

## Appendix 1

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hiria Hetet	1.1		Amend MRZ2 to include: 5 McDiarmid Crescent, Huntly; AND Amend MRZ2 to include: 19 Blundell Place, Huntly.	Accept, on the proviso the urban fringe QM is removed
Brent & Kym Cooper	2.1		Amend Variation 3 to include public notification.	Reject
Brent & Kym Cooper	2.2		Delete MRZ2-P1 Housing Typology.	Reject
Daniel Randall	3.1		Delete Pookeno from SUB-P14.	Reject
Summerset Group Holdings Limited	4.1		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the submission from The Retirement Villages Association of New Zealand [refer to submission 107].	Noted
Summerset Group Holdings Limited	4.2		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the inclusion of changes that are provided by the MDRS provision of the Enabling Housing Supply Act.	Accept
Summerset Group Holdings Limited	4.3		Requests the Council engages constructively with the Retirement Villages Association in relation to Council's housing intensification Variation.	Noted
Max Robitzsch	5.1		Retain all changes of Variation 3, OR Amend to further strengthen intensification opportunities.	Accept in part
Tania Nepe	6.1		No specific decision requested, but decision opposes SUB-R and considers that subdivision should not be allowed for the following reasons: · Te Kauwhata does not have the population density to justify high rise buildings. · Te Kauwhata is struggling to maintain and upkeep current infrastructure for its growing community as it is. · Te Kauwhata is not Auckland or a major city that this type of subdivision requires.	Reject
Dave Honiss	7.1		Amend Variation 3 so it applies to selected parts of Tuakau, not the whole town.	Reject
Stanley JoanEdward	8.1		Retain MRZ2 in Tuakau.	Accept
Ruth Williams	9.1		Amend and leave the same.	Reject
Paulia Amoroa	10.1		Delete the Ngaaruwaahia map from Variation 3.	Reject
Jan Sedgwick	11.1		Amend Variation 3 to include Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design CPTED provisions and provisions for neighbourhood and pocket parks.	Reject
Jan Sedgwick	11.2		Support the Variation applying to the 4 larger communities.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Donald Matheson	12.1		Retain the proposed zoning and provisions for Ngaaruawaahia as set out in Variation 3.	Accept
Halm Fan Kong	13.1		Amend MRZ to include 145 Park Road, Horotiu.	STRUCK OUT
Waikato Regional Council*	13.1	205.1	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	STRUCK OUT
Ports of Auckland	13.1	214.1	Disallow the submission point	STRUCK OUT
Wayne Bishop and Cameron Smith	14.1		Amend Variation 3 to include retirement village/ elderly housing to occur in land where there is a connection with existing urban areas, where land supply is sufficient and the ability to provide suitable infrastructure and connectivity is achievable.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	14.1	205.2	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Accept
Wayne Bishop and Cameron Smith	14.2		Council to look at the options of providing residential zoning contiguous with Gordonton area to enable a bespoke retirement village development. AND Amend to rezone land holding contiguous with Gordonton as a bespoke Settlement Zone that aligns with the description of the zone in the National Planning Standards.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	14.2	205.3	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Accept
Wayne Bishop and Cameron Smith	14.3		Amend to meet / identify housing opportunities for the expected demand for housing among other areas. The submission considers this opportunity has been missed as part of Variation 3, and comments on the provision of enabling elderly person's housing such as retirement villages.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	14.3	205.4	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Accept
Graciela Edith Eidelman Di Denia	15.1		Delete William Street, Huntly and surrounding area.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Graciela Edith Eidelman Di Denia	15.2		Delete MDRS provisions.	Reject
Roberto Denia	16.1		Delete William Street, Huntly and surrounding area.	Reject
Roberto Denia	16.2		· Opposes the development for up to 3 homes and up to 11 m high without needing resource consent and permission from neighbours.	Reject
Douglas W Rowe	17.1		Delete GRZ to MRZ2.	Reject
Douglas W Rowe	17.2		Delete MRZ to MRZ2.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.1		Amend Variation 3 to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission 2008	Accept in part
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.2		Amend Variation 3 to recognise the National Grid as a qualifying matter in the implementation of the RMA.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.3		No specific decision requested, but submission supports Variation 3, in particular: · The identification of the National Grid as a qualifying matter; and · The inclusion of the PDP National Grid corridor provisions within the IPI and ISPP process.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.4		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the inclusion of existing provisions relating to the National Grid within MRZ2.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.5		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the retention of the National Grid provisions within the GRZ.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.6		Amend definition of “Qualifying Matters” as follows: Has the same meaning as in section 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (as set out in the box below). Means a matter referred to in section 771 or 770 of the Resource Management Act. Qualifying matters include: (a)The National Grid Yard (b)The National Grid Subdivision Corridor (c)..... (other qualifying matters to be listed)	Reject
Kāinga Ora	18.6	217.1	Reject submission point.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.7		Retain Objective SD-O14 Well-functioning urban environment.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.8		Amend Policy SD-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards as follows: Apply the MDRS across all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where the a qualifying matter is relevant....	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.9		Retain SUB-P23 Subdivision in the MRZ2 – Medium Density Residential Zone 2.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.10		Retain SUB-R162 Subdivision within the National Grid Corridor.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.11		Retain table outlining qualifying matters, by adding it to the 'Purpose' section of the MRZ2 chapter, with the following (or similar) introductory wording: ... Co-ordinate delivery of infrastructure and services. The following qualifying matters also apply within the zone, which limit development within the areas to which a qualifying matter applies: [Insert table as outlined in the submission, but without references to GRZ-R14 and SUB-R26, and noting that MRZ2-R10 and SUB-R162 are also matters required for the purpose of ensuring the safe or efficient operation of nationally significant infrastructure.]	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	18.11	217.2	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.12		Retain MRZ2-O1 Housing typology.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.13		Retain MRZ2-O3 Residential amenity.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.14		Retain MRZ2-O5 Qualifying matters.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.15		Retain MRZ2-O6 Reverse sensitivity.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.16		Retain MRZ2-P1 Housing typology.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.17		Retain MRZ2-P6 Qualifying matters.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.18		Retain MRZ2-P11 Reverse sensitivity.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.19		Retain MRZ2-R10 Buildings, structures and sensitive land uses within the National Grid Yard in sites existing as of 18 July 2018, except for the amendments outlined below. AND Amend MRZ2-R10(1)(b) as follows: (b) All buildings or structures permitted by Rule GMRZ2-R10(1)(a) must: ...	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.20		Retain MRZ2-R11 The establishment of any new sensitive land use within the National Grid Yard.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.21		Retain the National Grid as a qualifying matter to MRZ2 if the extent of MRZ2 changes.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.22		Retain the application of the National Grid provisions to development within the GRZ if the approach to the GRZ changes.	Accept
Transpower NZ Ltd*	18.22		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the assessment contained within the s32 report in relation to the identification of the National Grid as a qualifying matter.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	18.22	217.3	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
WEL Networks Limited*	19.1		Add a new Rule SUB-R163 as follows: Subdivision Activities adjacent to Electricity Distribution Infrastructure Any subdivision in the vicinity of electricity infrastructure must demonstrate that building platforms can be in positions where a subsequent building can comply with the NZ Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001). Vegetation to be planted in the vicinity of electricity infrastructure should be selected and/or managed so that it does not breach the Electricity (Hazards from Trees) Regulations 2003.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	19.1	217.4	Reject submission point.	Accept in part
WEL Networks Limited*	19.2		Retain the purpose included in the MRZ2 - Medium Density Residential Zone 2 as notified.	Accept
WEL Networks Limited*	19.3		Amend Rule 4.2.5.6a as follows: Front – 1.5m, provided the building or structure can achieve compliance with the NZ Electrical Code of Practice for Electrical Safe Distances (NZECP 34:2001).	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	19.3	217.5	Reject submission point.	Accept in part
Greig Developments	20		Amend the maps to include 23A Harrisville Road and a property on Johnson/Oak Street, Tuakau as Medium Density Residential Zone 2 (see submission for map of sites).	Reject
Brett Titchmarsh	21.1		Amend Variation 3 to include all areas identified to accommodate residential growth in the Structure Plan (refer to submission) and Waikato 2070 (refer to sub).	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	21.1	205.5	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Accept
Brett Titchmarsh	21.2		Apply the medium density standards for all residential areas within Tuakau unless a valid qualifying matter applies.	Reject
John and Priscilla Boyson	22.1		Delete Variation 3 provisions from the proposed district plan.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
John and Priscilla Boyson	22.2		Council to make a submission to the government on behalf of the community demanding that the requirements imposed on the Council to create this Variation be removed.	Reject
Jenny Kelly	23.1		Delete the medium density provisions from the proposed district plan.	Reject
Jeremy Duncan	24.1		Amend the zoning of the property at 14 Herschel Street, Ngaaruawaahia to Medium Density Residential Zone 2 AND Consider amending the zoning of 16 Herschel Street, Ngaaruawaahia to Medium Density Residential Zone 2.	Reject
Sarath & Damayanthi Jayasinghe	25.1		Delete Variation 3 and ask the government to look at more innovative ways to add to the housing stock.	Reject
Lucia Daniels	26.1		Delete the change from three-storey housing to two-storey housing and retain two levels maximum.	Reject
Lucia Daniels	26.2		No specific decision requested but submission opposes Variation 3 MRZ to MRZR and expresses the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Privacy of neighbours.</li> <li>· Safety in the event of fire.</li> <li>· Volunteer fire brigade in the community.</li> <li>· Overcrowding of schools.</li> <li>· Parking area limited as roads have narrowed over the years.</li> </ul>	Reject
Howard Lovell	27.1		Amend maps to identify areas of land between Great South Road and Gordonton Road in Taupiri as MRZ1. Refer to areas identified within Attachment A of the submission.	STRUCK OUT
Transpower NZ Ltd*	27.1	209.19	Only allow the submission if the site is able to be subdivided and developed in a manner that complies with the current National Grid rules applying to the site, and therefore avoids sensitive activities in the National Grid Yard and does not compromise the National Grid (for example, through hindering access to structures).	STRUCK OUT

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Heritage New Zealand	28.1		No specific decision requested, but submission recognises and supports the intention to acknowledge and make provisions for identified qualifying matters.	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.2		Amend to improve acknowledgement of qualifying matters within the residential zone's objective, policy and assessment frameworks to provide for improved and integrated consideration of historic heritage.	Accept in part
Heritage New Zealand	28.3		Retain SD-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards.	Accept in part
Heritage New Zealand	28.4		Retain SUB-P3 Lot sizes, except for the amendments sought below AND Amend SUB-P3(3) Lot sizes as follows: (3) Within the MZR2 Medium Density Residential Zone 2. subdivision enables medium density housing outcomes, except in the instance of qualifying matters.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.4	213.1	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	28.4	217.6	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	28.4	221.1	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Heritage New Zealand	28.5		Retain SUB-P23.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.5	213.2	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	28.5	217.7	Reject submission point.	Reject
Heritage New Zealand	28.6		Retain the purpose of MRZ2 AND Add the following words to the purpose of MRZ2: Except in the instances of a qualifying matter on the site.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	28.6	217.8	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	28.6	221.2	Accept submission point.	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.7		Retain MRZ2-O5 Qualifying Matters	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.8		Retain MRZ2-P6 Qualifying Matters.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.8	213.3	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Heritage New Zealand	28.9		Retain the matters of discretion in MRZ2-S2(2) Height – building general except for the amendments sought below AND Add the following matter of discretion to MRZ2-S2(2) Height - building general as follows: e) whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located adjacent sites.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.9	213.4	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	28.9	217.9	Reject submission point.	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.10		Retain the matters of discretion in MRZ2-S3(2) Height in relation to boundary, except for the amendments sought below AND Add the following matter of discretion to MRZ2-S3(2) height in relation to boundary as follows: (e) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.10	213.5	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	28.10	217.1	Reject submission point.	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.11		Retain the matters of discretion in MRS2-S4(2) Setbacks, except for the amendments sought below AND Add a matter of discretion to MRZ2-S4(2) Setbacks as follows: e) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.11	213.6	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	28.11	217.11	Reject submission point.	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.12		Retain the matters of discretion in MRZ2-S5(2) Building coverage, except for the amendments sought below AND Add the following matter of discretion to MRZ2-S5 Building coverage as follows: d) Whether the infringement detracts from recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.12	213.7	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	28.12	217.12	Reject submission point.	Reject
Heritage New Zealand	28.13		Retain the qualifying matter found in Assessment report: PDP identifier-318-Corner of Eyre Street and Broadway-the-point	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.13	213.8	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Heritage New Zealand	28.14		Retain the historic heritage items already scheduled in the Plan that are in the 4 growth towns, and the Huntly Railway Cottages in Harris Street as part of Variation 3	Accept
Heritage New Zealand	28.15		Retain the qualifying matter Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa Waikato - The vision and strategy for the Waikato River	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	28.15	213.9	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Waka Kotahi*	29.1		Support the walkable catchment for the medium Density Residential 2 Zone.	Reject
Top End Properties*	29.1	222.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	29.1	223.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	29.1	224.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	29.1	225.1	Allow the submission in whole	Reject
Waka Kotahi*	29.2		No change sought.	Accept
Waka Kotahi*	29.2		No change sought.	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	29.2	225.2	Allow the submission in whole	Accept
Waka Kotahi*	29.3		Evaluate the additional option of providing for increased density in the four towns and make any consequential changes.	Accept
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	29.3	206.1	Reject submission point.	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	29.3	218.1	Accept submission point	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	29.3	221.3	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	29.3	222.2	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	29.3	223.2	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	29.3	224.2	Allow the submission	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	29.3	225.3	Allow the submission in part	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	29.3	228.1	Reject in part	

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Waka Kotahi*	29.4		Defer any decision on MRZ2-S14 until the Environment Court mediated process assigned for Topic 5 Infrastructure OR Delete MRZ2-S14 and replace with Waka Kotahi preferred noise provisions.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	29.4	217.13	Accept submission point.	Defer decision
Pareoranga Te Kata	29.4	225.4	Allow the submission in part	Defer decision
Waka Kotahi*	29.5		Amend the assessment criteria under TRPT-R4(2) to include a specific requirement for traffic assessments to demonstrate how the proposal mitigates operational greenhouse gas effects.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	29.5	213.10	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Top End Properties*	29.5	222.3	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	29.5	223.3	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	29.5	224.3	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	29.5	225.5	Allow the submission in part	Reject
Ara Poutama Aotearoa	30.1		Provide for community corrections facilities in appropriate locations, should they be required in the future. Ara Poutama currently operates one non-custodial community corrections site in the Waikato district, comprising 'Huntly Community Corrections' is located at 2 Glasgow Street, Huntly within the Commercial Zone.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	30.1	217.14	Accept submission point.	Reject
Pareoranga Te Kata	30.1	225.6	Allow the submission in part	Reject
Ara Poutama Aotearoa	30.2		Enable residential accommodation activities (with support) to establish and operate within appropriate areas, which is likely to include areas of housing intensification.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	30.2	217.15	Accept submission point.	Reject
Pareoranga Te Kata	30.2	225.7	Allow the submission in part	Reject
Ara Poutama Aotearoa	30.3		Add a definition for "Household" as follows: Means a person or group of people who live together as a unit whether or not: (a) any or all of them are members of the same family; or (b) one or more members of the group (whether or not they are paid) provides day-to-day care, support and supervision to any other member(s) of the group.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	30.3	217.16	Reject submission point.	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	30.3	225.8	Allow the submission in part	Reject
Ara Poutama Aotearoa	30.4		Amend the definition of Supported residential accommodation as follows: Means, in the Corrections Zone, the use of a residential unit(s) by a person or persons who reside within such unit(s) on a short- or long-term basis and receives supervision, assistance, care and/or support from, or on behalf of, Ara Poutama Aotearoa – The Department of Corrections. It includes the provision of non-custodial rehabilitation activities.	Reject
Pareoranga Te Kata	30.4	225.9	Allow the submission in part	Reject
Tara Kingi-Christiston	31.1		Amend MDRZ zoning to include 12 Lower Waikato Esplanade, Ngaaruawaahia	Accept
Pareoranga Te Kata	31.1	225.1	Allow the submission	Accept
S Upton and B Miller*	32.1		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the application of MRZ2 zone to the extent proposed in Ngaaruawaahia as shown on the planning maps of the decision version of the PWDP. AND Review the extent of greenfields residential zoning at the existing urban / rural boundary of Ngaaruawaahia (as per the Decisions Version of the Proposed Waikato District Plan)	Reject
Mark de Lautour and Dee Kiernan	32.1	202.1	Reject submission and seek that the map land use identified in the Variation 3 be approved - specifically 46 Jackson Street, Nbaaruawaahia.	Accept
Lisa and Michael Garth	33.1		Retain General Residential Zone in Pookeno	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	33.1	206.2	Accept submission point.	Reject
Ngāti Te Ata	33.1	228.2	Accept submission point 33.1 to the extent it seeks to limit the application of the MDRS throughout Pōkeno's rural backdrop.	Reject
Nathan Harvey	34.1		Retain new MRZ2 zone for its intended purposes.	Accept in part
Tuurangawaewae Marae	35.1		Amend Section 6E and 6F (historic heritage qualifying matters) to include the surrounding areas of Tuurangawaewae Marae.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	35.1	213.11	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	35.1	217.17	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Nthan Harvey	34.2		Retain GRZ zone for its intended purposes.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ngāti Te Ata	34.2	228.3	Accept submission point 35.2 to the extent it seeks to limit the application of the MDRS throughout Pōkeno's rural backdrop.	Reject
Tuurangawaewae Marae	35.2		Delete the surrounding area of Tuurangawaewae Marae from MDRS zoning maps including River Road, Regent Street, Kent Street, George Street, Edwards Street, and King and Queen Street.	Accept in part
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	35.2	206.3	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	35.2	213.12	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	35.2	217.18	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Nathan Harvey	34.3		Amend the zoning of the sites at 40 and 45 Harrisville Road, Barnaby Road, Percy Graham Road and Gordon Paul Place, Tuakau from MRZ2 to GRZ.	Reject
Anita Jacobsen	36.1		Delete Variation 3.	Reject
Peter Nicholas and Ann Nicholas	37.1		Reject Variation 3 in its entirety (as is stated in submission #41).	Reject
Chris Annadale	38.1		Assurance that if the proposal goes ahead, it will not impact on existing residents. The submission expresses the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Shade, privacy and noise.</li> <li>· Parking.</li> <li>· The quality of life and wellbeing of residents.</li> <li>· Potential for slum style living.</li> <li>· Safety.</li> </ul>	Reject
Chris Annadale	38.2		Council should have had community meetings to address this earlier and help with the submission process as it is not designed for the average person to feel comfortable navigating the process.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hayley and Jarrod Taylor	39.1		Delete Variation 3 from the Proposed District Plan	Reject
Gurjeet Singh Sainy	40.1		Delete Variation 3	Reject
Pokeno Community Committee	41.1		Delete Variation 3 from the proposed district plan.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	41.1	200.1	That the submission be allowed.	Reject
Top End Properties*	41.1	222.4	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	41.1	223.4	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	41.1	224.4	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno Community Committee	41.2		Add Pokeno Special Character as a Qualifying matter in MR22-P6.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	41.2	206.4	Accept submission point to the extent it seeks to appropriately limit the application of the MDRS throughout Pōkeno.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	41.2	217.19	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	41.2	222.5	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	41.2	223.5	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	41.2	224.5	Disallow the submission	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	41.2	228.4	Accept submission point 41.22 to the extent it seeks to limit the application of the MDRS throughout Pōkeno's rural backdrop.	Reject
Pokeno Community Committee	41.3		Add more strict measures to protect current homeowners who may be adjacent to future medium density housing.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	41.3	217.20	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	41.3	222.6	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	41.3	223.6	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	41.3	224.6	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno Community Committee	41.4		At the least, apply these changes to newly created sections that have not yet been sold to homeowners	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	41.4	221.4	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	41.4	222.7	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	41.4	223.7	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	41.4	224.7	Disallow the submission	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.1		No specific decision requested, but submission is supportive of the Variation and acknowledges the scope of the change is directed by central government requirements.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.2		Amend provisions to make consequential amendments that have not been included in Variation 3 in order to support the creation of well-functioning urban environments. The submission draws particular attention to provisions relating to transport and considers they have not been updated to reflect the likely outcomes of implementing the National Policy Statement on Urban Development 2020 (NPS-UD) and the Medium Density Residential Standards (MDRS).	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.2	217.21	Accept submission point.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.3		No specific decision requested, but submission considers there is scope to strengthen policy wording around emissions in the Variation, especially in relation to transport provisions.	Reject
Top End Properties*	42.3	222.8	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	42.3	223.8	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	42.3	224.8	Disallow the submission	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Waikato Regional Council*	42.4		Amend to strengthen objectives and policies to address the following: (a) Enabling and prioritising walking, cycling, micro-mobility, and public transport over private vehicles (with pedestrian-oriented town centres). (b) Integration with land use to reduce the need to travel and vehicle kilometres travelled. (c) Prioritisation of climate change adaptation and reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. (d) Multi-modal connections. (e) End-of-journey facilities. (f) Cycle and micro-mobility parking and electric charging facilities. (g) Growing public transport patronage and supporting the transition to a rapid and frequent public transport network (also consistent with the Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP)). (h) Providing for travel choices. (i) Reconfiguring transport corridor space and requiring public transport provision for new transport corridors (including in this instance needing to consult with WRC). (j) Enhancing public and personal safety throughout the towns through the use of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles. These principles, when implemented provide actual and perceived safety outcomes, and therefore encourage walking and cycling. (k) Ensuring Land Transport Management Act and Government Policy Statement on Land Transport concepts are embedded – efficient, safe, accessible, sustainable and affordable.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.4	217.22	Accept submission point.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	42.4	219.1	Accept submission point, subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of any new provisions	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	42.4	220.1	Accept submission point, subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of any new provisions	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.5		Clarify whether the need for additional provisions to restore and protect the health and wellbeing of the Waikato River has been investigated given the additional intensification enabled by the Variation.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	42.5	200.2	That the submission be allowed.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	42.5	213.13	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	42.5	217.23	Accept submission point.	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Waikato Regional Council*	42.6		Amend objectives, policies, and rules to better give effect to Te Ture Whaimana, if required.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	42.6	200.3	That the submission be allowed.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	42.6	213.14	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	42.6	217.24	Accept submission point.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.7		Add a new section that identifies and discusses the qualifying matters that have resulted in the modification of the MDRS. This could be added under the 'Purpose' section or the objectives and policies of the MRZ2 chapter.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	42.7	213.15	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	42.7	217.25	Reject submission point.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.8		Consider enabling an intermediary density within the areas of the four towns outside of the 800m walkable catchment, for example by providing for two residential units per site as a Permitted Activity. AND Amend the objectives, policies, and rules within the areas of the four towns outside of the 800m walkable catchments subsequently.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	42.8	217.26	Reject submission point.	Accept in part
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	42.8	221.5	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	42.8	222.9	Allow the submission	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
CSL Trust*	42.8	223.9	Allow the submission	Accept in part
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	42.8	224.9	Allow the submission	Accept in part
Waikato Regional Council*	42.9		Retain MR22-O3 Residential amenity AND Retain MZR2 P8 Changes to amenity values	Accept
Kāinga Ora	42.9	217.27	Reject submission point.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.10		Add new OR Amend objectives, policies, rules, and standards to address climate change and carbon emissions reduction goals in the context of housing intensification, including consequential amendments to Part 2: District-wide matters.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	42.10	213.16	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.10	217.28	Accept submission point in part.	Reject
Top End Properties*	42.10	222.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	42.10	223.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	42.10	224.1	Disallow the submission	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.11		Add new policies that seek to avoid, minimise, or reduce the adverse effects of the transport network on adjoining land uses and the wider environment, such as those caused by transport emissions.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.11	217.29	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	42.11	222.11	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	42.11	223.11	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	42.11	224.11	Disallow the submission	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.12		Add a new policy that gives higher priority to making best use of existing transport corridors before widening corridors	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.13		Amend objectives and policies to protect and promote the development of the regional rail network for the transportation of passengers, as well as freight.	Reject
Kiwi Rail	42.13	215.1	Accept submission point.	reject
Kāinga Ora	42.13	217.30	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.14		Amend the objectives and policies to ensure that structures and other features are located and designed to avoid conflicts between road users.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	42.14	217.31	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.15		Retain references to CPTED principles	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.15	217.32	Accept submission point.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.16		Retain MRZ2-O4 Activities AND Retain MRZ2-P10 Non-residential activities AND Amend OR Add new rules to enable more, or expansion of existing, commercial and mixed uses where intensification will be occurring.	Accept in part
Waikato Regional Council*	42.17		Amend objectives and policies to: · Require adverse effects from the construction of the transport network, including embodied and operational greenhouse gas emissions, to be avoided, remedied, or mitigated; and - · Minimise the need to travel and the total distance travelled.	Reject
Waikato Regional Council*	42.17	205.8	Amend objectives and policies so that adverse effects from the construction, maintenance, upgrading and operation of the transport network, including embodied and operational greenhouse gas emissions, are avoided, remedied, or mitigated.	Reject
Waka Kotahi*	42.17	216.1	Require further clarification on how the policy approach would be applied in practice by roading authorities.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	42.17	217.33	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	42.17	222.12	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	42.17	223.12	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	42.17	224.12	Disallow the submission	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.18		Amend objectives and policies to require the resilience of the transport network to natural hazard risk and climate change disruptions to be improved.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.18	217.34	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.19		Amend OR Add objectives, policies, rules and/or matters of discretion which promote the retention of existing vegetation, particularly mature trees, wherever possible during development.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	42.19	217.35	Reject submission point.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.20		Consider adding a new matter of discretion relating to stormwater management	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	42.20	200.4	That the submission be allowed.	Accept
Waikato Regional Council*	42.21		Retain MRZ2-S10(1) Impervious surfaces	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	42.21	200.5	That the submission be allowed.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	42.21	217.36	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Waikato Regional Council*	42.22		Add a new matter of discretion to MRZ2-S10(2) Impervious surfaces relating to effects on waterways and/or the use of low-impact design technologies	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	42.22	200.6	That the submission be allowed to the extent that it would not have adverse stormwater effects.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	42.22	217.37	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Waikato Regional Council*	42.23		Amend MRZ2-S13(1)(a)(iv) Building setbacks – waterbodies to 26.5m from the margin of the Waikato River and the Waipa River.	Accept in part
Tineka Wymer	43.1		Retain Variation 3.	Accept in part
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.1		No specific decision requested, but submission supports the proposal to not allow further intensification by retaining the General Residential Zone in Pookeno to address qualifying matters. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.1	218.2	Reject submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	44.1	221.6	Accept submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	44.1	222.13	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	44.1	223.13	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.1	224.13	Disallow the submission	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.2		No specific decision requested, but submission opposes Variation 3 to the extent that increased housing density enabled by the Variation would generate adverse stormwater effects on downstream catchments. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.2	218.3	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	44.2	221.7	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Top End Properties*	44.2	222.14	Disallow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	44.2	223.14	Disallow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.2	224.14	Disallow the submission	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.3		No specific decision requested, but submission opposes Variation 3 to the extent that the Variation goes beyond the central Government directions to promulgate plan changes to incorporate the MDRS and give effect to the NPS-UD and would enable more intense development. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.3	218.4	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	44.3	222.15	Disallow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	44.3	223.15	Disallow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.3	224.15	Disallow the submission	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.4		Amend the stormwater management provisions throughout the PDP to ensure that such adverse stormwater effects on properties downstream of proposed development are appropriately, avoided remedied or mitigated, in the event that Variation 3 is approved. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.4	218.5	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	44.4	222.16	Disallow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	44.4	223.16	Disallow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.4	224.16	Disallow the submission	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.5		Amend the stormwater provisions of the PDP and Variation 3 to address the adverse stormwater effects of more intense development in terms of altered natural flow paths, and altered hydrological conditions, including the volume, frequency and duration of discharges, and the extent of inundation on downstream properties. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.5	218.6	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	44.5	222.17	Disallow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	44.5	223.17	Disallow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.5	224.17	Disallow the submission	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.6		Amend the PDP to take a consistent approach to stormwater management across the entire plan, with the stormwater management provisions in all chapters amended accordingly. The submission notes that there are provisions governing stormwater management in urban areas throughout the PDP including in the Definitions, Strategic Direction, Water Wastewater and Stormwater, All Infrastructure, Natural Hazards and Climate Change, Subdivision, Earthworks and all Residential Zone chapters of the PDP. AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.6	218.7	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	44.6	222.18	Disallow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	44.6	223.18	Disallow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.6	224.18	Disallow the submission	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	44.7		Retain the proposal not to allow further intensification of residential land at Pookeno to address qualifying matters AND Any consequential amendments to other parts of the PDP to address the matters raised in the submission.	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	44.7	218.8	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Top End Properties*	44.7	222.19	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	44.7	223.19	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	44.7	224.19	Disallow the submission	Accept
David Jones	45.1		Amend GRZ05 and GRZ06 to have clear requirements and definitions. Specifically “complementary”, “sufficient” and “appropriate”.	Reject
David Jones	45.2		Amend and re-evaluate GRZ-P11.	Reject
David Jones	45.3		Delete TCZ-O3.	Reject
David Jones	45.4		Delete MRZ1-S1.	Reject
David Jones	45.5		Retain MRZ1-S7	Reject
David Jones	45.6		Reduce the extent of farmland and open grazing land which is proposed to be zoned to MRZ2.	Reject
Synlait Milk Ltd	46.1		Retain the Pokeno planning map as notified, in particular the retention of the General Residential Zoning as shown on the Planning Map. Submission opposes any change from General Residential to Medium Residential Zone 1 or Medium Residential Zone 2 on land adjoining or in proximity of the Heavy Industrial Zone.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	46.1	206.5	Support submission point in part.	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	46.1	218.9	Reject submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	46.1	221.8	Accept submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	46.1	222.2	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	46.1	223.2	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	46.1	242.2	Disallow the submission	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	46.1	228.5	Accept submission point 46.1 to the extent it seeks to appropriately limit the application of the MDRS throughout Pokeno’s rural backdrop.	Reject
Synlait Milk Ltd	46.2		Amend MRZ2-O6 Reverse sensitivity as follows: Avoid or minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity by managing the location ...	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Havelock Vilages Limited*	46.2	218.10	Reject submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	46.2	221.9	Accept submission point.	Reject
Synlait Milk Ltd	46.3		Retain MRZ-P6 Qualifying matters as notified.	Accept
Havelock Vilages Limited*	46.3	218.11	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	46.3	221.10	Accept submission point.	Accept
Synlait Milk Ltd	46.4		Retain MRZ-P11 Reverse Sensitivity as notified. AND Retain existing mitigation such as the Pookeno Industry Buffer to avoid any erosion of the existing development rights and opportunities of the Heavy Industrial Zone.	Accept
Havelock Vilages Limited*	46.4	218.12	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	46.4	221.11	Accept submission point.	Accept
Pookeno Village Holdings Ltd	47.1		Delete Variation 3 from the Proposed District Plan	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	47.1	200.7	That the submission be allowed.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	47.1	200.8	That the submission be allowed.	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	47.1	218.13	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	47.1	222.21	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	47.1	223.21	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	47.1	224.21	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pookeno Village Holdings Ltd	47.2		That the Council review all land zoned General Residential Zone in the Proposed Waikato District Plan decision, reducing General Residential Zone to give effect to the NPS-UD in a manner that reflects the true residential demand capacity.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Havelock Vilages Limited*	47.2	218.14	Reject submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	47.2	221.12	Accept submission point in part.	Reject
Top End Properties*	47.2	222.22	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	47.2	223.22	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	47.2	224.22	Disallow the submission	Accept
Megan Martin	48.1		No specific decision requested, but submission opposes Variation 3. Submitter considers that areas for future planning should be set out for townhouses with commune style living and facilities to support this, ie coffee shops, gardens, central areas.	Reject
Horotiu Farms Limited	49.1		Amend Variation 3 zoning maps to include areas 1-10 Horotiu West as Medium Density Residential Zone (MDRZ) located between Great South Road and State Highway 1 (shown in submissions) AND Associated consequential amendments to the Proposed District Plan .	Deferred to later hearing
Korris Limited	49.1	201.1	Allow submission.	Deferred to later hearing
Warren and Heather Parker	49.1	207.1	Accept the submission	Deferred to later hearing
Craig Merritt	49.1	212.1	That the further submission be allowed	Deferred to later hearing
Ports of Auckland	49.1	214.2	Disallow the submission point	Deferred to later hearing
Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	50.1		Delete the urban fringe qualifying matter and apply the MDRS as required by the RMA across the relevant residential zones. AND Amend to apply the MDRS to all relevant residential zones.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	50.1	200.9	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	50.1	206.6	Reject submission point.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	50.1	217.38	Accept submission point.	Accept
Havelock Vilages Limited*	50.1	218.15	Accept submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	50.1	221.13	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	50.1	222.23	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	50.1	223.23	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	50.1	224.23	Allow the submission	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	50.1	228.6	Reject submission point 50.1	Accept
Ministry of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)	50.2		No specific decision requested, but submission considers that Variation 3 correctly identified the residential zones in Huntly, Ngaaruawaahia, Tuakau, and Pookeno as relevant residential zones.	Accept
Rangitahi	50.2	208.1	Accept submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	50.2	222.24	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	50.2	223.24	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	50.2	224.24	Allow the submission	Accept
Allen Frabric Ltd	51.1		Amend to limit 3x3 houses to within walking distance from town centres as per the proposed amended by Council.	Reject
Brian Hopkins	52.1		Delete Variation 3. Submission expresses concern about the character of Pookeno being changed from a country village to a multi-storey subdivision and the lack of public notification for permitted buildings.	Reject
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.1		Retain SD-O14	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.2		Retain Table 12 - access and road standards	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.3		Retain SUB-P23	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.4		Retain SUB-R31	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.5		Retain SUB-R153	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.6		Add new matters of control to SUB-R154 to include (f) Vehicle and pedestrian networks.	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.7		Retain MRZ-P3	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.8		Amend matter of discretion in MRZ2-S1: (b) Design, scale and layout of buildings and outdoor living spaces in relation to the planned urban character of the zone, the efficient movement of residents and the provision for the health and safety of residents in meeting their day-to-day needs.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	53.8	217.39	Reject submission point.	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.9		Add advice note to MRZ2-S4 as follows: Advice note: Building setback requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted. AND Add new matter of discretion as follows: 4. The extent to which the non-compliance compromises the efficient movement of residents and emergency services and the provision for the health and safety of residents in meeting their day-to-day needs.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	53.9	217.4	Reject submission point.	Accept
Fire and Emergency NZ	53.10		Add advice note within MRZ2-S6 : Advice note: Access requirements are further controlled by the Building Code. This includes the provision for firefighter access to buildings and egress from buildings. Plan users should refer to the applicable controls within the Building Code to ensure compliance can be achieved at the building consent stage. Issuance of a resource consent does not imply that waivers of Building Code requirements will be considered/granted. AND Add new matter of discretion (new text shown as bold underlining): 4. The extent to which the non-compliance compromises the provision for the health and safety of residents in meeting their day-to-day needs.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	53.10	217.41	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kiwi Rail*	54.1		Retain rail as a qualifying matter pursuant to s771(e) and s770(e) of the Resource Management Act 1991	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.10		Amend MRZ2-P11 Reverse sensitivity as follows: Maintain appropriate setback distances between new sensitive (and altered) land uses and existing lawfully established activities and require buildings to be designed with acoustic insulation and vibration measures to minimise the potential that may result in for reverse sensitivity effects and risks to public health and safety. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	54.1	217.42	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.2		Amend Variation 3 to ensure development near the rail corridor does not adversely affect the safe or efficient operation of the rail corridor as follows: · a 5m setback apply to all buildings, not just buildings containing sensitive land uses; and · acoustic insulation and ventilation standards be applied to all (new and altered) noise sensitive activities within 100m of the railway corridor; and · a vibration standard be applied to all (new and altered) noise sensitive activities within 60m of the rail corridor. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Defer decision
Hugh Green Limited	54.2	204.4	Reject submission so that: A new acoustic installation and ventilation standard applying to all noise sensitive activities within 100 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3; AND A new vibration standard to all noise sensitive activities within 60 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	54.2	217.43	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Ryman Healthcare Limited	54.2	219.2	Disallow submission point	Defer decision
Retirement Villages Association	54.2	220.2	Disallow submission point	Defer decision

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kiwi Rail*	54.3		No specific decision requested, but submission supports urban development, including around transport nodes, and recognises the benefits of co-locating housing near transport corridors which provide passenger connections.	Accept in part
Kiwi Rail*	54.4		Retain the definition of "Qualifying Matters".	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.5		Retain SD-O14 Well-functioning urban environment.	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.6		Retain SD-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards.	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.7		Retain MRZ2-O5 Qualifying matters	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.8		Amend MRZ2-O6 Reverse sensitivity as follows: (1) Avoid or minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity and risks to public health and safety by managing the location and design of sensitive activities through: (a) The use of building setbacks; and (b) The design of subdivisions and development. ; and (c) The design of buildings, including use of acoustic insulation, ventilation and vibration measures. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
Waka Kotahi*	54.8	216.2	Accept submission point.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	54.8	217.44	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	54.8	219.3	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	54.8	220.3	Disallow submission point	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.9		Amend MRZ2-P6 Qualifying matters as follows: Restrict residential development to an appropriate level to provide for and protect any relevant qualifying matters AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	54.9	217.45	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kiwi Rail*	54.10		Amend MRZ2-P11 Reverse sensitivity as follows: Maintain appropriate setback distances between new <u>sensitive (and altered)</u> land uses <u>and existing lawfully established activities and require buildings to be designed with acoustic insulation and vibration measures to minimise the potential that may result in for</u> reverse sensitivity effects <u>and risks to public health and safety</u> . AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	54.10	209.24	If the submission is allowed, amend the wording as follows: Maintain appropriate setback distances between new (and altered) land uses and existing lawfully established activities that may result in reverse sensitivity effects and or, where appropriate, require buildings to be designed with acoustic insulation and vibration measures to minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity effects and risks to public health and safety.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	54.10	217.46	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	54.10	219.4	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	54.10	220.4	Disallow submission point	Accept
Kiwi Rail*	54.11		Amend GRZ-S20 Building setback – sensitive land use as follows: (1) Activity status: PER Where: (a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building for a sensitive land use shall be set back a minimum of: (i) 5m from the designated boundary of the railway corridor; (ii)(i) 15m from the boundary of a national route or regional arterial; (iii)(ii) 25m from the designated boundary of the Waikato Expressway; (iv)(iii) 300m from the edge of oxidation ponds that are part of a municipal wastewater treatment facility on another site; (v)(iv) 30m from a municipal wastewater treatment facility where the treatment process is fully enclosed; and (vi)(v) 300m from the boundary of the Alstra Poultry intensive farming activities located on River Road and Great South Road, Ngaaruawaahia. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Defer decision

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	54.11	217.47	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kiwi Rail*	54.12		Amend MRZ2-S14 Building setback – sensitive land use as follows: (1) Activity status: PER Where: (a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building for a sensitive land use shall be set back a minimum of: (i) 5m from the designated boundary of the railway corridor; (ii)(i) 15m from the boundary of a national route or regional arterial; (iii)(ii) 25m from the designated boundary of the Waikato Expressway; (iv)(iii) 300m from the edge of oxidation ponds that are part of a municipal wastewater treatment facility on another site; (v)(iv) 30m from a municipal wastewater treatment facility where the treatment process is fully enclosed; and (vi)(v) 300m from the boundary of the Alstra Poultry intensive farming activities located on River Road and Great South Road, Ngaaruawaahia. (vii)(vi) 6m from the centre of a gas transmission line identified on the planning maps AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	54.12	217.48	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kiwi Rail*	54.13		Add a new permitted activity standard into the GRZ and MRZ2 (and all relevant zones adjoining the rail corridor affected by Variation 3) as follows: RX- Building Setback – railway corridor (1) Activity status: PER Where: (a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building must be setback 5 metres from any designated railway corridor boundary. (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters: (a) The size, nature and location of buildings on the site; (b) The extent to which the safety efficiency and of rail operations will be adversely affected; (c) The outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail; (d) Any characteristics of the proposed use that will make compliance unnecessary. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.	Defer decision
Hugh Green Limited	54.13	204.5	Reject submission so that: A new acoustic installation and ventilation standard applying to all noise sensitive activities within 100 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3; AND A new vibration standard to all noise sensitive activities within 60 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3.	Defer decision

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ports of Auckland	54.13	214.3	Disallow the submission insofar as it may apply to zones other than the GRZ or MRZ2.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	54.13	217.49	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kiwi Rail*	54.14		Add a new rule RX- Indoor railway noise into the GRZ and MRZ2 (and all relevant zones affected by Variation 3) for sensitive land uses within 100m of the legal boundary of the rail corridor. See submission for details of new rule, which includes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· a permitted activity;</li> <li>· restricted discretionary activity where there is non-compliance with any of the standards; and</li> <li>· Schedule Y Construction Schedule for indoor noise control. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.</li> </ul>	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	54.14	217.50	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kiwi Rail*	54.15		Add a new rule into the GRZ and MRZ2 (and all relevant zones adjoining the rail corridor) as follows: R4-Rail Vibration (1) Activity status: PER <p>(a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building for a sensitive land use within 60m of the legal boundary of any railway network</p> <p>Activity-specific standards: (1) Any new buildings or alterations to existing buildings containing a sensitive land use, closer than 60 metres from the boundary of a railway network must be: (a) designed, constructed and maintained to achieve rail vibration levels not exceeding 0.3 mm/s vw,95 or (b) a single-storey framed residential building with: i. a constant level floor slab on a full surface vibration isolation bearing with natural frequency not exceeding 10 Hz, installed in accordance with the supplier's instructions and recommendations; and ii. vibration isolation separating the sides of the floor slab from the ground; and iii. no rigid connections between the building and the ground. (2) Activity status where compliance is not achieved: RDIS: (a) location of the building; (b) the effects of any non-compliance with the activity specific standards; (c) special topographical, building features or ground conditions which will mitigate vibration impacts; (d) the outcome of any consultation with KiwiRail. AND Such further or other consequential relief, as may be necessary, to fully give effect to the relief sought.</p>	Defer decision

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hugh Green Limited	54.15	204.6	Reject submission so that: A new acoustic installation and ventilation standard applying to all noise sensitive activities within 100 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3; AND A new vibration standard to all noise sensitive activities within 60 m of the railway corridor across all zones is not introduced as part of Variation 3.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	54.15	217.51	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Tupeka Kani	55.1		Delete Variation 3 from the proposed district plan	Reject
Hemokai Kani	56.1		Delete Variation 3 from the proposed district plan	Reject
Sharlene Kani	57.1		Delete Variation 3 from the Proposed District Plan	Reject
Turia Kani	58.1		Delete Variation 3 from the proposed district plan	Reject
Wai Kani	59.1		Delete Variation 3 from the Proposed District Plan	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.1		Amend MRZ1-R4 Community facilities to include educational facilities as follows: MRZ1-R4 Community facilities and educational facilities AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.1		Amend Variation 3 to include provisions for educational facilities to enable the Ministry of Education to service the growth facilitated by Variation 3 in the Waikato District. This includes new objectives and amendments to existing policies and rules to specifically enable and provide for educational facilities in the residential zones. AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	60.1	217.52	Accept submission point in part.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.2		Confirmation that a qualifying matter does not apply to Minister of Education designations, such that section 77M (6) of the RMA can immediately be relied upon by the Ministry in the absence of any other qualifying matters applying to Schools. AND Any consequential amendments.	Accept
Ministry of Education	60.3		No specific decision requested, but submission broadly supports provisions in Variation 3 that seek to put in place a framework that will deliver integrated communities that support the concepts of liveable, walkable and connected neighbourhoods. This includes a transport network that is easy and safe to use for pedestrians and cyclists and is well connected to public transport, shops, schools, employment, open spaces and other amenities. AND Any consequential amendments.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ministry of Education	60.4		Add a new objective as follows: GRZ-O7 Educational Facilities Residential development is supported by educational facilities AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	60.4	217.53	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	60.4	219.5	Allow submission point subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of this provision	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	60.4	220.5	Allow submission point subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of this provision	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.5		Amend GRZ-P15(1)(iii) Non-residential activities as follows: (1) Maintain the zone for residential activities by: iii. Enabling non-residential activities that provide for the health, safety and wellbeing of the community, including educational facilities and that service or support an identified local need; AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.6		Delete GRZ-R9 Childcare facility AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.7		Amend GRZ-R13 Educational facilities as follows: GRZ-R13 Educational facilities This excludes childcare facilities. (1) Activity status: RDIS Activity-specific standards: Nil AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.8		Add the following objective: MRZ1-O5 Educational Facilities Residential development is supported by educational facilities AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.9		Amend MRZ1-P7(1)(a) Non-residential activities as follows: (1) Maintain the zone primarily for residential activities while also: a) Ensuring community facilities and educational facilities: i) Are suitably located; ii) Are of a limited scale and intensity that is compatible with the zone; iii) Contribute to the amenity of the neighbourhood; and iv) Support the social and economic well-being of the residential community. AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.11		Add the following new objective: MRZ1-O7 Educational Facilities Residential development is supported by educational facilities AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ryman Healthcare Limited	60.11	219.6	Allow submission point subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of this provision	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	60.11	220.6	Allow submission point subject to excluding retirement villages from the application of this provision	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.12		Amend MRZ2-P10(1)(a) Non-residential activities as follows (1) Maintain the zone primarily for residential activities while also: a) Ensuring community facilities and educational facilities: i) Are suitably located; ii) Are of a limited scale and intensity that is compatible with the zone; iii) Contribute to the amenity of the neighbourhood; and iv) Support the social and economic well-being of the residential community. AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Ministry of Education	60.13		Amend MRZ2-R4 Community facilities to include educational facilities as follows: MRZ2-R4 Community facilities and educational facilities AND Any consequential amendments.	Reject
Teresa Wine	61.1		Delete Variation 3	Reject
Top End Properties*	61.1	222.25	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	61.1	223.25	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	61.1	224.25	Disallow the submission	Accept
Teresa Wine	61.2		Add Pookeno special character as a qualifying matter	Reject
Top End Properties*	61.2	222.26	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	61.2	223.26	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	61.2	224.26	Disallow the submission	Accept
Teresa Wine	61.3		At the least, apply these changes to newly created sections that have not yet been sold to homeowners	Reject
Top End Properties*	61.3	222.27	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	61.3	223.27	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	61.3	224.27	Disallow the submission	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Classic Group Holdings	62.1		Amend the definition of "landscaped area" as follows: Means any part of the site with grass or plants, and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. that is grassed and/or planted in trees, shrubs, or ground cover and may include ancillary water, rocks, paved areas or amenity features.	Accept in part
Ryman Healthcare Limited	62.1	219.7	Allow submission point	Accept in part
Retirement Villages Association	62.1	220.7	Allow submission point	Accept in part
Classic Group Holdings	62.2		Delete SUB-R152 (1a) must have a minimum net site area (excluding access legs) of 200m2 except where:	Reject
Storme Baird	63.1		Amend zoning 35 Old Taupiri Road from General Residential Zone to MRZ2	Accept
Megan Ryder	64.1		Delete Huntly from Variation 3	Reject
Megan Ryder	64.2		No specific decision requested, but submission considers that if built, any medium density housing definitely needs to be regulated by rules along with permission sought from neighbours.	Reject
Joss Annandale	65.1		Assurance that if the Variation proceeds that it will not impact on existing residents.	Reject
Dominion Developments Ltd	66.1		Amend the zoning of the property at 26 King Street, Ngaaruwaahia (legal description Part Section 151 Suburbs of Newcastle North) and along all the properties of King Street from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone 2 OR Add a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to allow medium density residential development with amendments to the following provisions [see submission for detailed amendments]: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· SUB-P3 Lot sizes</li> <li>· SUB-P23 Medium density residential subdivision</li> <li>· SUB-R11 Subdivision – general</li> <li>· New rule SUB-R11A Medium density residential</li> <li>· GRZ-O1 Residential character</li> <li>· GRZ-P3 Setback side boundaries AND Any consequential amendments required across the Proposed Waikato District Plan.</li> </ul>	Accept, on the proviso the urban fringe qualifying matter is removed

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ports of Auckland	66.1	214.4	Disallow the submission sought in respect of a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to the extent that it would allow medium density residential development in areas outside of the “urban environment”, such as Horotiu.	Accept, on the proviso the urban fringe qualifying matter is removed
Dominion Developments Ltd	66.2		Amend the zoning of the property at 24 and 32A Saalbrey Road, Ngaaruawaahia (legal description Sections 158 and 159 Suburbs of Newcastle South) from General Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone 2 OR Add a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to allow medium density residential development with amendments to the following provisions [see submission for detailed amendments]: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· SUB-P3 Lot sizes</li> <li>· SUB-P23 Medium density residential subdivision</li> <li>· SUB-R11 Subdivision – general</li> <li>· New rule SUB-R11A Medium density residential</li> <li>· GRZ-O1 Residential character</li> <li>· GRZ-P3 Setback side boundaries</li> </ul> AND Any consequential amendments required across the Proposed Waikato District Plan.	Accept in part, on the proviso the urban fringe qualifying matter is removed. Refer also to Addendum 1
Ports of Auckland	66.2	214.5	Disallow the submission sought in respect of a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to the extent that it would allow medium density residential development in areas outside of the “urban environment”, such as Horotiu.	Accept in part, on the proviso the urban fringe qualifying matter is removed. Refer also to Addendum 1
Christopher Els	67.1		Delete Variation 3	Reject
Stephen Banks	68.1		Amend to restrict medium density housing to areas not yet developed so people are aware, when purchasing in the future, what is allowed in that location. The submission refers to Pookeno.	Reject
Simone Bylsma	69.1		Remove Variation as applied in Tuakau	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
J and A Whetu	70.1		Amend Variation 3 to protect the privacy and amenity of properties located in the proposed new Medium Density Residential Zone 2 but choose not to develop their properties by way of amendments to the following provisions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>MRZ2-O6 Reverse sensitivity: (b) Enabling properties/sites that do not develop to medium density residential to protect its privacy and amenity</li> <li>MRZ2-P11 Reverse Sensitivity: (2) Allow structures, and/or screen planting, that protect privacy and amenity on properties/sites that adjoin medium density residential development</li> <li>New rule MRZ2-S9A Screening on Non-Medium Density Residential developed properties: (1) Activity status: PER A property/site that adjoins a property/site(s) where any land use and building under standards MRZ2-S2 to MRZ2-S7 (excluding MRSZ2-S4A) is carried out, the following is permitted: (a) The construction of privacy structures of 4m high within 1m of the adjoining boundary or (b) The planting of trees of up to 11m in height, along the shared boundary (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters: (a) Shading AND Amend Variation 3 to protect the privacy and other interests of properties located in the proposed General Residential Zone that also adjoin Medium Density Residential Zone 2 properties, in a similar way to the amendments outlined above. AND Any consequential amendments in other areas of Variation 3 or the Proposed District Plan as needed.</li> </ul>	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	70.1	213.17	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	70.1	219.8	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	70.1	220.8	Disallow submission point	Accept
Jodie Bell	71.1		Retain the Medium Residential Zone	Accept in part
Jodie Bell	71.2		Amend MRZ2-S3 height in relation to boundary as follows): (iii) site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between 2 buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed. AND Add a new rule as: Common walls are not permitted in MDRZ2 unless the common wall adjoins non-habitable garaging at ground level.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	71.2	219.9	Disallow submission point	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	71.2	220.9	Disallow submission point	Accept
Jodie Bell	71.3		Amend MRZ2-S4 setbacks to read as follows: as: (b) This standard does not apply to site boundaries where there is an existing common wall between 2 buildings on adjacent sites or where a common wall is proposed. AND Add a new rule as follows: Common walls are not permitted in MDRZ2 unless the common wall adjoins non-habitable garaging at ground level.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	71.3	219.10	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	71.3	220.10	Disallow submission point	Accept
Top End Properties*	71.3	222.28	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	71.3	223.28	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	71.3	224.28	Disallow the submission	Accept
Jodie Bell	71.4		Amend urban fringe to from 800m to 1000m (1km)	Reject
Jodi Bell	71.5		Amend MRZ2 zoning maps to include the following: From Belt Street to North Street, Ngaaruawaahia; Uenuku Street, an extended area of Havelock North; Kent Street, George Street, Queen Street and King Street (extended to the end of the road).	Accept in part
Jodie Bell	71.6		Retain the Lower Waipa Esplanade Area being included in the MZR2 zone.	Accept
Estate of Te Puea Herangi	72.1		Amend the Variation to apply Section 771(a) Section 6 matters to include the surrounding areas of Tuurangawaewae marae.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	72.1	213.18	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	72.1	217.54	Reject submission point in part.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Estate of Te Puea Herangi	72.2		Amend the zoning of the properties surrounding Tuarangawaewae Marae, including River Road, Regent Street, Kent Street, George Street, Edward Street, King and Queen Street that were proposed to be rezoned MDRS.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	72.2	213.19	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	72.2	217.55	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Chris Parker	73.1		Delete Variation 3	Reject
Top End Properties*	73.1	222.29	Disallow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	73.1	223.29	Disallow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	73.1	224.29	Disallow the submission	Accept
Patricia (Trish) Savage	74.1		Amend the restricted area in Pookeno that the MDRS has been applied to, and focus on areas in Pookeno that are yet to be developed rather than areas that currently have housing with existing covenants.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	74.1	206.7	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	74.1	228.7	Reject submission point 74.1.	
Patricia (Trish) Savage	74.2		Amend the approach to look for total area equivalent spaces to the proposed area covered under the blanket 800m radius which might be made up of pockets of land in different areas. The submission describes a few undeveloped areas around Pookeno where medium density housing could be considered without affecting current housing, such as east side of Helenslee Road, and the area in behind Helenslee and Hillside which are in the proposed areas to be rezoned.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.1		Amend parts of the Variation as detailed in submission.	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.2		In principle support the proposed MDRZ 1 and 2 Zones.	Accept in part
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.3		Support Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River including setback from the Waikato River.	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.4		Include urban design rules including: · MFE urban design guides · Restricted discretionary rules to include design and character, and associated rules and design guides · Use of assessment by Waikato urban design panel with associated design guidelines.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.4	219.11	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	75.4	220.11	Disallow submission point	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.5		Add Buffer adjacent to historic heritage, with reduced heights and setbacks, along with rules that reduce heights and site coverage in terms of infill and subdivision of historic heritage AND Add include potential historic areas of the 4 towns as a qualifying matter	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.5	219.13	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	75.5	220.13	Disallow submission point	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.6		Add definition of 'character' and inclusion in residential chapter or character along with design guidelines	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.7		Amend rules for residential chapter for amenity and specifically terms of proposed MRZ2. Submitter requests to add the following rules (however does not provide specifics in relation to the rule): · Privacy. · North facing · Daylight and overshadowing. · On-site parking. · Setbacks from the Waikato River and natural gullies. · Setback of developments adjacent to historic heritage. · Retaining established on-site trees and landscaping · Retaining existing	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			buildings that are adaptable and can be repaired.	
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.7	219.14	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	75.7	220.14	Disallow submission point	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.8		Include carparking rule requiring where an on-site vehicle parking area includes more than 4 parking spaces; it must be landscaped at a certain rate	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.9		Retain rules which include character, privacy, permeable surfaces definitions, and north facing and controls on overshadowing, AND Add associated design rules and urban design guidelines for example a rule that requires modelling of overshadowing of neighbouring properties when it falls outside the permitted activity status.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.9	219.12	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	75.9	220.12	Disallow submission point	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.10		Add rules that require landscaping assessment AND Add rule that gives benefits to developers if substantial onsite trees are retained. Tree sizes should be maintained, with protection of dripline as per Notable Trees to ensure trees survive.	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.11		Add a rule within the chapter if more than 10% of a street is under redevelopment there should be a neighbourhood infrastructure plan in place.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.11	219.15	Disallow submission point	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	75.11	220.15	Disallow submission point	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.12		Add a monitoring rule to control the degree of change and give the ability to pre plan and reduce impacts for the street or neighbourhood eg impacts on parking . The monitoring rule within the residential zones should include planning provisions, landscaping, permeable surfaces etc. tied to the CCC. In regards streets a rule in residential chapter that assesses impact of parking with introduction of 3x3 housing and requires a consultant street design for parking and trees and landscaping before	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			substantial change in scale of developments is constructed.	
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.13		Include a rule that only allows linking to laterals where they are less than 20 years old	Reject
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.14		Add a cumulative assessment rule when 3 X 3 proposals exceed 20% of street	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	75.14	219.16	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	75.14	220.16	Disallow submission point	Accept
Laura Kellaway and Bryan Windeatt	75.15		Add rule for accessible units and developments with MD.	Reject
Waikato District Council	76.1		Amend Variation 3 to give greater consideration to the capacity within the three-waters network to accommodate the future growth that would be enabled by Variation 3.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	76.1	200.10	That the submission be allowed.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	76.1	213.20	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	76.1	217.56	Reject submission point.	Accept
Waikato District Council	76.2		Amend Variation 3 to give greater consideration to the urban design outcomes of development and growth enabled by Variation 3.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	76.2	200.11	That the submission be allowed.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	76.2	213.21	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	76.2	217.57	Reject submission point.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	76.2	219.17	Disallow submission point	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	76.2	220.17	Disallow submission point	Reject
Eden Lapwood	77.1		Amend Variation 3 to exclude Tuakau.	Reject
Gaylene and Wayne Rogers	78.1		Delete Variation 3. The submission refers particularly to Pookeno.	Reject
Elizabeth Anne Nicholas	79.1		Remove MRZ2 from Pookeno and oppose proposals that have been imposed by central government.	Reject
Michelle and Jonathan Locke	80.1		Delete the renaming of Medium Density Residential Zone Tuakau to Medium Density Zone 2	Reject
Michelle and Jonathan Locke	80.2		No specific decision requested, but submission observes that Pookeno is spelt wrong through the whole document.	Noted
Marlana Maru	81.1		Delete medium density zoning in Ngaaruawaahia.	Reject
CSL Trust*	82.1		Amend to apply the MDRS to all residential land within urban environments of the District, subject to any legitimate qualifying matters. This would apply to Pookeno, Tuakau, Huntly and Ngaaruawaahia. If necessary, a new zone created to accommodate that amendment. This zone could be referred to as General Residential Zone 2 (GRZ2) or similar. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.1	200.12	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.1	206.8	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.1	209.1	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ports of Auckland	82.1	214.6	Disallow the submission sought in respect of a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to the extent that it would allow medium density residential development in areas outside of the “urban environment”, such as Horotiu.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.1	222.3	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.1	224.3	Not specified	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	82.1	228.8	Reject submission point 82.1 in part	Reject
CSL Trust*	82.2		Delete the Urban Fringe qualifying matter, which fails to meet the relevant statutory requirements and is inappropriate. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission (which could include the application of the MRZ2 to the full extent over the four settlements that the submitter is seeking MDRS over.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.2	200.13	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.2	206.9	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.2	209.2	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current “Land use activities” Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.2	221.14	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.2	222.31	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.2	224.31	Not specified	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
CSL Trust*	82.3		Delete the relevant standards from the GRZ that are being replaced by the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.3	200.14	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.3	206.10	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.3	209.3	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.3	221.15	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.3	222.32	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.3	224.32	Not specified	Accept
CSL Trust*	82.4		Add a new rule that any infringement of the MDRS is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Add matters of discretion based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.4	200.15	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.4	206.11	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.4	209.4	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.4	221.16	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.4	222.33	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.4	224.33	Not specified	Accept
CSL Trust*	82.5		Add a new rule in the GRZ that one to three units are permitted subject to compliance with the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.5	200.16	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.5	206.12	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.5	209.5	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.5	221.17	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.5	222.34	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.5	224.34	Not specified	Accept
CSL Trust*	82.6		Add a new rule in the GRZ that four or more units are restricted discretionary activity subject to compliance with the MDRS and the remaining standards of the GRZ [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.6	200.17	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	82.6	206.13	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.6	209.6	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.6	221.18	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.6	222.35	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.6	224.35	Not specified	Accept
CSL Trust*	82.7		Add matters of discretion for four or more units based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3 or the notified Multi-Unit Housing discretions of the Proposed District Plan [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.7	200.18	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.7	209.7	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.7	222.36	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.7	224.36	Not specified	Accept
CSL Trust*	82.8		Add a rule that for four or more units that any infringement of a MDRS rule is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.8	200.19	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.8	222.37	Not specified	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.8	224.37	Not specified	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
CSL Trust*	82.9		Add new subdivision rules for one to three units and four or more units based on the requirements of the MDRS and RM-EHA with the matters of discretion being equivalent to those in the MDRZ2 [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	82.9	200.20	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	82.9	221.19	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	82.9	222.38	Not specified	Accept in part
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	82.9	224.38	Not specified	Accept in part
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.8	209.8	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	82.9	209.9	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.1		That proposed v3 to the PDP does NOT compromise or put at risk the cultural landscape of the North Waikato region known by Mana Whenua like Ngāti Naho as "Manawa-ā-whenua" ('heart of the land') which refers to the existence of a massive water table and aquifers that connects all our waterways in towns like (but not limited to) Tuakau, Pokeno, Mangatangi, Maramarua, Mercer, Meremere, Te Kauwhata, Ohinewai, Tahuna and Huntly.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.1	213.22	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	83.1	217.58	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.2		Add 'Manawa-ā-whenua' as a Qualifying Matter in (MRZ2-P6).	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.2	213.23	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	83.2	217.59	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.3		Add Te Mana o te Wai principles relating to the roles of tangata whenua and other New Zealanders in the management of freshwater, and these principles inform this National Policy Statement and its implementation.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.3	213.24	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	83.3	217.60	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.4		Amend Variation 3 by including requirements for green infrastructure and low impact design.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.4	213.25	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	83.4	217.61	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.5		Apply a 1.2km buffer zone along the Waikato River, Lake Waikare, and the Whangamarino and Mangatawhiri wetlands that excludes any medium or high-density housing.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.5	213.26	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	83.5	217.62	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	83.5	219.18	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	83.5	220.18	Disallow submission point	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.6		Retain Outstanding natural features and landscapes s6(b) as a qualifying matter	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.6	213.27	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.7		Retain Areas of significant indigenous vegetation and significant habitats of indigenous fauna s6(c) as a qualifying matter	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.7	213.28	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.8		Retain Maintenance and enhancement of public access to and along lakes and rivers s6(d)	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.8	213.29	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.9		Retain Management of significant risks from natural hazards s6(h) s771 (b) - Matter required to give effect to a national policy statement	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.9	213.30	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.10		Retain Reverse Sensitivity	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.10	213.31	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	83.10	221.20	Accept submission point.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.11		Retain the 800m walkable catchment from each of the four town centres AND Apply low impact design principles and guidelines	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.11	213.32	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	83.11	221.21	Accept submission point.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.12		Increase the requirement for green spaces on a property AND Increase the distance and buffer zone between neighbour's boundaries.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.12	213.33	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	83.12	217.63	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	83.12	219.19	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	83.12	220.19	Disallow submission point	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.13		Retain the provisions and zoning pattern for Te Kauwahta and Raglan	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.13	213.34	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.14		Add that prevent multi storey dwellings being constructed close to boundaries. AND Add implementation of low impact design builds.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.14	213.35	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	83.14	217.64	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	83.14	219.20	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	83.14	220.20	Disallow submission point	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.15		Add provisions that better manage impacts on services in particular three waters, electricity, energy, transport, telecommunications, internet and waste management.	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.15	213.36	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.16		Add principles of fairness and equity provisions.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.16	213.37	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	83.16	219.21	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	83.16	220.21	Disallow submission point	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.17		Delete consultation not required from neighbours	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	83.17	200.21	That the submission be allowed.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.17	213.38	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.189		Ensure that the proposed v3 to the PDP does not prejudice, discriminate, compromise or jeopardize residual or outstanding Treaty of Waitangi Claims (including any claims under Te Tiriti) and the potential redress mechanisms available such as co-governance and or co-management with Council or the potential return of RFR properties held by central or local government. AND Protect the implementation of Hapū / Iwi Environmental Management Plans that address for example, Wai Māori / Wai Ora. AND Protect the implementation of Hapū / Iwi Social Development Plans that address for example, Papakāinga Housing or Kaumātua Housing or hapū, marae or whānau development initiatives.	Reject (refer to reasons noting Mercer is not part of Variation 3 )
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.18		Ensure there are no breaches of existing treaty of Waitangi Settlements namely, Waikato Raupatu Settlement Act 1995, Waikato River Settlement Act 2010.	Accept in part (refer to reasons)
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.18	213.39	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part (refer to reasons)
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.19	213.40	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part (refer to reasons)
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.20		Retain National Policy Statement for Electricity Transmission s771 (c) - Te Ture Whaimana o te Awa o Waikato AND Add a setback from wind and solar plants of 3km to 5km from medium density housing.	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.20	213.41	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	83.20	217.65	Reject submission point.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	83.20	219.22	Disallow submission point	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	83.20	220.22	Disallow submission point	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.21		Mitigate the negative impact of existing roads and the construction of new roads that consume land resources and cause adverse impacts on natural water resources and discharge areas. The three most damaging effects of road construction and management are noise, dust, and vibrations.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.21	213.42	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.22		Not stated. The submitter states that the topic relates to the North Island Main Trunk rail.	Noted
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.22	213.43	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	83.22	217.66	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.23		Add protection of the mana, mauri and wairua of the Waikato River and its people.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.23	213.44	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.24		Add the following to Natural character of the waterbodies and their margins s6(a): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Including the mana, mauri and wairua of the Waikato River.</li> <li>· Including the wairua and mauri of the Manawa-ā-whenua.</li> <li>· Including the mauri tupua and mauri taniwha.</li> </ul>	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.24	213.45	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.25		Add Wairua as a Qualifying Matter in Reference A (MRZ2-P6).	Reject (refer to reasons)
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.25	213.46	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject (refer to reasons)
Kāinga Ora	83.25	217.67	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.26		Add all of Ngati Naho's sites of cultural significance and protect and enhance them AND protect the heritage trail of the 1863-1864 land wars.	Reject (refer to reasons)
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.26	213.47	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject (refer to reasons)

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	83.26	217.68	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.27		Retain Section 6(e). within Variation 3 (Relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga)	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.27	213.48	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.28		Reject Variation 3 changes to the Proposed Waikato District Plan.	Reject
Ngāti Naho Trust	83.29		Add the 6 pou indicators in Subm 83.1 to 83.27 to the Waikato District including their reason.	Accept in part refer to Maaori Values Chapter
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	83.29	213.49	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part refer to Maaori Values Chapter
Bruce Knobbs	84.1		Amend MRZ2-S2 Height – building general from 11m to 7m so that it permits only 2 floors	Reject
Bruce Knobbs	84.2		Amend MRZ2-S5 Building coverage so that it allows only two dwellings per 600sqm site (300sqm per dwelling) of which building covers only 35-40%. The submission seeks no site smaller than 300sqm.	Reject
Bruce Knobbs	84.3		Amend MRZ2-S4 at least 4m from nearest boundary (side)	Reject
Bruce Knobbs	84.4		Amend MRZ2-S12 Fences or walls to be at least 1.8m solid.	Reject
Bruce Knobbs	84.5		Amend MRZ2-S6 Outdoor living space (per unit) so that outdoor living space is at least 150sqm.	Reject
Mirika Paul	85.1		Delete Variation 3 provisions from the Proposed District Plan.	Reject
Wendy & Shane Harrod	86.1		No specific decision requested, however submission opposes the proposed 3 x 3 housing changes in Pookeno and expresses the following concerns: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Covenants to protect the country village lifestyle in a rural setting.</li> <li>· Changes to the outlook of Pookeno.</li> <li>· Infrastructure cost, including wastewater.</li> <li>· Blocking of sun. · Privacy.</li> <li>· Devaluing existing properties.</li> <li>· Character.</li> </ul>	Reject
Marae Tukere	87.10		· Amend to restrict the proposed 3 up model on sections immediately adjacent to the awa.	Reject
Marae Tukere	87.1		Confirmation that the current and future water infrastructure is adequate to support intensified housing AND There must be no further discharge to the awa.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.1	213.50	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Marae Tukere	87.2		Apply a buffer area between the Tuurangawaewae Marae and any intensified housing development. AND Any associated consequential amendments	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.2	213.51	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Marae Tukere	87.3		Not stated	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.3	213.52	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Marae Tukere	87.4		Consider whether the Variation should only apply to alternate sections	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.4	213.53	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Marae Tukere	87.5		Add provisions that protect the privacy and amenity of properties. AND Any associated consequential amendments.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.5	213.54	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Marae Tukere	87.6		Amend MRZ2-O6 Reverse Sensitivity as: (1) Avoid or minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity by: (a) managing the location and design of sensitive activities through: - The use of building setbacks; and - The design of subdivisions and development. (b) Enabling properties/sites that do not develop to medium density residential to protect its privacy and amenity (c) restricting the development of sections immediately adjacent to the awa and to Tuurangawaewae Marae AND Any associated consequential amendments.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.6	213.55	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	87.6	217.69	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Marae Tukere	87.7		Amend the rule P11 by adding in a new (2) as follows: (2) Allow structures, and/or screen planting, that protect privacy and amenity on properties/sites that adjoin medium density residential development AND Any associated consequential amendments.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.7	213.56	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	87.7	217.7	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Marae Tukere	87.8		Amend the name of Standard 4 rule as follows Setbacks for Medium Density Residential Development AND any associated consequential amendments	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.8	213.57	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	87.8	217.71	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Marae Tukere	87.9		Add a new rule MRZ2-S9AScreening on Non-Medium Density Residential developed properties that enables privacy structures of 4m high or trees of 11m high (refer to submission) with associated matters of discretion. AND Any associated consequential amendments	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.9	213.58	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	87.9	217.72	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	87.10	213.59	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Reject
Brenda Roberts	88.1		Amend the proposal to make existing General residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone 2. The submission opposes the proposal and refers in particular to Pookeno.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	88.1	206.14	Reject submission point.	Accept the further submission to the extent it is stated (incorrectly) as supporting MRZ2 but reject the further submission to the extent it is in fact intended to oppose the MRZ 2
Ngāti Te Ata	88.1	228.9	Reject submission point	Accept the further submission to the extent it is stated (incorrectly) as supporting MRZ2 but reject the further submission to the extent it is in fact intended to oppose the MRZ 2.
Brenda Roberts	88.2		Amend Medium Density Residential Zone to be up to 3 houses and limited to 2 storeys.	Reject
Blue Wallace Surveyors Ltd*	89.1		Require Council to work collaboratively with industry and network utility providers to integrate infrastructure connection for all	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			medium density residential service connections – not only limited to Council owned infrastructure	
Blue Wallace Surveyors Ltd*	89.2		Amend MRZ2-P3 as follows Policy MRZ2-P3: Enable housing and associated services to be designed to meet the day-to-day needs of residents	Reject
Blue Wallace Surveyors Ltd*	89.3		Retain MRZ2-P11 Reverse Sensitivity.	Accept
Blue Wallace Surveyors Ltd*	89.4		Amend development standard and/or permitted activity criterion is provided under VAR 3 to the effect that the MDRS relating to internal rear and side-yard building setbacks with GRZ land are to be 1.5m as opposed to only 1.0m. OR in the alternate a buffer area could be applied on the planning maps	Reject
Kāinga Ora	89.4	217.73	Reject submission point.	Accept
Patricia Burns	90.1		Delete Variation 3 from Pookeno.	Reject
John Moeke	91.1		Amend Variation 3 to include notification with neighbours adjoining properties	Reject
Anna Wilson	92.1		Reconsider the 800m and apply the Medium Density Residential Zone to focus on the areas that are yet to be developed, not areas that have existing covenants. Submission refers to Pookeno.	Reject
Waikato Community Lands Trust & Others	93.1		Variation 3 be amended to include new Plan provisions on inclusionary zoning and including financial contributions.	Reject
Rangitahi	93.1	208.2	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	93.1	217.74	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	93.1	219.23	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	93.1	220.23	Disallow submission point	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Mr and Mrs. Lex Deaby	94.1		Retain height restrictions for buildings. Submission opposes rezoning of streets.	Reject
Adrian Paul Van Weerden	95.1		The submitter is seeking amendment to the Variation. No specific decision requested.	Reject
Greg Wiechern	96.1		Delete Medium Density Residential Zone 2. Submission expresses particular concern about Ngaaruawahia.	Reject
Jim Ivens	97.1		Delete Variation 3 provisions from the Proposed District Plan	Reject
Jim Ivens	97.2		If the Council approve the Variation amend the walkable catchment criteria from 800m to 500m and consider applying it from a supermarket or High School, AND Amend the Variation 3 criteria to be single story	Reject
Jim Ivens	97.3		Amend Variation 3 to exclude 69b Hakanoa Street as it is outside the 800m walkable catchment AND Delete other properties that are outside the 800m walkable catchment and those that are covered by other Variations to avoid confusion	Reject
Tuurangawaewae Rugby League Sports and Cultural Club	98.1		Amend the Variation to apply Section 77I(a) Section 6 matters to include the surrounding areas of Tuurangawaewae marae.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	98.1	213.60	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	98.1	217.75	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Tuurangawaewae Rugby League Sports and Cultural Club	98.2		Amend the zoning of the properties surrounding Tuurangawaewae Marae, including River Road, Regent Street, Kent Street, George Street, Edward Street, King and Queen Street that were proposed to be rezoned MDRS.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	98.2	213.60	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	98.2	217.76	Reject submission point in part.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Harkness Henry Lawyers	99.1		Ensure all General Residential Zones have the Medium Density Standards applied as anticipated by the Resource Management Act (Enabling Housing Supply Act and Other Matters Amendment Act) OR In the alternative, if the MDRS is not applied in the General Residential zone, apply the MDRS to 61 Old Taupiri Road, 26 Jackson Steet Ngaaruawaahia, 99 and 99A Ngaaruawaahia Road, Ngaaruawaahia, 18 Rangaimarie Road, Ngaaruawaahia AND retain the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 in 15 and 29/33 Galbraith Street Ngaaruawaahia OR if the MDRS is not applied to the General Residential Zone, or the General Residential zone is not rezoned to medium Density Residential 2 Zone, that the Comprehensive Residential development ('CRD' rules are reinstated AND Rezone 99A Ngaaruawaahia Road and 18 Rangimarie Road are rezoned to include the whole property under the one General Residential Zone to avoid having half in the General Residential Zone and half in the Rural Zone.	Accept in part
Waikato Regional Council*	99.1	205.6	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	99.1	213.61	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
Ports of Auckland	99.1	214.7	Disallow the submission sought in respect of a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to the extent that it would allow medium density residential development in areas outside of the "urban environment", such as Horotiu.	Reject
Top End Properties*	99.1	222.39	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part
CSL Trust*	99.1	223.3	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	99.1	224.39	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part
GDP Developments	100.1		Amend the zoning of the site at 111 Harrisville Road, Tuakau from General Rural Zone to Medium Residential Zone 2 OR Amend the zoning of the site at 111 Harrisville Road, Tuakau from General Rural to General Residential (which is the less preferred option) AND Amend the zoning of the existing sites accessed off Percy Graham Drive and Gordon Paul Place from General Residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone 2 AND Any consequential amendments to the text of the PWDP – Decisions Version that are required to give effect to the submission	Accept in part as it relates to land already zoned GRZ

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Waikato Regional Council*	100.1	205.7	Reject submission point (rezoning request).	Accept in part as it relates to land already zoned GRZ
Jim Livett	101.1		Amend the proposal to a tiered transition between single and three storey properties OR Add the whole of Matipo Drive, Tuakau in MDRZ2	Accept in part
Kenneth Whyte	102.1		Amend the area proposed for Variation 3 for Tuakau to be within 300 metres of the periphery of The Town Centre Zone	Reject
Perjuli Developments Limited	103.1		Rezone 5837 Great South Road to Medium Density Residential 1 Zone	Accept in part, subject to new QM relating to the area of the SASM on the site
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	103.1	213.62	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept in part, subject to new QM relating to the area of the SASM on the site
Aaron Holland	104.1		Amend the zoning of the property at 2D Ellery Street Ngaaruawahia (legally described as Lot 4 DP 498598) from a split zoning of Medium Density Residential Zone / Industrial zone to be Medium Density Residential Zone 2 in its entirety.	Reject
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.1		Amend to apply the MDRS to all residential land within urban environments of the District, subject to any legitimate qualifying matters. This would apply to Pokeno, Tuakau, Huntly and Ngaaruawaahia. If necessary, a new zone created to accommodate that amendment. This zone could be referred to as General Residential Zone 2 (GRZ2) or similar. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.1	200.22	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.1	206.15	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.1	209.10	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Ports of Auckland	105.1	214.8	Disallow the submission sought in respect of a bespoke controlled activity process in the General Residential Zone to the extent that it would allow medium density residential development in areas outside of the "urban environment", such as Horotiu.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.1	221.22	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.1	222.4	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.1	223.31	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.1	224.4	Allow the submission	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	105.1	228.10	Reject submission point	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.2		Delete the Urban Fringe qualifying matter, which fails to meet the relevant statutory requirements and is inappropriate. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission (which could include the application of the MRZ2 to the full extent over the four settlements that the submitter is seeking MDRS over.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.2	200.23	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.2	206.16	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.2	209.11	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.2	221.23	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.2	222.41	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.2	223.32	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.2	224.41	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.3		Delete the relevant standards from the GRZ that are being replaced by the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.3	200.24	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.3	206.17	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.3	209.12	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.3	221.24	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.3	222.42	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.3	223.33	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.3	224.42	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.4		Add a new rule that any infringement of the MDRS is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Add matters of discretion based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.4	200.25	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.4	206.18	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.4	209.13	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.4	221.25	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.4	222.43	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.4	223.34	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.4	224.43	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.5		Add a new rule in the GRZ that one to three units are permitted subject to compliance with the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.5	200.26	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.5	206.19	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.5	209.14	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.5	221.26	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.5	222.44	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.5	223.35	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.5	224.44	Allow the submission	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.6		Add a new rule in the GRZ that four or more units are restricted discretionary activity subject to compliance with the MDRS and the remaining standards of the GRZ [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.6	200.27	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	105.6	206.20	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.6	209.15	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.6	221.27	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.6	222.45	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.6	223.36	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.6	224.45	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.7		Add matters of discretion for four or more units based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3 or the notified Multi-Unit Housing discretions of the Proposed District Plan [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission. .	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.7	200.28	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.7	209.16	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.7	221.28	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.7	222.46	Allow the submission	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
CSL Trust*	105.7	223.37	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.7	224.46	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.8		Add a rule that for four or more units that any infringement of a MDRS rule is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.8	200.29	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.8	209.17	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.8	221.29	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.8	222.47	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	105.8	223.38	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.8	224.47	Allow the submission	Accept
Havelock Villages Limited*	105.9		Add new subdivision rules for one to three units and four or more units based on the requirements of the MDRS and RM-EHA with the matters of discretion being equivalent to those in the MDRZ2 [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept in part
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	105.9	200.30	Reject submission point.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	105.9	209.18	Disallow the submission but if the submission is allowed, ensure that the chapter includes the current "Land use activities" Rules GRZ-R1 to GRZ-R17.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	105.9	221.30	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	105.9	222.48	Allow the submission	Accept in part
CSL Trust*	105.9	223.39	Allow the submission	Accept in part
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	105.9	224.49	Allow the submission	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	106.1		No specific decision requested, however the submission generally supports the proposed provisions contained within the Medium Density Residential Zone 2. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part
Queen's Redoubt Trust	106.1	211.2	Decline the original submission of Kainga Ora to the extent that it relates to part of the Queen's Redoubt . Amend the plan in a manner that recognises the location of the specified properties, and the archaeological values that may be evident in parts of these sites and any consequential changes.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.2		Amend Variation 3 to have only one Medium Density Residential Zone in the PDP applied across the Waikato District, with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Proposed MRZ2 is selected as the preferred set of Medium Density Residential Zone provisions in the PDP and renamed as the 'Medium Density Residential Zone' (MRZ)</li> <li>Medium Density Residential Zone 1 (MDZ1) is deleted from Variation 3; and</li> <li>The spatial application of the MDZ1 and MRZ2 are combined in the PDP as one zone, renamed as MRZ and colour-coded the same legend in the planning maps.</li> </ul> AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Brett Titchmarsh	106.2	210.1	Accept submission point.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.2		Amend SUB-P3 Lot sizes as follows: (1) Except for residential subdivision within the MRZ2 – Medium Density Residential Zone 2, mMinimum lot size and dimension of lots enable the achievement of the character and density outcomes of each zone; and AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.2	200.31	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Hugh Green Limited	106.2	204.1	Allow submission to the extent that there is only one MDRZ (thereby resulting in Te Kauwhata and Raglan having MDRS applied); AND Amend zoning of 46 to 50 Te Kauwhata Road and at 26D to 40 Blunt Road, Te Kauwhata from General Residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Ryman Healthcare Limited	106.2	219.24	Allow submission point, subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	106.2	220.24	Allow submission point, subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.2	221.31	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.3		Delete the setback requirements from rail and transport corridors AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	106.3		Add MRZ-R13 which relates to buildings, structures, objects or vegetation that obscures the sight line of the Raglan navigation beacons. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Wel Networks	106.3	203.1	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kiwi Rail	106.3	215.2	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.4		Add a new High Density Residential Zone (HRZ) [see submission for new chapter and provisions] AND Amend the planning maps to apply a High density residential zone to the sites within a 400m walkable catchment of the town centre of Ngaaruawaahia [see submission for amended planning maps]. AND Amend the planning maps to apply a High density residential zone to the sites within an 800m walkable catchment of the town centre of Huntly [see submission for amended planning maps]. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.4		Retain the outlook space per unit figure associated with MRZ2-S3 AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.4	213.63	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ryman Healthcare Limited	106.4	219.25	Allow submission point, subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	106.4	220.25	Allow submission point, subject to the relief sought in Ryman's primary submission	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.5		Amend the planning maps to extend the MRZ in Raglan to a 400m walkable catchment of the Raglan Town Centre and include land that has previously been retained as General Residential Zone [see submission for identification of sites]. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Rangitahi	106.5	208.3	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.5	213.64	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.6		Encourages the Council to immediately prepare and notify plan changes that would increase the extent of the town centre zoning footprint within Raglan. Such a plan change should also provide for consideration of additional medium density zoning within the walkable catchment of the future extent of the Raglan Town Centre. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Rangitahi	106.6	208.4	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.6	213.65	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.7		No specific decision requested, but submission states that where proposed amendments to the operative district plan are not included in the submission table, those provisions are supported in part, subject to the relief sought by Kāinga Ora in its primary submission. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	106.8		Delete the “urban fringe” qualifying matter. AND Apply the proposed MRZ2 zone (which contains the MDRS standards) to the spatial extent of the GRZ in its entirety within Huntly, Ngaaruawaahia, Pokeno and Tuakau. AND Consequential changes and amendments to the provisions and planning maps. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.8	200.32	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	106.8	206.21	Reject submission point.	Reject
Brett Titchmarsh	106.2	210.1	Accept submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.8	213.66	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
Havelock Vilages Limited*	106.8	218.16	Accept submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.8	221.32	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	106.8	222.49	Allow the submission	Accept
CSL Trust*	106.8	223.4	Allow the submission	Accept
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	106.8	224.50	Allow the submission	Accept
Ngāti Te Ata	106.8	228.11	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.9		Add a height variation control over the Huntly and Ngaaruawaahia centres to enable a proportionate height of buildings to that sought within the HRZ, including consequential amendments to the Town Centre Zone provisions as required [see submission for new provisions] AND Add a height variation control over business zoned land in the PDP [see submission for maps]. AND Any such further,	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.9	200.33	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.9	213.67	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	106.9	219.26	Allow submission point	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	106.9	220.26	Allow submission point	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.10		Amend the zoning of sites [see submission for maps and identification of sites]. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.10	213.68	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.10	221.33	Reject submission point.	Accept
Top End Properties*	106.10	222.50	Allow the submission	Reject
CSL Trust*	106.10	223.41	Allow the submission	Reject
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	106.10	224.51	Allow the submission	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.11		Amend the zoning of the Large Lot Residential Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone in Tuakau [see submission for maps and identification of sites] AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.11	213.69	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	106.12		Amend the zoning of the site at 24 Great South Road and at 7 Walter Rodgers Road, Pookeno from Medium Density Residential Zone 2 to Commercial zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Queen's Redoubt Trust	106.12	211.1	Decline the original submission of Kainga Ora. Re-evaluate the zoning of 24 GFreat South Road, to the most appopriate zoning given its historic heritage status, and in light of the Queen's Redoubt site (including its full extent).	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.12	213.70	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.13		Amend the zoning of 56 Huia Road, Pookeno from General Rural Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.13	213.70	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.14		Amend the zoning of 46-50 Te Kauwhata Road and at 26D -40 Blunt Road, Te Kauwhata from General residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Hugh Green Limited	106.14	204.2	Allow submission to the extent that there is only one MDRZ (thereby resulting in Te Kauwhata and Raglan having MDRS applied); AND Amend zoning of 46 to 50 Te Kauwhata Road and at 26D to 40 Blunt Road, Te Kauwhata from General Residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.14	213.71	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.15		Amend the zoning of 32 Main Road and at 1-7 Baird Ave, Te Kauwhata from Commercial zone to Town centre zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	WITHDRAWN

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.15	213.72	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	WITHDRAWN
Kāinga Ora	106.16		Amend the zoning of 34 Harris Street, Huntly from Rural Zone to Medium Density Residential Zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.16	213.73	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.17		Delete the definition for “Papakaainga” AND Delete the definition for “Papakaainga housing development” AND Add the following definition for “Papakaainga”: A development by tangata whenua established to be occupied by tangata whenua for residential activities and ancillary social, cultural, economic, conservation and/or recreation activities to support the cultural, environmental and economic wellbeing of tangata whenua. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.17	213.74	The submitter seeks that part of the submission be allowed in so far that it does not have unintended consequences for other aspects of the district plan enabling papakaainga provisions of the Maaori Land Chapter and Hopuhopu Special Purpose Zone	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.18		Add a new policy to the Strategic direction chapter as follows: To provide for high density residential development within a 400m walkable catchment of the town centres of Huntly and Ngaaruawaahia AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.18	213.75	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.19		Add reference to the High Density Residential Zone within the SUB subdivision provisions associated with the Medium Density Residential Zone. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.19	200.35	Reject submission point.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.20	200.36	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.21		Amend the following rules to remove all references to the MRZ1 zone and replace it with MRZ: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· SUB-R30 Subdivision – general</li> <li>· SUB-R32 Subdivision – general</li> <li>· SUB-R33 Subdivision – boundary adjustments</li> <li>· SUB-R34 Subdivision – amendments and updates to Cross Lease Flats Plans and Conversion to Freehold</li> <li>· SUB-R35 Subdivision – amendments and updates to Cross Lease Flats Plans and Conversion to Freehold</li> <li>· SUB-R36 Title Boundaries – contaminated land</li> <li>· SUB-R37 Subdivision – road frontage</li> <li>· SUB-R38 Subdivision creating reserves</li> <li>· SUB-R39 Subdivision creating reserves</li> </ul> AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.21	200.37	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.21	213.76	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.22		Delete SUB-R153(1)(a)(i) Subdivision – general relating to the minimum lot size for vacant lots as detailed in submission. AND Amend SUB-153 Subdivision – general to remove all references to the MRZ2 zone and replace it with MRZ AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.22	213.77	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.22	221.34	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.23		Delete SUB-R154(1)(b) Subdivision - residential relating to minimum net site area. AND Amend SUB-154 Subdivision – residential to remove all references to the MRZ2 zone and replace it with MRZ AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.23	213.78	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.23	221.35	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.24		Amend SUB-156 Subdivision – boundary adjustments to remove all references to the MRZ2 zone and replace it with MRZ AND Amend SUB-156 Subdivision – boundary adjustments so that reference to SUB-R31 to R32 is replaced with the amended provisions of R50-51 and R52. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.24	213.79	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.25		Amend the zoning of the General residential zoned sites in Huntly, Ngaaruawaahia, Pokeno, and Tuakau to Medium density residential 2 zone (as sought to be modified elsewhere in this submission). AND Amend the zoning so that General residential zone is only applied in areas that are not defined as ‘urban environments’ under the Housing Supply Act, with the exception of Raglan and Te Kauwhata. AND any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.25	200.38	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	106.25	206.22	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.25	221.36	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ngāti Te At	106.25	228.12	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.26		Add the following new rule to the General residential zone: · Papakaainga development – 1 unit Permitted · Papakaainga development – 2+ units Restricted Discretionary AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.26	213.80	The submitter seeks that part of the submission be allowed in part insofar as it does not have unintended consequences or undermine other aspects of the district plan including the enabling papakaainga provisions of the Maaori Land Chapter.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.27		Amend the Purpose of the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 chapter. See submission for amendments sought. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.27	200.39	Reject submission point.	Reject
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.27	221.37	Reject submission point.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.28		Amend MR22-06 Reverse sensitivity as follows: Avoid where practical or otherwise minimise the potential for reverse sensitivity by managing the location and design of sensitive activities through: (a) The use of building setbacks; and (b) The design of subdivisions and development AND Delete reference to the MR22 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part
Transpower NZ Ltd*	106.28	209.23	Disallow the submission point	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kiwi Rail	106.28	215.3	Reject submission point.	Accept
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	106.28	221.38	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.29		Retain the deletion of MRZ2-R8 Construction or alteration of a building for a sensitive land use AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.31		Amend MRZ2-S1 Land use – Building as follows: Residential unit – including papakainga AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single ‘Medium Density Residential Zone’ chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.31	213.81	The submitter seeks that part of the submission be allowed in part insofar as it does not have unintended consequences or undermine other aspects of the district plan including the enabling papakainga provisions of the Maaori Land Chapter.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.32		Add MRZ-S2 Minimum residential unit size AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single ‘Medium Density Residential Zone’ chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.32	213.82	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.33		Retain MRZ2-S10 Impervious surfaces AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single ‘Medium Density Residential Zone’ chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.33	200.40	Allow submission in relation to the retention of impervious surface standards to managed the adverse effects of stormwater runoff from urban development. Reject submission in relation to single MRZ zone.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.34		Retain MRZ2-S11 Ground floor internal habitable space AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single ‘Medium Density Residential Zone’ chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	106.35		Retain MRZ2-S12 Fences or walls AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.36		Amend MRZ2-S13 Building setbacks – water bodies as follows: (1) Activity status: PER Where: (a) A building must be set back a minimum of: (i) 20m from the margin of any lake; (ii) 20m from the margin of any wetland; (iii) 20m 21.5m23m from the bank of any river (other than the Waikato River and Waipā River); (iv) 20m 25.5m 38m from the margin of either the Waikato River and the Waipā River AND River. Undertake an appropriate site by site analysis under ss77J-77L of the Housing Supply Act if the increase is to be over and above what the RMA anticipates. AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.36	213.83	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	106.37		Delete the setbacks for railway corridors, national route/regional arterial and the Waikato Expressway from MRZ2-S14 Building setback – sensitive land use as follows: (1) Activity status: PER Where: (a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building for a sensitive land use shall be set back a minimum of: (i) 5m from the designated boundary of the railway corridor; (ii) 15m from the boundary of a national route or regional arterial; (iii) 25m from the designated boundary of the Waikato Expressway; ... (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters: (a) Road network safety and efficiency; AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Defer decision
Wel Networks	106.37	203.2	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kiwi Rail	106.37	215.4	Reject submission point.	Defer decision
Kāinga Ora	106.38		Retain the building height figure associated with MRZ2-S2 AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Kāinga Ora	106.39		Retain the height in relation to boundary figure associated with MRZ2-S7 AND Delete reference to the MRZ2 chapter, to reflect a single 'Medium Density Residential Zone' chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.41		Retain the proposed amendments to the Town Centre Zone provisions, which largely seek to cross-reference the proposed MRZ2 Chapter. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	106.42		Add an additional height overlay applies to the Town centre zone in Huntly, Ngaaruawaahia, Pookeno and Tuakau, to reflect the increased building heights sought within the proposed High density residential zone sought elsewhere in the submission. AND Any such further, alternative or consequential relief as may be necessary to fully achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	106.42	200.41	Reject submission point.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	106.42	213.84	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.1		Amend variation to enable retirement housing and care options in all relevant residential zones and provide for the functional and operational need. AND Include a restricted discretionary activity rule for retirement villages in all residential zones (including General Residential and Medium Density Residential Zone 1) AND Include consequential amendments including a retirement village-specific objective, policy and rule framework.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.1	217.77	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.2		Incorporate the MDRS into the District Plan without amendments	Accept in part
Retirement Villages Association	107.3		Review the application of the MDRS to Huntly Tuakau, Ngaaruawaahia and Pookeno, and in particular the urban fringe qualifying matter AND Review the application of MRZ1 in Raglan and Te Kauwhata.	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	107.3	206.23	Reject submission point.	Reject
Rangitahi	107.3	208.5	Disallow the submission to the extent that it relates to Raglan.	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
Hynds Pipe Systems Ltd*	107.3	221.39	Reject submission point.	Reject
Top End Properties*	107.3	222.51	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
CSL Trust*	107.3	223.42	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
Pokeno West* and West Pokeno Limited	107.3	224.52	Allow the first part of the submission	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
Retirement Villages Association	107.4		Add objectives and policies that recognise the need to provide appropriate accommodation and care for the ageing population (refer to submission for text)	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.5		Add rules that permit the use and operation of retirement villages	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.5	217.78	Accept submission point in part.	
Retirement Villages Association	107.6		Add matters of discretion that are a tailored and fit for purpose approach for retirement villages	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.7		Public notification for retirement villages should always be precluded and limited notification should only be available when a retirement village breaches one or more of the height, height in relation to boundary, setbacks and building coverage standard	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	107.7	213.85	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.8		Review the development standards so they are fit for purpose for retirement villages AND Review the development standards that go beyond the scope of the MDRS	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.8	217.79	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.9		Add permitted activity provisions for retirement villages that reflect the unique characteristics of retirement villages AND the development standards are no more onerous than the MDRS AND retirement village specific objectives and policies are added.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.9	217.80	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.10		Any alternative or consequential relief to the matters addressed in the submission points 107.1 to 107.9	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.10	217.81	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.11		Add a new definition of retirements units as follows: Retirement unit means any unit within a retirement village that is used or designed to be used for a residential activity (whether it includes cooking bathing, and toilet facilities). A retirement unit is a not a residential unit.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.12		Retain Objective SD-O4 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.13		Retain Objective SD-O14 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.14		Delete Policy SD-P1 OR Amend to enable residential development	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.15		Retain Policy SD-P2 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.16		Delete the words 'within a walkable catchment' from the purpose statement.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.17		Retain Objective MRZ2-O1 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.18		Amend Objective MRZ2-O2.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.19		Amend Objective MRZ2-O3 (Residential amenity) as follows: Achieve a level of residential amenity commensurate with a medium density environment comprised of primarily including three-storey buildings, including semidetached and terraced housing, townhouses and low-rise apartments and retirement villages.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.20		Delete Objective MRZ2-O5.	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	107.20	209.20	Reject submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.21		Delete MRZ2-O6. (Reverse sensitivity)	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	107.21	209.21	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.22		Add a new objective for the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 that provides for the housing and care needs of the ageing population. MRZ2-OX Ageing population Recognise and enable the housing and care needs of the ageing population.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.23		Add a new Policy is included in the Policies of the Medium Density Residential Zone 2, as follows: MRZ2-PX Changing communities to provide for the diverse and changing residential needs of communities and recognise that the existing character and amenity of the residential zones will change over time to enable a variety of housing types with a mix of densities.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.23	217.82	Accept submission point.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.24		Add a new Policy is included in the Policies of the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 that recognises the intensification opportunities provided for by larger sites: MRZ2-PX Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Residential Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.24	217.83	Accept submission point.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.25		Add a new Policy in the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 section, as follows: MRZ2-PX Provision of housing for an ageing population 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the needs and characteristics of older persons in residential areas, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: (a) May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. (b) Have a unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.25	217.84	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.26		Add a new Policy to the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 section, as follows: MRZ2-PX Role of density standards Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.26	217.85	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.27		Retain Policy MRZ2-P1 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.28		Retain Policy MRZ2-P2 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.29		Retain Policy MRZ2-P3 as notified.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.30		Retain Policy MRZ2-P4 as notified.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.31		Amend MRZ2-P5 for consistency with the MDRS. No specific amendment is provided.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.32		Amend Policy MRZ2-P6 as follows: MRZ2-P6 Qualifying Matters Restrict residential development to an appropriate level to provide for and protect any relevant qualifying matters through amendments to the MDRS rules and standards. · The submitter opposes in part Policy MRZ2-P6 as it does not clearly identify the way potential development outcomes need to be restricted and which qualifying matters are relevant. It should be clear that restrictions beyond those provided for through zoning / rules are not necessary.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.32	217.86	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.33		Amend Policy MRZP7 by amending (1) by adding the words 'including' and amending (a) so that the words 'adjacent' is deleted and replace it with the words 'in close proximity'	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.33	217.87	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.34		Amend policy MRZ2-P8 (Changes to amenity values) as follows: Recognise that the planned urban built form may result in changes to the amenity values and characteristics of the urban character over time and those changes are not, of themselves, an adverse effect.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.35		Delete MRZ2-P11 (Reverse sensitivity)	Reject
Transpower NZ Ltd*	107.35	209.22	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.36		Delete MRZ2-R2 AND Replace it with a new rule permitted activity rule entitled 'Retirement villages, excluding the construction of buildings. Refer to submission for the detail of the rule	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.36	217.88	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.37		Amend Rule MRZ2-S1(1) and MRZ2-S1(a) by adding the words 'retirement unit/s' as shown in the submission · The submitter supports Rule MRZ2-S1 as it aligns with clause 10 of the MDRS. · The submitter considers that specific reference to retirement units is required. · The submitter seeks to amend Rule MRZ2-S1(2) to exclude retirement villages from the matters of discretion, so the retirement village specific matters of discretion	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			apply to the construction of a retirement village building that exceeds this standard (as per Rule MRZ2-RX refer Submission 107.36).	
Kāinga Ora	107.37	217.89	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.38		Amend Rule MRZ2-S2(2) to exclude retirement villages from these matters of discretion so the retirement village specific matters of discretion apply to the construction of a retirement village building that exceeds this standard (as per Rule MRZ2-RX above refer Submission Point 107.36).	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.39		Amend Rule MRZ2-S3 Height in Relation to Boundary by stating the rule does not apply to boundaries adjoining the Settlement Zone, Local Centre Zone, Commercial Zone or Industrial Zone as shown in the submission.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.39	217.90	Accept submission point.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.40		Amend Rule MRZ2-S4(2) to exclude retirement villages from these matters of discretion so the retirement village specific matters of discretion apply to the construction of a retirement village building that exceeds this standard (as per Rule MRZ2-RX. Refer Submission 107.36).	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.41		Amend Rule MRZ2-S5(2) to exclude retirement villages from these matters of discretion so the retirement village specific matters of discretion apply to the construction of a retirement village building that exceeds this standard (as per Rule MRZ2-RX Refer Submission 107.36). AND the submitter seeks to exclude retirement villages from the matters of discretion and apply specific matters of discretion.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.42		Amend Rule MRZ2-S6 to add specific standards for retirement units with additions shown in the submission AND the submitter seeks to exclude retirement villages from the matters of discretion and apply specific matters of discretion. · The submitter supports Rule MRZ2-S6 and the outdoor living space provisions in principle which reflect the outdoor living space standard of the Act. · The submitter considers that because of retirement villages providing a range of private and communal outdoor areas, amendments should be made to Rule MRZ2-S6 that enable the communal areas to count towards the amenity standard. · The submitter also considers that the matters of discretion for a restricted discretionary activity under Rule MRZ2-S6(2) are not appropriate for retirement villages. · The submitter seeks that retirement village specific matters of discretion apply instead. These retirement village specific matters of discretion are those provided in relation to Rule MRZ2-RX Refer Submission 107.36.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.42	217.91	Reject submission point.	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.43		Amend Rule MRZ2-S7 to add specific standards for retirement units with the additions shown in the submission AND the submitter seeks to exclude retirement villages from the matters of discretion and apply specific matters of discretion.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	107.43	217.92	Reject submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.44		Amend Rule MRZ2-S8 as: MRZ2-S8(1)(a): Any residential unit or retirement unit facing the public street must have a minimum of 20% of the street-facing façade in glazing. This can be in the form of windows or doors. AND The submitter seeks to exclude retirement villages from the matters of discretion and apply specific matters of discretion.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.45		Amend Rule MRZ2-S9 to provide for retirement units. MRZ2-S9 (1) (a) A residential unit or retirement unit at ground floor level has a landscaped area of a minimum of 20% of a developed site with grass or plants and can include the canopy of trees regardless of the ground treatment below them. (b) The landscaped area may be located on any part of the development site and does not need to be associated with each residential unit or retirement unit.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.46		Amend Rule MRZ2-S10(2) (Impervious Surfaces) as follows: (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters: (a) Site design, layout, and amenity; and (b) The risk of flooding, nuisance or damage to the site or other buildings and sites. (c) The effects of any on-site stormwater retention or detention devices.	Accept
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	107.46	213.86	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be allowed	Accept
Kāinga Ora	107.46	217.93	Accept submission point.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.47		Delete Rule MRZ2-S11	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.48		Delete Rule MRZ2-S12 – Fences or walls	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.49		Amend the purpose, objectives and policies to recognise that residential activities are appropriate within the LCZ.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.50		Add the following policies into Part 3- Local Centre Zone Provision of housing for an ageing population 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the needs and characteristics of older persons in the Local Centre Zone, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age. Larger sites Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Medium Density Residential Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites. Density standards Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments AND Delete or Amend other Local Centre Zone objectives and policies for consistency	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.51		Add a new Rule to provide for the activity of retirement villages as a permitted activity: COMZ-RX - Retirement Villages, excluding the construction of buildings (1) Activity status: Permitted Land-use effects standards and Land-use building standards do not apply. Activity Specific Standards: Nil (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: n/a.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	107.51	213.87	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.52		Add a new rule LCZ-RX as shown in the submission that lists the Construction of buildings Retirement Villages as a Restricted Discretionary. The submission includes matters of discretion specific to the activity and requests that the activity should be precluded from public notification.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.53		Retain LCZ-S4 standard.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.54		Amend the LCZ-S5 (Height in relation to boundary) so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS height in relation to boundary standard.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.55		Amend LCZ-S6 (Building Setbacks) so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS yard standard when applied to residential activities.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.56		Amend LCZZ-S10 (Outdoor living spaces) so that it is no more onerous than the MDRS outdoor living space standard and recognises that communal spaces may be provided instead of private/exclusive use spaces.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.57		Amend the purpose, objectives and policies to recognise that residential activities are appropriate within the COMZ.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.58		Add the following policies into Part 3 - Town Centre Zone Provision of housing for an ageing population 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the needs and characteristics of older persons in the Commercial Zone, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age. Larger sites. c) Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Medium Density Residential Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites. d)Density standards Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments. AND Delete or Amens other Commercial Zone objectives and policies of consistency.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.59		Add a new Rule to provide for the activity of retirement villages as a permitted activity: COMZ-RX - Retirement Villages, excluding the construction of buildings (1) Activity status: Permitted Land-use effects standards and Land-use building standards do not apply. Activity Specific Standards: Nil (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: n/a.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	107.59	213.88	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.60		Add a new rule COMZ-RX as shown in the submission that lists the Construction of buildings Retirement Villages as a Restricted Discretionary. The submission includes matters of discretion specific to the activity and requests that the activity should be precluded from public notification.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.61		Retain COMZZ-S4.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.62		Amend COMZ-S5 so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS height in relation to boundary standard.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.63		Amend COMZ-S6 so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS yard standard when applied to residential activities.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.64		Amend COMZ-S10 so that it is no more onerous than the MDRS outdoor living space standard and recognises that communal spaces may be	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			provided instead of private exclusive use spaces.	
Retirement Villages Association	107.65		Amend the purpose, objectives and policies to recognise that residential activities are appropriate within the TCZ.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.66		Add the following policies into Part 3 - Town Centre Zone Provision of housing for an ageing population 1. Provide for a diverse range of housing and care options that are suitable for the needs and characteristics of older persons in the Commercial Zone, such as retirement villages. 2. Recognise the functional and operational needs of retirement villages, including that they: a. May require greater density than the planned urban built character to enable efficient provision of services. b. Have unique layout and internal amenity needs to cater for the requirements of residents as they age. Larger sites. Recognise the intensification opportunities provided by larger sites within the Medium Density Residential Zone by providing for more efficient use of those sites. d)Density standards Enable the density standards to be utilised as a baseline for the assessment of the effects of developments. AND Delete or Amend other Town Centre Zone objectives and policies for consistency.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.67		Add a new Rule to provide for the activity of retirement villages as a permitted activity: TCZ-RX - Retirement Villages, excluding the construction of buildings (1) Activity status: Permitted Land-use effects standards and Land-use building standards do not apply. Activity Specific Standards: Nil (2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: n/a.	Reject
Te Whakakitenga o Waikato Incorporated	107.67	213.89	The submitter seeks that the whole of the submission be disallowed	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.68		Add a new rule TCZ-RX as shown in the submission that lists the Construction of buildings Retirement Villages as a Restricted Discretionary. The submission includes matters of discretion specific to the activity and requests that the activity should be precluded from public notification.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.69		Retain TCZ-S3.	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	107.70		Amend TCZ-S4 so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS height in relation to boundary standard.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.71		Amend TCZ-S8 so that it is no more restrictive than the MDRS yard standard when applied to residential activities.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Retirement Villages Association	107.72		Amend TCZ-S11 so that it is no more onerous than the MDRS outdoor living space standard and recognise that communal spaces may be provided instead of private exclusive use spaces.	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	107.73		Reconsider the aerial extent of the Medium Density Residential 2 Zone; AND Remove the urban fringe qualifying matter so those areas are rezoned from General Residential to Medium Density Residential Zone 2; AND The Medium Density 1 Zone is rezoned to Medium Density Residential Zone 2 (Raglan and Te Kauwhata).	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
Hugh Green Limited	107.73	204.3	Allow submission to the extent that there is only one MDRZ (thereby resulting in Te Kauwhata and Raglan having MDRS applied); AND Amend zoning of 46 to 50 Te Kauwhata Road and at 26D to 40 Blunt Road, Te Kauwhata from General Residential zone to Medium Density Residential Zone.	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	107.73	206.24	Reject submission point.	Accept
Rangitahi	107.73	208.6	Disallow the submission to the extent that it relates to Raglan.	Accept in part as it relates to urban fringe
Ryman Healthcare Limited	108.1		The submitter seeks the same relief sought by Retirement Villages Association (RVA #107).	Reject
Pokeno Village Holdings Limited	108.1	206.25	Reject submission point.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	108.1	217.94	Reject submission point in part.	Accept
Michael Allington	109.1		Delete GRZ TO MRZ AND Delete MRZ to MRZ2. This submission relates to Pookeno.	Reject
Anna Noakes* and MSBCA Fruhling Trustee's Company Ltd	109.18	200.34	Reject submission point.	Accept
Bronwyn Heath	110.1		Amend Variation 3 so that MRZ2 is not located on the main road of Pookeno, and instead is applied out of the town area. Submission opposes the rezoning of Pookeno from MRZ to MRZ2.	Reject
Lana Tapu	111.1		Not stated.	Reject
Richard Piechazak	112.1		Approve future subdivision.	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Mrs S Paul	113.1		Require assurance if the Variation goes ahead, it does not impact on existing residents.	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.1		Amend MRZ2-S1 – Residential Unit matters of discretion to include the following: - Effects on cultural values.	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.1		Amend the definition of “Qualifying matter” to include the area surrounding Tuurangawaewae Marae.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	114.1	217.95	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.2		Amend the explanatory note in MRZ2 to include reference to the area surrounding Tuurangawaewae Marae in the context of a qualifying matter.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	114.2	217.96	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.3		Retain Te Ture Whaimana as qualifying matter in MRZ2 Explanatory note.	Accept
Waikato Tainui	114.4		Amend policy SD-P2 Medium Density Residential Standards as follows: Apply the MDRS across all relevant residential zones in the district plan except in circumstances where the qualifying matter is relevant (including Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato or other matters of significance such as historic heritage and the relationship of Maaori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga).	Accept
Waikato Tainui	114.5		Amend the MRZ2 zoning surrounding Tuurangawaewae Marae being the sites on River Road, Regent Street, Kent Street, George Street, Edward Street, King and Queen Street.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	114.5	217.97	Reject submission point in part.	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.6		Retain the following cross reference in the MRZ2 chapter located above the MRZ2 purpose statement: ‘The relevant district-wide chapter provision apply in addition to this chapter’.	Accept
Waikato Tainui	114.7		Amend the purpose statement in the MRZ2 chapter as follows: Provide greater diversity / choice of housing. ; and - Recognise and account for qualifying matters including Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato.	Accept in part
Waikato Tainui	114.8		Amend MRZ2-05 Qualifying matters as follows: The capacity to accommodate medium density residential development may be limited to recognise and/or protect one or more qualifying matters.	Accept in part
Waikato Tainui	114.9		Retain MRZ2-P6 Qualifying matters.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	114.10	217.98	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Ryman Healthcare Limited	114.10	219.27	Disallow submission point	Reject
Retirement Villages Association	114.10	220.27	Disallow submission point	Reject
Waikato Tainui	114.11		Amend MRZ2-S2 – Building height matters of discretion to include the following: - Effects on cultural values.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	114.11	217.99	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	114.11	219.28	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	114.11	220.28	Disallow submission point	Accept
Waikato Tainui	114.12		Amend MRZ2-S3 – Height in relation to boundary matters of discretion to include the following: - Effects on cultural values.	Reject
Kāinga Ora	114.12	217.1	Reject submission point.	Accept
Ryman Healthcare Limited	114.12	219.29	Disallow submission point	Accept
Retirement Villages Association	114.12	220.29	Disallow submission point	Accept
Waikato Tainui	114.13		No specific decision requested, but submission considers that including Te Ture Whaimana in planning documents, including maatauranga maaori is not an optional addition but a key component of any plan review within the Waikato and Waipaa River Catchments.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	114.13	217.101	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Waikato Tainui	114.14		No specific decision requested, but submission considers the Joint Management Agreement between Waikato District Council and Waikato-Tainui means Council has a duty to uphold Te Ture Whaimana and ensure its achievement as a JMA partner. The submission considers that Waikato District Council is required to not only reduce pressure on the river but to restore and protect the health and wellbeing of the awa and achieve betterment in relation to all activities in all areas of the district regardless of the MDRS provisions and qualifying matters.	Accept
Kāinga Ora	114.14	217.102	Accept submission point.	Accept in part
Waikato Tainui	114.15		No specific decision requested, however submitter considers that housing intensification, inappropriate subdivisions, use or development of resources has the potential to adversely affect the Waikato River and therefore, fails to give effect to Te Ture Whaimana.	Accept in part
Kāinga Ora	114.15	217.103	Accept submission point.	Accept in part

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Queen's Redoubt Trust	115.1		Do not allow anything but single storey housing in the south side of Selby Street, adjoining the Queen's Redoubt site, and in William Rogers Road, Pookeno, opposite the entrance to the redoubt.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.1		Amend to apply the MDRS to all residential land within urban environments of the District, subject to any legitimate qualifying matters. This would apply to Pookeno, Tuakau, Huntly and Ngaaruawaahia. If necessary, a new zone created to accommodate that amendment. This zone could be referred to as General Residential Zone 2 (GRZ2) or similar. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.1	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.2		Delete the Urban Fringe qualifying matter, which fails to meet the relevant statutory requirements and is inappropriate. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission (which could include the application of the MRZ2 to the full extent over the four settlements that the submitter is seeking MDRS over.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.2	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.3		Delete the relevant standards from the GRZ that are being replaced by the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.3	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.4		Add a new rule that any infringement of the MDRS is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Add matters of discretion based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
			considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.4	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.5		Add a new rule in the GRZ that one to three units are permitted subject to compliance with the MDRS [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.5	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.6		Add a new rule in the GRZ that four or more units are restricted discretionary activity subject to compliance with the MDRS and the remaining standards of the GRZ [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.6	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.7		Add matters of discretion for four or more units based on the equivalent of those from the MDRZ2 proposed in V3 or the notified Multi-Unit Housing discretions of the Proposed District Plan [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.7	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.8		Add a rule that for four or more units that any infringement of a MDRS rule is a restricted discretionary activity [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept
Anna Noakes and MSBCA Fruhling Trust	116.8	xx	Reject submission point.	Reject

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
Pokeno West and Pokeno West Limited*	116.9		Add new subdivision rules for one to three units and four or more units based on the requirements of the MDRS and RM-EHA with the matters of discretion being equivalent to those in the MDRZ2 [see submission for untracked version of the GRZ chapter]. AND Any other such relief, and consequential amendments (including zone and overlay maps, objectives and policies), as considered appropriate to give effect to the points raised in the submission.	Accept in part
First Gas Limited	117.1		Amend variation 3 to recognise the safety and reserve sensitivity concerns of building close to First Gas assets. The relief sought is carried over from the original submission (#945) by First Gas on the Proposed Waikato District Plan.	Reject
First Gas Limited	117.1		Allow submission point	Reject
Horitiu Farms Limited	117.1		Disallow submission point	Accept

Submitter Names	Submission Point	FS Point	Summary of Decision Requested:	42A recommendation
First Gas Limited	117.2		<p>Amend MRZ2-S14(1) to include the following additional setback minimums:</p> <p>(1) Activity status: PER Where:</p> <p>(a) Any new building or alteration to an existing building for a sensitive land use shall be set back a minimum of:</p> <p>(i) 5m from the designated boundary of the railway corridor;</p> <p>(ii) 5m from the boundary of a national route or regional arterial;</p> <p>(iii) 25m from the designated boundary of the Waikato Expressway;</p> <p>(iv) 300m from the edge of oxidation ponds that are part of a municipal wastewater treatment facility on another site;</p> <p>(v) 30m from a municipal wastewater treatment facility where the treatment process is fully enclosed; <del>and</del></p> <p>(vi) 300m from the boundary of the Alstra Poultry intensive farming activities located on River Road and Great Sought Road, Ngaaruawaahia</p> <p>(vii) <del>620m</del> from the centre of a gas transmission line identified on the planning maps; <u>and</u></p> <p>(viii) <u>60m from the gas network (other than a gas transmission pipeline)</u></p>	Reject
Horitiu Farms Limited	117.2		Disallow submission point	Accept
First Gas Limited	117.3		<p>Add an additional matter over which Council's discretion is limited to MDRZ2-S14(2), as follows:</p> <p>(2) Activity status where compliance not achieved: RDIS Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:</p> <p>(a) Road network safety and efficiency;</p> <p>(b) On-site amenity values ;</p> <p>(c) Odour, dust and noise levels received at the notional boundary of the building;</p> <p>(d) Mitigation measures; <del>and</del></p> <p>(e) Potential for reverse sensitivity effect; <u>and</u></p> <p>(f) <u>The extent to which the development will avoid or mitigate conflict with the gas network.</u></p>	Reject
Horitiu Farms Limited	117.3		Disallow submission point	Accept in part