	First Name: Grant		Last Name: Ruffell	*
	Organisation: MG Solutions			
	On behalf of:			
	Postal Address:			
	7 Hardley Street			
	Suburb:			
	Whitiora			
	City:			
	Hamilton			
	Country:			
	New Zealand			
	PostCode:			
	3240			
	eMail: *			
	grant@mgsl.co.nz			
	Prefered method of cont	act		
С	Email		C	Postal
	Daytime Phone:			
	078391335			
	Mobile:			
	0274549051			
C	Would you like to presen Yes	t your submission ir	n person at	a hearing?

C I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that the following submission be fully considered.

Additional requirements for hearing:

# **Consultation Document Submissions**

Section C Rules > Chapter 22: Rural Zone > 22.4 Subdivision > 22.4.1 > 22.4.1.2 General subdivision

- C Support
- Oppose
- Neutral/Amend

**Decision Requested** 

We believe that there are some rural sites that could benefit from an additional rule providing greater flexibility where currently no high class soils exist.

Section C Rules > Chapter 22: Rural Zone > 22.4 Subdivision > 22.4.1 > 22.4.1.6 Conservation lot subdivision Support

- Oppose
- Neutral/Amend

**Decision Requested** 

Amended rule relating to subdivision of Significant Natural Areas to increase the minimum lot size and to remove the maximum lot size where the Records of Title either do or do not contain high class soil.

Reason for Decision Requested

To increase future potential for rural activities to be undertaken on land designated as Significant Natural Area.

Attached Documents

File

Plan Change Submission - Chapter 22 - Subdivision - Rural Zone - WDC

Proposed District Plan Notified July 2018



RMA Form 5

# Proposed Waikato District Plan

Submission form

ECM Project: DPRPh5-03
ECM #
Submission #
Customer #
Property #

To submit electronically please go to: www.waikatodistrict.govt.nz/pdp

# Closing date for submissions: 5pm on Tuesday 9 October 2018

Submitter details: (please note that the (\*) are required fields and must be completed)

First name*: Grant Last name*: Ruffell					
Organisation: MG Solutions Ltd					
On behalf of:					
Postal address*: c/o - MGSL - PO Box 9739					
Suburb: 7 Hardley Street	Town/City*: Whitiora, Hamilton				
Country: New Zealand	Postal code*: 3240				
Daytime phone: 078391335	Mobile: 0274549051				
Email address:* grant@mgsl.co.nz					
Please tick your preferred method of contact*					
Email     Postal					
Correspondence to*					
Submitter Agent 🖌 Both					
Trade competition and adverse effects:*					
I could I could not					
gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.					
<b>Note:</b> If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.					
Would you like to present your submission in person at a	a hearing?				
✓ Yes					
I do NOT wish to speak in support of my submission	and ask that this submission be fully considered.				
If others make a similar submission I will consider present	ting a joint case with them at the hearing (do not tick if				
you would not consider a joint case).					

Please comp	plete the follow	ving for every submission point:	
Provision nu	ı <b>mber</b> (e.g. 22.4	.1.2 P2(a)): Chapter 22 Rural Zone (22.4 - Subdivisio	n)
Physical addre	ess of the proper	ty concerned (if relevant to your submission):	
Do you:	✓ Oppose	Neutral Neutral	

# The decision I would like is:

To recommend amendments to the proposed Waikato District Plan to allow more flexibility where

allotments only contain ' low class soils' rather than ' high class soils' in the rural zone. We are also

submitting on the proposed Conservation Lot rule for subdivision. While our Client's application relates to

rural zoned land, we specifically note that the proposed District Plan intends to remove the current Coastal

Zone and therefore all proposed changes below would relate equally in cases of rural and coastal zoned land

should that zone change not proceed (i.e. the proposed changes we believe should apply to both zones).

Please see the attached submission report for further details.

#### My reasons for the above are:

Please refer to the MGSL Plan Change Submission Report as attached for the reasons of the

decision requested.

Electronic version will be submitted as part of this plan Signed: change submission Date: .09/10/2018 (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

PRIVACY ACT NOTE: Please note that all information provided in your submission will be used to progress the process for this proposed district plan, and may be made publicly available.

9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

The Chief Executive Waikato District Council Private Bag 544 Ngaruawahia 3742

Dear Sir/Madam,



# <u>RE: RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 – SUBMISSION FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE PROPOSED</u> WAIKATO DISTRICT PLAN – WDC PLAN – CHAPTER 22.4 – SUBDIVISION (RURAL ZONE)

**Introduction:** We are pleased to present on behalf of our Client, a submission for the proposed Waikato District Plan Review. The submission recommends amendments to the proposed Waikato District Plan to allow more flexibility where allotments only contain *'low class soils'* rather than *'high class soils'* in the rural zone. We are also submitting on the proposed Conservation Lot rule for subdivision. While our Client's application relates to rural zoned land, we specifically note that the proposed District Plan intends to remove the current Coastal Zone and therefore all proposed changes below would relate equally in cases of rural and coastal zoned land should that zone change not proceed (i.e. the proposed changes we believe should apply to both zones). Please see the following submission and reasoning below for further details.

Location: Rural Zone, Waikato District

Submission: Amended proposed Waikato District Plan – Chapter 22.4 – Subdivision (Rural Zone)

# Rule 22.4.1.2 – General Subdivision

The current Proposed District Plan wording for this rule is as follows.

RD1	(a) Subdivisi	on must comply with all of the following conditions:
	(i)	The Record of Title to be subdivided must have issued prior to 6 December 1997;
	(ii)	The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;
		The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding an access allotment;
	(iv)	The additional lot must have a proposed area of between 8,000m <sup>2</sup> and 1.6ha;
	(v)	Land containing high class soil (as determined by a Land Use Capability Assessment
		prepared by a suitably qualified person) must be contained within the boundaries of two lots as follows:
		A. One lot must contain a minimum of 80% of the high class soil; and
		B. The other lot may contain up to 20% of high class soil.
	(b) Council's	discretion is restricted to the following matters:
		Subdivision layout and design including dimensions, shape and orientation of the proposed lot;
	(ii)	Effects on rural character and amenity values;
	(iii)	Effects on landscape values;
	(iv)	Potential for reverse sensitivity effects;
	(v)	Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and
		accessways.
NC1	General subd	ivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.2 RD1.

We believe that there are many cases where there are rural sites that do not contain high class soils that could be potentially subdivided down to smaller sizes without compromising productivity or the character and amenity of the rural zone. We believe this could be achieved by making a much larger minimum Lot size (with no maximum) and providing a one-time only provision to ensure that subdivision retains rural character and amenity, and ensures Lot sizes are sufficiently sized to form of potential productive use.

# Recommended Change:

# 22.4.1.2 – General Subdivision:

RD1	A1 – Record of Title containing High Class Soil:
	(a) Subdivision must comply with all of the following conditions:
	(i) The Record of Title to be subdivided must have issued prior to 6 December 1997;
	(ii) The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;
	<ul> <li>(iii) The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding an access allotment;</li> </ul>
	(iv) The additional lot must have a proposed area of between 8,000m <sup>2</sup> and 1.6ha;
	<ul> <li>Land containing high class soil (as determined by a Land Use Capability Assessment prepared by a suitably qualified person) must be contained within the boundaries of two lots as follows:</li> </ul>
	A.One lot must contain a minimum of 80% of the high class soil; and B. The other lot may contain up to 20% of high class soil.
	b. The other lot may contain up to 20% of high class soli.
RD2	A2 – Record of Title containing no High Class Soil:
	(a) Subdivision must comply with all of the following conditions:
	(i) The Record of Title to be subdivided must not have previously been used to gain an
	additional subdivision entitlement under this Rule (Note: A consent notice will be required on new Titles created under this Rule confirming no further subdivision under this Rule may be made);
	(ii) The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;
	(iii) The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding an
	access allotment;
	(iv) The additional lot must have a minimum lot area of 4ha;
	(b) Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
	(i) Subdivision layout and design including dimensions, shape and orientation of the
	proposed lot;
	(ii) Effects on rural character and amenity values;
	(iii) Effects on landscape values;
	(iv) Potential for reverse sensitivity effects;
	(v) Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and
	accessways.
NC1	General subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.2 RD1.

# Rule 22.4.1.6 – Conservation lot subdivision

The current Proposed District Plan wording for this rule is as follows.

RD1	(a) The sub	division must comply with all of the following	g conditions:	
	(i)	The lots must contain a contiguous area of existing Significant Natural Area either as		
		shown on the planning maps or as determined by an experienced and suitably		
		qualified ecologist in accordance with the table below:		
		Contiguous area to be legally protected (ha)	Maximum number of new Records of Title	
		Between 1ha and 2ha in area within the	1	
		Hamilton Basin		
		Less than 2ha in all other areas	0	
		2ha to less than 5ha	1	
		5ha to less than 10ha	2	
		10ha or more	3	
	(ii)	The area of Significant Natural Area is a	ssessed by a suitably-qualified person as	
		satisfying at least one criteria in Appendix	2 (Criteria for Determining Significance of	

		Indigenous Biodiversity);
	(iii)	The Significant Natural Area is not already subject to a conservation covenant
	(,	pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977;
	(iv)	The subdivision proposes to legally protect all areas of Significant Natural Area by way
	()	of a conservation covenant pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabeth
		II National Trust Act 1977;
	(v)	An ecological management plan is prepared to address ongoing management of the
	(-7	covenant to ensure that the Significant Natural Area is self-sustaining and that plan:
		A. Addresses fencing requirements for the covenant area;
		B. Addresses ongoing pest plant and animal control;
		C. Identifies any enhancement or edge planting required within the covenant
		area;
	(vi)	All proposed lots are a minimum size of 8,000m <sup>2</sup> ;
	(vii)	All proposed lots excluding the balance lot, must each have a maximum area of 1.6ha;
	(viii)	The rule or its equivalent in a previous District Plan has not previously been used to
		gain an additional subdivision entitlement;
	(b) Counci	I's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
	(i)	Subdivision layout and proximity of building platforms to Significant Natural Area;
	(ii)	Matters contained in an ecological management plan for the covenant area;
	(iii)	Effects of the subdivision on rural character and amenity values;
	(iv)	Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and
		access ways.
NC1	A conservat	ion lot subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.6 RD1.

We believe that the current requirement for both contiguous areas of Significance and the minimum Lot area requirements are too restrictive. These requirements provide no incentive for potential protection for future generations in cases where the current area is less than the minimums or segregated by some feature but still containing Significant Natural Areas (SNA). For example, where a neighbouring property has already covenanted a SNA and a portion additional bush is adjoining but under two hectares, it would seem to make sense to promote completing the covenant area around the whole of the physical SNA. Council would still maintain Discretion for areas less than two hectares would ensure that subdivision under this proposed amended Rule is not taken advantage of. In addition, by removing the requirements for the areas to be 'contiguous', Council may find that proposals could be made to reinstate (replant for example) land between non-contiguous areas as part of possible future SNA zones. Finally, where this rule is utilised, we believe there should be different Lot sizes for areas of land do or don't contain high class soils (better matching our first submission point under Rule 22.4.1.2.

# Recommended Change:

RD1	(a) The su	bdivision must comply with all of the following	g conditions:	
	(i)	The lots must contain an <del>contiguous</del> area c	of existing Significant Natural Area either as	
		shown on the planning maps or as det	ermined by an experienced and suitably	
		qualified ecologist in accordance with the table below:		
		Contiguous Area to be legally protected (ha)	Maximum number of new Records of Title	
		Between 1ha and 2ha in area within the	1	
		Hamilton Basin		
		Less than 2ha in all other areas	10	
		2ha to less than 5ha	2 <del>1</del>	
		5ha or more to less than 10ha	3 <del>2</del>	
		<del>10ha or more</del>	3	
	(ii)	The area of Significant Natural Area is a	ssessed by a suitably-qualified person as	
			2 (Criteria for Determining Significance of	
		Indigenous Biodiversity);		
	(iii)	The Significant Natural Area is not alre pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the C	ady subject to a conservation covenant Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977;	
	(iv)	The subdivision proposes to legally protect	all areas of Significant Natural Area by way	
		of a conservation covenant pursuant to the	e Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabeth	
		II National Trust Act 1977;		

		II National Trust Act 1977;
	(v)	An ecological management plan is prepared to address ongoing management of the
		covenant to ensure that the Significant Natural Area is self-sustaining and that plan:
		A. Addresses fencing requirements for the covenant area;
		B. Addresses ongoing pest plant and animal control;
		C. Identifies any enhancement or edge planting required within the covenant
		area;
	(vi)	All proposed lots are a minimum size of 8,000m <sup>2</sup> ;
	(vii)	In cases where high class soils exist onsite, and exist within the parent Title, all
		proposed lots excluding the balance lot, must each have a maximum area of 1.6ha;
	(viii)	In cases where no high class soils exist onsite, or exist within the parent Title, all
		proposed lots excluding the balance lot, there is no maximum lot size;
	(ix)	The rule or its equivalent in a previous District Plan has not previously been used to
		gain an additional subdivision entitlement;
	(b) Council	's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
	(i)	Subdivision layout and proximity of building platforms to Significant Natural Area;
	(ii)	Matters contained in an ecological management plan for the covenant area;
	(iii)	Effects of the subdivision on rural character and amenity values;
	(i∨)	Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and
		access ways.
NC1	A conservat	ion lot subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.6 RD1.

Note: In addition, we are aware of allotments are covered their entirety by the Significant Natural Area Overlay and on that basis, we would also like Council to consider introducing the ability to transfer development rights to other properties (given the proposal to make that non-complying for splitting Significant Natural Area by subdivision).

# Conclusions:

To summarise, we believe that the proposed amendments are still generally consistent with the Objectives and Policies under the current Proposed District Plan. We would look forward to Council considering the proposed plan changes accordingly.

Should any further information be required, please do not hesitate to contact the writer-

Yours faithfully, MG Solutions Ltd. On Behalf of Delta Property Group,

On Behalf of Grant Ruffell, Registered Professional Surveyor Care of – Stephen Goodwin Senior Planner

Per: Sam Shears Student Planner

Waikato	Proposed Waikato Dist		
	Submission form	ECM # Submission # .	
Te Kaunihera iki Toktwidi o Wiakino	RECEN	Customer # .!	
RMA Form 5	9 OCT.	2018 Property #	<u>v///</u>
To submit electronically p	elease go to: www.waikatodistrict.	.govt.nz/pdp	
Closing	date for submissions: 5pm on T	uesday 9 October 2018	AN
		78	NO -
Submitter details: (please	note that the (*) are required fields and must	be completed)	
First name*: Grant	Last name	e*: Ruffell	
Organisation: MG Solution	s Ltd		
On behalf of:			
Postal address*: c/o - MGSI	- PO Box 9739		
Suburb: 7 Hardley Street	Town/Cit	ty*: Whitiora, Hamilton	
Country: New Zealand	Postal co	de*: 3240	
Daytime phone: 078391335	5 Mobile: 0	0274549051	
Email address:* grant@mg	sl.co.nz		
Please tick your preferred m	nethod of contact*		
🖌 Email	Postal		
Correspondence to*			
Submitter Agent	✓ Both		
Trade competition and adve	was allockat		
I could			
	ompetition through this submission.		
N			
	IId gain an advantage in trade competitio by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 o		
	our submission in person at a hearing?	0	
Yes	our submission in person at a nearing.		
L do NOT with to speed	< in support of my submission and ask th	hat this submission be fully considered	
lf others make a similar subr you would not consider a jo	nission I will consider presenting a joint int case).	case with them at the hearing (do not	tick if
	,		

a man and the sub-

. .....

......

Please complete the following for every submission point:	
Provision number (e.g. 22.4.1.2 P2(a)): Chapter 22 Rural Zone (22.4 - Subdivision	
Physical address of the property concerned (if relevant to your submission):	
Do you:	
Support V Oppose Neutral	

The decision I would like is:

To recommend amendments to the proposed Waikato District Plan to allow more flexibility where allotments only contain ' low class soils' rather than ' high class soils' in the rural zone. We are also submitting on the proposed Conservation Lot rule for subdivision. While our Client's application relates to rural zoned land, we specifically note that the proposed District Plan intends to remove the current Coastal Zone and therefore all proposed changes below would relate equally in cases of rural and coastal zoned land should that zone change not proceed (i.e. the proposed changes we believe should apply to both zones). Please see the attached submission report for further details. My reasons for the above are: Please refer to the MGSL Plan Change Submission Report as attached for the reasons of the decision requested.

Electronic version will be submitted as part of this plan Signed: change submission (A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Date: 09/10/2018

PRIVACY ACT NOTE: Please note that all information provided in your submission will be used to progress the process for this proposed district plan, and may be made publicly available.

9<sup>th</sup> October, 2018

The Chief Executive Waikato District Council Private Bag 544 Ngaruawahia 3742 PLANNING SURVEYING ARCHITECTURE

Dear Sir/Madam,

#### <u>RE: RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991 – SUBMISSION FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE PROPOSED</u> WAIKATO DISTRICT PLAN – WDC PLAN – CHAPTER 22.4 – SUBDIVISION (RURAL ZONE)

**Introduction:** We are pleased to present on behalf of our Client, a submission for the proposed Waikato District Plan Review. The submission recommends amendments to the proposed Waikato District Plan to allow more flexibility where allotments only contain *'low class soils'* rather than *'high class soils'* in the rural zone. We are also submitting on the proposed Conservation Lot rule for subdivision. While our Client's application relates to rural zoned land, we specifically note that the proposed District Plan intends to remove the current Coastal Zone and therefore all proposed changes below would relate equally in cases of rural and coastal zoned land should that zone change not proceed (i.e. the proposed changes we believe should apply to both zones). Please see the following submission and reasoning below for further details.

Location: Rural Zone, Waikato District

Submission: Amended proposed Waikato District Plan – Chapter 22.4 – Subdivision (Rural Zone)

#### Rule 22.4.1.2 – General Subdivision

The current Proposed District Plan wording for this rule is as follows.

RD1	(a) Subdivision must comply with all of the following conditions:		
	(i) The Record of Title to be subdivided must have issued prior to 6 December 1997;		
	(ii) The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;		
	(iii) The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding an access allotment;		
	(iv) The additional lot must have a proposed area of between 8,000m <sup>2</sup> and 1.6ha;		
	(v) Land containing high class soil (as determined by a Land Use Capability Assessment		
	prepared by a suitably qualified person) must be contained within the boundaries of two lots as follows:		
	A. One lot must contain a minimum of 80% of the high class soil; and		
	B. The other lot may contain up to 20% of high class soil.		
	(b) Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:		
	(i) Subdivision layout and design including dimensions, shape and orientation of the proposed lot;		
	(ii) Effects on rural character and amenity values;		
	(iii) Effects on landscape values;		
	(iv) Potential for reverse sensitivity effects;		
	(v) Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and accessways.		
NC1	General subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.2 RD1.		

We believe that there are many cases where there are rural sites that do not contain high class soils that could be potentially subdivided down to smaller sizes without compromising productivity or the character and amenity of the rural zone. We believe this could be achieved by making a much larger minimum Lot size (with no maximum) and providing a one-time only provision to ensure that subdivision retains rural character and amenity, and ensures Lot sizes are sufficiently sized to form of potential productive use.

Your Ref

Address: 7 Hardley Street • PO Box 9379 • Hamilton 3240 • Phone: (07) 839 1335 • Email: grant@mgsl.co.nz • Website: www.mgsl.co.nz

#### Recommended Change:

#### 22.4.1.2 - General Subdivision:

RD1	A1 – Record of Title containing High Class Soil:
	(a) Subdivision must comply with all of the following conditions:
	(i) The Record of Title to be subdivided must have issued prior to 6 December 1997;
	(ii) The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;
	(iii) The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding ar access allotment;
	(iv) The additional lot must have a proposed area of between 8,000m <sup>2</sup> and 1.6ha;
	(v) Land containing high class soil (as determined by a Land Use Capability Assessment
	prepared by a suitably qualified person) must be contained within the boundaries of two lots as follows:
	A. One lot must contain a minimum of 80% of the high class soil; and
	B. The other lot may contain up to 20% of high class soil.
RD2	A2 – Record of Title containing no High Class Soil:
	(a) Subdivision must comply with all of the following conditions:
	(i) The Record of Title to be subdivided must not have previously been used to gain ar additional subdivision entitlement under this Rule (Note: A consent notice will be required on new Titles created under this Rule confirming no further subdivision
	under this Rule may be made);
	(ii) The Record of Title to be subdivided must be at least 20 hectares in area;
	<ul> <li>(iii) The proposed subdivision must create no more than one additional lot, excluding ar access allotment;</li> </ul>
	(iv) The additional lot must have a minimum lot area of 4ha;
	(b) Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
	<ul> <li>Subdivision layout and design including dimensions, shape and orientation of the proposed lot;</li> </ul>
	(ii) Effects on rural character and amenity values;
	(iii) Effects on landscape values;
	(iv) Potential for reverse sensitivity effects;
	<ul> <li>(v) Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms and accessways.</li> </ul>
NC1	General subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.2 RD1.

# Rule 22.4.1.6 - Conservation lot subdivision

The current Proposed District Plan wording for this rule is as follows.

RD1	(a) The sub	odivision must comply with all of the followin	g conditions:				
	(i) The lots must contain a contiguous area of existing Significant Natural Area eithe						
	shown on the planning maps or as determined by an experienced ar qualified ecologist in accordance with the table below:						
		Contiguous area to be legally protected (ha)	Maximum number of new Records of Title				
		Between 1ha and 2ha in area within the	1				
		Hamilton Basin					
		Less than 2ha in all other areas	0				
		2ha to less than 5ha	1				
		5ha to less than 10ha	2				
		10ha or more	3				
	(ii) The area of Significant Natural Area is assessed by a suitably-qu						
		satisfying at least one criteria in Appendix	2 (Criteria for Determining Significance of				

Your Ref:

Address: 7 Hardley Street • PO Box 9379 • Hamilton 3240 • Phone: (07) 839 1335 • Email: grant@mgsl.co.nz • Website: www.mgsl.co.nz

NC1	A conservatio	on lot subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.6 RD1.	
	(iv)	Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms an access ways.	
	(iii)	Effects of the subdivision on rural character and amenity values;	
	(ii)	Matters contained in an ecological management plan for the covenant area;	
	(i)	Subdivision layout and proximity of building platforms to Significant Natural Area;	
	(b) Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:		
	(viii)	The rule or its equivalent in a previous District Plan has not previously been used to gain an additional subdivision entitlement;	
	(vii)	All proposed lots excluding the balance lot, must each have a maximum area of 1.6ha	
	(vi)	All proposed lots are a minimum size of 8,000m <sup>2</sup> ;	
		area;	
		B. Addresses ongoing pest plant and animal control; C. Identifies any enhancement or edge planting required within the covenan	
		A. Addresses fencing requirements for the covenant area;	
		covenant to ensure that the Significant Natural Area is self-sustaining and that plan:	
	(v)	An ecological management plan is prepared to address ongoing management of the	
		II National Trust Act 1977;	
		of a conservation covenant pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabet	
	(iv)	The subdivision proposes to legally protect all areas of Significant Natural Area by wa	
	(111)	The Significant Natural Area is not already subject to a conservation covenan pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977;	
	(iii)	Indigenous Biodiversity); The Significant Natural Area is not already subject to a conservation sources	

We believe that the current requirement for both contiguous areas of Significance and the minimum Lot area requirements are too restrictive. These requirements provide no incentive for potential protection for future generations in cases where the current area is less than the minimums or segregated by some feature but still containing Significant Natural Areas (SNA). For example, where a neighbouring property has already covenanted a SNA and a portion additional bush is adjoining but under two hectares, it would seem to make sense to promote completing the covenant area around the whole of the physical SNA. Council would still maintain Discretion for areas less than two hectares would ensure that subdivision under this proposed amended Rule is not taken advantage of. In addition, by removing the requirements for the areas to be 'contiguous', Council may find that proposals could be made to reinstate (replant for example) land between non-contiguous areas as part of possible future SNA zones. Finally, where this rule is utilised, we believe there should be different Lot sizes for areas of land do or don't contain high class soils (better matching our first submission point under Rule 22.4.1.2.

# Recommended Change:

(i)	The lots must contain an contiguous area or shown on the planning maps or as dete				
		ermined by an experienced and suitably			
	avalified and a sist in a second an as with the to	sinning of an experienced and saledby			
	qualified ecologist in accordance with the table below:				
	Contiguous Area to be legally protected (ha)	Maximum number of new Records of Title			
	Between 1ha and 2ha in area within the	1			
	Hamilton Basin				
	Less than 2ha in all other areas	10			
	2ha to less than 5ha	2 1			
	5ha or more to less than 10ha	32			
	<del>10ha or more</del>	3			
(ii)	The area of Significant Natural Area is a	ssessed by a suitably-qualified person as			
satisfying at least one criteria in Appendix 2 (Criteria for Determining					
	Indigenous Biodiversity);				
(iii)	The Significant Natural Area is not already subject to a conservation covenant				
	pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabeth II National Trust Act 1977				
(iv)	The subdivision proposes to legally protect all areas of Significant Natural Area by way				
( )	of a conservation covenant pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Queen Elizabet				
		heserves her is it of the queen encased			
		Hamilton BasinLess than 2ha in all other areas2ha to less than 5ha5ha or more to less than 10ha10ha or more(ii)The area of Significant Natural Area is as satisfying at least one criteria in Appendix Indigenous Biodiversity);(iii)The Significant Natural Area is not alrea pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977 or the Q 			

Your Ref:

Address: 7 Hardley Street • PO Box 9379 • Hamilton 3240 • Phone: (07) 839 1335 • Email: grant@mgsl.co.nz • Website: www.mgsl.co.nz

	II National Trust Act 1977;
	<ul> <li>(v) An ecological management plan is prepared to address ongoing management of the covenant to ensure that the Significant Natural Area is self-sustaining and that plan:</li> <li>A.Addresses fencing requirements for the covenant area;</li> <li>B.Addresses ongoing pest plant and animal control;</li> <li>C. Identifies any enhancement or edge planting required within the covenart area;</li> </ul>
	(vi) All proposed lots are a minimum size of 8,000m <sup>2</sup> ;
	(vii) In cases where high class soils exist onsite, and exist within the parent Title, a proposed lots excluding the balance lot, must each have a maximum area of 1.6ha;
	<ul> <li>(viii) In cases where no high class soils exist onsite, or exist within the parent Title, a proposed lots excluding the balance lot, there is no maximum lot size;</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(ix) The rule or its equivalent in a previous District Plan has not previously been used t gain an additional subdivision entitlement;</li> </ul>
	(b) Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters:
	(i) Subdivision layout and proximity of building platforms to Significant Natural Area;
	(ii) Matters contained in an ecological management plan for the covenant area;
	(iii) Effects of the subdivision on rural character and amenity values;
	<ul> <li>(iv) Extent of earthworks including earthworks for the location of building platforms ar access ways.</li> </ul>
NC1	A conservation lot subdivision that does not comply with Rule 22.4.1.6 RD1.

Note: In addition, we are aware of allotments are covered their entirety by the Significant Natural Area Overlay and on that basis, we would also like Council to consider introducing the ability to transfer development rights to other properties (given the proposal to make that non-complying for splitting Significant Natural Area by subdivision).

#### Conclusions:

To summarise, we believe that the proposed amendments are still generally consistent with the Objectives and Policies under the current Proposed District Plan. We would look forward to Council considering the proposed plan changes accordingly.

Should any further information be required, please do not hesitate to contact the writer.

Yours faithfully, MG Solutions Ltd. On Behalf of Delta Property Group,

On Behalf of Grant Ruffell, Registered Professional Supeyor

Goodwin

Per: Sam Shears Student Planner