Waikato District Council, Private Bag 544, Ngaruawahia, 3742.

Tēnā koe,

## SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA TO WAIKATO DISTRICT COUNCIL VARIATION 3-ENABLING HOUSING SUPPLY

To: Waikato District Council

Name of submitter: Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga

1. Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga (HNZPT) is an autonomous Crown Entity with statutory responsibility under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 for the identification, protection, preservation, and conservation of New Zealand's historical and cultural heritage. HNZPT is New Zealand's lead historic heritage agency. The Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 protects both recorded and unrecorded archaeology.
2. The Resource Management Act requires that the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga, and the protection of historic heritage should be recognised and provided for as a Matters of National Importance-Section 6(e) \& (f). As subdivision, use and development have the potential to significantly detract from these matters, it is important that any changes to the Plan limits the potential for adverse effects to occur.
3. HNZPT could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
4. This is a submission to Variation 3 by the Waikato District Council. Waikato District Council advises that:
"The purpose of the variation is to enable more houses and higher density housing to be built in the Medium Residential Zones in the towns of Huntly, Ngãruawãhia, Põkeno and Tuakau.

These changes are directed by central government under the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021. However, we're aiming to do this in a managed way; one that respects our environment and the features of our district that are important to us.

Key aspects of the variation include:

- Renaming the Medium Density Residential Zone in Huntly, Ngãruawãhia, Põkeno and Tuakau to Medium Density Residential Zone 2;
- Amending the objectives, policies and rules of the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 to provide for three, three storey residential units as a permitted activity if all the standards are met;
- Modifying the standards where qualifying matters apply, such as cultural and heritage sites, natural hazards and Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa o Waikato;
- Amending the subdivision rules for the Medium Density Residential Zone 2 to provide for residential subdivision as a controlled activity;
- Retaining the current provisions for the General Residential Zone in Huntly, Ngãruawãhia, Põkeno and Tuakau to address qualifying matters; and
- Rezoning specified areas of land from the General Residential Zone to the Medium Density Residential Zone 2, and from the Rural Zone to the General Residential Zone."

5. HNZPT supports in part proposed Variation 3. The specific parts of this Plan Change that the HNZPT's submission relates to are:
a) that HNZPT recognises and supports the intention to;

- acknowledge and make provisions for identified qualifying matters,
b) that HNZPT seeks;
- improved acknowledgement of qualifying matters within the residential zone's objective, policy and assessment frameworks to provide for improved and integrated consideration of historic heritage.

6. HNZPT's submission is:

As per the submission points within Appendix 1 attached to this submission.
7. The reasons for HNZPT's position are as follows:

As per the submission points within Appendix 1 attached to this submission.
8. HNZPT seeks the following decision:

As per the submission points within Appendix 1 attached to this submission.
9. HNZPT does wish to be heard in support of our submission.

Nāku nod, nā

PP


Sherry Reynolds, Director Northern

Address for service
Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga
Lower Northern Office
PO Box 13339
Tauranga 3141
Attn: Carolyn McAlley
PH: 075774535
Email cmcalley@heritage.org.nz
Attachment: Appendix 1 - Submission points table of HNZPT to Variation 3

## Appendix 1

Submission
(Strike: $a b \in=$ delete and underline: $a b c=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council
Draft Proposed $\quad$ Support or $\quad$ Reasons for submission
Plan: Part \&
provision
Part 2 District Wide Matters Strategic Direction
SD-P2-Medium Support HNZT supports SD
ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu and other taonga)."
As this will enable the Plan to provide for the RMA matters of national importance found at 56 (e): the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga: and $s 6(f)$ : the protection of historic heritage
Part 2: District Wide Matters Subdivision
teridential subdivision within the MRZ2-Medium density residential zone 2, minimum lot size and dimension of lots shall enable the achievements of the character and density outcomes of each zone; and
(2) Prevent undersized lots in the SETZSettlement zone and LLRZ-Large lot residential zone.
Within the MRZ2 Medium density residential zone 2 , subdivision enables medium density housing outcomes,

Appendix 1
(Strike: $a b \in=$ delete and underline: $\underline{a b c}=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council any qualifying matters applied to the site."
(a) There is a relevant qualifying matter; or
(b) The proposed subdivision does not comply with the relevant subdivision standards. (2) Require subdivision within the MRZ2-Medium density residential zone to not compromise

Draft Proposed Support or Reasons for submission

| Draft Proposed | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Support or } \\ \text { Plan: Part \& }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Oppose |  |

provision
number
SUB-P23-
Subdivision in the MRZ2-Medium

Density
Residential 2
Zone
 s6(e): the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga: and $s 6(f)$ : the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.
Part 3:Area -Specific Matters MRZ2-Medium Density Residential Zones

| Purpose | Oppose in part | HNZPT opposes in part the Purpose section of the MRZ2 as HNZPT considers that the Purpose section should make a reference to qualifying matters. <br> Given that qualifying matters can be exceptions to intensification it is important that the users of the Plan are alerted to this, enabling them to accommodate qualifying matters at the design stage of their development proposals, particularly as there are historic heritage sites-qualifying matters, within the MRZ2. HNZPT considers that an additional bullet point should be included at the end of the bullet points to acknowledge this important matter. The proposed addition will also create a link with this section and objective and policy that address qualifying matters. | That the Purpose section is retained and amended with a final bullet point as follows; <br> - "Except in the instances of a qualifying matter on the site." |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Appendix 1 Submission
(Strike: $a b=$ delete and underline: $a b c=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council

| Draft Proposed | Support or | Reasons for submission |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Council District Plan
(Strike:

| Draft Proposed <br>  <br> provision <br> number | Support or Oppose | Reasons for submission | Relief sought |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Objectives MRZ2-O5 Qualifying Matters | Support | HNZPT supports MRZ2-O5; <br> "The capacity to accommodate medium density residential development may be limited to recognise and/or protect one or more qualifying matters." <br> HNZPT considers that the suite of Objectives should include references to the retention of the important values contained in qualifying matters at the time of new builds. It is important to signal this to developers at the earliest possible stage to enable them to incorporate these aspects into their design process. <br> The proposed addition to the suite of Objectives would enable a cascade of consideration throughout the assessment framework and will enable the Plan to provide for the RMA matters of national importance found at $\mathrm{s} 6(\mathrm{e})$ : the relationship of Mäori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga: and $s 6(\mathrm{f})$ :the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development. | That MRZ2-05 is retained |
|  | Support | HNZPT supports MRZ2-P6; <br> "Restrict residential development to an appropriate level to provide for and protect any relevant qualifying matters" <br> HNZPT considers that the suite of Policies should include references to the retention of the important values contained in qualifying matters at the time of new builds. It is important to signal this to developers at the earliest possible stage to enable them to incorporate these aspects into their design process. <br> The proposed addition to the suite of policies would enable a cascade of consideration throughout the assessment framework and will enable the Plan to provide for the RMA matters of national importance found at $\mathrm{s} 6(\mathrm{e})$ : the relationship of Māori and their culture | That MRZ2-P6 is retained. |

## Appendix 1

Submission points of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga to Variation 3 to the Proposed Waikato District Council District Plan
(Strike: $\mathrm{abe}=$ =delete and underline: $\mathrm{abc}=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council
Draft Proposed Support or $\quad$ Reasons for submission
Plan: Part \&
provision
number
MRZ2-S2

onifance to Mari, as tey are
recognised values of any qualifying matters
located on adjacent sites."
,
Relief sough

| Draft Proposed <br>  <br> provision <br> number | Support or Oppose | Reasons for submission | Relief sought |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga: and $s 6(f):$ the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development. |  |
| MRZ2-S2 <br> Height-building general Matters of Discretion | Support in part | HNZPT supports that the proposed matters of discretion give regard to the potential effects that new over height buildings will have on adjacent sites, however, HNZPT considers that the matters of consideration should specifically advise of the need to consider the impacts on qualifying matters, including historic heritage, archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori, as they are matters of national importance. <br> It maybe that the more intensive, over height development results in overlooking of a culturally important site or creates a dominant effect on a built heritage site or archaeological site. The Plan needs a policy, rule and assessment criteria framework to acknowledge this matter, and enable appropriate mitigation as required. This framework would also enable the Plan to better provide for cultural and historic heritage landscape which is often spread across several sites. | That MRZ2-S2 is retained and amended as follows: <br> (e) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites." |
| MRZ2-S3 <br> Height in relation to boundary Matters of Discretion | Support in part | HNZPT supports that the proposed matters of discretion give regard to the potential effects that a height in relation to boundary infringement will have on adjacent sites, however, HNZPT considers that the matters of consideration should specifically advise of the need to consider the impacts on qualifying matters, including historic heritage, archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori, as they are matters of national importance. <br> It maybe that development that infringes height to boundary controls results in overlooking of a culturally important site or creates a dominant effect on a built heritage site or archaeological site. The Plan needs a policy, rule and assessment criteria framework to acknowledge this matter, and enable appropriate mitigation as required. This framework would also enable the Plan to better provide for cultural and historic heritage landscape which is often spread across several sites. | That MRZ2-S3 is retained and amended as follows: <br> (e) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites." |

Appenix 1 Variation 3 to the Proposed Waikato District Submission
(Strike: $a b \in=$ delete and underline: $a b c=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council
Draft Proposed Support or Reasons for submission Council District Plan

| Draft Proposed <br>  <br> provision <br> number | Support or Oppose | Reasons for submission | Relief sought |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MRZ2-S4 <br> Setbacks <br> Matters of Discretion | Support in part | HNZPT supports that the proposed matters of discretion give regard to the potential effects that lack of setback will have on adjacent sites, however, HNZPT considers that the matters of consideration should specifically advise of the need to consider the impacts on qualifying matters, including historic heritage, archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori, as they are matters of national importance. <br> It maybe that the more intensive, development that is not suitably setback from the boundary may result in overlooking of a culturally important site or creates a dominant effect on a built heritage site or archaeological site. The Plan needs a policy, rule and assessment criteria framework to acknowledge this matter, and enable appropriate mitigation as required. This framework would also enable the Plan to better provide for cultural and historic heritage landscape which is often spread across several sites. | That MRZ2-S4 is retained and amended as follows: <br> (e) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites." |
| MRZ2-S5-Building coverage Matters of Discretion | Oppose in part | HNZPT supports that the proposed matters of discretion give regard to the potential effects that excessive building coverage on the surrounding residential character, however, HNZPT considers that the matters of consideration should specifically advise of the need to consider the impacts on qualifying matters, including historic heritage, archaeological sites and sites of significance to Māori, as they are matters of national importance. <br> It maybe that proposals that exceed building coverage controls may result in a more dominant development resulting in overlooking of a culturally important site or creates a dominant effect on a built heritage site or archaeological site. The Plan needs a policy, rule and assessment criteria framework to acknowledge this matter, and enable appropriate mitigation as required. This framework would also enable the Plan to better provide for cultural and historic heritage landscape which is often spread across several sites. | That MRZ2-S4 is retained and amended as follows: <br> (d) Whether the infringement detracts from the recognised values of any qualifying matters located on adjacent sites." |

## Appendix 1 Submission

 Council District Plan(Strike: $a=$ delete and underline: $\mathfrak{a b c}=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council the Planning maps as follows;
Draft Proposed $\quad$ Support or $\quad$ Reasons for submission

| Draft Proposed | Support or | Reasons for submission |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Plan: Part \& | Oppose |  |

provision
number
Relationship of Maaori and their
culture and
traditions with
their ancestral
lands, water,
waahi tapu, and
other taonga-
Section 6(e)e
6(f)
their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga.

| Appendix 1 <br> Submission points of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga to Variation 3 to the Proposed Council District Plan <br> (Strike: $a b \in=$ delete and underline: $\underline{a b c}=$ addition) WaiDC = Waikato District Council |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Draft Proposed <br>  <br> provision number | Support or Oppose | Reasons for submission | Relief sought |
| Relationship of Maaori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, waahi tapu, and other taongaSection 6(e)e | Support | HNZPT supports the recognition of the existing qualifying matters within Ngaruawahia, being residential zones sites that have sites or area of significance to Maaori recognised in the Planning maps as follows; <br> PDP Identifier-318-Corner of Eyre Street and Broadway-the Point, <br> as this will assist to give effect to enable the Plan to provide for the RMA matters of national importance found at $s 6$ (e): the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga. | That the Qualifying Matter found in the following sections of the Assessment report are retained: <br> PDP Identifier-318-Corner of Eyre Street and Broadway-the Point, <br> is retained as part of Variation 3. |
| Historic Heritage 6(f) | Support | HNZPT supports the qualifying matters of the historic heritage items already scheduled in the Plan, and in some instances listed with HNZPT, that are located in the 4 growth towns of Ngaaruawaahia, Tuakau, Pokeno and Huntly, and the heritage area that includes the Huntly Railway Cottages in Harris Street as this will assist the Plan to provide for RMA s 6 (f): the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development. | That the historic heritage items already scheduled in the Plan that are located in the 4 growth towns, and the Huntly Railway Cottages in Harris Street are retained as part of Variation 3. |
| Qualifying Matter Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa Waikato the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River. |  | HNZPT supports the qualifying matters and the related setback controls for Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa Waikato - the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River, as this will assist to give effect to enable the Plan to provide for the RMA matters of national importance found at s6(e): the relationship of Māori and their culture and traditions with their ancestral lands, water, sites, waahi tapu, and other taonga. | That the qualifying matter Te Ture Whaimana o Te Awa Waikato - the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River is retained. |

