, developed and used in accordance within the mandate of the Te Wherowhero title. This submission addresses a gap in the Proposed Plan. Hopuhopu will not get the benefit of the proposed new rules that confer additional permitted activities on Maaori freehold land, because Hopuhopu is in special Te Wherowhero title created under the Waikato Raupatu Claims Settlement Act 1995.	Accept in part	9

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		<p><u>differently from other Maaori land. Business and Residential zoned areas at Hopuhopu are subject to the plan provisions that apply to those zones. The remainder of Hopuhopu is a specific site in the Rural Zone.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new point to Section 2.6 - Powers to set aside Maaori Land for special purposes, (b), as follows: <u>(b) The district plan adopts a different approach to land at Hopuhopu in Te Wherowhero title. Rural Zoned land is identified as a specific site, enabling a range of activities appropriate to the aspirations of the Waikato Raupatu Claims Settlement Act 1995 and the Deed creating the Waikato Raupatu Lands Trust (1995.) Business and Residential zoned areas at Hopuhopu are subject to the plan provisions that apply to those zones.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new point to Section 2.8 - Concept Management Plan, as follows: <u>(c) At Hopuhopu, a concept management plan is required for some permitted activities. The concept plan for Hopuhopu is not subject to Maori Land Court approval.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new point to Section 2.13.1 - Policy Tangata Whenuatanga (utilisation by landowners), as follows: <u>(d) That the Te Wherowhero title at Hopuhopu can be sustainably used and developed.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Add a new policy to Section 5.3 - Rural Character and Amenity after Policy 5.3.17, as follows: <u>Policy - Specific Area - Hopuhopu (a) Provide for a range of activities on the land in Te Wherowhero title at Hopuhopu to plan for, develop and use the land in accordance with the mandate of the title, including the Waikato-Tainui College for Research and Development and associated facilities, sports facilities, hauora, marae complexes and associated facilities, and papakainga housing development according to customs and practices.</u></p> <p>AND</p>	<p>The removal of the Pa Zone results in Hopuhopu losing some permitted activities under the operative plan. The majority of Hopuhopu is in the Rural Zone, and the best approach for the district plan is to identify the rural part of Hopuhopu as a specific area in the Rural Zone, with appropriate rules. This fits the existing plan structure, which provides for some rural sites to be managed as specific areas, including agricultural research centres and Huntly power station facilities.</p>		

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		<p>Add a new section to Chapter 22 - Rural Zone after Section 22.8, as follows: 22.9 Specific Area - Hopuhopu 22.9.1 Application of Rules (a) The rules that apply to the Hopuhopu specific area as identified on the planning maps are as follows: (i) Rule 22.1 Land Use - Activities, and the additional permitted and discretionary activities identified in rules 22.9.2 and 22.9.3; (ii) Rule 22.2 Land Use - Effects; (iii) Rule 22.3 Land Use - Building; and (iv) Rule 22.4 - Subdivision. 22.9.2 Permitted Activities - Hopuhopu (a) Additional permitted activities at Hopuhopu: P1: Education facilities - A concept management plan is provided. P2: Marae complex - A concept management plan is provided. P3: Papakainga Housing Development and Papakainga building - A concept management plan is provided. P4: Place of assembly - A concept management plan is provided. P5: Hauora - A concept management plan is provided. P6: Recreational facility - A concept management plan is provided. P7: Cultural events - Nil. P8: Sports - Nil. P9: Informal recreation - Nil. P10: Water bulk storage infrastructure and associated easements - Nil. 22.9.3 Discretionary Activities - Hopuhopu (a) The activities listed below are discretionary activities. D1 - Any permitted activity that does not comply with an activity specific condition in Rule 22.9.2.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the planning maps by Hopuhopu Specific Area.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Chapter 13 - Definitions, by adding a definition for the "Hopuhopu Specific Area" as follows: <u>Hopuhopu Specific Area</u> means the area shown on the planning maps.</p>			
FS1035.7	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support the submission in full.	Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River.	Accept in part	9
FS1277.130	Waikato Regional Council	OPPOSE in part. Include provisions to manage the spread of alligator weed. Take into account flood hazard mapping that will be provided as part of stage 2 of the Proposed District Plan Review.	Flood hazard mapping and alligator weed need to be considered in the development of site specific provisions for Hopuhopu.	Accept in part	9
FS1348.5	Perry International Trading Group Limited	Support	PITGL support the inclusion of a Hopuhopu Zone as a specific area in the Rural Zone, with appropriate rules. PITGL supports the use of special purpose zoning not otherwise provided for within the Rural Zone.	Accept in part	9

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>PITGL consider that the adoption of special purpose zoning will facilitate and provide for a diversity of business opportunities and significant economic benefits for the Waikato District and will serve to be advantageous to stakeholders such as PITGL and Waikato District Council in managing land use and the effects of future development in the district.</p> <p>PITGL consider that special purpose zoning will enable development to occur in an integrated, cohesive and sustainable manner at a scale that achieves sustainable economic and environmental outcomes.</p>		
188.3	Sheryl Tukiri	Retain the rules for permitted activities on Maori Freehold land.	These changes are allowing Maori to use the land and develop the land for more of the Whanau.	Accept in part	19
FS1386.187	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury C	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	19
188.2	Sheryl Tukiri	Retain the provisions for Maori Freehold Land as opposed to Paa Zone.	These changes are allowing Maori to use the land and develop the land for more of the Whanau.	Accept in part	19
164.1	Hiini Kepa	No decision sought, but submission states support for Chapter 2 Tangata whenua.	Allows autonomy, growth and independence.	Accept in part	4
152.6	Rolande Paekau for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Retain Section 2.14 Objective - Kaitiaki (steward/guardian), as notified.	The submitter wishes to reiterate the importance of understanding who is tangata whenua with any consultation process. Waikato-Tainui is not the tangata whenua of Raglan/Whaingaroa. The people of Tainui ki Whaingaroa (Raglan) are the tangata whenua and	Accept	15

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			hold mana whenua over their rohe. The submitter expects Council staff to consult with the submitter on any issue relating to their land or sea, including any development proposal.		
152.5	Rolande Paekau for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Retain Section 2.10 Iwi Management Plans as notified AND Create an Iwi Management Plan fund for Tangata Whenua, dedicated to hapu and marae to develop and implement their respective plans.	The submitter supports Iwi Management Plans. Recommends that Council contributes towards a dedicated funding pool or budget to implement Iwi Management Plans throughout Waikato District and therefore enable iwi, hapu and marae to develop their respective plans. An Iwi Management Plan will inform and guide Council in respect to consulting with the right people/tangata whenua in the development of master plans, blueprints and community plans as per Council's obligations under section 35(A) of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the Local Government Act 2002. Supports an Iwi Management Plan Fund for Tangata Whenua. This is a critical gap for Council who are seeking to develop Master Plans, Blueprints and community plans.	<i>Accept in part</i>	7
152.4	Rolande Paekau for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Amend the Proposed District Plan to enable the following activities as permitted on Te Kopua lands on Riria Kereopa Memorial Drive, Raglan (including Te Kopua Trust, Te Kopua 2B3 Incorporation and Whaingaroa Kite Whenua): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Facilities for surface water activities • Recreation • Kohanga reo • Education facilities • Public toilets • Camp site and associated car wash, grocery or boating store and marae • Restaurant • Conference facilities • Travellers' accommodation 	The submitter requests the same permitted activity provisions for Te Kopua lands as per the Pa Zone in the Operative District Plan as they reflect the aspirations of tangata whenua, owners and beneficiaries of those lands.	<i>Accept in part</i>	23
152.3	Rolande Paekau for Te	Retain Section 2.8 Concept Management Plan, as notified.	The submitter states that they support this	<i>Accept in part</i>	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust		<p>section given Whaingaroa Kite Whenua's Tihei Mauriora Plan.</p> <p>This includes plans for a cultural theme park to be endorsed by Council, and any updated versions of this plan.</p> <p>This Tihei Mauriora Plan captures the vision and aspirations of Tuaiwa Rickard.</p> <p>A Concept Management Plan will enable Maori land trusts to develop their land blocks with the assist of funding from Council and central government.</p> <p>Supports the Concept Management Plan for Maori land blocks and Trusts for Whaingaroa. Supports a Concept Management Plan funding pool to be allocated for the Waikato District.</p>		
152.2	Rolande Paekau for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Retain Section 2.5 Understanding of Maaori Land Ownership, as notified.	<p>Enables more Maori land to be utilised for the benefit of their landowners and beneficiaries. The submitter supports the change of Maori freehold title to Maori Lands in the Waikato District.</p>	Accept	6
152.1	Rolande Paekau for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Amend Section 2.2 (a) Background to apply the Resource Management Act definitions of "Tangata Whenua" and "Mana Whenua", as follows: <u>Tangata Whenua; in relation to a particular area means the iwi, or hapu, that holds mana Whenua over that area. Mana Whenua; means customary authority exercised by an iwi or hapu in an identified area.</u>	<p>Waikato-Tainui is not 'the' tangata whenua, as if to proclaim that they are an entity that has a higher status than other iwi, hapu and marae in Waikato District.</p> <p>The Waikato-Tainui Iwi Authority was established to receive settlement funds from the Crown as a result of raupatu. Council must not confuse the identity and status of tangata whenua and other hapu and/or iwi with its obligations under the Resource Management Act to all tangata whenua groups within Waikato District.</p> <p>Poihakena Marae and its beneficiaries assert that tangata whenua in Whaingaroa are only those that can whakapapa to Whaingaroa i.e. Ko Karioi te Maunga, Ko Whaingaroa te Moana, Ko Tainui te Waka, Ko Tainui te Iwi, Ko Ngati Koata, Ngati Hounuku, Ngati Te Ikaunahi, Ngati Kahu, Ngati Karu, Te Paetoka, Ngati Pukoro,</p>	Accept	6

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Ngati Tira, Ngati Rua Aruhe, Ngati Heke, Ngati Tahau, Ngati Te Kore nga hapu.		
379.2	Lisa Gardiner for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust	Retain Chapter 2 - Tangata Whenua, which specifically addresses tangata whenua concerns, issues, and opportunities.	<p>This aligns to key principles of the Ngati Haua Environmental Management Plan.</p> <p>Chapter 2 of the Proposed Plan summarises the importance of Iwi Management Plans in terms of not only articulating the aspirations of tangata whenua, but also highlighting the requirements under the RMA for territorial authorities to take into account these documents when preparing or changing a district plan.</p>	Accept	4
388.1	Sonny Karena for Tangata Whenua Working Group	Retain the introduction of Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua addressing Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	TWWG provides a Cultural Values Based Position to the Proposed Waikato District Plan. TWWG has an interest in a number of aspects of the Proposed Plan and how its provisions as notified will provide for current and future interests and operations in Horotiu West. The TWWG support the introduction of a specific chapter addressing Tangata Whenua concerns, issues and opportunities in the proposed District Plan	Accept	6
380.4	Norman Hill for Waahi Whaanui Trust	No specific decision sought, but submission states that the Tangata Whenua chapter discusses statutory responsibilities that are required of the Treaty of Waitangi, The Waikato River Settlement Act 2010, the Resource Management Act and the Waikato River Vision and Strategy, amongst others.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	6
380.2	Norman Hill for Waahi Whaanui Trust	No specific decision sought, but the submitter seeks that the Waikato District Council communicate and engage directly with Whaanui in all discussions, planning and development of master plans, and blueprint scoping within and for Raahui Pookeka (Huntly).	A key responsibility is to assist whanau and hapu in order to fulfil responsibilities as kaitiaki o nga taonga tuku iho, to exercise full guardianship and custodial protection and care of all-natural resources within the tribal boundaries. Representing the whanau and hapu of the area, Whaanui has the responsibility to ensure that all environmental issues are addressed.	Accept in part	6
379.4	Lisa Gardiner for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust	Amend Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua, to include specific reference to the Ngati Haua Environmental Management Plan.	1. The submitter has developed a Ngati Haua Environmental Management Plan 'Te Rautaki Tamata Ao 'Turoa o Haua' in collaboration with	Reject	7

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		<p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan, including Section C (Rules) to make consequential amendments to give effect to the matters raised in the submission and relief sought.</p>	<p>our marae, who identified their aspirations and priorities in becoming fully functional, sustainable and fulfilling the principles of Tumuakitanga and Kingitanga.</p> <p>2. The Environmental Management Plan documents the aspirations and priorities for Waimakariri Marae, Te Iti o Haua Marae, Rukumoana Marae, Kai-a-te-mata Marae and Raungaiti Marae.</p> <p>3. The vision of the plan is to restore belief that 'We are a noble and self-sustaining people, from days past, today and forevermore'.</p> <p>4. The Mission is to protect, manage and grow our Ngati Haua resources to support the Kingitanga through Te Tumuaki o Te Kingitanga and grow the overall well-being of Ngati Haua.</p> <p>5. The Environmental Management Plan provides an opportunity for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust to align specific aspirations for collective impact across the Marae. It also provides an opportunity for local authorities, central government and other agencies to see how they can work with Ngati Haua to collaborate on projects and/or provide technical support, advice, funding or opportunities for capacity building.</p> <p>6. The Environmental Management Plan is an expression of our values, frustrations, aspirations and position statements in relation to our taiao. Many of these are common for all people (e.g. clean air and water) and many are specific to us, as Ngati Haua (e.g. protection of our wahi tapu).</p> <p>7. The Environmental Management Plan enables us to exercise our responsibilities as Kaitiaki, in particular ensuring that we can: Restore the mauri of our taiao Swim in, drink from and gather food from our rivers and streams Provide for the cultural, social and economic wellbeing of our people. Revitalize our traditional knowledge and</p>		

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>practices. Build the capability of our future kaitiaki.</p> <p>8. The submitter wishes to ensure their responsibilities as kaitiaki within the Environmental Management Plan, are taken into account within the Proposed Waikato District Plan as required under section 74(2A) of the RMA.</p>		
FS1293.23	Department of Conservation	Seek that the submission point is allowed.	The Director-General supports the recognition of Ngati Haua as Kaitiaki within the Waikato District and further consideration of the Ngati Haua Iwi Environmental Plan throughout the Plan.	Reject	7
379.3	Lisa Gardiner for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust	<p>Amend the Proposed District Plan to ensure: (a) Ngati Haua Iwi Trust's role as kaitiaki within the Waikato District is recognised; and (b) The aspirations and priorities for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust, as set out in the Ngati Haua Environmental Management Plan, are taken into account within the provisions of the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend the Proposed District Plan, including Section C (Rules) to make consequential amendments to give effect to the matters raised in the submission and relief sought.</p>	<p>1. The submitter has developed a Ngati Haua Environmental Management Plan 'Te Rautaki Tamata Ao Turoa o Haua' in collaboration with our marae, who identified their aspirations and priorities in becoming fully functional, sustainable and fulfilling the principles of Tumauakitanga and Kingitanga.</p> <p>2. The Environmental Management Plan documents the aspirations and priorities for Waimakariri Marae, Te Iti o Haua Marae, Rukumoana Marae, Kai-a-te-mata Marae and Raungaiti Marae.</p> <p>3. The vision of the plan is to restore belief that 'We are a noble and self-sustaining people, from days past, today and forevermore'.</p> <p>4. The Mission is to protect, manage and grow our Ngati Huau resources to support the Kingitanga through Te Tumuaki o Te Kingitanga and grow the overall well-being of Ngati Haua.</p> <p>5. The Environmental Management Plan provides an opportunity for Ngati Haua Iwi Trust to align specific aspirations for collective impact across the Marae. It also provides an opportunity for local authorities, central government and other agencies to see how they can work with Ngai Haua to collaborate on projects and/or provide technical support, advice, funding or opportunities for capacity building.</p> <p>6. The Environmental Management Plan is an</p>	Reject	7

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>expression of our values, frustrations, aspirations and position statements in relation to our taiao. Many of these are common for all people (e.g. clean air and water) and many are specific to us, as Ngati Haua (e.g. protection of our wahi tapu).</p> <p>7. The Environmental Management Plan enables us to exercise our responsibilities as Kaitiaki, in particular ensuring that we can: Restore the mauri of our taiao Swim in, drink from and gather food from our rivers and streams Provide for the cultural, social and economic wellbeing of our people. Revitalize our traditional knowledge and practices. Build the capability of our future kaitiaki.</p> <p>8. The submitter wishes to ensure their responsibilities as kaitiaki within the Environmental Management Plan, are taken into account within the Proposed Waikato District Plan as required under section 74(2A) of the RMA.</p>		
FS1293.22	Department of Conservation	Seek that the submission point is allowed.	The Director-General supports the recognition of Ngati Haua as Kaitiaki within the Waikato District and further consideration of the Ngati Haua Iwi Environmental Plan throughout the Plan.	Reject	7
377.3	Rangimonehu Kereopa for Pareaute Kereopa Whanau Trust	<p>No specific decision sought, but submission states support for Iwi Management Plans for hapu, iwi groups and organisations</p> <p>AND</p> <p>No specific decision sought, but submission states, support for Council creating a Hapu/Iwi Management Plan budget/funding pool for the Waikato district.</p>	This will improve consultation and engagement with tangata whenua and any future developments within our rohe.	Accept in part	7
286.9	Lorraine Dixon for Waikato-Tainui	No specific decision sought but the submission supports the introduction of a specific chapter addressing Tangata whenua concerns, issues and opportunities.	The Tangata whenua Chapter discusses statutory responsibilities that are required of the Treaty of Waitangi, The Waikato River Settlement Act 2010 and the Resource Management Act amongst others. It is important to Waikato-Tainui that this recognition of responsibilities and commitments that the crown	Reject	6

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			has made are acknowledged throughout the plan and how it is implemented.		
FS1035.15	Pareoranga Te Kata	Support	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Council needs to partner with Kaitiaki, mana whenua or review strategies with Waikato Tainui to ensure preservation and restoration of the Waikato River. 	Reject	6
377.1	Rangimonehu Kereopa for Pareaute Kereopa Whanau Trust	<p>Amend Section 2.2 (a) Background - to apply the Resource Management Act definitions, as follows: <u>Tangata whenua: In relation to a particular area, means the iwi, or hapu, that holds mana whenua over that area. Mana whenua: Means customary authority exercised by an iwi or hapu in an identified area.</u></p> <p>AND</p> <p>Amend Section 2.2 (a) Background which states that Waikato-Tainui is Tangata Whenua of the Waikato district.</p>	<p>Waikato-Tainui is not: 'The Tangata Whenua of the Waikato District'.</p> <p>The terminology is quite important to get correct, as Waikato-Tainui do not have authority or Tangata whenua status in Raglan. Tainui iwi and hapu of the West Coast Harbour of Raglan have not ceded their sovereignty to Waikato-Tainui.</p> <p>Waikato-Tainui do not hold our mandate to speak on our behalf re: future developments, growth or progress of our rohe. Nor have we given up any type of relationship that us here as Tangata whenua are striving to build with Waikato District Council.</p> <p>Waikato-Tainui is not 'the' Tangata whenua; as if to proclaim that they are an entity that has a higher status than other iwi, hapu and marae in the Waikato district.</p> <p>Waikato-Tainui Iwi Authority was established to receive settlement funds from the Crown as a result of Raupatu. Waikato District Council must not confuse the identity and status of Tangata whenua and other hapu and or iwi with its obligation under the RMA to all Tangata whenua groups within the Waikato district.</p> <p>The submitter asserts that Tangata whenua in Whaingaroa are only those that can whakapapa to Whaingaroa.</p>	Accept	6
328.2	Paula Dudley	Retain Objective 2.14 Kaitiaki (steward/guardian).	No reasons provided.	Accept	15
328.1	Paula Dudley	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	No reasons provided.	Accept in part	4
FS1386.385	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury C	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood	Reject	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.		
300.4	Rolande Paekau for The Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Amend the Proposed District Plan to retain the Operative District Plan provisions for Te Kopua lands located on Riria Kereopa Memorial Drive at Raglan, which include permitted activities for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • facilities for surface water activities • recreation • Kohanga reo • education facilities • public toilets • campsite and associated carwash, grocery or boating store and marae • restaurant • conference facilities • travellers' accommodation 	The activities specifically requested above are currently listed as permitted activities on Te Kopua lands in the Operative Pa Zone. The provisions need to contain these same permissions to reflect the aspirations of tangata whenua and the owners and beneficiaries of Te Kopua lands.	Accept in part	23
FS1386.335	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury C	Oppose	At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.	Reject	23
300.3	Rolande Paekau for The Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1	Retain Section 2.8 Concept Management Plan contained in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua which supports the submission	The plan for the cultural theme park aligns with the hapu, iwi aspirations and vision of Tuaiwa	Accept in part	8

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Ahu Whenua Trust	of the Whaingaroa Kite Whenua, Tihei Mauriora land to develop Te Kopua lands for a future cultural theme park.	Rickard for Te Kopua lands. Supports any updated version of the plan to be submitted.		
300.2	Rolande Paekau for The Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Retain Section 2.5 Understanding Maaori Land Ownership, which addresses the change of Maori Freehold Title to Maori land blocks across Waikato District.	The change of title status will enable more Maori land blocks and trusts to develop and utilise their respective lands.	Accept	6
300.1	Rolande Paekau for The Te Whaanga 2B3B2 & 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust	Amend Section 2.2 Background to apply the Resource Management definitions, as follows: <u>Tangata Whenua: In relation to a particular area means the iwi, or hapu, that holds mana whenua over that area. Mana Whenua: Means customary authority exercised by an iwi or hapu in an identified area.</u> AND Amend Section 2.2 Background to recognise that Waikato-Tainui do not have authority or Tangata Whenua status in Raglan. Tangata Whenua in Whaingaroa are only those that can whakapapa to Whaingaroa.	Waikato-Tainui do not have authority or tangata whenua status in Raglan. Tainui iwi and hapu of the West Coast harbours have not ceded their sovereignty to Waikato-Tainui and do not hold the mandate to speak on behalf of the rohe for Te Whaanga 2B3B2 and 2B1 Ahu Whenua Trust on matters that concern future developments, growth, progress and the relationship with Waikato District Council. Council must not confuse the identity and status of tangata whenua and other hapu and/or iwi with its obligations under the RMA to all tangata whenua groups within Waikato District. The only tangata in Whaingaroa are those that can Whakapapa to Whaingaroa: - Ko Karioi te Maunga - Ko Whaingaroa te Moana - Ko Tainui te Waka - Ko Tainui te Iwi - Ko Ngati Koata, Ngati Hounuku, Ngati Te Ikanahi, Ngati Kahu, Te Paetoka, Ngati Pukoro, Ngati Tira, Ngati Rua Aruhe, Ngati Heke, Ngati Tahau, Ngati Te Kore nga hapu.	Accept	6
920.1	Sydina Curtis	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	Ngati Koata land block owners in Whaingaroa, feel that barriers in current District Plan prevent Tangata Whenua from maintaining relationship with ancestral lands. Laws and policies in the Proposed Waikato District Plan supports the occupation and development of Maori land and allows mana whenua to utilise, manage and enjoy traditional resources in accordance with Tikanga Maori. Will strengthen connection to area again for future generations.	Accept in part	4
894.1	Pene Wahanui (Benjamin)	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa.	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
	Hemi		While the submitters are owners of land blocks in the area, current restrictions make it difficult to develop the land in sustainable ways. The submitters acknowledge that the proposed changes provides Tangata Whenua with the ability to utilise communally owned land in accordance with their cultural values, as well as opportunity for creating economic development that will allow whanau to visit the land more frequently.		
900.1	Arnelle Hemi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata land block owners in Whaingaroa, the submitter feel that barriers in the current District Plan prevent Tangata Whenua from maintaining their relationship with ancestral lands. Laws and policies in the Proposed Waikato District Plan supports the occupation and development of Maori land and allows mana whenua to utilise, manage and enjoy traditional resources in accordance with Tikanga Maori. This will strengthen connection to area again for future generations.	Accept in part	4
899.1	Tapsell Enoka	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	The Existing District Plan created barriers to actualisation of Iwi controlling their resources as their own, despite the District Council expressing an obligation to uphold Rangatiratanga Principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi. The Proposed Waikato District Plan significantly reduces those barriers, allowing Ngati Koata to develop their land blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their own values.	Accept in part	4
898.1	Tania Enoka	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	While the District Council has expressed an obligation to upholding the Rangatiratanga Principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi in respect to Tangata Whenua, the existing District Council Plan created barriers to the actualisation of Iwi controlling their resources as their own. The Proposed District Council Plan goes a long way in significantly reducing barriers, thus allowing Ngati Koata to develop their land	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their own values.		
897.1	Manu-ofa-tupufu'ou Fisi'ihoi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that they support the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa, they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, the local iwi and the wider community.</p>	Accept in part	4
896.1	Dean Leutele	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>While the District Council has expressed an obligation to upholding the Rangiratanga Principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi in respect to Tangata Whenua, the existing District Council plan created barriers to the actualisation of iwi controlling their resources as their own. The Proposed District Council plan goes a long way in significantly reducing barriers, thus allowing Ngati Koata to develop their land blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their own values.</p>	Accept in part	4
895.1	Rachel Leutele	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that they support the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa, they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, the local iwi and the wider community.</p>	Accept in part	4
893.1	Irene Hemi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter sees the removal of barriers to sustainable development of their lands as supporting Iwi aspirations to grow a prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovative and culturally strong people.</p>	Accept in part	4
902.1	William Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	Proposed Waikato District Plan policies and	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>rules in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua help address barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising land for social, cultural and economic well-being.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about being able to develop master plan for Whaingaroa land blocks that supports kind of sustainable development that will encourage more visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>There is the potential for area to (once again) become a turangawaewae for Ngati Koata.</p>		
892.1	Rebekah Hemi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The policies and rules outlined in Chapter 2: Tangata Whenua helps to address the barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilizing the land for social and cultural and economic wellbeing.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about the prospect of being able to develop a master plan for their land blocks in Whaingaroa that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more frequent visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>This could be the start of Whaingaroa becoming a turangawaewae stronghold for Ngati Koata once again.</p>	Accept in part	4
891.1	John Angus Kendall	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. While the submitters are owners of land blocks in the area, current restrictions make it difficult to develop the land in sustainable ways. The submitters acknowledge that the proposed changes provides Tangata Whenua with the ability to utilise communally owners land in accordance with their cultural values, as well as opportunity for creating economic development that will allow whanau to visit the land more frequently.</p>	Accept in part	4
890.1	Summer Ata Brown	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. While the submitters are owners of land blocks in the area, current restrictions make it difficult</p>	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			to develop the land in sustainable ways. The submitters acknowledge that the proposed changes provides Tangata Whenua with the ability to utilise communally owners land in accordance with their cultural values, as well as opportunity for creating economic development that will allow whanau to visit the land more frequently.		
889.1	Pirihira Bassett	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The policies and rules outlined in Chapter 2: Tangata Whenua helps to address the barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising the land for social and cultural and economic wellbeing.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about the prospect of being able to develop a master plan for their land blocks in Whaingaroa that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more frequent visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>This could be the start of Whaingaroa becoming a turangawaewae stronghold for Ngati Koata once again.</p>	Accept in part	4
888.1	Murray Bassett	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The submitter feels that the policies and rules outlined in Chapter 2 help to address the barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising the land for social, cultural and economic well-being.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about the prospect of being able to develop a master plan for their land blocks in Whaingaroa that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more frequent visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>The Plan may be the start of Whaingaroa once again becoming a turangawaewae stronghold for Ngati Koata.</p>	Accept in part	4
887.1	Jared Smiler	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that they support the social, cultural and	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa, they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, the local iwi and the wider community.</p>		
886.1	Jeneah Smiler	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata land block owners in Whaingaroa, the submitter feels that barriers expressed in the current district plan prevent Tangata Whenua from maintaining their relationship with their ancestral lands. The submitter appreciates that the laws and policies outlines in the Plan supports more effectively the occupation and development of Maori land, allowing mana whenua to utilise, manage and enjoy their traditional resources in accordance with Tikanga Maori.</p> <p>This will strengthen their connection to the area once again for generations.</p>	Accept in part	4
901.1	Tiwini Hemi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the Proposed Waikato District Plan as it supports social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast. Will be able to reinvigorate connections with the area, local iwi and the wider community through sustainable development practices.</p>	Accept in part	4
903.1	Sharon Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows lwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
884.1	Reuben Smiler	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Plan in that it supports the</p>	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa, the submitters will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, with local iwi and the wider community.</p>		
913.1	Noel Morris	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
919.1	Kaui Wihongi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. Despite being owners of the land, current restrictions make it difficult to sustainably develop the land.</p> <p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan provide Tangata Whenua with the ability to use land in accordance with cultural values and creates economic development that will allow for more frequent visits to the land from whanau.</p>	Accept in part	4
918.1	Dalton Wihongi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter, appreciates the Proposed Waikato District Plan as it supports social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Will be able to reinvigorate connections with the area, local iwi and the wider community through sustainable development practices.</p>	Accept in part	4
917.1	Jonelle Modlik	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata land block owners in Whaingaroa, feel that barriers in current District Plan prevent Tangata Whenua from maintaining relationship with ancestral lands.	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>Laws and policies in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua of the the Proposed Waikato District Plan supports the occupation and development of Maori land and allows mana whenua to utilise, manage and enjoy traditional resources in accordance with Tikanga Maori.</p> <p>This will strengthen the connection to area again for future generations.</p>		
916.1	Mele Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Proposed Waikato District Plan policies and rules in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua help address barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising land for social, cultural and economic well-being.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about being able to develop a master plan for Whaingaroa land blocks that supports kind of sustainable development that will encourage more visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>There is the potential for area to (once again) become a turangawaewae for Ngati Koata.</p>	Accept in part	4
915.1	Aaran Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The existing District Plan created barriers to actualization of lwi controlling their resources as their own, despite the District Council expressing an obligation to uphold Rangatiratanga Principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi.</p> <p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan significantly reduces those barriers, allowing Ngati Koata to develop their land blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their own values.</p>	Accept in part	4
914.1	Rei Elkington-Kendall	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. Despite while being owners of the land, current restrictions make it difficult to sustainably develop the land.</p> <p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan provides Tangata Whenua with the ability to use the land in accordance with cultural values and creates</p>	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			economic development that will allow for more frequent visits to the land from whanau.		
912.1	Tyler Morris (Elkington)	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows Iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
904.1	Anthony Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa. Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows Iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
911.1	Tahana Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan policies and rules in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua help address barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising the land for social, cultural and economic well-being.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about being able to develop a master plan for Whaingaroa land blocks that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more visits of their Iwi to the area.</p> <p>Potential for area to (once again) become a turangawaewae for Ngati Koata.</p>	Accept in part	4
910.1	May-Grace Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	The Proposed Waikato District Plan policies and rules in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua help address barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and utilising the land for social, cultural	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>and economic well-being.</p> <p>As Ngati Koata, the submitter is excited about being able to develop a master plan for Whaingaroa land blocks that supports the kind of sustainable development that will encourage more visits of their iwi to the area.</p> <p>Potential for area to (once again) become a turangawaewae for Ngati Koata.</p>		
909.1	Samuel Harrison	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. Even though they own the land, current restrictions make it difficult to sustainably develop the land.</p> <p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan provide Tangata Whenua with the ability to use land in accordance with cultural values and creates economic development that will allow for more frequent visits to the land from whanau.</p>	Accept in part	4
908.1	Ria Harrison	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa. Even though they own the land, current restrictions make it difficult to sustainably develop the land.</p> <p>The Proposed Waikato District Plan provide Tangata Whenua with the ability to use land in accordance with cultural values and creates economic development that will allow for more frequent visits to the land from whanau.</p>	Accept in part	4
907.1	Mona Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows Iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
906.1	Nephi Marangai Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows Iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
905.1	Arthur Elkington	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata have a historical connection to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua Provisions supports cultural and economic well-being as it allows Iwi to sustain their lands.</p> <p>They allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations which will also revitalise the historic connection between Ngati Koata and the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
885.1	David Smiler	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter sees the removal of barriers to sustainable development of their lands as supporting Iwi aspirations to grow a prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovating and culturally strong people.</p>	Accept in part	4
883.1	Jayde Smiler	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>As Ngati Koata with strong links to Whaingaroa, the submitter sees the removal of barriers to sustainable development of their lands as supporting Iwi aspirations to grow a prosperous, healthy, vibrant, innovative and culturally strong people.</p>	Accept in part	4
709.1	Vicki Lee Wihongi	Retain Chapter 2: Tangata whenua.	<p>The provisions will support more effectively the occupation and development of Maori land, allowing mana whenua to use, manage and enjoy traditional resources in accordance with tikanga Maori.</p>	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>This will strengthen connections to the area for generations to come.</p> <p>The barriers in the Operative District Plan prevent tangata whenua from maintaining relationships with ancestral land.</p>		
714.1	Samuel Frew	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The content in Chapter 2: Tangata Whenua helps to address the barriers that have prevented owners from engaging and using the land for social, cultural and economic wellbeing. A master plan for the development of Ngati Koata land blocks in Whaingaroa will encourage more frequent visits by this iwi.</p> <p>The provisions may enable Whaingaroa to become a turangawaewae stronghold again for Ngati Koata.</p>	Accept in part	4
711.1	Romana Graham	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>The content in the Proposed District Plan supports the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the Whaanga coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on Ngati Koata lands in Whaingaroa they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, local iwi and the wider community.</p>	Accept in part	4
710.1	Strantz Ian Wihongi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>While the District Council have expressed an obligation to upholding the Rangatiratanga Principle inherent in Te Tiriti o Waitangi in respect to Tangata Whenua, the existing District Plan created barriers to the actualisation of iwi controlling their resources as their own.</p> <p>The Proposed District Plan will significantly reduce the barriers and will allow Ngati Koata to sustainably develop its land blocks in sustainable ways in accordance with their values.</p>	Accept in part	4
695.9	Sharp Planning Solutions Ltd	Amend Section 2.12.1(a) (vii) Whanaungatanga (relationship to nature) so that "other land" is objectively defined and care is exercised as to which land this policy applies to, without limiting the natural and legal right of Maori to	<p>This aspect is fully supported upon land owned or managed by Maori or in areas of high cultural importance such as the Waikato River margins. Some cultural symbols in public places can</p>	Reject	13

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		express and recognise their relationship with the land.	sometimes have underlying/implicit religion/belief values overtones. Caution needs to be exercised in terms of sensitivity to others with respect to this in the type of symbol being displayed.		
FS1323.9	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That the amendments sought are declined.	HNZPT is concerned that as the amendments to the Policy are not specified that there may be adverse effects on cultural values.	Accept	13
651.6	Jon Muller for GE Free New Zealand	Retain the policies and objectives in Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua and their support of Te Ao Maori.	These policies and objectives support the need for a precautionary approach to GMOs.	Accept	10
642.4	Waikato River Authority	Amend the Proposed District Plan to allow for greater integration of Maatauranga Maaori throughout the various policies in the Plan.	To realise the Vision and Strategy, the following objectives (B, C and M) will be pursued: - The restoration and protection of the relationship of Waikato-Tainui with the Waikato River, including their economic, social, cultural and spiritual relationships. - The restoration and protection of the relationship of the Waikato River iwi according to their tikanga and kawa, with the Waikato River, including their economic, social, cultural and spiritual relationships. - The application to the above of both Maatauranga Maaori and the latest available scientific methods.	Reject	27
FS1345.96	Genesis Energy Limited	Accept in part.	Genesis supports the submission, subject to the exact nature of the provisions.	Reject	27
FS1037.4	Waikato River Authority	Seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed.	Aligns to Waikato River Authority's Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River (Te Ture Waimana o Te Awa o Waikato) statutory obligations to the Vision and strategy. Recommendation to Chapter 13:1, 11 are achieved that the entirety of the submission receives full support in the restoration of the river.	Reject	27
FS1035.48	Pareoranga Te Kata	Highly support. The entirety of the submission should be allowed.	• Aligns to Waikato River Vision and Strategy (Te Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato). • Aligns to statutory Vision and Strategy.	Reject	27
FS1139.90	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	27
FS1108.103	Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)	Support	General support for the amendment.	Reject	27
882.1	Kenneth Kauluwehi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	As Ngati Koata, the submitter appreciates the amendments to the Waikato District Proposed Plan in that they support the social, cultural and economic aspirations of mana whenua on the	Accept in part	4

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p>Whaanga Coast.</p> <p>Through the development of sustainable practices on their lands in Whaingaroa, they will be able to reinvigorate their connections with the area, the local iwi and the wider community.</p>		
802.7	Vera van der Voorden	Retain the Maaori provisions in Rule 22.7 Whaanga Coast Development Areas.	It is appropriate that Marae and papakāinga are recognized and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to the policies. In the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 Policy 6.4, and The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15.	Accept	22
881.1	Hannah Fisi'ihoi	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close historical ties to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>These provisions allow Iwi to sustain their lands in a way that enables them to address the cultural and economic wellbeing of their people. These provisions allow the development of a fluid concept plan that can evolve with the needs of future generations.</p> <p>This will serve to revitalise the historical connection Ngati Koata has on the Whaanga Coast.</p>	Accept in part	4
864.1	Strantz Tukiri Kendall	Retain Chapter 2 Tangata Whenua.	<p>Ngati Koata has close ties to Whaingaroa.</p> <p>While the submitters are owners of land blocks in the area, current restrictions make it difficult to develop the land in sustainable ways.</p> <p>The submitters acknowledge that the proposed changes to the District Plan provides Tangata Whenua with the ability to utilise communally owned land in accordance with their cultural values, as well as allowing opportunity for creating economic development that will allow whanau to visit the land more frequently.</p>	Accept in part	4
831.8	Gabrielle Parson on behalf of Raglan Naturally	Retain Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area.	Gives effect to the Resource Management Act 1991 and Te Tiriti o Waitangi	Accept	18

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			Allows for resettlement of Maori lands in line with the general population.		
829.6	Whenua Holdings Waikato Limited	Retain Rule 16.1.2 P2 A Marae Complex or Papakainga Housing Development on Maori Freehold Land or on Maori Customary Land, as notified; AND Amend the Proposed District Plan to make any consequential amendments to address the matters raised in the submission.	The submitter supports the provision of these activities in the Residential Zone as a permitted activity subject to meeting all the relevant standards.	Accept in part	20
FS1387.1337	Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D	Oppose	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i>	Reject	20
802.8	Vera van der Voorden	Retain the Maaori provisions in Rule 22.7.1.1 Permitted Activities.	It is appropriate that Marae and papakāinga are recognized and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to the policies. In the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 Policy 6.4, and The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15.	Accept	22
802.6	Vera van der Voorden	Retain the Maaori provisions in Rule 22.1.2 Permitted Activities.	It is appropriate that Marae and papakāinga are recognized and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to the policies. In the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 Policy 6.4, and The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15.	Accept	22
802.5	Vera van der Voorden	Retain the Maaori provisions in Policy 2.16.2 Aahuatanga	It is appropriate that Marae and papakāinga are	Accept	18

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
		Motuhake (special features).	recognized and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to the policies. In the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 Policy 6.4, and The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15.		
802.4	Vera van der Voorden	Retain the Maaori provisions in Policy 2.16.1 Whaanga Coast Specific Area.	It is appropriate that Marae and papakāinga are recognized and provided for in the Waikato Proposed District plan as it gives effect to the policies in the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 in Policy (d) and the Waikato Regional Policy Statement, 2016 Policy 6.4 Marae and papakāinga provisions, and The Future Proof Strategy Planning for Growth November 2017 has Priority 15.	Accept	18
798.1	Ngati Te Ata	No specific decision sought, but submission generally supports the Proposed District Plan, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protection of culture and heritage; • Innovative ways to encourage development on Maori Freehold Land with Papakainga housing developments etc; • Adding approximately 60 heritage sites and buildings; • Adding 16 notable trees; and • Rules being amended to provide for ongoing maintenance and care or repair. 	No reasons provided.	Accept	6
<i>FS1108.33</i>	<i>Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated (Waikato-Tainui)</i>	<i>Support</i>	<i>General agreement with the submission.</i>	<i>Accept</i>	<i>6</i>
697.939	Waikato District Council	Amend Rule 24.1.1(P2)(b)(i) Permitted Activities (A Marae Complex or Papkainga Housing Development on Maaori Freehold Land or on Maaori Customary Land), as follows: (i) A Concept Management Plan approved <u>endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court AND Amend Rule 24.1.1(P1)(c)(i) as follows: (i) A Concept Management Plan approved <u>endorsed</u> by the Maori Land Court;	The correct term is "endorsed" rather than "approved".	Accept	21
<i>FS1387.742</i>	<i>Mercury NZ Limited for Mercury D</i>	<i>Oppose</i>	<i>At the time of lodging this further submission, neither natural hazard flood provisions nor adequate</i>	<i>Reject</i>	<i>24</i>

Submission point	Submitter	Decision requested	Reasons	Recommendation	Section of this report where the submission point is addressed
			<p><i>flood maps were available, and it is therefore not clear from a land use management perspective, either how effects from a significant flood event will be managed, or whether the land use zone is appropriate from a risk exposure. Mercury considers it is necessary to analyse the results of the flood hazard assessment prior to designing the district plan policy framework. This is because the policy framework is intended to include management controls to avoid, remedy and mitigate significant flood risk in an appropriate manner to ensure the level of risk exposure for all land use and development in the Waikato River Catchment is appropriate.</i></p>		
942.5	Angeline Greensill for Tainui o Tainui	No specific decision sought, but the submitter supports the acknowledgement in Section 1.4.5 Maaori Freehold Land of the burden placed on Maori landowners to satisfy Te Ture Whenua Maori Act and the Resource Management Act 1991 when attempting to utilise their land.	The submitter supports the plan's acknowledgement of the extra burden placed on Maori landowners to satisfy both Te Ture Whenua Maori Act and the Resource Management Act.	Accept in part	6