

Long Term Plan 2018-28
Appendix I – All Submissions

May 2018

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
3	The New Zealand	Motor Caravan Association Inc.	The New Zealand Motor Caravan Association Inc.	N/A	Papakura			<p>Introduction We understand councils have been working on their draft Long Term Plans 2018-2028 (LTP's) and most of these will be out for public consultation in March – May 2018. LTP's describe the priority activities and community outcomes that councils want to achieve over the next 10 years, while coordinating resources and providing for integrated decision-making.</p> <p>The New Zealand Motor Caravan Association (NZMCA) appreciates the opportunity to provide this feedback towards your LTP review. Formed in 1956, the NZMCA represents the interests of over 80,000 New Zealanders who enjoy exploring New Zealand at leisure in the purpose-built certified self-contained (CSC) motorhomes and caravans. NZMCA members are taxpayers, ratepayers, and domestic travellers who enjoy camping in their hometowns and other districts throughout New Zealand.</p> <p>The domestic motor caravan industry is growing at an unprecedented rate with more and more kiwi retirees, baby boomers and families looking for opportunities to relive the quintessential kiwi-camping lifestyle. For example, the 3-day Covi Supershow held in Auckland last year sold over \$32 million worth of CSC motor caravans to New Zealanders alone, compared to \$18 million sold in the previous year. It is incumbent on councils to recognise and support this growing activity enjoyed by tens of thousands of kiwi families.</p> <p>As an official partner of Local Government New Zealand and a strong advocate for responsible freedom camping in CSC vehicles, the NZMCA wants to partner with you on infrastructure development and strategic policy planning with a view to supporting responsible motor caravanning across New Zealand. Like you, we want to ensure motor caravanning is managed properly in New Zealand and provides long-term benefits to your local businesses and communities. To that end, the NZMCA recommends your LTP includes sufficient recognition, resourcing and prioritisation to support the continued growth of the domestic motor caravanning sector with a particular focus on the follow areas:</p> <p>New infrastructure development, e.g. public dump stations and refuse bins; An integrated and permissive freedom camping management regime; and Recognition of the NZMCA's Motorhome Friendly Scheme.</p> <p>Infrastructure 6. All councils have a responsibility under the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA) and the Health Act 1956 to improve, promote and protect public health. Councils are therefore expected to provide adequate public facilities such as refuse bins and public toilets – which also include public dump stations accessible to both local residents and visitors¹. Furthermore, the LGA requires councils to assess whether they still meet public demand for these facilities and to take appropriate action if they do not. 7. Over the past 10 years, the NZMCA has helped co-fund hundreds of public dump station projects across New Zealand. While we continue to collaborate with councils and fill the gaps within the national network, there remain significant access and availability issues throughout many parts of New Zealand. There is growing demand for additional facilities like refuse bins and public dump stations to support visitors and kiwi families exploring our countryside. 8. The NZMCA also supports council projects that provide refuse facilities in strategic areas for visitors. For example, in partnership with the Mackenzie District Council the NZMCA financially supported a new 7m³ user-pays compact rubbish bin at Lake Tekapo. This bin is available for use to all visitors and local residents for a nominal charge. Benefits and funding options 9. Offering facilities in strategic locations will encourage safe waste disposal and protect public health. Dump stations built to NZS 5465:2001 specifications will encourage responsible campers in CSC vehicles to visit and spend money in your towns, while providing visitors with a safe place to dispose of their waste water. The cost of constructing a new facility varies² and largely depends on the proximity of the underground services along with any additional requirements, e.g. widening of the carriageway. The NZMCA is available to provide practical and technical advice as well as financial assistance (provided the new station meets certain criteria). Councils can also apply to MBIE's Tourism Infrastructure Fund for additional financial support. Recommendations That your LTP includes resourcing and prioritisation for public dump station facilities built to NZS 5465:2001 specifications, along with free/low cost refuse and recycling facilities for visitors.</p> <p>Freedom Camping¹¹. The NZMCA supports responsible freedom camping in CSC vehicles only. We encourage all councils to recognise the value of CSC motor caravanners throughout their camping-related policies and bylaws. The NZMCA is working with Local Government New Zealand on a 'good practice freedom camping guide' which will include advice to councils wanting to improve their overall management regimes. Furthermore, central government's renewed focus on freedom camping and the formation of a cross-sector stakeholder working group may result in new ideas and management solutions coming to the fore, to help councils manage the activity differently.¹² The outcome of both initiatives may motivate your council to review its existing policy framework over the next 1-2 years, including any camping-related bylaws, reserve management plan policies, and district plan provisions. It would, therefore, be prudent for the council to set aside additional resources in anticipation of a comprehensive and holistic policy review. Benefits¹³. Setting aside sufficient resources in your LTP will make it easier for the council to adapt to the changing landscape and, if necessary, undertake a comprehensive policy review. From our experience, having immediate access to sufficient resources will make it easier for council staff to undertake adequate assessments and reviews along with genuine stakeholder engagement. Relying on unbudgeted and insufficient resources will inevitably lead to poor outcomes and exacerbate community/stakeholder angst. Recommendations¹⁴. That your LTP includes sufficient resourcing to initiate an integrated freedom camping management regime, which may require a comprehensive review of your relevant rules, policies and bylaws.</p> <p>Motorhome Friendly Scheme¹⁵. The NZMCA recognises the pressure New Zealand's booming tourism industry is having on local communities and infrastructure. In response to these</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>concerns the NZMCA initiated the ‘off the beaten track’ campaign which encourages CSC motor caravanners to visit lesser known places around New Zealand. Our campaign helps ‘spread the load’ across New Zealand and supports local operators in rural/provincial areas calling out for more tourism business. This campaign is also supported through the NZMCA’s Motorhome Friendly scheme, which promotes campgrounds and preferred freedom camping areas alongside a range of local events, e.g. food and wine festivals, music concerts, shows and other family-friendly activities.16. The NZMCA Motorhome Friendly scheme was first introduced in 2010 and was modelled on the very successful RV Friendly scheme initiated by the Campervan and Motorhome Club of Australia. The NZMCA’s scheme provides a set of amenities and services that guarantee motor caravanners a warm welcome and an enjoyable visit. Motor Caravanners will generally avoid towns and districts where they are not welcome and will go out of their way to visit a town that markets itself as being motorhome friendly. With on-the-road motorhome expenditure exceeding \$650 million annually (\$211 million of which come from NZMCA members) motorhomers and communities can certainly establish a mutually beneficial relationship.17. The NZMCA scheme is primarily targeted at rural and provisional towns, and currently supports 38 councils and 50 friendly towns across New Zealand. There is no cost to any council or town wanting to participate in the scheme other than having friendly camping policies/bylaws along with the necessary infrastructure to support visitors travelling in CSC vehicles. Further information on the scheme can be found here – www.mhftowns.com.Benefits18. Participating in the motorhome friendly scheme is FREE. In return for providing adequate infrastructure (e.g. accessible dump stations) and permissive freedom camping policies/bylaws, the NZMCA will promote your town(s) and local events to motor caravan tourists across New Zealand. Our team of experienced professionals will work alongside your staff and local event organisers to showcase your towns and all they have to offer. The NZMCA also takes care of all online promotional and marketing collateral.Recommendations19. That your LTP explicitly recognises the value of the NZMCA Motorhome Friendly Scheme.Summary20. The domestic CSC motor caravan industry in New Zealand continues to grow at an unprecedented rate. More and more kiwi families are opting for passive recreational lifestyles that enable them to explore their own country. The NZMCA wants to partner with your council to help support this dream for the benefit of New Zealanders, their towns and their communities. The LTP provides an avenue for your council to recognise, resource and prioritise activities in support of this growth.21. The NZMCA is happy to discuss this feedback and we would appreciate notification of your LTP when it is out for public consultation.</p>
4	Andrew	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 3	<p>Kia Ora,As a ratepayer and also a Waikato-Tainui Beneficiary it’s important that I remain fully supportive of all issues relating to the Bi-Lateral arrangement between Waikato-Tainui and the Waikato District Council.In terms of my choice well it is and always will be in the best interests of Waikato-Tainui As my Iwi.The important factor for my family and I is knowing full well Waikato District Council will be held accountable and will be compliant to the Iwi as my thoughts are made clear in the Councils response that Option3 A Council Waters Company(CWC) is not the preferred option. as I read Comparing the options the benefits are significant.I would think it is important that the WDC consider making some sought of attempt to attend Waikato Tainui public forums to further explain these important points being very significant in allowing a better informed decision.UAGC - Uniform Annual General Charge.It’s only a natural assertion that the the below income earner and average ratepayer would select Option 3 Reduce the UAGC rate.Again the WDC states this is not the preferred option, again the user pays well below the costs as I see it.Tax the bigger money earners in the Commercial, industrial, Lifestyle as well as the Rural As you have already stated these groups get all the supportive advantages ie TAX write offs. Residential get nothing.Just as a side issue but something that may arise in the not to distant future.Te Awa walkway - Ngaruawahia - Hopuhopu - Taupiri - Huntly.Or Ngaruawahia via Hakarimata back Road to Huntly.</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
5	David	Hay	N/A	N/A			Option 1	Our company Roskill Properties Limited is a property owner and we write in that capacity we disagree with option to reducing the uniform annual Gen charge to \$351.96We would prefer to see the uniform annual Gen charge increased to a higher level than \$482.85 however as you only give us 2 options then we support option 1We would like to make the following points in support of our submission.1. Council recommending option to is trying to manipulate the system according to what Council believes is the ability of various ratepayers to pay. Property values do not necessarily reflect ability to pay. There are some very wealthy property owners in low value properties and many low value properties are also investment properties where the property owner is running a business. Some ratepayers in high value properties are retired and have low income.2. Rates based on property values is a tax rather than a charge for services were as a uniform annual general charge is to some degree a charge for services.3. 1 system of rating creates anomalies where as 2 different rating systems, one based on property values and the other a fixed charge does assist resolve anomalies.4. Property values to some extent are impacted by the level of service and amenities supplied by Council. The low value properties in Huntly West went up by more than 100%. Those property owners have had a significant increase in their personal wealth. And by Council's own reasoning and logic the ability of the owners of those low value properties to pay rates has increased to a greater extent. If the low value property is mortgaged to 50% in 100% increase in the value would increase the owners equity by 200%.5. Property owners pay the same price for a huge basket of goods regardless of the valuation of the property and to collect a reasonable portion of rates by way of a fixed uniform annual charge is fair and reasonable. Council should not be reducing its uniforms annual general charge to protect a particular class of the ratepayers.6. I have no idea whether we are personally better off with a high or low uniform annual general charge but there is a principal of collecting a reasonable portion of the total rates income by way of uniform annual Gen charges which Council should follow.
6	Carl	Ammon	N/A	N/A				As per the consultation advice I wish to elaborate on thefollowing:Three waters proposals. - there is no detail provided to show how the savings will accrue to various options. Given the status quo andoption 4 appear to be purely a structural separation exercise it is hard to see where the savings willaccrue.Universal general rate: equity the key factor in setting published c good leviesrates and taxes. The favoured proposal is intended to lower the total cost onrates and balance these with targeted user pays rates and fees. As this rate isfor general services used by most then a common rate level is fair. The rate system is already a wealth tax basedon asset values. Businesses are able to reduce tax by claiming expenses.Residents who own (and indirectly if they rent) face the full cost so the system already so subsidises lower asset value property and businesses. Using rates to help the asset poor (andgenerally lower income earners) is not at all bad but tax and welfare measurescoupled with minimum wage changes arebetter tools and central government is the one best placed to do this. General Points: It is good that you have your ratingprocesses over time. Also noting capital works is good transparency. Mostcapital works have a long life cycle and their benefits are conveyed tosucccessive community members. A high level of borrowing should apply to reflectthe timeframes and also given the cost of debt this is attractive over short term cost recovery. Water quality needs urgent attention and it is good worksare projected. The house go level of spending on an old concretepillboxes x at raglan is unwarranted - a plaque would suffice. As other publicworks are of benefit to the widercommunity . For example walkways andcycle horse trails; footpaths near the highway seem a concern to many. The council needs to work with the regional council toaddress water quality issues in urbanand farming areas. Returning marginal land to reserves and native forestsshould be a priority as should riparian restoration. Some form of titletransferability may be way to compensate farmers as the costs may be prohibitivein some cases.
7	A G & FA	Stewart	Trustees of Arthur Stewart 2006 Trust	N/A	Lower Hutt	Option 3	Option 1	
8	R & JD	Te Puke & Hira	N/A	N/A	Gordonton	Option 1		
9	Raymund	O'Leary	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	I think Council team have done figures, well done.
10	Joy	Milne	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 1	Option 2	
11	David	Hardwick	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 1	Option 1	
12	William	Rennox	N/A	N/A	Puketaha	Option 1	Option 1	
13	Mayall	Farms Limited	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option	Option	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
						4	1	
14	Michelle	Clark	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 3	Option 2	
15	KK & ER	Comins	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
17	John	McCorquindale	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
18	Peter	Buckley	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	<p>Page 5 agree with your Community Outcomes and Goals for the Waikato District. On Sustaining our Environment i would like to see the Waikato District Council support organisations who want to look after the Environment. The Primary Stake Holders Trust are one such organisation who are developing a Catchment Management Plan for the Lake Waikare and Whangamarino Wetland which is being developed from the bottom up not from the top down. One of the main issues with Kio Carp is that keep they keep the sediment in suspension which in turns puts the phosphate in the waterways. I would like Waikato District Council support putting into the Waikato Regional Council Plan Change 1 Kio Carp into this plan. They are one of the main species that has a huge effect in this catchment and other catchments in your district too to the quality of the water. Kio Carp in time will start to have an effect on Waikato District Council's infrastructure that you have along streams, rivers, water takes etc.</p> <p>Page 6 the Management of Water, Wastewater and Stormwater, this is and issue that is dear to the heart of many. Waikato District Council needs to put into place at these sites what is practical to treat the water to a standard that meets the water quality in normal events but you will never meet the most extreme events that nature will send to you to the standard that is required. The cost to meet the most extreme event would just bankrupt the ratepayers of this district. Would support a small contribution from the general rate to help pay for these up grades. Page 11 Sub Regional Initiatives I don't support the increase in this from \$70,000 to \$110,000 as on page 8 in the Three Waters Management your prefered options is to have a Water Governance Board and not to go with Share Waters Management Company with Hamilton and Waipa Councils. I don't see this Initiative working because the Councils don't seem to want to work together on initiatives.</p> <p>Page 5 Regional Fuel Tax I don't support having a Regional Fuel Tax. On Page 9 the Waikato District Council wants to reduce the UAGC because of the burden to lower-value properties well all you will be doing is placing the burden back onto these lower-value properties because they need to use a car too. As there properties add in value they won't be able to afford to stay there so they move further out so they those people will pay more for there travel. These residents must probably cant apply for a GST return so they will be paying the 11.5 cents fuel tax. The 11.5 cent fuel tax is a tax on a tax, the Waikato District Council if it wants or needs a Regional Fuel Tax it should tell the government that they should take the GST off it.</p> <p>Waste Management and Minimisation certainly we need to minimise waste, recycling is one way but with China not accepting any more recycling from other countries this recycling will need processed here more. The Waikato District Council needs to support to minimise waste and clean at the source so it can be recycled so the companies like Oji Fibre Solutions can process them, so it doesn't get dumped in a landfill.</p> <p>Page 13 Building and Maintaining Infrastructure, support making sure the roading networks have resilience so in times of emergencies they can be used to get supplies and help the communities out. With the hand over the State highway handovers from NZTA, the towns that are being bypassed the NZTA should help to build resilience into these towns so they become vibrant.</p> <p>Page 14 Water Services, Agree that water should be treated to the highest standard pratical for above normal events is good but to make these treatment stations cope with extreme events isn't even practical and the costs would just blow your budgets to make the treatment stations comply with the standards in extreme events so Best Practical Options (BPO is in the RMA) is the way forward for these treatment stations.</p> <p>Parks and Facilities, these are important facilities for the community especially Libraries and Service centres. You see other Council's closing or reducing services in these areas but when I go into the libraries I see a lot of the community using them as a focal point to provide them with services and meet there friends.</p> <p>The Walkways that Waikato District Coucil has promoted like the Te Awa walkway is a real benefit to the community but I see Charities like Trust Waikato who has money to give to organisations who promote Health and Environmental issues should be taking a more leading role in funding these projects in supporting the community in getting these walkways.</p> <p>Page 19 Fees and Charges, you mention the costs because of the changes to the RMA. These reforms now have made it impossible for the average person to be able to apply for a resource consent because of the so called simplification of the RMA. The Waikato District Council should ask through LGNZ for a full review of the RMA so those costs can be reduced to those applying for a resource consent. The person applying for a resource consent needs to cross every t and dot every i and under the RMA reform it says that you have 10 days to turn it around and if you cant you pay a penalty so if All isn't there in the first place you cant accept it.</p>
19	Douglas	Mair	N/A	Mode Investments Ltd	HAMILTON	Option 3	Option 1	Thanks for the opportunity to have a say.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
20	Keith	Paterson	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 2	I own a house in Ngaruawahia. I have read the 'Our vision: Liveable, thriving and connected communities' booklet that was sent to me. In both cases, after having read the information, I agree with the council's preferred options. As a rate-payer, I would like to say that I appreciated the communication and the information that gets sent to me. I appreciate being informed.
21	Christihe	Goodin	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
22	Alex	Knight	N/A	N/A	Whakatane	Option 4	Option 2	
23	Peter	Longdill	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 1	
24	Dianne	Macdonnell	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
25	Katie	Mayes	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
26	Natalie	McKenzie	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe		Option 2	I am not in favour of any of the 4 options offered above re 'Three Waters' Management: Paying external contractors and consultants to deliver water infrastructure services is expensive and a waste of ratepayer's money. The council could review their current remuneration strategy and talent attraction and retention strategy to attract and retain the required talent, and in doing so deliver raised levels of water infrastructure services without incurring expensive implementation costs associated with establishing a separate organisation or board. Really people! If the external contractors and consultants can find the staff to do the council's job then SURELY the council is able to do so by being a little smarter about how they go about the business of employing talent! Perhaps you want to employ a professional Talent Acquisition Business Partner, such as myself, to pull this together for you. May common sense prevail!
27	John	Christoffersen	N/A	N/A	Gordonton	Option 4	Option 2	
28	Bill	Mitchelmore	N/A	N/A				I received the LTP consultation document today and I noticed a recommendation to enter into an arrangement with Watercare and Waikato-Tainui to establish a governance board arrangement. I have 2 questions which I hope you can help me out with as follows: 1. Who prepared the \$28.3M forecast savings and is that party willing to enter into a risk sharing arrangement to provide confidence that the forecast is achievable; and, 2. What experience does WaterCare possess in the provision of stormwater management services. (I understand that they have resisted overtures from Auckland City to get involved in stormwater management in Auckland for a number of years.) As a general comment I think Waikato DC is wise to align itself with WaterCare for the closed access water and wastewater network services. However, I am not so sure about the value of bundling in stormwater at this stage.
29	Roger	Child	N/A	N/A	Morrinsville	Option 1	Option 1	
30	Alphonse Pantig &	Liberty Santos	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
31	Douglas Arthur	Saunders	N/A	N/A	Rotokauri	Option 4	Option 1	
32	Jeff	Hoskins	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
33	Ron	Gibson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	In support of my views on your Long Term Plan I submit my letter as follows.You may as well ask why I don't submit views at one of your Hearings. I am not a public speaker and find I have more understanding of the topics when I can study them at my leisure and put my thoughts in writing; as I am sure many others do. I am not confident at speaking in public.As a pensioner I am understandably reluctant to see any increase in rates in any form what-soever. A proposed increase of nearly 10% is not on. The pension goes up by just the inflation rate every year and when this is my sole source of income (except for a miserly interest on my very meagre savings) any increase erodes my spending power and ability to maintain my living style. If rates increases continue at the current rate then there will come a time in the future when all of our pension will be required just to pay rates.If Council cannot budget to increase their spending by just the inflation rate each year then they are not fit to be running the finances. For goodness sake, you are raking in a substantial increase in the rates take each year with the surge in housing and the development of more land for housing plus you are charging ridiculous fees for permits etc. Maybe this is user pays which I will come to shortly regarding water rates. If you cannot afford to buy then you go without has been my philosophy for life and if Council adopted the same philosophy then we would all be better off.I shudder when I see any mention of a fuel tax to pay for services. I escaped from Auckland Supercity to get away from this idea. I no longer feel like doing a runner if this is the future in the Waikato District Council area. You mention that it is Council policy to apply a system of user pays. I am all for this and believe that every citizen should share the burden of rates. A citizen tax instead of rates would serve this purpose and the burden would be shared equally by all users. Another thing that annoys me to to be paying a tax (GST) on a tax (rates). The Government is taking 15% of our rates; how much are they returning? A better method would be to not pay GST on rates. The savings to the ratepayers would be immense and would also free up teams of shiny-arses to do something useful.Water rates is my final point of dissatisfaction. I am please that you are of the opinion that it should be user pays. A considerable proportion of my water usage (particularly during spring, summer and autumn) goes on the garden. Why am I, under your user pays policy, paying a waste water tax on this water. It is not going into your waste water system so I am being penalised for having a garden. I brought up this complaint when I was in the Auckland Super City and was met with a brick-wall. They were content to bury their heads in the sand and hope the challenge to their user pays policy would go away. Is the Waikato District Council no better than the Auckland Super City?Yours Faithfully, Ron Gibson
34	Michael & Olive	Cuthbert	N/A	N/A	Tuakau		Option 2	
35	Michael	Dickason	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 1	Option 1	
36	Vivian	Stoney	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
37	Tameana	Puhi	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 2	
38	Bruce	Anderson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
39	Crystal	Lange	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 1	Consultation document not sent to all rate payers.Council applied bias by only showing impacts (pg 21) for the councils own preferred options.Council has used flawed logic when assuming low property values equals low income and does not consider that other property owners may be at maximum debt level which puts them in the same position
40	Meri	Anania	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 3	Option 3	
41	Anthony Cameron	Williams	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	Get Huntly cleaned up. Citycare not doing job. Stop crime / reduce at least.
42	A	Hughston	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 4	Option 2	
43	J P Janse van	Vuuren	N/A	N/A	Whatawhata	Option 2	Option 2	
44	Tracey	Smith	N/A	N/A	Te Puke	Option 4	Option 3	
45	Ryan	Hamilton	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
46	Freda	Stead	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
47	Shane and Donna	Lovell	N/A	N/A				We would like to put forward a submission regarding the Rural Environmental Enhancement Overlay Area. Our Vision is to make this area a thriving, liveable community by releasing land that is no longer economically viable but would be beneficial to the wider community and council to make the land sub dividable. Benefits to allowing country side living in the EEOA • By doing this on uneconomical blocks i.e. - under 10 hectares this will protect the larger blocks of land for cropping, beef, sheep and dairy. • Residents and ratepayers will get value for money with the development of this uneconomic land as there will be no development costs to the council but increased financial revenue with firstly the council contribution for subdivision and then on going rates revenue. • This will benefit the local economies of Tuakau and Pukekohe with the creation of extra jobs and opportunities with the building of new housing. This will support existing industry and the community retailers as the new residents will shop locally, an ongoing benefit to the whole wider community. • Location between Hamilton and Auckland for work, close to the motorway access points i.e., Beaver Road and Bombay on ramps as well as being accessible to the train routes from Pukekohe. • Our local education sector will benefit by bringing in new younger families to the primary schools, at this point in time the local schools are only filled because of out of zone children. Our proposal is to allow blocks in the EEOA area under the size of 10 Hectares the right to sub divide with the benefits above being maximised as stated for the council and wider community.
48	John	Marcon	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata			I wish to comment on two particular proposals for Te Kauwhata. 1. Te Kauwhata has currently no designated off-road walkways. There is an urgent need for them in part due to the increasing number of families living in our town which is growing by at least 200 homes per year. Most public streets are serving as walk and scooter, trike and cycleways by children of all ages. Our street, Ribbonwood Close and its access street, Blunt Road are highways for lots of children for whom the only other option is the playground at the Village Green and which is not set up for wheeled transport. While all local residents take great care when driving the risk of hitting a child remains unacceptably high. With many retired people also on the move the lack of walkways seriously restricts options for recreational off-road walking. Suggestion: Bring forward the planned walkways project to 2019 with completion date 2022. 2. Te Kauwhata has only one children's playground (at the Village Green) which while reasonable is poorly placed for the children/parents who live on the northern side of Waerenga Road where considerable development has taken place. A playground on the last section for sale in Ribbonwood Close would serve the children of at least four streets and in particular make them much less of a hazard than is currently the case. The Council be free to re-sell the section should become surplus to community needs.
49	Donald & Ann	Doran	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
50	Keith	Houston	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 1	
51	Dave	Aspey	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
52	Peter Robert	Mooney	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 1	
53	Sara	Tompsett	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 3	Option 3	
54	Tony	Keyte	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 2	Option 2	
55	W J van der	Vegte	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe	Option 4	Option 2	
56	S & G	Muthukumaraswamy	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
57	Wayne	Gratton	N/A	N/A	Otaki	Option 3		

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
58	Hirendra	Singh	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>The user pay policy is extremely high and puts undue pressure onto what was a once affordable place to live. Pokeno resident who own a home with a CV of approximately \$550,000 are paying almost \$4000 in rates per year (roughly \$3300 in district council rates and \$500 is regional council rates). This is extremely high compared to Auckland Council rates where a property valued at \$1.2 million would be paying \$3300 in rates. The same services being supplied by both councils. The information provided by the council is insufficient for rate payers to a decision. The council has provided a graph on page 6 of the proposal, this graph does not give the rate payer enough information to see how each rateable item (Water, Wastewater and Stormwater) will be impact, rather grouping these together. Also what is considered to be an average household by the council? this should be appended to the graph to provide term a reference to allow ratepayers to see where they sit. The forecasted rates increase for the three waters would see an average household(2 adult 2 kids, in a 3 bedroom house with a CV of \$550,000) paying an average of \$158.33. I am sure the council has forcasted the water rates for the next 10 years, the concil should release this information in a simple bar chart progression based on an example of the council definition of an average household.UAGC. The council foresighting of population growth has been poor at best and in my opinion will be poor going forward. The council seems to be implementing bandaid solutions to issues. Rather than implementing processing to aid growth bring more people into the waikato, increase rateable income and reduce the burden on each ratepayer in the process.Lets take Pokeno, the council expects the population to increase more significantly over the next 10 years com[ared to most surrounding regions. However according to to 30 year plan the council has the expected start of construction of the reservoir and network upgrades will not begin until 2021-2025 the is half way through the expect population boost. population would be at over 6000 at this point. The network currently has failed on several occassion with blocked pipe and burst pipe. what is the concil plan of futuing proofing infrastructure?Another issue is the concil plans of commuter traffic, looking at decision and implementation in 2042-2048. By this time the problem would become out of control and potentially attempted to be fixed with a bandaid solution. Park-n-Ride facilitiees and public transport solution should be at the top for growing developments such as Pokeno. Council is aware that the majority of resident commute to Auckland and hamiltion for work, however are they aware of the current commute times and choke points. Traffic to Auckland builds up at RamaRama. Within 5 years with increase development in Pokeno and surroudning areas it will be nearing Bombay or possible down the hill. Take a drive on a sunday afternoon on toward auckland and you will experience a taste of what is to come for north waikato ratepayers. Transport solution for 2042 is not acceptable, if the council expects ratepayers to be pay extremely high rates then take action. There are solution such as tolling or value added transport solution. Examples have a company pay for the infrastructure and give them tolling right till a certain date to recoup cost and interest. Have a transport company establish a bus service to Pukekohe trainstation like park n ride service. This would employ staff reduce vehicle traffic, carbon emission and improve transport system. 2042 is too late ACT NOW, rather than putting band aid solutions Pokeno does not have a library, However is a library needed, look at the future of learning everything is moving electronic. The concil cant even keep the town hall in good working condition how can it expect to run a library. If the council needs to invest in a library invest a bus that can reach several community not a stationary buidling that will be run down like the Pokeno Hall..The kerbside reserves and roadside garden are neglected and there has been a huge failure in maintaing these garden which will result in replacement cost or potentially ignoring the issue as the concil has down over the years.Has the concil polled the north waikato ratepayers in the past 3 years to see why there has been a increase in houses on the market is this due to the factors mentioned above or other reasons. Are north waikato ratepayers going to have to set aside 10% of annual income in the next 10 years to fund increase costs in the community. Has the concil considered amalgamation with the surrounding concil to remove middle management and costs?Has the council considered removing pokeno from the waikato district and moving it into the auckland district.Show me a road map of what the council believes needs to occur. At the moment i cannot see a vision. There needs to be change in the way the council operates. I believe there is a waste of money and resources by the council. I want to see transparency over where the target rates are actually being allocated. If target areas are being used for the benefit of other regions, than the target rates should be removed and general rates increased and balanced out across all waikato.</p>
59	kerryann	Bouzaid	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
60	Philip	Steel	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
61	Kevin	Blithe	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 2	
62	Peter & Annette	Hill	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
63	Philip	Plimmer	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 3	Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
64	S Twamley &	M Cribb	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	
65	Linda	Joyce	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
66	PA Honiss Property	Trust	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
67	Lesley	Smith	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 4	Option 2	
69	Batters Plantation Farm Trust	Batters Plantation Farm Trust	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
70	Jamie-Lee	Caldwell	N/A	N/A	Tauranga	Option 4	Option 2	
71	E	Walles	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 4	Option 1	
72	M	Denness	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
73	DR	Porter	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
74	Anderson	Mark	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 4	Option 1	
75	Graeme John	Carmichael	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
76	Beverley	Young	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
77	Giuseppe Jo	Grilli	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
78	Linton	Smith	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 4	Option 2	
79	Ron	Goodwin	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 2	
80	Christopher	Els	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 1	
81	Blachin	Family	N/A	N/A	Pokeno		Option 1	Waters management: N/A Tank water & septic.
82	Merren	Tait	N/A	N/A	Raglan		Option 2	
83	D.R	Tairakena	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 2	Option 2	
84	Angela	Buchanan	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
85	Coral & Steven	Timmins	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	I dont want t o see a council service centre & library in Pokeno. We have online access to most services & an office & library 8km away in Tuakau. If you are going to build something new in Pokeno build an arts centre with/or a theatre to bring new activities into Pokeno & more residents to use it. Also good for tourist & weekend visitors.
86	The Order of St John Central Region	Trust Board	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
87	Michael	Lynch	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 2	Option 1	
88	Alistar & Sarah	Ellesmere	N/A	N/A	Morrinsville	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
89	Anthony	Clark	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 2	Option 1	
90	Andy	Heath	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
91	Blizzard	Family Trust	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 2	
92	Murray	Pritchard	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
93	Xiaolin	Wang	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 4	Option 1	
94	Jeffery Clendon	Elden Bernett	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
95	C	Armstrong	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
96	BA	Callaghan	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
97	Gareth	Wigmore	N/A	N/A	Otaua	Option 1	Option 1	
98	John	Schumacher	N/A	N/A	Mangatahiri	Option 2	Option 2	
99	Church	Family	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 2	
100	LR	Tupuhi	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
101	Keith	Simon	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
102	Graham	Christiansen	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
103	Neville	Meredith	N/A	N/A	Maramarua	Option 4	Option 2	
104	G	Peters	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 3	
105	BL & JJ	Cox	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 4	Option 2	
106	Brian D	Palmer	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 2	
107	Ian	Rumble	N/A	N/A	Te Kowhai	Option 4	Option 2	
108	Geoff	Wheal	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 2	
109	RA	Coupe	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 3	Option 1	
110	KJ & FP	Anderson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
111	Ying-Peng	Yu	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
112	Onewhero Golf	Club Inc.	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
113	Yvette	Viljoen	N/A	N/A	Bombay RD 1	Option 4	Option 2	
114	Ronan	Isip	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 3	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
115	Chung-Lin	Wung	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	
116	Mark	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
117	JL	Kelly	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
118	John	Herron	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 1	
119	K	Edwards	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia RD 2	Option 4	Option 2	
120	P	Rupapere	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
121	David	Wells	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	
122	David	Owen	David & Tessa Owen	N/A	Te Kauwhata RD 2	Option 4	Option 2	
123	Megan	Wood	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
124	Anthony	Lea	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia RD 1	Option 4	Option 3	
125	Natasha	Bridgeman	N/A	N/A	Drury	Option 4	Option 2	
126	Francine	Benefield	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 1	
127	Pippa Bemy	Cope	N/A	N/A	Tauwhare	Option 2	Option 1	
128	Beaman	Family	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 1	
129	John	Hope	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe RD 3	Option 1	Option 1	
130	Merlene	Walker	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe	Option 4	Option 3	
131	Bernard	Carey	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
133	Marty	Kampman	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia RD 1	Option 4	Option 3	
134	Donna	Horton	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
135	Michael	Gilchrist	Michael & Pauline Gilchrist	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 3	
136	RL	Grey Limited	N/A	N/A	Tuakau RD 5	Option 1	Option 2	
137	Jenna	Hoverd	N/A	N/A	Taupiri RD 1	Option 2	Option 2	
138	R	Edwards	RG & SL Edwards	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
139	Andrew Karl	Du Fresne	N/A	N/A	Tuakau RD 5	Option 4	Option 2	
140	John	Whitmore	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
141	Maurice	O'Connor	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 3	
142	Chantal	Grut	N/A	N/A	Huntly RD 1	Option 1	Option 1	
143	Ross	Grut	N/A	N/A	Huntly RD 1	Option 1	Option 1	
144	David	Lang	D&J Lang	N/A	Hamiltin RD 4	Option 4	Option 2	
145	Kevin Peter	Taylor	K&J Taylor	N/A	Tamahere	Option 3	Option 3	
146	Aaron	Green	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	
147	Steve	Moulden	Steve & Sheryl Moulden	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
148	John Sayno	Astadan	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 2	
149	Dennis	Wells	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
150	Raewyn	Quaid	Raewyn & Michael Quaid	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	
151	Shane	Thomson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 3	Option 2	
152	Nathan	Walker	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 3	
153	Milton John	Hope	N/A	N/A	Tuakau RD 5	Option 3	Option 3	
154	Jesse	Stone	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2		
155	Cassandra	Wilson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
156	George	Santorik	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 3	
157	Michael Richard	Oehley	N/A	N/A	Hamilton RD 8	Option 2	Option 2	
158	Ross	McLean	N/A	N/A	Mercer	Option 2	Option 3	
159	John	Coleman	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 2	Look after the locals this town isn't cheap to live in!
160	M.E.	Kihi	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
161	Ian (Clive)	Wilson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
162	Guest	User	N/A	N/A		Option 3	Option 1	
163	Mike	Keir	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 3	Option 2	
164	Tony	Peart	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
165	Jasbir	Singh	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 3	Thanks

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
166	Trena	Marshall	N/A	N/A	Waikato	Option 4	Option 2	I have a point to make about 'Key Council Projects in Your Area'. It states that 2020-22 it is planned to restore the Raglan pillboxes at a cost of \$161,000. It is my view that this is an utter waste of money, for the following reasons:1. Any restored pillbox will be covered in graffiti within a week and littered with broken bottles.2. In this day and age when historical buildings can be photographed, even videoed, why not gather a collection of photographs of the pillboxes throughout the years and display this collection in the Raglan Museum? An accompanying site map can locate the pillboxes for people. This would be a great project for the \$1 million museum!In this way, council can save \$161,000 and put it toward a classy walkway and cycleway to the sea from Raglan township instead of the weedy, Gap 20 metalled walkway we have at present. Much more useful and it will be enjoyed by a lot of people for many, many years.
167	Barry & Anne	Chappell	N/A	N/A	Waiuku			We are on the periphery of Waikato Council's area and do not benefit in any way from this targeted rate so therefore we do not support this proposal. Also, please advise and justify your rating of our property on the basis of our location and what is provided by the Waikato Council for us. Where is our library? Where is our community centre? Where is our swimming pool? Where is our inorganic/ refuse & recycling centre/ collection. Please note: we pay privately for a weekly rubbish service. Please have someone contact us to discuss the above and give consideration to either provide a voucher system for nearby Waiuku or a rate rebate. Will consider your response before engendering support from neighbours.
168	Michael	Becker	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	What confidence can we have in the projected costs and savings mentioned in the consultation document as associated with the various options? Is this an estimate from Council staff or external accountants?
169	David	Packer	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	There is a danger that the new organisation does not deliver the stated savings. This will require competent Governance and an understanding of risk and who wears that risk.I am concerned by the statement that the 'Board would control all the operations and service delivery'. A Board should set the policy and govern and ensure that the organisation set up controls the operations and delivery of service. There appears to be a confusion of roles here.There is also a danger a separate Board gets too remote from the Council and the benefits are lost. I would favour this being managed by a Management Committee of the Council made up of the CEO, CFO, Chief Engineer and an IWI representative with the CEO responsible to the Council.While I can see some merit of user pays with refuse collection the stickers are just an additional rate. This appears also to lead to some trying to avoid the cost. We have 2 immediate neighbours to the north of us who burn rubbish in 200 litre drums including plastic to reduce what they have to put out causing air pollution.The danger with a Regional fuel tax is that it is just another form of rates and we see rates go up overall. I would rather the Council focused on improving efficiency.There has been a considerable sum I believe to the Council from development in the Tamahere region. It is not obvious how much of this has been spent in this region. It would be good to have some walkways/ cycleways in the area as biking on the busy narrow roads is not safe. A cycleway to Hamilton city would encourage more cycling in the area for a healthier lifestyle
170	Daniel	Brookes	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	i refer you to page 14 and 19 of the long term plan 2018-28 consultation document. pensioner rent to be raised from \$130 to \$156 per week. these people have spent their lives paying rates and taxes contibuting to the infrastructure that we are now paying for over and over again. any council that seeks to burden a pensioner has no integrity. i do not object to paying rates however the current (and predicted) level is to high for low income earners.
171	Peter	Tancock	N/A	N/A	Hamilton			Submission to the Long Term Plan. 2018 - 2028.Change of regulations for Sub DivisionIn the Wakaito District Council area of Tauwhare and others to a Residential classification.* The present Government has put a high priority on the building of thousands of residential buildings, through out the country in order to cope with the acute shortage of community houses available.* Land available for this purpose has its present building regulation re classified to Residential Status. District Councils have been encouraged to do so by the present Government.* In the Waikato Regional area neighbouring Districts, bordering WDC have changed or considering change, the status to Residential in order to increase allowances for more houses to be built in the community providing accommodation for this demand.* The village of Tauwhare, is a very sort after area. New housing estates have been sold quickly. Older houses entering the market sell quickly. There are families demanding houses in this area.* Resource Consent for Sub Division in the area at present is behind the times, which prevents new houses being built.* The size of ground area demanded for Sub Division of houses varies considerably.* I submit that the Resource Consent amend classification for the Tauwhare and other similar area, to that of Residential and DECREASING the area demanded as at present, freeing up much needed land as directed by Government * It is imperative early action on this submission be given, in order to achieve similarity to actions of neighbouring District and City Councils.Thank you.
172	Ann	Cooper	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
173	Gabrielle	Bisschops	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 1	
174	Ronel	Jacobs	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	None

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
175	PJ	Jacobs	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	
176	Catherine	Breeuwer	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
177	Sheena	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	
178	Denis & Jennie	Pizer	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
179	Chris	Highet	CIA Highet Childrens Trust	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
180	Chris	Highet	N/A	Whaingaroa Properties	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
181	David	Rix	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
182	Mason	Hoeta	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
183	David	Kraakman	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
184	B	Gray	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 3	
185	Marinus	Vink	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
186	Hayley	Sherrard	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 2	
187	Rosser	Thornley	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	As well as the two issues you have asked for feedback, I would like to express my concern at a general rate rise of 6.24%. In my view this should be no more than CPI for 2018/19. Council should ask officers to resubmit their plans based on this CPI % with a consequent reduction in rates income. And similarly, projections for 2019/20 and outer years should use the CPI % assumed for that year.
188	Sandra	Shields	N/A	Huntly Residents and Ratepayers Association	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
189	Angela and Charles	Wedding	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
190	J & M	Wieczorek	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 2	Option 1	
191	Brent	Smith	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
192	Glenn	Hansson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
193	Robert	Hart	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
194	Francis	Laurie	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 3	
195	D & R	O'Hagan	N/A	N/A	Hamilton		Option 1	
196	D & A	Barnes	N/A	N/A	Hamilton		Option 1	
197	Kevin	Allum	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
198	A & J	Hawes	N/A	N/A	Wellington	Option 2	Option 1	
199	C	Sullivan	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	
200	Mark	Vincett	N/A	N/A	Whatawhata	Option 3	Option 3	
201	Natasha	McGowan	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 1	
202	John	Duxfield	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
203	J	Lewis	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
204	John	Ryan	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
205	Michael Richard	Oehley	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
206	David	Mann	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
207	Pamela	Long	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
208	Heather	Parker	N/A	N/A	Horotiu	Option 4	Option 2	
209	Cyril	Olsen	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
210	Xiao (Kimi)	Wang	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 4	Option 2	
211	Michael John	Walsh	Michael John & Frances Antoinette Walsh	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
212	Barbara	Hagan	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
213	Bruce	Tresidder	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
214	Kieran	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
215	John	Smithells	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
216	Carolyn	Crisp	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe	Option 4	Option 2	
217	Margaret	Hewlett	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2		
218	Stephen and Louise	Lauer	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
219	Lawrence John	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 2	Option 1	
220	Craig	Armstrong	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	
221	Adrian	Van Weerden	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
222	Jocelyn Conlon	Pecekajus	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 3	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
223	Christopher John	Brown	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
224	Hamayoon	Khan	N/A	N/A	Meremere	Option 4	Option 3	
225	Grahame	Sattrup	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
226	Leah	Duxfield	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
227	Lee	Weller	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
228	Aaron	Wolfe	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
229	Dennis	McKay	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 2	Option 3	
230	Tibor	Kiss	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
231	CH & SE	Jackson	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 3	
232	L	McFetridge	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 1	Option 2	
233	Limited	LYJ Trustee	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
234	R & A	Tilsley	N/A	N/A	Onewhero	Option 3	Option 2	
235	K	Bishop	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
236	Robert William	Jefferis	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
237	Hugh	Bolton	Hugh & Natasha Bolton	N/A	Rangiriri	Option 4	Option 2	
238	Craig	Scott	Craig & Kylie Scott	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
239	Maria	Lulu	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 2	
240	Bilyana	Podrumac	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
241	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
242	Fraser	Wilson	N/A	N/A	Rotorua	Option 4	Option 2	
243	T	Welch	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
244	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A		Option 1		It will make no difference what people vote you do as you like. You are like the [illegible] you will finally get it [illegible] your own.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
245	Carole	Chambers	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	I have never in my life known of a 6.9% increase in Council fees. It is absolutely unbelievable. Please reduce your staff instead of increasing it (pg11) and put in a days work like we did in our time; not extra staff for questionnaires; no "did we do well with service" over the telephone; no extra money for anything other than basics; developers pay for services to cover industrial growth, more population. As you say on your Long Term Plan pg5 No.4 "Do more within existing budgets". The UAGC charge for Lifestyle, Rural and Residential should show some difference, but in the former 2 they can still live there so should be rated higher. Transport (Tuakau Buses) - We used to be able to shelter from wind and rain under a small overhang both at the library and at the hotel, until the route was changed. Now on St Stephens Ave behind the butchers shop we get drenched and remain wet for 10:30 until the bus returns from Pukekohe after 3pm.
246	Ritchie David	MacPherson	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
247	Elizabeth	MacIntosh	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
248	Julie	Clark	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	Council DevelopmentI have lived here 1.5 having chosen Te Kauwhata has a growth area yet affordable. I am aware the water infrastructure is a number 1 priority however the number of houses springing up fast is not coinciding quickly enough with linked pathways. The Long Term Plan lists walkways to 2022-2028 this is way to far out considering the housing about to be built at the end of Eccles, on Swan Road, on Travers to Wayside. The walkways ought to be part of the subdivision requirements at the start at the portions that they are building on. If you want growth and people, families to stay this resource need to go in sooner than later ie. by 2022 not 2028. The planting can be community involvement including fundraising providing the money goes directly to it. The bigger plans for walkways around lakes could wait but walkways in town linking to Travers Rd and the perimeter needs to happen as soon as possible.
249	John Kenneth	Bennetts	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 1	
250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	We object to Huntly ratepayers having an increase of 11.4%, Huntly ratepayers are effectively subsidising the remainder of the District.We object to WDC's cavalier attitude regarding the War Memorial Hall, either fix it or replace it with new, DO NOT sit on it and do nothing until it deteriorates as you seem to want it to do.We object to Huntly's only project being \$600k on the Resource Recovery Centre, this is not just for Huntly, it is used district wide, therefore Huntly only receives a small portion of benefit.As a township, Huntly is looking rather untidy, it seems that less money and time is being spent on Huntly maintenanceWe object to the removal of many rubbish bins from Huntly Domain, now rubbish is being dumped close to where the bins were, creating smells and eyesoreWe object to paying for our water, many major cities do not have meters, we know this is also a lead in to wastewater charging on water usageWe object to the Huntly water quality, it is barely fit for consumption at times
251	Rodney Phillip	Vercoe	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe	Option 4	Option 1	
252	Limited	Ohana Ventures	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 2	
253	Sharon	Simpson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 1	
254	Paul	Simpson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 1	
255	Garry	Taylor	Garry & Cheryl Taylor	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	
256	Piriwhariki	Tahapeehi	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 1	
257	Jacqueline Margaret	Aislabie	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 2	
258	Michael John	Ross	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2		
259	Geoffrey Charles	Whitfield	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
260	Victor Morrison	Puncheon	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
261	Annette	Armstrong	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
262	Geoffrey Scott	Torr	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
263	Samantha Joanne	Abbott	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
264	Depei	Bai	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 1	Option 3	
265	Alvae Mary	Picot	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
266	Timothy	Orlando-Reep	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
267	Bevan Philip	Bailey	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
268	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	Hamitlon	Option 4	Option 2	
269	Michael	Draper	N/A	Resident	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
270	Wendy Delwyn	Coxell	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	
271	Michael	Draper	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
272	Michael	Draper	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
273	Michael	Draper	N/A	Quinn Haven Investments	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	This form is not friendly for owners of multiple properties also the submission asks for postal address then does not accept Post Box as a postal address.Aonther fault is the page numbers for the submission do not correspond with area your wanting us to vote on
274	Ross David	Bones	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 1	Option 1	
275	Anthony	Beanland	Anthony & Carol Beanland	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
276	Zane Wairehu	Hohua	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 3	Option 2	
277	Mana	Toka	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
278	Craig	Moffitt	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standardacceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for thecommunity to use.
279	Edna	Townsend	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hallin the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as amultipurpose community facility before 2020.
280	Thelma	Tyler	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hallin the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as amultipurpose community facility before 2020.
281	Eileen	Lloyd	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standardacceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for thecommunity to use.
282	Heather	Huxtable	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hallin the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as amultipurpose community facility before 2020.
283	Myra	McFetridge	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hallin the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as amultipurpose community facility before 2020.
284	Shirley	Lavis	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hallin the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as amultipurpose community facility before 2020.
285	Ron and Shirley	Farrar	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standardacceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for thecommunity to use.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
286	Wayne	Stewart	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
287	Ian	Bettison	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
288	Duncan	Gillies	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
289	David	Combe	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
290	Graham	Simpson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
291	Willemien	Wennekers	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
292	Shirley	Farrar	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use. Too much time and money wasted by WDC to help Huntly Ratepayers and Community to get anything up and done to help Huntly revive. Town looks unkempt! Huntly subsidising all other towns in the district. Nothing being done for Huntly.
293	Mary	Robinson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
294	Gary	Robinson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
295	Edna	Alder	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
296	William	Walker	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
297	Dawn	Walker	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
298	Prue	Clifford	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
299	Huntly Linedancing	Club	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
300	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
301	Graham Gunn	Builder	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
302	Annabelle	Leaf	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
303	Bryce	Mounsey	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
304	Bryan	Morris	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
305	Andrew	Inglis	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
306	Victoria	Kemp	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
307	Ray	Lloyd	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
308	Greg	McCutchan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
309	Love	Rhind	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 3	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	N/A	Tuakau			Dear Councillors, We commend you on the work done so far for the betterment of our great Waikato District. The people of Tuakau are rite behind your efforts to develop the town & surrounding districts for the benefit of all. This must be done in such a way as to benefit & protect all of the facets of this plan relating to different & sometimes competing wishes of the residents & land owners.The points that we wish to address are:? Reverse sensitivity with placement of Residential Developments alongside Industrial Developments.? The importance of protecting the financial base that is expected to fund development of the Waikato District.? The importance of protecting the transport links by road & rail from the outlying Farms & Industry alike. The arterial roading link to Auckland, Hamilton & Tauranga are critical to our district. Access to the Southern Motorway needs to be improved & protected.? Tuakau needs further development of basic infrastructure in order to be able to absorb the spill over effect of new residents wishing to settle in the town.? The importance of good, common sense planning that is realistic & based on the pretext of “How Can We Help You, rather than How Can We Hinder You”.? The development of quality residential zones that create a climate suitable for residents to want to make Tuakau their long term home.? The development of quality Parks & Reserves including the use of the Waikato River for such activities as the re-establishment of the Tuakau Water/Jet-ski Club with facilities that will attract both locals & visitors to e.g.: a River Edge Tourism Centre with café & sporting facilities.? The re-establishment of our Tuakau Rail Station & supporting links to the outlying areas. This requires support & action, not just political talk between other affected agencies. WDC, WRC, Trans-Rail, Kiwi Rail, ATEED & Central Government.? The development of the long awaited Cycleway/Walkway from Buckland to Tuakau to Alexander Redoubt then to the River. This would then link up with the Cycleway that heads South & East in line with the Governments National Cycleway.
311	Greg	Rzesniowiecki	N/A	N/A				See attachment.
312	Phil	Journeaux	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2		I am writing in submission on the LTP consultation document recently sent out to ratepayers. It discusses the various rate and service fee increases proposed but seems to miss out a central tennent, namely justification as to why rates should be rising at all. The document notes that rates will rise by ^.24% in 2018/19. The question is why? Average inflation, as measures by the CPI over the last 4 calendar years is 0.9%, and 1.6% over calendar 2017. So the proposed rise is 7 times the average, or 4 times last year. The table of the proposed rate increases show a 36% increase over the 10 year period, which is actually a 42% increase as the rate increases will compound on each year. So, assuming inflation averages 1.5%/year, it means rates will increase over this period by around 2.5-3 times the level of inflation - reinforcing the fact that local government costs are a major driver of domestic inflation. So the question remains - what justification does the council have to determine such increases. The document talks about a rise in asset depreciation costs of \$1.2 million, which equates to 1.6% of the 2016/17 rate take. This is the only figure quoted. So it is difficult to determine how real the 6.24% is, especially as many depreciation costs relate to specific assets which should be funded by targeted rates, not by the general rate. Given that Council services haven't either increased or improved, ratepayers would be very interested in the justification for such significant increases. Issues raised in the consultation document1. Water Management: "Three Waters"Given the paucity of information provided, it is difficult to discern which option may be best. While the figures are provided as to estimated savings, the council record over many years on estimates of savings, or costs, is abysmal, so they can be safely ignored. The document indicates that the various rate increases on water supply, waste water and storm water are all well in excess of inflation over the next 10 years (again), so where exactly are these savings being made. If there are savings of \$20-28 million from the various options, why are rates increasing?The main requirement is to endeavour to provide a degree of efficiency in the supply of such services. None of the option indicate much in this area. I am opposed to the idea of a Council Water Company (option 3), and a Council-appointed Water Board (Option 4). Water services are already a monopoly, so distancing them further from ratepayer sanction will not improve efficiency.So by default, I would support Option 2, a Shared Waters Management Company, mainly on the basis it is the least worst option. 2. Uniform Annual General ChargetI am a supporter of such charges; everyone benefits from the various services provided, so should pay equally. In this respect therefore I oppose the idea that the UAGC be reduced (Option 2). I don't actually support Option 1 in the sense of the UAGC increasing; the preferred option would be to keep the UAGC at its current level. If the UAGC is to increase to \$482.85, this represents an increase of 6.24%. So I would not support Option 1 on the basis if the UAGC increases, then the general rate does not have to. This would also have a greater beneficial effect for lower income householders. I see the Refuse targeted rate is to increase by 22% in 2017/18. While I generally favour targeted rates, I find some irony in the increase. When the \$1.50 stickers were introduced (12 months ago?) it was on the basis of the previous targeted rate decreasing. So within 12 months that promise has proved worthless, as per the earlier comment about the estimated reduction in water services costs. As an aside I note that the Council made a surplus of \$28.9 million in 2015/16, and \$21.5 million in 2016/17, so why the desperate need to increase rates several times above the rate of inflation?
313	Brett John	Lange	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 1	
314	Limited	Starhaven Farms	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option	Option	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
						4	2	
315	Hema Wiriamu	Wara	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 3	
316	Cheryl Anne	Davis	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4		
317	Robert Martin	Fogarty	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 2	Option 2	
318	Robert Havelock	Speedy	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
319	Paul Bevan	Smith	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 1	
320	Liam Phillip	Lawrence	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	
321	Tania Deborah	Sangster	N/A	N/A	Waiuku	Option 2	Option 3	
322	Claire	Mathis-Wharepapa	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
323	Christopher Jackson	Ebdane	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
324	Rebecca Sylvia	Eivers	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	
325	Robyn Anne	Laurenson	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 2	
326	Martyn Charles	Sing	N/A	N/A	Morrinsville	Option 4	Option 1	
327	Norris Murray	Peart	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
328	Pareoranga	Te Kata	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 3	
329	Limited	CW Property Holdings	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
330	Limited	Wainui Management	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
331	Andrew Irvine	White	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
332	Timothy Carl	Gillott	Timothy Carl and Maria Rebecca Gillott	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
333	T	Brown	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata			How can rateable farm values increase when farmers find year by year profitability is declining! Your pamphlet 'liveable thriving connected communities' seemly does not include farmers! As an example why not ask yourselves where and why have all the dairy farmers gone the last 40-50 years? Why do they milk ever increasing herd numbers mostly sequencing merging a neighbours property, sheep and beef farmers similar?Because of the economic charade politicians, economists and councillors persist in we have the most socially and environmentally damaging source of stupidity one could ever envisage. This accusation of 'farmer tampering' is endorsed by none other than our creator. Proverbs 13v23 says, "A poor mans farm may have good soil, but injustice robs him of its riches"! It is the only occupation so described in the Bible!
334	Terrence Johan	Buyn	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 1	
335	Rochelle Kay	Fern	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
336	Marie Therese	Chester	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
337	Sheik	Riyaz	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
338	John Lale	Stapleford	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 2	Option 2	
339	John Lale	Stapleford	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 2	Option 2	
340	David Richard	Birchall	David Richard & Katrina Diane	N/A	Ohinewai	Option 4	Option 2	
341	Susan Johne	Tuivaga	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
342	William	Redman-White	N/A	N/A	Te Kowhai	Option 2		
343	Margaret Isobel	Spencer	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 2	
344	Deane Joseph	Morris	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 1	
345	Katherine Anne	McNamara	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 2	
346	Rhonda Anne	Holland	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
347	Leanne Shirley	Doggett	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 1	
348	Sean Allistair	Irvine	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 1	
349	Clive	Jennings	C&J Jennings	N/A	Waiuku	Option 1	Option 1	
350	Kerrie-Anne	Bourke	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
351	Barry John	Bourke	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
352	Sheila	Abraham	N/A	The Abrahams Family Trust	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
353	John	Neill	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 4	Option 2	
354	Bruce	Horrox	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 1	
355	Jan	van Zyl	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	Council members are employed to look after our rate payers, and its their job to keep our cost low with best benefits. Our rates and taxes is increasing all the time and we don't see improvements coming soon. My rates amount too \$3850 a year, this is a lot more than what was expected to move out of Auckland be able to get into the housing market. What really makes me angry, is the fact that council representatives came with a smile on their faces to tell us we will be charged more and we can do nothing about it. Then he had the cheek to say we need to find someone, who can on our behalves 'charm the council' to reduce our rates.Went in to the Waikato office in Tuakau, all over the walls the first thing you see is singes that say 'KEEP CALM', 'DON'T LOOSE YOUR TEMPER' and 'DON'T SAY THINGS YOU DON'T MEAN'WHAT A LOTE OF BULL SHIT, as you walk in you know you and your morals will be tested to the up most and there is nothing you can do about. Is this what you have study to be an council employee, how increase the public's taxes and how irritate them to the at most?No respect for any council worker!!!
356	Sally	Lee	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 1	
357	Larney	Mclean	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 3	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
358	Donna	Rawlings	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
359	John	Roszak	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
360	Tangi	Mouroa	N/A	N/A	Huntly 3700	Option 4	Option 3	
361	R&E	Thesiger	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
362	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 3	
363	Teresa	Bettison	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 2	
364	Michael	O'Neil	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
365	Anonymous	Lovell	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 3	
366	Nicola	Harris	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
367	Moir	Coll	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 3	Option 2	A company structure is more accountable for costs and could charge capital contributions itself, rather than DC's.
368	Fred and Janice	Mead	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	
369	Sharon	Mackie	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
370	Natasha and Michael	Devenie	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
371	Bronwen	Simmonds	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
372	M & K	Eddy	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
373	Sarah & Daniel	Kull	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	
374	Raewyn	Johnston	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
375	A	Goodall	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
376	J	McNamara	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
377	Roseanne	McNamara	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.
378	Kevin	Gaskill	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 1	Option 3	
379	Dean	McElligott	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
380	Rowena	Edge	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
381	Jane	Chapman	N/A	N/A	Pokeno			Re district rates increasing by 6.24% If the council is experiencing growth surely therefore there is more money coming into the area and hence an increase of this amount is actually too much. Also by the sounds of it those rural properties that do not have access to mains water are going to be subsidising those that do? Is this correct or have I got this wrong

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
382	Robert	Hyslop	N/A	N/A	Pokeno			I am a very worried rate payer. I live in pokeno and my rates are almost \$4000 dollars. This is crazy. We have heard that our rates are going up 6.4% this on top of the large increase that will happen because of house valves have gone up. People will stop coming to live in the area because of this. We and many other people are thinking of moving. So thank you, to your council your destroying our family dream. So don't act surprise when you start getting dirty emails and phone calls from worried people in this area. Our wallets only stretch so far. Your excuses for theses rates have run dry with people. I don't expect a reply , you and everybody at the council will be at lunch dining out on our rates money and driving our rate funded cars.Everybody at the council are so far out of touch with the normal working person its not even funny.Why only 6.4% why not round it up to a round number 10%, why not put your noses further into the trough
383	Dale	Walters	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata			I would like to propose that the minimum Net Lot size for sections in the Te Kauwhata Living Zone be returned to 400m2 as it was pre-2011. The reason for suggesting this change is that most of the original 1/4 Acre sections are too big for modern lifestyles but aren't quite big enough to subdivide for infill housing. On face value a section 960-1020m2 should be easily subdivided under the current rules, but it isn't as a driveway providing access to a rear site doesn't contribute to the Net Lot size of a section. A minimum Lot size of 400-420m2 would solve this. Infill housing is desirable to both the council and the environment, as new development can tap into existing infrastructure,without increasing the spread of the town and its burden on the environment. These original 1/4 Acre sections are typically on higher ground with a lot of sun and could be better utilized for the increased housing pressures Te Kauwhata is facing. Increasing the population in the center of town would also benefit local businesses and facilities (golf club, fitness center, etc.) with increased patronage.
384	Steven and Theresa	Stark	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 1	Option 1	
385	James Douglas	Hannah	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
386	Lois	Day	N/A	N/A	Tuakau RD 5	Option 1	Option 2	
387	Barbara Lois	Iti	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 1	Option 1	
388	Julian Robin Hugh	Austin	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 3	Option 2	
389	Forest Trust	Amakiwi	N/A	N/A	Pukekohe	Option 3	Option 1	
390	Michael Anthony	Campbell	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 3	Option 3	
391	Kenneth Roy	Franklin	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 3	Option 3	
392	Limited	Alstra 2012	Ken Starnes	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
393	Janis Elizabeth	Swan	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
394	Kereama	Clarke	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 2	
395	Dahlene	Symons	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 2	
396	Susan Jennifer	McGregor	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 1	Option 1	
397	Marion Jean	Milburn	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
398	Developments Limited	Egnever	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
399	Catherine Ann	Livingston	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
400	Tamina Frances	Goodlet	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
401	Nicolass Willem	Mouton	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
402	Douglas George	Willis	Douglas George & Judith Louise Willis	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
403	Sandra Leigh Florence	McDonald	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
404	William Frederick	Hansen	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 1	Option 1	
405	Liston Wayne	Stewart	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 2	
406	Graham Peter	Mackie	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
407	Helyn	Huirama	Helyn & Rangi hono Huirama	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
408	Garry Charles	Broughton	GC & PH Broughton	N/A	Ngaruawahia		Option 1	
409	Peter	Ralph	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 3	
410	Marion Wynne	Millward	N/A	N/A	Te Mata	Option 3	Option 3	
411	Johannes Henrikus	Jenji	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 1	
412	Graeme Leslie	Geurts	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
413	Enterprises (2015) Limited	Robinson	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 1	Option 2	
414	Bridget Ruth Patricia	Bull	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
415	Clive John	Hanna	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
416	Roberta Sharon	Wisneski	N/A	N/A	Hamilton RD 6	Option 4	Option 2	
417	Shivanada	Sami	N/A	N/A	Mercer	Option 4	Option 3	
418	William Ralph	McCleery	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 2	Option 1	
419	Kenneth Alan	Grimmer	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 1	Option 1	
420	Dallas	Fisher	N/A	Waikato Regional Economic Development Agency	Auckland			See attachment.
421	Estate	CJ Dudman	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 4	Option 1	
422	Elaine Joan	Dudman	N/A	N/A	Tamahere	Option 4	Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
423	Robert Henry	Herewini	Robert Henry & Jennifer	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
424	Malia Ana	Robertson	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
425	Irving	Walker	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 1	
426	Cornelis Kees	Klein	N/A	N/A	Whatawhata	Option 4	Option 3	
427	Paul Graham	Wade	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 1	Option 1	
428	Jesse	Taylor	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
429	Ayleen	Riesterer	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 3	
430	Laurence Jack	King	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 3	Option 3	
431	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 1	
432	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A	Glen Massey		Option 3	
433	Suzanne Carol	Watkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
434	Kelly-Ann	McBean	N/A	N/A	Huntly RD 1			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
435	Andrew	McBean	N/A	N/A	Huntly RD 1			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
436	Jane	Johnson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
437	W	Boyd	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
438	Joinery	A1	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
439	Vijax	Parmar	Vijax & Jagjit Parmar	N/A	Hamilton			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
440	Rachel	Watkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
441	Caleb	Watkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
442	John	Watkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
443	Bernice	Watkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
444	Marion	Nicholas	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
445	Joseph	Trinder	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
446	Jeff	Lamb	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
447	Barbara	McCarthy	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
448	D	Hogan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
449	Jamie	Thurston	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
450	Jodene	Clutterbuck	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
451	Maurice	Beck	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
452	Mike	Munson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
453	Rae	Munson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
454	Tony	Allan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
455	Lynne	Allan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
456	Andrew	Beeching	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
457	Judith	Hogan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
458	D	Maliseva	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
459	Janine Megan	Payne	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
460	Dafydd	Payne	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
461	Tony	Nathan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to us.
462	Tim	Hayward	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 1	
463	Anne	Ramsay	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	Ngaruawahia has had several increases recently - rates, water meters, wastewater, rubbish etc.. the rates are becoming unaffordable for those on fixed and low incomes. It is unclear what Ngaruawahia gets for its rates for example the WDC has had a CRM to repair noticeboards in Jesmond Street since January and these are still not fixed. Several emails, phone calls have been made but each time you get a different story depending on who answers the phone. Our town is looking tired and WDC does not help by allowing Kiwi rail to have the rail corridor looking derelict with weeds that are so big they start looking like shrubs and during summer are a fire risk, if they refuse to clean it up why can't WDC weed spray and then invoice them? Our main Streets Great South Road and Jesmond Street are dirty, these cobblestones used to be cleaned on a regular basis, but this does not appear to be the case now.Key projects for Ngaruawahia as in the discussion document (pg15) \$418k for heritage sites with an s implies more than one not just the Old Flour Mill, has any costing been done or once again is a number just plucked out of the air. Roundabout \$3.2m ratepayers are only paying about 20% of this, has this proposed roundabout been investigated? Do we need a roundabout? Where is the evidence? or is this on the developers wishlist?, walkways (pg14) focus Hamilton - Cambridge section, so actually there are no key projects for Ngaruawahia and none of the key projects have been identified by the Ngaruawahia community, m Why are staff allowed to write up information in such a disingenuous way it is deliberately misleading and insulting like the comment in regards to pensioner housing(pg14), pensions have been increased by Govt so WDC takes it in a rent increase. Ngaruawahia ratepayers will be paying 12.75% increase in rates and yet we have the lowest level of service and spending in the district.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
464	John & Dorothy	Wakeling	N/A	N/A	Hamilton			The Sculpture Park @ Waitakaruru Arboretum is a 17.5 ha fully-developed regional asset, a rehabilitation project of a former quarry undertaken over 27 years. The park is now an outstanding arts-in-nature experience, located in Scotsman Valley equidistant between Hamilton, Cambridge and Morrinsville, at the south-eastern end of Waikato District. When it was open every day for 8 ½ years, it hosted a succession of sculpture exhibitions, attracting up to 10,000 visitors a year. The entry fee for visitors and other sources of revenue never quite covered the cost of running exhibitions and the park maintenance. For this reason, the park has only been open by appointment in recent years. We started the ‘Share the Park’ campaign early this year, setting out to demonstrate support to reopen the sculpture park and arboretum as a free-entry public space. If the cost of maintaining the park is met, many more people could enjoy it. We had 4 open days Anniversary Weekend and Waitangi Day when more than 1000 people visited the park. On a crowd-funding site we raised \$8000 from 64 donors to cover the cost of impartial reports on the maintenance costs and improvements to health & safety. 190 people over one month completed a survey about their perceptions of the park. This supporting information is now available. We are seeking a long-term partnership with funding organisations, just to cover the cost of maintenance. The cost of maintenance is based on a primary funding organisation having a ‘licence to occupy’ so that it can be open daily for free. The park is a good candidate for the proposal of local authorities in the Waikato to collaborate and co-fund regional assets through the Community Facilities Funding Framework https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/LTP-FWD10/Documents/10374937.pdf . Hence we are making a submission to the Regional Council and all four territorial local authorities close to the park. The above proposition is an inexpensive way to provide for a destination park of regional significance. The park has a proven track record of drawing regional and overseas visitors as well as providing a resource for environmental and arts education. Many of you may have visited the park in the past. Please take the opportunity to come with your family on the 29th April. Alternatively, take a look on our website and view the short overview video.
465	Kristen	Price	N/A	Toimata Foundation	Hamilton			See attachment.
466	Greig	Metcalfe	N/A	N/A				Background Grieg Metcalfe (the submitter) has an interest in a 68ha property at 702 & 730A Horotiu Road, Te Kowhai (Lot 2 DP 456538 & Lot 3 DP 353526). The property sits immediately to the west of Te Kowhai village and has frontage to Horotiu, Woolrich and Richards Roads. As part of the recent Phase 1 review of the Future Proof sub-regional growth strategy, Te Kowhai has been identified as one of two villages in the Waikato District that could be prioritised for future growth and servicing. Furthermore, it is anticipated that the property will be zoned “Deferred Village” under the Waikato Proposed District Plan when it is notified in the coming months. Provisions for the Village Zone are expected to allow for serviced and un-serviced residential development to occur. Our Community: Outcomes and Goals The submitter is supportive of the Council’s approach to support growth in targeted areas. This is consistent with the updated Future Proof strategy which promotes growth in the District’s towns as well as the investigation of one or two villages (including Te Kowhai) for prioritising future growth and servicing. Planning for Growth The submitter is supportive of Council’s initiative to undertake Master Planning to ensure a more holistic approach to planning the District’s growing communities. Given that Te Kowhai has been identified in Future Proof as a village that should be investigated for prioritising future growth and servicing, it is submitted that Te Kowhai should be included as an early priority for master planning in addition to Pokeno and Tuakau. The submitter is also supportive of Council’s proposal to increase the annual budget for sub-regional planning, including further development of the Future Proof growth strategy. This initiative has the potential to drive better regional collaboration by monitoring and identifying land supply needs along with an agreed sequence for development. The submitter is also supportive of Council’s proposal to fund the review of the District Plan. The Waikato District is experiencing rapid growth, therefore it is important the review of the District Plan occurs and that it provides a framework for this growth to be realised. Manage Water, Wastewater and Stromwater (‘3 Waters’) The submitter is supportive of Option 2, which is a shared waters management company with Hamilton City and Waipa District Councils. This is because a regional approach will ensure greater collaboration in the delivery of 3 waters assets and facilitate and enable the future growth which is expected to occur in the sub-region. Building and maintaining infrastructure Table 7 of the Draft Infrastructure Strategy (Page 1–16) details a proposal to fund the design and construction of a wastewater pipe from Te Kowhai village to the Hamilton network (preferred), or alternatively an upgrade to the existing wastewater treatment plant. The timing for a decision is the 2027 LTP, with design and construction between 2029-2033. The submitter is supportive in part of this proposal. However, given the existing discharge consent for the Te Kowhai wastewater treatment plant is due to expire in September 2018, and that Te Kowhai village has been identified as a potential growth node under both Future Proof and the Draft Waikato District Plan, it is submitted that the timing for this work is brought forward to ensure there is good alignment between growth projections, land use, infrastructure and funding. In the event that wastewater services are extended from Hamilton, it would be prudent to also include potable water supply. The submitter requests a decision be made in the 2018 LTP with design/construction to occur in 2020-21. Summary In summary the main points of this submission are: ? Support Council’s approach to support growth in targeted areas. ? Support Council’s Master Planning initiative, but with the inclusion of Te Kowhai

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								as an early priority.? Support Council's sub-regional planning and District Plan review projects.? Support the establishment of a shared waters management company (Option 2)? Support the investigation, design and construction of a wastewater solution for Te Kowhai Village, but with timing to be brought forward.
467	Broughton	Thomas	N/A	N/A	Hamilton		Option 2	I have made a separate submission regarding Rates on behalf of the residents at Te Akau Sth.We have requested that we present this at one of the hearings.
468	Ngahinaturae	Armstrong-Nield	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia		Option 3	- Overall our household do not support the Long Term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP). Also we are not happy with the process in how properties in Ngaaruawaahia were valued so as to support Council's reason to increase rates.- We believe that for Ngaaruawaahia, the LTP and its proposed revenue gathering by increasing rates will not achieve the community outcomes as outlined in the LTP. Ngaaruawaahia will not be a liveable, thriving and connected community if our first year of rates is increased by 12.75%.- Furthermore, the LTP has outlined that spending will primarily be in the north, with no immediate focus on Ngaaruawaahia. We are one of two major towns in the district, and we will be paying the highest increase in percentage of all other communities, yet we are not are targeted area. This is unfair.- Ngaaruawaahia households should not experience financial pressure to accommodate the growth of other communities in this district. The use of targeted rates should be spent in this community.- If Ngaaruawaahia are to accommodate a 12.75% increase in rates for 2018-2019, and potential further increases in the following two years thereafter (2019-2020 and 2020-2021), we expect from Council an improvement in the level of service and spending in Ngaaruawaahia. - We do not support the priority projects identified for Ngaaruawaahia. These projects were not identified by the community. - We do not support a regional petrol tax- The Ngaaruawaahia community should have the lowest UAGC, therefore Option 3 - Reduce the UAGC to \$286.50 is the preferred option.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
469	Dave Fransiska	Falconer	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 3	<p>LONG TERM PLAN 2018 2028_SUBMISSIONNames: Dave & Fransiska Falconer 147 Kimihia Road, RD1, Rahui Pokeka 3771 Ph: 022 3099215 Email: davefransiska.falconer@xtra.co.nzPreferred method of contact: emailSUBMISSION: Our Vision: Liveable, thriving and connected communities - he noohanga aahuru, he iwi whai ora, he hapori tuuhono tahiWe would like to submit as part of 'Our Vison: Liveable, Thriving and Connected Communities' and 'Our Community' the proposal to:</p> <p>Acknowledging the original name of Rahui Pokeka, adding the name to Huntly road signage, off/on ramps etc* Restore our Memorial Hall as the major town under Waikato District Council's care, so we also have our hall as do other towns in the district</p> <p>* Protect our wellands from housing development* Help restore the East Mine by Council being actively involved with documentations and other means to encourage in every way the rehabilitation of the old East Mine land, now owned by Mr & Mrs Allen, to become an asset to New Zealand as place of recreation and parks. Example of this would be fast tracking the flooding of the old mine and supporting Waikato Regional Council to do this. Prioritising the forfeited restorative works after mining operations as per Resource Consents, as an environmental manmade disaster over and above other projects such as walkways.* Rahui Pokeka - Huntly on/off ramps to the new Highway as Mayor Sanson's negotiations* Huntly projects 2018-28RAHUI POKEKA - HUNTLY SUBMISSIONHuntly has received a lot of bad press over the years and more recently has once again received negative media attention. Good, respectable residents of our town being terrorised in their own homes is not what we want for our community, nor what we want to read about in newspapers about our town. There is plenty that can be done. Perhaps by starting with restoring the mana by giving the people of Huntly the option to use their original towns name of Rahui Pokeka and having the road signs changed to include both names. We have previously submitted to Waikato District Council, over the decades we have lived in the town, the issue of reinstating the original name of the settlement Rahui Pokeka with the option for present day residents to also use the name Huntly. Waikato Times took up the story our twins wrote to Council some 10 - 15 years ago as a school project and became a passion they had to see their towns name and mana restored. Re-inspired by a Christchurch resident, with an interest in New Zealand history, whom submitted a proposal, which has been taken seriously, to rename the South Island plus we are inspired by other Councils acknowledging and looking into restoring names to such places Auckland and Hamilton - possibly duel naming them e.g. Rahui Pokeka - Huntly. We trust that Waikato District Council may be in a mindset to consider restoring the name of our town especially since it is widely believed a postal service Scottish immigrant was not given official naming rights over the established name of Rahui Pokeka, where protocol did not follow correct conventions in such a serious matter as the importance of renaming a town from established Rahui Pokeka, usurping his position as a public servant, to a town in Scotland using a Huntly, Scotland postal stamp from his previous position which belonged to the British Postal Service. It is an appalling colonial 'legacy' that needs to be corrected at least by offering the town both names as is being proposed across the country such as for Hamilton and Auckland.After a talk with a University of Auckland professor it was felt that it wold be valuable seeking to restore the rightful name of Rahui Pokeka regardless of how it came about to be named Huntly. Taking the name of Rahui Pokeka from the local people of the time would have been offensive and demoralising especially since they were important Maori. The postal stamp belonged to the people of England (Huntly, Scotland) having no place, or right, being used in the postmasters hands in Rahui Pokeka.Identity is a very important psychological need to any community, which was stripped from the original occupiers whom firstly used the area as a place to rest and feed, and later as the official home of Tuheitia Paki, crowned as Te Arikinui Kiingi Tuheitia. Rahui Pokea not only lost its name but later its important Waahi Marae was confiscated. Once the English settled it became a military post with extensive coal mines until recently, and in the late 1860's the national highway and North Island railway lines were laid past the sacred Taupiri Mountain burial grounds effectively cutting off mourners respectful access. Mayor Sanson's efforts with the on/off south bound ramp from Huntly is particularly powerful as it will also right the wrong done at Taupiri Mountain urupa by significantly reducing traffic volumes.We believe the Maori King and where he resides in Rahui Pokea - Huntly should be the major focus of our Waikato District and be at the forefront of the Waikato District Council Long Term Plan 2018-28. It would require consultation with Maori to determine what this should look like. Only then will this town be restored to its rightful place of prominence in New Zealand even after mining has caused severe environmental damage to natural and valuable wetlands. It is a unique opportunity for Maori, the community and Council to do the right thing and make this town the honoured and important centre it should be by starting with giving its name back. Its interesting that Maori respected Rahu Pokeka and Waahi Marae, a place of importance and pride yet the government saw it as a place to gain coal at a huge environmental cost with seemingly no liability or responsibility to restore the environmental damage caused, flouting Resource Consents, never mind the people living in the area and what it has done to our town and psychological identity.Any involvement of Council to further increase cheaper housing should not be adopted but encouraging better quality type of homes that last longer and thus more value for those whom need it, encouraging owner occupiers with possible rates reductions to those whom own their own homes rather than landlords. Not making rich developers and cheaper housing firms richer by demanding higher standards of housing in our district could be a good place to start to give Rahui Pokeka - Huntly back some of its former mana.The Councils, although admirable, proposal to make rates more affordable have preferred an option that would require less rates from low</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>cost housing however, from our understanding it would only serve to make the rich richer and the poor would not benefit as most of them would be renters with the landlord gaining the benefit of the set capital rate preferred Council option. I have included some interesting information by Norman Hill, and some Waahi Marae History, and some excerpts from Westside Stories whom share stories examining the decline of a small North Island town (Huntly) into unemployment and social dysfunction and those working to reclaim the proud area it once was.NORMAN HILLThe Maori name for Huntly is Rahui Pokeka, and the story is told that the lakes were populated with tuna, and tuna to our people is a royalty food because it is a food that is provided to manuwhiri and dignitaries of high esteem in our local community. During the time of our ancestors, the lakes were overfished, so a tohunga said that there will be no more fishing of tuna to ensure that there is a sustainable population of tuna for our people. He put his pokeka in the ground to say, until this is removed, there was a covenant or rahui on our fish. So Rahui Pokeka, is named in recognition of our tuna stocks and upholding the principles of kaitiakitanga in our local waterways.MARAE HISTORY - THE MAORI COMMUNITY OF WAAHI MARAE, RAHUI POKEKA (HUNTLY)Located on the bank of the Waikato River near Rahui Pokeka - Huntly and adjacent to the site of the Huntly Power Station, Waahi is the principal Marae of Ngaati Mahuta of Waikato and home of the paramount family in the King Movement. The Marae functions as the focus of much of the community life of Ngaati Mahuta who is the most numerous tribe in the area. The home of the Kaahui Ariki since 1890, it also functions as a focus for all the tribes of the Waikato-King Country and beyond who are affiliated to the King Movement. The long association with Kiingitanga gives this Marae special significance in the Maori world. THE PEOPLE AND THEIR LANDThe Waikato River flows north from the Hamilton basin across the steep hill country of the Hakarimata Ranges and Taupiri Mountain through the Taupiri Gorge to the low-lying, swampy lands about Huntly. To the west of the river is Lake Waahi and to the east Lake Hakanoa. In order to conserve the tuna supplies from both lakes, a rest period between fishing seasons was proclaimed by the local chief. In time, however, the groups living on the east and west banks quarrelled over the size of their respective eel catches. Friction developed and there was threat of bloodshed. The chief gathered his people together and said, 'this quarrelling must cease. Behold I have driven he pou-rahui into the ground. When I cease speaking I shall lower it. From this day when our pou-rahui is lowered we will dance a haka of joy to show that we are all free from our bond not to fish for tuna. To commemorate this event the eastern lake shall be named Hakanoa. From today all eels taken from both lakes shall be divided evenly and to record this the western lake shall be called Waahi.'Because of these events the Maori name given to the Huntly area was Raahui Pokeka, also it denotes a place of rest where warriors could rest and practice the arts of war.There was a settlement at Waahi during the 1850's for King Mahuta had been adopted by his grandfather, Manuhiri, and was raised there at this time. It is not known exactly when the settlement was re-established as a Marae after confiscation. WAAHI MARAE AND KINGITANGA During the 1890's, Waahi became established as the principal Marae of Ngaati Mahuta. Because he had been raised there as a child, King Mahuta confirmed the status of Waahi as the principal Marae of the King Movement by virtue of establishing his residence there. His successor, Te Rata, who held office from 1912 to 1933, also lived there. King Koroki succeeded Te Rata and also lived at Waahi until 1952. The annual Coronation Celebrations were held there from the 1990's on, and receptions for delegations from tribes affiliated to the King Movement were held there. Government delegations of various kinds and the Governor-General and his party were received there. Distinguished visitors were carried by war canoe across the Waikato River from Rahui Pokeka and landed on the riverbank adjacent to Waahi Marae as part of their ceremonial welcome to the Marae. Above photograph taken on the Waikato River at the Rahui Pokeka - Huntly Landing, on 4th April 1989, shows several dignitaries in a waka. The occasion was a large Maori meeting at Waahi Marae . The chief guests of honour were the late Right Hon. R.J. Seddon, Prime Minister, and the late Hon. Sir James Carroll, Native Minister. Te Puea planned Turangawaewae as the ceremonial headquarters of the Movement and its name, meaning 'a place to stand', symbolizes the re-establishment of Ngaati Mahuta and other Waikato tribes in their ancestral lands. The dining hall and cookhouses at Waahi were in such poor condition they were no longer adequate to cope with the numbers of people coming to the celebrations, and were demolished. King Koroki approved the arrangement and so Turangawaewae quickly developed as the ceremonial centre, although it was intended that the Coronation Celebrations be returned to Waahi when adequate facilities had be constructed. Koroki retained his residence at Waahi. Thus, although much of the outward ceremonial and more elaborate buildings are at Turangawaewae, Waahi retained its important spiritual role as the home of the Kaahui Ariki, the paramount family, and this gives it a status above that of an ordinary Marae. The appearance of Waahi Marae belies its importance. The lack of development on the Marae has not detracted from its importance. Through the 1940's ceremonial war canoe landings were held there. The last canoe landing was in 1972when the Hon. Duncan McIntyre was welcomed to the Marae. The annual Poukai, a gathering unique to the King Movement held over a weekend in October, attracts up to 2,000 people. Some 600 people are housed and fed over the 3 to 4 days of a tangi. Visiting football teams from outside the district are accommodated on the Marae. Functions are held there on average at least once a month. Many of these hui (gatherings) are normal for any Marae, however the home of the Kaahui Ariki, Waahi also serves as a meeting place for more than the usual number of committees (many of the important committees that administer the various functions at Turangawaewae are based at Waahi) and delegations from other tribes seeking informal</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>meetings with members of the Kaahui Ariki. The events of over a century ago - war, confiscation of land, withdrawal as refugees and resettlement - are but as yesterday in the perceptions of Waikato tribes. Kiingitanga and the figure of the King, are both an expression of past grievances and injustices and symbol of Maori values and institutions, which are threatened by Pakeha domination. The principal function of the Ariki, the leader, in modern times is hei pupuri i te mana, to hold on to Maori prestige. The philosophy and activities of Kiingitanga provide a set of symbols and values, another life style from the 40-hour week, quarter-acre section and house with mortgage, and other trappings of modern urban living. The Poukai gatherings, Coronation Celebrations, life crises rituals and other hui, provide a network of occasions within which people come together to laugh, sing, play, work, and talk about those things which they feel are important. Winiata (1958) summarized the significance of the King Movement in modern times: The Maori King stands as a bulwark for Maori and values. It is a Mauri o te Maoritanga - a bulwark. Its function in New Zealand society is to conserve elements of Maori culture and thereby bring to mind those values in any society that cannot be turned into cash terms though of any inestimable worth in systems of living anywhere. Again, the King Movement provides a section of the Maori people with a social and cultural background in which to frame their lives in a wider society that is often cold, forbidding and even antagonistic. This more intimate covering for their spirit keeps them warm in the wider atmosphere about them.Waahi and Turangawaewae functions as both geographic and symbolic centres of the wider network of the King Movement. Waahi Marae is more than just the Marae of Ngaati Mahuta of the Rahui Pokeka area and out migrants who return for specific occasions. It also functions as a centre of a much wider Maori and Pakeha network of relationships with other tribes and regions.One way we can begin to recognise the importance of Huntly is to restore its original name and thus mana and will enable the people whom live and want to live in it the honour it deserves and residents can sleep safely in their beds. Many symbols of Maori values and institutions are threatened in modern times and we as a community should value the importance the area holds by firstly seeing Rahui Pokeka - Huntly as a place rich in significant prestigious Maori history and recognising its rightful name as it is a town much more important than just a coal mining town. HUNTLY MEMORIAL COMMUNITY HALLWe believe a lot of work has already been done by the Huntly Community towards requesting restoration of our Memorial Hall. Up until to date it appears Council have not undertaken weather protection of the building after it withheld scheduled maintenance funds for the building some years ago, so that any water protection is daily becoming more and more urgent showing Council may have already decided while looking for alternative ways to make the final blow. I have checked with Community Law and a moratorium can be imposed if a negative outcome is imminent whilst possible legal implications are looked into if necessary. It appears Council is prepared to spend monies on costly postal surveys and associated administration than actually doing something proactive toward the protection of the hall, even after some in the community have found a viable way forward and shown interest in maintaining the hall for the people of our town. Surveys might not be able to decide the final fate of the building as on initial findings it appears if only one person wanted the Memorial Hall, due to how it was funded, it would have to remain. We have made some statutory inquiries and waiting on responses.It is clear that until a decision is made about the hall weather protection is required and we request Council as part of their responsibility as the asset owners, on behalf of the community, to make sure this is undertaken urgently. There are two options available to Council:1. Restore the Memorial Hall as per rates received over many years to gain good will amongst the Huntly Community and fulfil Council responsibility as asset caretakers on behalf the community.2. Extrapolate the issue as long as Council can until the building will finally be condemned and then Council will fund a new building for the community as the original building failed due to gross negligence of a publically held asset when Council were actually holding onto the funds needed for necessary maintenance. It is the responsibility of Council, under part of the districts rates, to maintain the building on behalf of the community as a Memorial, whereby Council has deliberately withheld funds held in trust for the needed maintenance and allowed the building to get into its present state. It is disappointing that a building funded from dedicated public raised money, as a memorial hall for our community, has been so badly managed by Council. It has a legal entitlement and historical value and meaning to Huntly as does any other hall in our district which have been maintained by Council. We have no idea why Council has not gone ahead with planned and budgeted for maintenance - does someone live behind the Hall and want it removed so they can have the million dollar Hakanoa Lake view? We don't understand how this has come about as it makes no sense.I have taken the liberty of also ringing Inland Revenue, Community Law, and KPMG amongst others to confirm or talk with:i Any public monies gained for the designated purpose of a Memorial Hall cannot be used otherwise. ii It could have liability associated to do anything other than restore the building since Council had the means to do so from public monies held and publically declared for the express use for maintenance on the Memorial Hall.iii. Discussions suggested to view the legal documentation how Council acquired the building and any requirements or obligations put on or by Council regarding the building and its care. I would like a copy made available of any legal documents regarding the Memorial Hall so that it can be reviewed.Iv. The hall was funded by the community and belongs to the community. It is a Council asset but held as an asset of our community. v. If Council no longer value the hall, citing low usage, then Council will need to be confident it has the legal right to demolish the hall on those grounds as the hall was not publically funded with any stipulations other than it would be a Memorial Community hall.</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>Maintenance was Councils responsibly and for some reason Council withheld this and again Council will be well advised to make sure of legal implications if it were to demolish the Huntly Communities Memorial Hall that it will not become liable to be required to build the community a new hall.A question was raised by Community Law: If Council have no regard for the building, would Council consider gifting back to the community the Memorial Hall to be put under a community trust? The community would also require the funds received and held for maintenance of the hall to be handed over and any obligatory maintenance funds if it is found Council were negligent in its care whilst entrusted to manage maintenance of the building. It has been advised that a Community Trust Fund would need to be set up and this is very easily accomplished.As was said at the Huntly LTP Meeting, by a Community Councillor, that once the hall is lost Huntly will most likely not get a replacement one from Council, however the legal implication is a little more tricky as the community paid for the hall and entrusted its care to the Council. Since Council had the means to do required maintenance through targeted rates, but withheld this leading to the building now requiring substantially more work then it is possible Council could be held liable.I have rung WorkSafe to gain advice about volunteer workers to restore the Memorial Hall. WorkSafe offered there are ways to do these things with safety in mind. WorkSafe suggest Council should know Health & Safety and PCBU responsibilities can accommodate Volunteers doing the restoration of the building. A PCBU has the primary duty of care to ensure the health and safety of workers while they are at work in the business or undertaking. A PCBU is also responsible for ensuring work carried out does not carry risk to the health and safety of others.Within the community group of volunteers willing to restore the building there are several whom are capable of becoming PCBU's and are in roles and responsibilities in government positons that are aware of required health and safety requirements.Volunteers are not to be discouraged in favour of costly consultants and expert specialists yet I believe the group interested in restoring the building have a qualified licensed builder prepared to head the works. He is qualified to take on the leadership needed and has already cost estimated works at an affordable outlay within the \$300,000k held by Council in the maintenance of the hall fund, and is vastly cost effective over the \$900,000 to \$1,500,000 estimated by Council which it is believed does not encourage or allow for volunteers. We have gained information that allows for staged upgrades so that e.g. wheelchair access/bathroom facilities and such can be added over time rather than the extreme environmental loss of resources caused by any demolition activity which should be frowned upon by Council as it causes environmental waste and if in time another hall were to be rebuilt then it has a significant cost to the environment and society. Further information is pending regarding the environmental incentive to restore the existing building from government sources over negative effects of demolition.Volunteers are a formidable force and is evidenced by Volunteering Waikato's huge base of active volunteers in both professional and lay fields. WorkSafe consider Volunteers can work under a Person in Charge, or Council retain the Primary Duty of Care to keep everyone safe in the noble venture to restore a community building. Health & Safety Act 2015 outlines the legislative framework, duties, roles and responsibilities, volunteer workers, definitions under the link 'legislation.govt.nz' where it was felt by WorkSafe that Council can work with volunteers to restore the Memorial Hall. Talking with WorkSafe access to the building should not be an issue as the site is not a working site to date and it must be realised by Council that access will be needed to scope works. WorkSafe did not see this as anything extraordinary to move forward on and Council should be aware of what is needed to either task a volunteer or themselves to get things moving. WorkSafe would like a link to the Health & Safety, other, legislation mentioned at the LTP Meeting in Huntly so it can be understood where Council is having concerns as WorkSafe are confident there is a way forward for Volunteers to become involved in restoring the hall. I have also had a discussion with a staff member at KPMG. It may turn out that a group may need to go over records of how the Memorial Hall maintenance has been managed and accounted for in the Council records and rates as the responsible public entity for the maintenance of the building. I will do the donkey work if the documentation can be made available by Council to me though KPMG felt Community Law should be involved.As noted in the Long Term Plan 2018028 submission the Huntly (Rahui Pokeka) Memorial Hall 'Councils Hall portfolio:'The future of the Huntly Memorial Hall is still under consideration and subject to separate local consultation. 'Those whom attended the meeting of the LTP were informed that yet another survey would be posted out to the Huntly community. Two questions would be asked of them along the lines of:1. Do you want, and would you use, a Council-owned community facility in Huntly?2. If so do you support refurbishing the Huntly Memorial Hall?We have asked friends in Matangi, Rotongaro, Tuakau, Waerenga and they were not given a questionnaire about their halls if they felt they needed one, or to pay extra for their maintenance, etc? However, they all have Halls, some Memorial Halls of the same era and construction as the Huntly Memorial Hall, are all maintained by Council and are in a fit state, yet we are the largest of all the communities managed by Council and they are seeking to demolish our hall? There is a group in the community whom are prepared to undertake restoring this hall so Council, whom work for the community, should find a way forward and perhaps seek legal advice how to gain some compensation or possibly claim insurance liability for gross negligence in their line of work and responsibility.Before the LTP meeting I had asked around 50 residents how they felt about the Memorial Hall. All wanted to have the Hall restored however nobody wanted to pay towards its restoration on the principal that Council had had the funds to maintain the building and it is their responsibility that maintenance wasn't done and the damaged bill has escalated. They felt</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>Council caused the problem by not following through with the planned maintenance. After the LTP meeting and to others we have since talked to - all wanted the Memorial Hall restored and had fond memories of being in the hall, however only two were prepared to pay towards its restoration - again mostly because it was Council whom allowed the damage to escalate by not doing the planned maintenance, all the while holding onto the funds that were needed? The community was informed that the maintenance was going to be undertaken and the next we hear is the building is proposed to be demolished by Council which we have been advised has a massive environmental cost and not something Councils should be involved in if there is a way of saving the building and thus resources.Limited usage of the Memorial Hall was also cited as a reason for not restoring it however, other communities have not had to justify their halls to the Council? It has been a long time since the hall had some work done on it and had it been maintained/refurbished would still be a valuable asset and memorial to the community as are halls in other districts.We would like to be included in the information regarding progress concerning our Memorial Hall. It is a community asset donated to the people of Huntly, not merely part of the Councils Hall portfolio, and as an important Memorial donated by the people of Huntly ' Least we forget', to remember the people whom gave their lives from our community. It was entrusted to the Waikato District Council to keep as part of our rates and has been in the WDC books for some time to be maintained. The community was lead to believe that the maintenance and restoration funds were in hand with Council, planned maintenance that was disclosed to the community some years ago never happened, and could be considered gross negligence and misleading information that these works were not undertaken in a timely manner. Council cannot use monies set aside for any other purpose than what it was designated to do such as maintaining our Memorial Hall and is required to fulfil this obligation not when things have escalated and then simply plan to demolish the hall against those in that community wishes? Please note that it is disappointing to note in the Long Term Plan that Council have chosen to use other areas Memorial Halls to hold their meetings where Council must have managed these Halls to not let them get into such disrepair as the Huntly Memorial Hall, requiring Council to use the donated Huntly Power Station River Side Rooms to hold its meeting in for Huntly. Huntly needs to have its own Hall in its own right with its significant and highest population base under Waikato District Councils care, where historical families worked hard to provide one for us.Note: LTP Meetings held at Pokeno Hall, Tuakau Memorial Hall, Aka Aka Hall. Matangi Hall, Gordonton Hall, Te Kowahi Hall, Te Akau Waingaro Community Complex, Glen Murray Memorial Hall, Port Waikato Hall, Raglan Hall, Tamahere Hall and should have been held in the Huntly Memorial Hall. There are 39 halls in the District and Huntly should have its own too. Also, only the Huntly and Ngaruawahia Halls have fees listed - it would be of value to know what the hire rates of the other 37 halls in the district rental fees are.Please can Council let us know what refurbishments have been done in all the other 39 halls that are in their care, such as are required now for the Huntly Memorial Hall as many of the halls are of the same era as the Huntly Memorial Hall and it is interesting that the Huntly hall requires such extensive works (note: refurbishments before delayed maintenance caused further significant damage).It would be interesting to see accounting records of the former Coal Museum and how the former Coal Museum land and house were disposed of so that the Coal Museum is now housed in the Huntly Power Station donated building, and what happened to any funds made from land sales, etc.As Council wrote on the 3 April to Huntly residents/ratepayers the Coal Museum has taken over the Civic Centre leaving no community hall available to Huntly residents. It would be of value to view the Coal Museum visitor numbers (regular groups of 12 considered low numbers by Council for the Memorial Hall usage) and actual funds they raised in light of Council declaring low use of the Memorial Hall as an added reason to demolish it. Looking online the Coal Museum should be returning several hundred dollars a day to cover for the actual civic centre hire fees demanded by Council to hire the centre? May I suggest lowering the refurbished Memorial hall hireage free to encourage more usage especially since Council is looking for usage of the building in an extremely impoverished district. It would be extremely short sighted to demolish a building that has been in need of maintenance for many years citing its low recent 2015 usage. How many communities would have their halls demolished if their halls had low usage when they actually needed maintenance and would obviously be far more attractive to use if refurbished. It may well be in the best interests of the Memorial Hall to be gifted back to the community to be put under their care and is being scoped if this is an option that can be put to Council and how best to progress this if it becomes necessary.At the LTP Meeting it was noted that the Civic Centre needed funding for a new roof however on the Council website it notes that the Civic Centre has 'The venue has a new steel roof and aluminium joinery.'A local accountant thought it may be of value to note the amount of funds allocated by Council towards the Community Church so it can be viewed alongside the costs associated with the Memorial Hall. The question also raised is what earthquake and new Health & Safety requirements has been applied to other community buildings/halls that it is now required of the Huntly Memorial Hall by Council.Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to speak to the Memorial Hall situation and it appears there is a strong voice also in community and we trust that Council will seek a way forward to refurbish the hall over the community having to take this to a higher level. It would be awesome if Council can get</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
470	Broughton	Thomas	N/A	N/A				We, the ratepayers of Ryan Road subdivision, Te Akau South and adjacent properties wish to formally object to the level at which our properties are rated.We believe that we are being rated at the same level as if we were in a community situation like Raglan town. This is not appropriate for the following reasons:1. We are not a town or an urban communitya. We have no refuse or recycling collection.b. We have no sewerage scheme.c. We have no Council maintenance on footpaths and (as yet) on public reserves.d. There is no street lightinge. There are no shops, library, public toilets, garages, community hall, etc., which would normally be present in an urban community. – Many of these missing facilities would attract council costs, justifying urban ratings.f. There are no local costs relating to other Council functions, such as public transport, or lifestyle facilities (libraries, pools, parks etc.)2. The facilities we do enjoy are more of a rural nature:a. We have our own bore water scheme for which we pay by meter monitoring. We all paid a capital sum to help get the scheme started in the 1980s. One of our permanent residents contributes to maintenance by checking the water treatment once a week. This facility is more appropriate for a collection of rural houses.b. We do have our own scheme for mowing the lawn reserves. This attracts a relatively low cost to the council compared to alternatives. In addition this extends to areas which are used by the wider rural community even more that by residences nearby (e.g. down by the boat ramp.)We consider that the current ratings system is unfair. We would therefore appreciate from Council some explanation of, or justification for, the level of rates charged on our rather isolated and remote properties.
471	Nienke	van Dijken	N/A	Tourism Industry Aotearoa				See attachment.
472	Katherine	Wilson	N/A	Property Council New Zealand	Auckland			See attachment.
473	Greg	Morton	N/A	Waikato District Health Board				See attachment.
474	Kelly	Bentley	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.Look at grants re lotto grants
475	Tracey	Allwood	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.Look at grants re lotto grants
476	Joanne	Small	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
478	Angela	Birks	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
479	Elizabeth	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
480	Rebecca	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
481	Paul	Mita	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
482	Blair	Gardiner	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
483	Grant	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be reopened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
484	Scott	Mason	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
485	Hartmut	Joschonek	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
486	M	Chatterton	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
487	Sallymei	Matia	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
488	Tomuri	Luke	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
489	Paula	Raihe	N/A	N/A	Huntly			Movers and shakers we want on the council not sitters an talkers action people. In the past there was money to fix the war memorial hall I believe were has that gone. Over the few years that have gone we have never see any improvements in huntly im not happy about the rates going up or anything we are all struggling in huntly an thats a fact.This is to include The Huntly War MemorialHall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repairedand bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurposefacility before 2020.
490	Hubert	Marcon	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
491	Iris M	Kimpton	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
492	Dorothy	Stephens	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.This should be renovated and usable for the people of Huntly and various groups.
493	Jennifer	Hohaia	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
494	Klazz	Mckinnon	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020. Would be awesome if it got repaired, this town has so much potential and talents. Using the facilities and charging hire hall at a decent things to do in Huntly "clubs" karate "kyokushin" dance etc...It will be all worth it at the end a positive things for this town.
495	Winnie	Watene	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
496	Janet Mary	Jay	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is another example of point of community focus. This hall was raised by the people. It should be repaired and maintained so that it again becomes a facility of community focus. Huntly Needs to maintain its sense of idenity and Community by repairing and providing this as a local community point.
497	Arzhay	Heslop	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
498	Marahi	Kingi	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
499	Kawana James	Wallace	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
500	Korina	Vaughan	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
501	Tui	Lee	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
502	Nesan	Gorender	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
503	Stacey	Baker	N/A	N/A				This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
504	Janie	Solomon	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.No fees and charges.
505	Katrina	Hipkin	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
506	Maleina	Tauariki	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
507	Roana	Daley	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
508	Tumakai	Te Aho- Solomon	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
509	Martin	Hipkin	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
510	Jenna	Tauariki	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
511	Belinda	King	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
512	Evelyn	Shead	N/A	N/A	Huntly			Keep our hall! This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
513	Reginald	Taua	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
514	Joy	Lynch	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
515	T	Kea-Perston	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
516	Kapariera Malcolm	Taua	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
517	Anahera	Taua	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
518	Trish	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
519	Luessa	MacDonald	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
520	Valerie	Attkins	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
521	Angela	Wilkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
522	Julie	Baker	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
523	Andrea	Thomas	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
524	Heather	Paterson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
525	S.F and J.L	Wall	N/A	N/A	RD 3	Option 1	Option 1	To assume a property that has a higher value means automatically people can afford to pay more is a fallacy and unfair. Often mortgages are higher. Rates obviously are higher. And these can be families, or older residents, who have lived a long time at their properties and are on fixed incomes.For the rates we are charged, living rurally, we receive nothing other than a rubbish collection. Our roads occasionally get a little fill in any holes that develop. The bottom end of Kariotahi Road (towards Waiuku) is so narrow and the edges are broken, so passing other vehicles (as well as horse trucks to beach) is dangerous. Coming out of Butchers Bridge Road, again, is extremely dangerous, (I have notified Council on other occasion), because there is a convergence of 3 roads, which leaves no reasonable visual ability for emergence safety. Traffic has to actually drive through a stop sign, into the middle of traffic flow, to be able to ascertain whether the roads can be crossed. I believe there has been a roundabout plan, but because you leave it in Auckland Council's planning, nothing is done. I would also remind Council that we also pay regional rates to which all the above applies.
526	Moyra	Thompson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
527	Jennifer	Palmer	N/A	Te Awa River Ride Charitable Trust	Hamilton			The Trustees of the Te Awa River Ride Charitable Trust very much value and appreciate Waikato District Council's commitment to the Te Awa River Ride project.The section linking Ngaruawahia to Horotiu that was opened in November last year was a significant highlight for the project and Council's support was instrumental in achieving this milestone. The response from the community has been overwhelmingly positive; counter data and user feedback indicates this section is being widely used and appreciated. We believe Te Awa will continue to help realise many of Waikato District Council's community outcomes and facilitate liveable, thriving and connected communities. We are confident the trail will be an asset for the region and for generations to come.Our submission asks that Waikato District Council: 1. Support the development of the Te Awa River Ride between Hamilton and Cambridge.We ask Waikato District Council to allocate \$2.5m in the first three years of its LTP to completing the Cambridge to Hamilton section of Te Awa. (see hard copy for image with specific numbers and pedestrian / cyclists graph).2. Continue to work in partnership to realise the Te Awa Lakes development vision for the District.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
528	Pauline	Roberts	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
529	Raewyn	Lambie	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020. I do not think that it is fair that householders have to pay for their water supply. Each house should have its own water tank so that all the lovely rainwater does not go to waste. Maybe people would learn to appreciate water and not waste it so much. I would never want to see the Waikato District Council join in with the Hamilton City Council for anything at all. The company that has the contract to keep Taupiri nice and tidy are not doing their job so hopefully their contract will not be renewed. The Huntly swimming pool has not been kept up to standard, painting etc. the North Waikato Aquatic Trust took 10 years to get that pool refurbished so that it could be used all year at a cost of around 3 million dollars. Also the current managers are not very efficient. I took my grandchildren there on a Sunday during the school holidays and there were only two lifeguards on duty and they were very young. There was also a birthday celebration going on too so I thought at least another lifeguard was warranted. While I am on the subject of swimming pools, the shade sales at the Ngaruawahia pool do not belong to the Council. The Ngaruawahia swimming club purchased those. Also there needs to be more advertising to say that the pool is there for all to use.
530	Pauline H	Lewis	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
531	Monju	Sarkar	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 3	I appreciate the long term plan 2018-2028 documentation and the sessions organized by the council. However as a tax payer, I find the time given for decision making is very short, considering we are lay people, the relevant information are limited and a rush decision is risky for any long term plan.It would have been nice if council would have provided us the information on spending of rate money preferably for past 10 year or least 3 years to display the efficient and effective use of the rate payer money- "providing value for money" as claimed in Page 5 of the consultation document. In fact, outcome of the 5 points highlighted in page 5 of the consultation document are currently far from satisfactory for Huntly, instead we saw significant deterioration of standard of living with high unemployment, poor maintenance of infrastructure, no effective growth towards local economy, employment, community wellbeing. In the last 5 years even the community hall condition further deteriorated for poor maintenance by the council and rate payers are pressurised to fix it now or lose it.In the recent past "Affordable housing" was the only positive factor that attracted, particularly the Aucklanders to Huntly and to some towns of Waikato- who were prepared to commute from here to work in Auckland.But even that is no more the case now for action of Waikato Council, they promptly (2016/2017) increased the property valuation and rate ranging from 30-100% for the existing old houses without any additional infrastructure. This caused rent increase also. Huntly suffered with high percentage of unemployment and community still struggling. The significantly high increase of property valuation and rate by council reduced the flow from Auckland thus further growth is throttled by them instead they could have been proactive in organising good public transport system to/from Auckland for growth of the region and this would have helped locals as well towards employment in Auckland. I am told that the Waikato council rates are one of highest compared with many districts considering the limited infrastructure facilities and current state of assets for poor maintenance over the years. You will agree the above facts do not reflect effective, efficient, innovative, timely, cost effective professional action that is your goal.However, we have very high expectation from council for their professional services for the benefit of all in the community and there is always hope for future if we learn from our past.I am pleased that Council has plans and intentions as pointed out in the referred document and I trust we will see implementation of same in future.At this stage my sincere request is that we do not rush for implementation of the long term plan without reviewing same and comparing with councils having similar conditions and statistics –namely numbers, geography, demography and current status and our strengths and weaknesses. I feel this will help us focus to the reality and make informed and professional decision for our long term plan run.I definitely oppose councils preferred option in both cases. With the views expressed I propose further discussion and studies on both issues and until such time I support Option 1 for issue of three water management andOption 3 for Uniform annual General charge The submission form duly filled in is attached.I thank you for the opportunity to express my views in the matter and assure my support in a positive way with my limited wisdom.
532	Emerald Vale Ltd	Emerald Vale Ltd	N/A	N/A				Seal extention in each area.
533	Oakhill Dairy Goats Ltd	Oakhill Dairy Goats Ltd	N/A	N/A	Morrinsville			Seal extention on existing roads

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
534	Bruce	Cameron	N/A	Onewhero Tuakau Community Board				<p>If we aim to be one of the most informed and engaged councils we feel there are some missed opportunities. While we realise there are some items that must be consulted on by statutory process, these are not the items that people are often passionate about and think we would get better results from informing people what is going on in their backyard outside of wastewater and roading. We would welcome the chance to discuss this further at an appropriate time. Following is a list of items that we would like to highlight to be sure we have a clear understanding or to help guide:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We would like to see more consultation on the possibility of joining the library, aquatic and community centre all into the one complex, and maybe the council office. This could also enable the tie-in with Partnerships with Schools. 2. The Board would like to thank the council for the skate park budget of \$350k. However, we feel this is potentially light with the planned expansion of Tuakau. There is a lot of youth now, and with the planned growth, there is going to be a lot more. Skate parks are a great attraction for youth and a great activity that gets them outside and active, both physically and socially. Therefore we would request that this be placed on the external funding list. <p>Parks: Tuakau is short of 25/30 ha of open space. There needs to be urgent consultation as to how this extra space is acquired. We believe this is likely to be addressed by the Master planning for Tuakau but are concerned at the lead time for this. With the District Plan Notification getting close, design work for local subdivision is already underway and may happen before the opportunity to masterplan is undertaken. We would like to see emphasis placed on larger parks rather than small Neighbourhood parks. Whilst we are not against neighbourhood parks, there is a feeling that the developers are putting them in because of council requirements when they really need to be looked at as part of a bigger picture of the whole town development. The cost of many small parks would be much greater than the maintenance of a fewer number of larger parks. There also needs to be planned connectivity of the walkways/cycle ways within Tuakau, from Tuakau to the river and Tuakau to Pukekohe via the railway corridor. This concept can create a more open, relaxed feel about the town, as it gives alternatives to being limited solely to footpaths on the roadside. The Board would also like clarification of two lots of \$900k funding (\$1.8m) in the 2015 LTP that this is still in the plan for Tuakau.</p> <p>Cemetery: Please update the Board with any proposals/plans in this area. The area of land and its location gives rise to some other possibilities and the board would like to be part of discussions on this.</p> <p>Recycling/Rubbish: We would like to see Council progress the purchase of Land for a Resource Recovery Centre in the North. This can be shared with Pokeno. No mention is made or allowed for in the LTP. We did get our hopes up when a recycle centre was due to open and then it disappeared off the radar altogether. Removing rubbish and recycling of recyclables in the northern part of the WDC for those without kerbside collection is very poor with one once a month at Glen Murray and nothing for the Rural areas of Tuakau, Pokeno and Aka Aka. For businesses and those outside the collection area, there are only drop off areas in Waiuku, Huntly and Te Kauwhata. For tourists moving through, especially campers/camper vans/ freedom campers there is nowhere obvious for them to dispose of rubbish. The town street rubbish bins are not designed for their "household/van" rubbish. Onewhero domain is a classic case of this problem with freedom campers. They regularly have 25 to 30 each night. The septic cannot take the extra load and is constantly blocking or overflowing. It is not up to the locals to either be continually cleaning up after them or reminding council to do so. As Onewhero is one of the closest stops to Auckland Airport, those ending their tours clean their vans out and dump everything there. Rubbish and recycling needs to be moved forward from its planned 2024 slot and the Board would welcome the opportunity to be involved.</p> <p>Dog pound: Please update the Board with any proposals/plans in this area.</p> <p>Tuakau Main Street: It is noted that there is no budget for maintenance of Tuakau's street furniture. On a recent OTCB and council staff walk through the town, it was patently obvious that no work of any significance has been done for a number of years. The word used to describe the situation was "appalling". The pavers on the paths are slippery when wet and are a health and safety issue. Railings and posts are broken or missing and have not been repaired or stained for a long time. The trees and under planting are also seriously neglected.</p> <p>Train Station/Park & Ride: Land purchase Planning needs to be in place for the possibility of a train stop and Park and Ride. There are very limited parcels of land available near the Train station and with the Boards local knowledge would be keen to help achieve an outcome.</p> <p>Rural Roadside Maintenance</p> <p>Yellow Bristle Grass: This is an extremely aggressive annual plant which spreads rapidly through clean pasture and is becoming very wide spread throughout the district along the roadsides. The main form of spreading is the road-side mowers in the period from mid-December through to mid-end-May when the grass is in full seed production. During this period there should be no mowing; only spraying should be carried out. Mowing can be carried out over the winter period. Council needs to come up with a programme to manage this. A properly managed system may cost little or no more than the current spend on roadside maintenance.</p> <p>Rural roads ie roads outside of urban boundaries: These need to be kept up to a standard whereby all traffic is safely able to pass over them all year round. Maintenance has fallen behind in the last year and the costs to get ahead again can get excessive. Having trucks getting stuck on metal roads is unacceptable. There has been a lot of ground movement on Highway 22 in the Glen Murray area. Work has started on repairing it, however there is a lot more work to be carried out in this area.</p> <p>Submission on Three Waters</p> <p>The Community board supports the councils preferred option 4: Water Governance Board</p> <p>Submission on UAGC</p> <p>This created much discussion. The OTCB is the board that covers the largest area of any board in the WDC. It covers a large range of businesses both large and small and residential property types</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>across the full spectrum of values. The rural sector is represented by lifestyle blocks, market gardening, dairying and the full range in size of dry stock properties. The UAGC is a tool that can be used to help apportion a fair share of the rates to a property regardless of its size or value and recognise that the council services are available to everybody, again regardless of size or value of your property. The main principle for the reduction in the UAGC seems to be because of a large % increase in the value of properties in Huntly and Ngaruawahia. What this % increase is in terms of \$ value may not necessarily be that great. The biggest increases in the rate component in the rates has been the targeted rates of water, waste water and rubbish. These are rates for services that properties receive and should pay for and has seemed to have had a bigger impact on Huntly and Ngaruawahia. Using the UAGC to try and reduce this effect may not seem fair on other properties that have to pay for and provide their own water tanks, their own septic tanks and have to pay for any rubbish disposal. It is recognised that rates need to be affordable and for those that can't or have difficulty paying for them there is always the rates remission policy. Consequently no consensus was arrived at. Port Waikato The Board supports the Hall/surf lifesaving complex and is thankful for the council's support on this. \$500k was, we believed, set aside for the boat ramp/wharf at the Port. Has this been carried forward? Tuakau bus transport services With the growth of Tuakau the need for an efficient and regular bus service tying in with the trains in Pukekohe is essential for the Town. The promotion of a train stop at Tuakau is also going to be vital to cater for the growth of the town in the future.</p>
535	Jennifer	Palmer	Brian Perry Charitable Trust	N/A	Hamilton			<p>For over forty years, the Perry Family has been proudly supporting the wider Waikato community. In 1976 a charitable trust was established with a personal donation of \$100,000 from Hamilton businessman Brian Perry, and his wife Peggy, who wanted to provide support for the community that had, in turn, assisted them with their business growth over the previous 20 years. The Brian Perry Charitable Trust continues to develop strong partnerships with like-minded organisations to deliver on its purpose of 'Making a meaningful difference towards a vibrant Waikato Region'. The Trust invests significantly in a wide range of projects and organisations and is committed to supporting its local community. Our submission asks that Waikato District Council: 1. Continue to work in partnership to realise the Te Awa Lakes development vision for the District. 2. Support the development of the Te Awa River Ride between Hamilton and Cambridge. Our Trust has invested over \$4m into this incredibly worthwhile project since 2010 and we are particularly delighted with the success of the Perry Bridge. Data shows a significant and sustained increase in user numbers since the completion of the Ngaruawahia to Hamilton section and we expect this number to continue growing once the final section is complete.</p>
536	Katrina	Milburn	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	<p>When increasing rates I would ask what you consider the affordability of your increases and the effect this is going to have on the people living in our community. Many families are struggling to pay bills already. Many children and elderly are already missing out on the basics that they need. Are all projects needing to be done now or can you spread them out more to help with keeping the increase to rates at a more reasonable level?</p>
537	Al	Wilson	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia			<p>Living in the country, the proposed changes to the water management do not affect me in any way, as I collect my own water and have no access to town water. What I am not happy about is the fact that I will have to pay considerably more in the coming financial year for the water that I don't get. I think the rating system in general is most unfair, being based on the value of the property and not on the number of people in the property. If I had ten people living in my house, I would still pay the same rates as I do living alone. For me, living alone and on a pension, I find a 6.8% rise in rates difficult to accept. My pension is going up by 1% this coming year but the Council see fit to raise the rates by 6.8%. Eventually I will be forced out of the property in which I have lived for the last 30 years because I can't afford the cost of the facilities which I don't get. My feelings are that we should</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								be charged on a per head basis and not on a per property basis. More people use more roads and more of the Council facilities but my house does not use any.
538	Hira	Kingi	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	
539	A D	Stoneley	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	
540	P A	Stoneley	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	
541	Alfred	Heasley	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 3	Option 3	
543	Anonymous	Anonymous	N/A	N/A				I have just read the above document and my blood pressure has soared accordingly. There is no point in making a submission as the Council just goes ahead and does what it wants to do anyway. The only recourse we have is the ballot box, and so many voters are blinded by the "spin" dished out, or just feel it is a waste of time voting.The following areas annoy me intensely:Refuse (page 12)We were literally fed a lot of 'garbage' when you introduced the new stickers. This was supposed to reduce the targeted rate, but no, you are proposing to increase this again already, which no doubt you intended to do all the time. We just can't believe what you tell us.Water ratesNothing annoys me more than the constant spin you feed us on the reason for changing our water services. The new meters were supposed to make it fairer for everyone. Why is it Huntly East have their meters up and running, but on the West side of the river there are no water charges. It seems more than a coincidence that the Mayor and a good proportion of councillors are on the West side, or live rurally. Perhaps Huntly East residents are conditioned to paying their rates bills! I get sick and tired of the constant claim that the water infrastructure is in a bad way. I personally think our hard earned rates are going towards the infrastructure in the golden North Waikato subdivisions of Te Kauwhata, Pokeno and Tuakau. How about the user pays system and put these charges on their rates, not ours. Property valuations (page 17)Ratepayers were told that rates do not necessarily relate to current valuations. I have been told by a real estate agent that properties in Huntly were over valued in the last valuation. After this past weeks "West Side Stories" in the Waikato Times our properties are now possibly a third of our valuation. I can't understand why these stories were allowed to go to print. No one will want to buy a property here now.On page 21 there is an unfair discrepancy between the projected rates increase for Huntly of 11.40% compared with mid range rural of 4.82%. Why is this when obviously the assed base for mid rural ratepayers is much higher, apart from the fact they also get a lot of tax consessionsthe "Joe Blow?" in an urban dwelling is not entitled to. You are just sucking the low income folk and superannuitants dry.Huntly needs some employment opportunities urgently. We are supposed to be in this wonderful golden triangle but nothing to show for it. Also, for God's sake do something about the crime in the area. It is the only thing flourishing here. Bulldoze the main street, build a service centre for locals to shop as most people seem to go to The Base to shop; and utilise the river more. We don't want 120 food shops in the main street - bank chemist shop, hairdressers, dentist, coffee shop, library (although this is not user friendly now with their no cash system), lotto shop etc. - these could be under one roof. Edgecumbe in the Bay of Plenty had a similar concept and it worked well. At the rate Huntly is going, it will be the ghetto of the Waikato - similar to Porirua, Flaxmere, Manurewa in bigger cities. I would like they Mayor to address these issues in an open letter to the long suffering ratepayers in Huntly. I see the local newspaper is also closing. It just goes on and on and its all so depressing.
544	Mary-Ann	Taiapo	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as multipurpose community facility before 2020.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
545	Robert and Tina	Macnab	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	I support option 4 for Three waters management with the proviso that the savings are fully costed out and independently reviewed.I support Option 1 for the UAGC. The reasons for this is that it households that utilise the services that the council supplies not an acre of land at my back boundary! To shift it more to a value of property proportion is unfair on farmers as they do not use the services (they are unavailable) that the increased rates will pay for. I feel that the user pays model (targeted rates) is still subsidised by the general rates, so this will bring more fairness. I do not agree with the rebalance of the roads argument, as the roads in the rural areas provide economic prosperity to all townships, not just the lower value township properties.Other matters:Review of hall targeted rates boundariesIn my case I pay rates to Naike Hall where I mainly use Te Akau Waingaro Community Complex. These areas are outdated based upon halls being linked to schools and outdated social activities. A Lot of these schools have closed so the communities need for the hall has diminished. Our needs are better met at Te Akau.RoadsLocal communities should have more input into roading strategies. Key road routes are being left to deteriorate and are not meeting resident's needs. My solution is a roadshow that is a workshop where local roads plans and strategies (including timelines) are outlined and are able to be submitted on. In isolated areas Private public partnerships should be considered, where the contract is given to locals to maintain hard to maintain roads. Such an example that has worked well is Retaruke Rd to Whakahoro in the Ruapehu district.Parks and facilitiesAn increased allowance for Hakarimata Tack. A highly used facility needs a link to the Te Awa trail and increased signage and maintenance.An upgrade to the Waingaro Church walk, a local fitness track.Identification of swimming holes and facilities to compliment them.
546	Susanne	Pipe	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
547	James	Moore	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	
548	Charlie	Paekau	N/A	N/A			Option 2	
549	Grace M	Wilcock	N/A	N/A		Option 4		General commentThe local information session was informative and covered the various aspects of the LTP 2018-28 in good detail. Also the consultation document was extremely good and helped to act as a reminder of the information session when deciding the content of this submission.Three Water's ManagementAs the proposed CCO with Hamilton City and Waipa is no longer under consideration I agree with WDC that Option 4 is selected; provided that the other partners do not compromise access to and cost of water.Submit: Support Option 4 Uniform Annual General Rate [UAGC]The proposed options for the UAGC do not appear to take into consideration some of the factors that can impact on ratepayers outside of the urban areas. Those who are pensioners who may be asset rich but income poor, no access to a library, no access to public transport so more outgoings in order to reach facilities, young families struggling to meet housing costs and many who cannot make tax deductions. So although I agree to a certain extent I believe the council needs to look at this more carefully before setting this rate. However if WDC have considered all these factors I would reconsider and support Option 2.Submit: Support Option 4 only if assured of factors in my comments.
550	Daryl	Smart	N/A	N/A	Hamilton			See attachment
551	Michelle	Hollands	N/A	Sport Waikato	Hamilton			See attachment
552	Richard	Briggs	N/A	Hamilton City Council	Hamilton			See attachment
553	Matt & Laura	Carter	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 1	Option 1	
554	Vaughan	Payne	N/A	Waikato Regional Council	Hamilton			See attachment
555	Bowman	Household	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
556	Cushla	Moore	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 1	
557	Malcolm	Dyer	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 1	
558	Jim	Ivens	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 3	
559	Peter & Mary	Henry	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	We are concerned as to how we will afford rates when we retire. Pensions may have risen recently, but that rise did not even cover the rise on cost-of-living expenses, let alone annual rates rises! This will concern many elderly in the community.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
560	Peter	Lamb	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
561	Neil	Barker	N/A	Ngaruawahia Golf Club Inc	Ngaruawahia			<p>10 Year Plan - SubmissionSaulbury Road RoundaboutOn behalf of the Ngaruawahia Golf Club Inc. (NGC) I submit our input for consideration -The Long Term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) indicates that a roundabout will be constructed at Saulbrey Road / Great South Road in 2028. We understand that Council has budgeted money for the necessary purchase of land in 2019. NGC would like the Council to consider urgency in construction of the roundabout for numerous reasons as set out below:</p> <p>SafetyThis section of Great South Road is 100kph with vehicle speed increasing after leaving Ngaruawahia Township (which has an outer speed limit of 70kph).The River Terraces sub-division has increased the number of traffic manoeuvres within 1 kilometre of the NGC entrance and Saulbrey Road. The traffic volume will increase substantially and quickly with more sections becoming available.River Terraces has a marked turning bay for traffic heading north and turning right into the development. Parking in the middle of an increasingly busy main road, even with a stopping zone is not ideal.Access to the golf course does not include a right turning bay. While, thankfully, to my understanding, there have been no crashes attributed to right turning into the Course there have been some 'hairy' moments when one motorist stops in the middle of the road to turn right into the golf course, which is legal, and another decides to stop in front of the café opposite the NGC entrance. This leaves very little room for following cars to pass.The roundabout would have the effect of improving traffic safety by slowing vehicles and giving better direction to vehicles entering and exiting River Terraces, the NGC and Saulbrey Road. The NGC would re-locate its entrance way from the present location to a new road north of the site (currently only a paper road).We understand Council roading staff are of the view that the roundabout should be completed as a priority. The developers of River Terraces have also indicated they would prefer the roundabout is constructed earlier than scheduled in the Draft LTP.FutureThere are signs that Ngaruawahia is a growing township with River Terraces an example of this. Hamilton City has strong growth at its northern boundary (Kay Road/Borman Road area) and these developments are bringing more golfers closer to NGC.The NGC is, in conjunction with an overseas sponsor, in the process of completing a Resource Consent Application to build a driving range on our course in an area that is presently used as a practice fairway. It is proposed that the Sponsor will use these facilities for a Golfing Academy and outside these times they will be available to the public. We do not expect there to be a great increase in traffic entering and exiting the course from driving range visitors but are in the process of undertaking a traffic assessment which will indicate likely numbers.The Perry Charitable Trust has approached NGC requesting us to allow access for cyclists to the Te Awa Cycleway from the course. The NGC have agreed to this.</p> <p>ConclusionIn summary, construction of the proposed Saulbrey Road roundabout will improve traffic safety at multiple locations along the stretch of Great South Road from the River Terraces entrance to the golf course entrance. It will have an immediate improvement and accommodate the increasing traffic volumes along this road.There is a growing need for the roundabout and its construction date should be brought forward from 2028. This view is aligned with that of staff in Council's Roads Asset Management Team and the developers of River Terrace.NGC requests that Council budgets for construction of the Saulbury Road/ Great South Road roundabout to be programmed to be actioned within the next 12 to 24 months i.e. completed with urgency.I am prepared to be heard in support of my submission</p>
562	Shraneel	Singh	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	<p>Three Waters Management - Prefer to setup CWC and enter a well defined commercial contract with WaterCare (renowned for their depth in service knowledge) and based on the contract a well defined SLA for services provided by WaterCare where breach in SLA results in rebates in cost of services. This will ensure a really High Level Service that can be maintained. In opposition of WGB, Though it ticks all the box and provides options to ofer rates rebates to low income ratepayers, I would like to question why rest of the ratepayers are not given the same/fair chance to rebates. We moved to Pokeno and work in Auckland CBD, though not considered as low income ratepayers, end up paying a lot in terms of commute time, costs and then unfair/biased rebate mechanism which results in us paying more than the low income ratepayers. Some scenarios ends up making middle to high income ratepayers category poorer than the low income ratepayers as there is larger outgoings.</p>
563	Damon	Skellams	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
564	David	Whyte	N/A	N/A	Huntly			See attachment.
565	David	Whyte	N/A	N/A	Huntly			<p>I would like to see the web form upgraded so it can accept attachments. It is shocking in this day and age to eliminate any tables, pictures, illustrations, formatting, etc. I would be stuffed, if I didn't have the info email address I could attach something to, because submissions cut off is the start of working hours on Monday. Also having a color to show where information is missing, would help with the form, instead of reading, searching for the missing bit. I guess anyone who is dyslexic isn't encouraged to make a submission, or anyone who isn't computer literate.</p>
566	Mark	Emms	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
567	Gillian	Hansen	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 1	It is only fair that all ratepayers pay the same amount for council services that we can all use. Many people spend their income in different ways, and paying rates and taxes is part of being a responsible citizen.I would like to see a form of tax /fee charged to all users of libraries / swimming pools / community buildings etc. Those who do not own property are not contributing in any way. The council might claim that landlords can factor this in rents but many people just take it as their right to do as they please and destroy what has been provided. The council has a thankless task at times.
568	Diane	Emms	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
569	Sally	Fraser	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 3	Option 2	
570	Richard	Way	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	Rates are continuing to rise, but wages are not. Last year was the increase in rates for wastewater, this year the council is trying increase the general rates, what will it be next year? Ngaruawahia is an attractive place to live, out of the main cities, with a lower property prices, however increases like this are going to drive more people away, who simply cannot afford to live in a small town, that charges like a city does.
571	Details withheld	Details withheld	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 2	Option 2	Raglan is developing at a very fast rate and the Council needs to have a plan to manage and mitigate the impact and to slow the rate of development accordingly. Infrastructure for residents is lacking. The access to Rangitahi is going to present a lot of traffic issues that do not appear to be on the Council's radar. In addition, the restoration of the pill boxes does not seem high priority in comparison to providing increased public access and parks in intensely development zones eg. around Government and Manakau streets. In addition, basic rubbish and recycling facilities need to be installed at high traffic tourist zones, for example at the beach.
572	Details withheld	Details withheld	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 2	Option 2	Development in and around Raglan is controlled by a small number of individuals; the Council should not serve the interests of influential individuals at the expense of public good, particularly with regard to planning decisions. Development needs to be contained and managed so that basic services and infrastructure can catch up whilst maintaining the character of Raglan and enhancing natural amenity.
573	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 1	
574	Eileen	Tengu	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 3	Option 2	
575	Bryan	Morris	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	The WDC needs to be aware of the formation of the Huntly Residents and Ratepayers group which will enable the Council to include Huntly in its visions and planning for ' Liveable .Thriving and Connected Communities'
576	Donna	Jamieson	N/A	N/A	Puni	Option 4	Option 2	
577	Clive & Pauline	Kosoof	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 1	
578	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	No Address	Option 1	Option 2	Please add seal extension for Te Papatapu Road (near Te Mata) to the Long Term Plan 2018-28. Sealing Te Papatapu Road would create huge tourist potential by encouraging more people to visit Raglan on their way to Kawhia and Waitomo Caves.
579	Jeanette	Tyrrell	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	Firstly, congratulations to Waikato District in thinking long-term and considering the best way to manage waters in the future. Those with a misguided fear about Watercare need to get over themselves and realise that Watercare holds huge waters expertise that this district desperately needs. A huge thank you to Councillor Lisa Thompson at Raglan for showing leadership and for her, and the Community Board's advocacy of the 'Only Pee, Poo and Paper' down the loo. The message is getting through to locals that EVERYONE - not just Council - has a role in protecting our harbours. I also support continued Council support for Xtreme Zero Waste who do an extraordinary job for this community.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
580	Roger	Bright	N/A	Waikato Sport Fishing Club	Hamilton			See attachment. Waikato Sport Fishing Club founded in 1987, is based in Hamilton and has 208 paid financial members 2017/2018 year from across the Waikato Region. We are an affiliated club with the New Zealand Sport Fishing Council. Our vision is: "Waikato Sport Fishing Club – sport and recreational fishing for our families, our community and our future". The vision reflects the desire of the Club to promote a strong sense of family unity through fishing, and to grow the fishing base within the local community, and to enhance the fishing experience for future generations. This is further reflected in the Club's core values: Safety First, Working Together and Protecting the Future. We hold three major tournaments each year, the Raglan One Base where all anglers (96 in 2018) fish out of Raglan, and the Snapper Kingi Classic (65 anglers 2017) and the Big Four (81 anglers 2017) where our anglers can fish from any port including Raglan. We would like to make a submission to the Waikato District Council concerning 3 issues: 1. Management of Manu Bay boat ramp. 2. Manu Bay breakwater wall. 3. Inclusion as stakeholders in Council decisions. 1. Management of Manu Bay boat ramp We understand the management of the Manu Bay boat ramp is being reviewed and there is a proposal to pass the management of the ramp to local organisations. We would not support this and request the Council maintains management of the boat ramp and associated reserve. We believe the Council can independently represent and respond to the needs of all users of the space and has the appropriate asset management expertise to maintain the boat ramp and reserve. We request that Council retains management of the Manu Bay boat ramp and reserve. 2. Manu Bay breakwater wall In 2014 the Raglan Sport Fishing Club reported to WDC a crack 5.57 meters from the end of the Break Wall. Council has since repaired the breakwater wall resulting in a shorter breakwater. Since the repair of the breakwater wall, we have received feedback from our members whom use the Manu Bay boat ramp that in certain winds and post/pre half tide, the water washes over the breakwater, creating a swirl onto the ramp. This is making launching and retrieving dangerous and difficult for the boat users. A number of our members have been in situations where they have felt their safety is at risk. As our vision states, our club puts 'safety first' and we are concerned the current situation is not safe, not only for our members but also for all other users of the ramp. Council has a responsibility to provide assets to the community that are appropriate for present and future circumstance. Local Government Act 2002 Purpose local government s10(1)(b) to meet the current and future needs of communities for good-quality local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions in a way that is most cost-effective for households and businesses. S10(2) In this Act, good-quality, in relation to local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions, means infrastructure, services, and performance that are—(a) Efficient; and (b) Effective; and (c) Appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances. We would propose to Council that the Manu Bay boat ramp, in its current state, does not fulfil this requirement. We request the following actions are undertaken to address this issue: 1) Council undertakes an investigation of observing the performance of the breakwater across a range of wind and tide conditions to be concluded by July 2018. 2) Council holds a meeting to discuss the findings of the investigation with key stakeholders – that is ourselves, the Waikato Sport Fishing Club, Raglan Sport Fishing Club and the Coast Guard. 3) Sufficient budget is provided for in the first year of the Long Term Plan (2018-2019) to provide for remedial work on the breakwater to improve the safety. 4) Work to address the issue is completed before the 2018-2019 summer season. 3. Inclusion as stakeholders in Council decisions. Waikato Sport Fishing Club and its members are key stakeholders in regard to the boat ramps, boat access and parking with the Raglan area. As key stakeholders, Council is required to inform and consult with our club. We therefore request that Council in the future informs the Waikato Sport Fishing Club directly of any proposed changes to the ramps, reserves associated with the ramps and parking for boat trailers by a direct email to our president at president@waikatosportfishing.co.nz .
581	Bryce	Mounsey	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	Three Waters Management: this will only work if there are no unrealistic remuneration packages for those on the Governance Board (anything over \$50,000). My concern is with this type of arrangement is that it leaves itself wide open to having an expectation of having high remuneration packages due to the nature of the Board make-up. This should not be the case as interested parties should have the best interests of the community at heart.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
582	Anton	Marais	N/A	N/A		Option 1	Option 2	I wasn't able to get a full understanding of what drives the proposed savings for the Waters CCO. Both CCO options have the same saving but different implementation costs, I am not able to determine if the implementation cost is included in the savings. I tried to find out what % of operational cost the \$28,3 M actually is. Its a number that sounds big but without a reference to capital and operational costs is misleading only looking at a single number. The Annual plan documents have reference to the 3 waters cost as do the supporting documents in the LTP. I figure that this is about a 4 - 5.2 % saving with the CCO. I think that institutional knowledge can not have a value, I would say it is greater than 4 -5 %. I also think that having a 3rd part managing assets reduced first hand knowledge, and this leads to reduced transparency for residents. Another aspect not listed as a risk is association with a 3rd party and their organizational reputation, I would say that Water Care has been receiving some fairly negative press. I read several times that the Roding Alliance is resulting in savings, however the amount is not stated. The LTP is planning an increase in Roding budget by \$1.8m. It would be good information to know if the Roding Alliance is producing saving in line with the original Alliance business case. The benefit table has a line that is - More effective partnership with iwi to achieve improved environmental outcomes - I would like to understand what this is actually about and how this can not be addressed in the same way for all options. Environmental outcomes (depending on what these actually are), in my mind do not have any dependencies on the how the 3 waters are managed, they are strategic and resource issues. Some of the benefits such as the better procurement, in status quo, this is N/A. I understand the LASS and various all of government can offer a lot of cost reductions. I only partially understand some of the complexities and issues WDC currently faces. I also do not understand all of the complexities around the technology. The only comment I can make is that the information and options presented are severely skewed against the option councils chooses and therefore appears that the decision is already made. If there was an option for not sure then I would choose this. There is not really enough information to make an considered choice. Thanks
583	Melissa	Griggs	N/A	N/A	Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	
584	Bernardette	Wood	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	I do not support the LTP as I believe that the valuation system that has been used to justify rates increases for Ngaruawahia is unfair. The LTP has outlined that spending will be primarily in the North and that there is no immediate focus on Ngaruawahia although we carry the highest rating increases. Ngaruawahia households should not be carrying the extra financial burden of improvements to other communities in the district. The targeted rates paid by Ngaruawahia households should be spent on improvements in Ngaruawahia. I do not support the priority projects for Ngaruawahia as outlined in LTP. These projects were not identified by the community. I do not support a regional petrol tax. Pump prices in Ngaruawahia are already 10 to 15 cents higher than competitors in nearby Frankton and Hamilton. I support the Ngaruawahia Community Board opinion and submission.
585	Ken	Wood	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	The Water / Wastewater management should continue to be managed within the council as it currently is. The 28 Million dollar saving (option 4) is just advertising bolster, two extra profit taking levels of management are now added so how is it possible to save any money ? the only way is to reduce services or maintenance - Anyone hear of how Toll holding reduced the railroad to be almost derelict . Is this just a way of distancing the council from the rate payers / lawyers in times of trouble as in Havelock North ? . It is not the management layer that needs bolstering it staff on the ground with better access to training. Better communication with other councils re compliance. better negotiated purchase rates. Learning not to take the lowest quote , but to do some diligence to secure a correctly costed project.
586	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	No Address	Option 1	Option 2	
587	Sreenivasan	Thurairajah	N/A	N/A	auckland	Option 4	Option 2	
588	Bronwyn	Kosoof	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 1	Not enough time between information evening and submission deadline date. Don't agree with Huntly getting only \$600k in the LTP, couldn't decipher from the supporting document what additional funding Huntly was getting as purported at the meeting. Very unclear.
589	Anna	Noakes	N/A	N/A	Papakura	Option 1	Option 1	
590	Janet	McRobbie	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 2	
591	Janine	Hayward	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	We would also like to request further detail about 1) the rules for placing an auxiliary dwelling on a residential site (are these changing?) and 2) what is the update with the rezoning of HT1 (Kay Road) - when will this be? Thank you

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
592	Alex	Ramsay	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	The rates in Ngaruawahia and throughout the district have increased enough over the last couple of years - when you look at water meters, rubbish stickers, wastewater increases, regional council increases. What do we get for these increases? The way our properties are valued is a nonsense, there is no way my property is worth my current valuation.Ngaruawahia looks tired and dirty I drive daily along the main road and the weeds that are along the main road are a disgrace and this is also along the rail corridor is WDC not able to insist that Kiwirail keep the corridor tidy and weed free, during summer this has to be a fire hazard.With all the new residential developments proposed in Ngaruawahia to attract new residents is grotty and unkept the image WDC is going For?I do not see the sense of a roundabout by Salisbury Road and why would that be \$3.2m if developers want it they should cover the entire costs. The old Flour Millwho decided this was a community idea? no one I have asked thinks this is a sound idea in fact it seems that adding these to what Ngaruawahia is getting in the Long Term Plan is a smokescreen to divert attention from rates increases.I have also heard that the Art Centre is asking WDC for \$500k this is a small organisation that should be made to use existing facilities that way they may increase the numbers, I understand that they were supported by WDC originally but due to safety the building was demolished and they don't appear to have moved on and are just expecting ratepayers to pay for a building for a small group of people.
593	Venessa	Rice	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	I do not support the Long Term Plan 2018 - 2028. Ngaruawahia resident have been hit the hardest in recent rate increase due to other towns in the district needing finical support with waste water issue. The data produced by the WDC in the increase of waste ware rate identified the issues where with Raglan and Huntly, Ngaruawahia residents opposed this increase but it went ahead regardless. Ngaruawahia resident are again targeted for the highest rate increase in the area, at the information meeting that Huntly had the greatest in crease in QV home values however their rate increase does not reflect that. The average house GV revaluation in Huntly went up by 72.97% and in Ngaruawahia 71.74% a difference of 1.23%. However, Ngaruawahia's average rate increase is 1.35% higher than Huntly's, how do you justify Ngaruawahia's higher increase? Page 11 of your consultation document talks about master planning for growing communities, Ngaruawahia does NOT feature in any of this information, housing infrastructure does not refer to Ngaruawahia. Page 12 - Economic Development, you have identified tourism as a major opportunity, Ngaruawahia features greatly in tourism with the Hakarimata walk way and the start of the Waikato cycleway but we can't get our streets cleaned, road signed cleaned, berms mowed, foothpaths cleaned to promote tourism and show a thriving community we residents and rate payers know we are?Regional Fuel Tax - It has been over 20 years since the planned express way was proposed to by pass many Waikato District towns and remove Great South Road for Transit NZ funding to council funding. Why is this tax been used as an option instead of rate increases for regional roading? Why is now being addressed through a regional fuel tax. Future planning should have been addressed and consulted back then. Not supportedWaste minimisation - Use pays stickers and reduction of recycling has not worked. Look forward to reading more on this issue. Roundabout Saulbury Road - What data form police and ACC to you have to justify the need for this roundabout? I have been told the Ngaruawahia rate payer contribution is only 20% of the \$3.2million cost, why is this figure not reflected? Why don't developers pay for the entire cost if they want it? Not supportedOld Flour Mill - What does the \$418k restoration cover? Why is heritage money being sort for this not ratepayer? What will the flour mill be used for once restored? Not supportedCan you tell me what Ngaruawahia ratepayers and residents can see happening in their town that benefits them?
594	Genaya	Macklow	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 3	Option 2	
595	H and A	Murphy	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia			Submission not related to the above consultation questions. Wanted to comment on the condition of the Otorohaeta Trig Road as it needs improving. When it is graded it makes it better however the increasing traffic can impact the condition between grading contracts. The amount of trucks on that road have increased and they make a mess of the road. Please increase the level of service on this road. It can be extremely unsafe. Also roading conditions throughout the Waingaro and Te Akau area need continuing improvement. The roads get flooded frequently, approx. 3 times last year Waingaro school was forced to close due to flooding. Thank you

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
596	Bruce	Cameron	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 1	<p>First I would like to thank you for the recognition of the state of the unsealed roads within the WDC by the proposed allocation of extra funding. The sealed network is also experiencing neglect in areas of the council roading network. Under the Strada contract the roads deteriorated markedly and a lot of work needed to be done to get them up to a reasonable state again. This was further enhanced by the Alliance contract but in the last year there has been a marked deterioration. We have had some significant storm events which the Alliance has done a very good job of dealing with. However there has been a marked lack of basic maintenance carried out on the remainder of the network which is disappointing, as the repairing of further damage only gets more costly. I understand the main reason for this is that the Council has “run out of money”. Let’s hope that the extra funding proposed will address all of these issues.</p> <p>Rubbish/recyclingIn the northern part of the WDC we only have one recycling facility outside of curb side collections, which is at Glen Murray. This service is once a month. For those people within a reasonable distance of Glen Murray it is fine. However for those further afield there is no viable alternative. There is no obvious facility for tourists travelling through the area especially those in camper vans and this can only but increase the amount of rubbish that ends up on the roadside. The freedom camping area at the Onewhero Domain is setting a bad example of rubbish dumping.</p> <p>Recommendation Council needs to secure land for a recycle / rubbish centre, probably in the Tuakau Pokeno area, and obtain a resource consent for it. The running of this facility can then be contracted out UAGC It is very disappointing to see a proposed reduction in this rate. It is a tool that the council has that can rate properties across the whole district regardless of size or value but recognise that everybody has the same access to council services (outside of targeted rates). Although everybody has access to these Council services (out side targeted rates) not everybody is reasonably able to access them, especially those in the outlying rural areas. For that reason the UAGC needs to be kept up to the maximum of 30% because what the UAGC doesn’t cover has to come out of the general rate, and this seriously impinges on the rural rate payer who ends up paying a dis-proportionately large share of the total rates.</p> <p>This reduction proposal seems mainly to satisfy the Huntly and Ngaruawahia townships which had a larger than average property value increase. These properties also have access to waste water, water, rubbish and storm water which they pay a targeted rate for. It is these services that have had by far the biggest increases that have effected their total rates bill. By lowering the UAGC the higher value properties are effectively subsidising the lower value properties.</p> <p>Even if the council set the UAGC at Option 1 of \$482.85 it is still a reduction of the UAGC because the targeted rate component has such a large effect on it and these targeted rates are having such large increases.</p> <p>Recommendation Increase the UAGC to its maximum or at the very least to \$482.50</p> <p>Option 1Yellow Bristle Grass This is an extremely aggressive annual plant that is becoming very wide spread throughout the District.At this time of the year it is very obvious on the side of the road. Council contractors mowing it spreads the seed further and from here it is being tracked onto farms.</p> <p>Recommendation Council formulate a plan to reduce, leading towards eradication of this grass. A properly managed programme may cost little more than the current roadside maintenance spend.</p> <p>The three waters I have concerns about the establishment of the proposed water governance board. The council history with Strada gives me little confidence and I fear a repeat.The proposal to use the reserve fund to provide water meters to 121 properties at cost of \$46100k, which equates to \$3,519 per property, is an unjustifiable use of the reserves. If this is going to be the philosophy on expenditure for the three waters then I can see future rate increases.</p> <p>Savings of \$28million are forecasted over 10 years but there are no figures showing to provide proof of this.</p> <p>Recommendation Very, very tentatively support option 4 but would need to see a very robust watchdog of the board. It becomes another tier of governance removed from the councillors.</p>
597	Joss	Annandale	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia			<p>Re Long Term Plan 2018-2028 - I tried to submit this through the 'WDC sayit website' but it kept telling me of 'system failure'. A ploy by council?? I do not wish to speak in support of my submission. I agree with the councils preferred options re water management and general rates. With the increase in rates, which I'm sure you have already been made aware of, we have already had with refuse stickers and water meters, we would hope the management of our environment will improve. I am particularly concerned about the state of our footpaths, berms and general environment. I walk the streets and river walk/cycle way regularly and can't help getting a little annoyed at the lack of care and pride our council has for our towns. I am of course talking about grass growing over footpaths to the extent that the paths are getting narrower,including growing out over the gutters. Spraying does not solve the problem, it just creates an eyesore. Spaying just pushes the dead grass further out over the paths. It doesn't help that some residents insist on planting shrubs and plants on the outside of their boundary fences that again reduce the footpath space. I am not the only resident noticing this lack of care and I know the council has had notification of these concerns. If the council has no pride how do they expect their rate paying residents to care for their own sections. Some of our districts towns already get a bad rap and when outsiders see the condition of our environment it is no surprise.</p>
598	Sarah	Jones	N/A	N/A			Option 1	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
599	Tamahere Community Committee	Tamahere Community Committee	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	1. GENERALa. TCC supports the Plan with the following specific points2. THREE WATERSa. Support for Option 4.b. TCC notes the savings identified of \$28.3 million over 10 yearsc. TCC requests that Council annually directly measures the savings made and reports to ratepayers on them and identifies changes to the LTP target and any reasons for change3. UAGCa. Support for Option 24. KEY COUNCIL PROJECTSa. Walkwaysi. Support for the walkways spend of \$1.5 mii. This project will significantly aid the completion of the Te Awa cycle and walk wayiii. The completion of Te Awa will drive economic development across the District and over time drive healthy lifestylesb. Water Reservoiri. This project has been on the books for many yearsii. TCC has consistently questioned the need for this to be builtiii. No detailed paper on justification has been presented during this time as the project has kept being pushed outiv. TCC notes that Tamahere properties are required to store 22,000 ltr of waterv. The trickle feed system is also in place which also requires a tank to be in place rather than direct supplyvi. Why is a reservoir upgrade required5. TAMAHERE RESERVEa. TCC strongly supports the targeted rate of \$38 per property for the additional funding of the reserve developmentb. This has been strongly supported by the community in consultationc. The enhanced playground will support the commercial development and the community hub currently under construction
600	Rick	Veitch	Veitch Investments LTD	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
601	Jo	Kukutai	N/A	Maniapoto Maori Trust Board	Te Kuiti	Option 3	Option 3	13 April 2018LTP Project TeamWaikato District Council15 Gallileo StreetNgaruawahiaTena koutou katoa,Ko te mauri, ko te waiora o te Waipa ko Waiwaia Ko Waipa te toto o te tangata! Ko Waipa te toto o te whenua, koia hoki he wai Manawa whenua! Ko Waipa tetehi o nga taonga o Maniapoto whanui.The Maniapoto Maori Trust Board (the Board) is constituted under the Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Act 1988 as a body corporate for the purposes of the Maori Trust Boards Act 1955. The beneficiaries of the Board are the members of the Maniapoto tribe and their descendants.The Board holds responsibilities as co-governors and co-managers with the Crown for the Waipa River. These responsibilities are legislated under the Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012 (NWOM) and also the co-governance entity, Waikato River Authority/Waikato River Clean-Up Trust.The Crown and the Board signed a Deed in relation to the co-governance and co-management of the Waipa River in 2010 and a Joint Management Agreement with the Waikato District Council and the Waikato Regional, Waipa District, Otorohanga District and Waitomo Councils on April 3, 2013.The overarching purpose of the Deed and the NWOM Act is: to restore and maintain the quality and integrity of the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa River for present and future generations and the care and protection of the mana tuku iho o Waiwaia. The Board and the Crown also signed an overarching Waiwaia Accord, which has principles contained within.The Board have developed three key documents regarding the Waipa River:1. He Mahere Taiao - The Maniapoto Iwi Environmental Management Plan, 2. He Mahere Ika - Maniapoto Fish Plan for the Waipa River and the 3. Maniapoto Priorities for the Restoration of the Waipa River Catchment Report The Board would like to be heard in support of this submission.WAIKATO DISTRICT COUNCIL AND THE MANIAPOTO MAORI TRUST BOARDThe Waikato District Council is a District Council duly constituted by the Local Government Act 2002 and as such is responsible for promoting social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities. The Council is one of five Joint Management Agreement partners under the Waipa River Act between Local Authorities and the Board. The Local Authorities and the Board agreed to enter into one collective agreement for all of its joint management arrangements which is pursuant to the Waipa River Act.Te Awa o Waipa is a taonga to Maniapoto. Maniapoto have a deep felt obligation and desire to restore, maintain and protect all of the waters that flow and/or fall within the Maniapoto rohe (Nga Wai o Maniapoto), whether the waters are above, on or underground. Te Mana o Te Wai (The quality and integrity of the waters) is paramount. The obligation includes the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa River. The relationship between Maniapoto and the Waipa River is historic, intellectual, physical and spiritual. Historically, Te Mana o Te Wai was such that it provided all manner of sustenance to Maniapoto including physical and spiritual nourishment that has, over generations, maintained the quality and integrity of Maniapoto marae, whanau, hapu and iwi. To Maniapoto the Waipa River has mana and in turn represents the mana of Maniapoto. The Waipa River is a single indivisible entity that flows from Pekepeke to its confluence with the Waikato River and includes its waters, banks, bed (and all minerals under it) and its streams, waterways, tributaries, lakes, fisheries, vegetation, floodplains, wetlands, islands, springs, geothermal springs, water column, airspace and substratum as well as its metaphysical elements with its own mauri.SIGNED JOINT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT (JMA):The Board and the Council signed a Joint Management Agreement (JMA) on 3 April 2013 to achieve the following purpose: To provide for the Local Authorities and MMTB to work together in carrying out the functions, duties and powers provided for in and to give effect to the Waipa River Act.THE SCOPE OF THE JOINT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT (JMA): (a) Covers matters relating to the Waipa River and activities within its catchment affecting the Waipa River as depicted in Schedule One; (b) Covers matters relating to the exercise of shared functions, duties and powers in relation to: (i) Monitoring and enforcement activities as provided for in section 21 of the Waipa River Act; (ii) Preparing, reviewing, changing or varying a RMA Planning Document, as provided for in section 22 of the Waipa River Act; and (iii) Considering applications under Part 6 of the RMA in relation to resource consents as provided for in section

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								<p>23 of the Waipa River Act. (c) May cover additional duties, functions or powers as agreed between the MMTB and the Local Authorities, whether collectively or individually. This submission provides points to achieve the overarching purpose of the Deed, Waipa River Act and maintain the integrity of the JMA. 2018-2028 LONG TERM PLAN 'Three Waters' Management Water quality is a priority matter is of highest priority for Maniapoto particularly the restoration of the quality and integrity of the Waipa River under the River Legislation and Deed. There is concern that should Council be taking water from or disposing into the Waipa River (or its tributaries), that consultation with Maniapoto as JMA partners, has not yet taken place. The Deed is specific that it did not address nor preclude further discussion about title or ownership of the Waipa River. Maniapoto do not accept they have ever ceded authority or rights of ownership over the Waipa River, or its tributaries, to anybody. They have never agreed to cede their authority over or rights in the Waipa River or its tributaries (Deed - clause 1.12). Maniapoto engage with the Crown and Council (as agent for the Crown) in good faith and in accordance with the principles of the Waiwaia Accord. These principles must also be adhered to by Crown/Council before establishing or conferring management or use rights of a nature and/or duration that in effect create rights of property in the waters of the Waipa River (Deed - 13.2.2) and developing policy or proposing any legislation which in effect amounts to the privatisation of the waters of the Waipa River (Deed - 13.2.3). However, should the waters that Council propose under the 'Three Waters' Management not be those of the Waipa River (or its tributaries), then the Board strongly supports Option 3 to address the 'Three Waters' Management in support of Waikato-Tainui. This option saves \$28.3m over 10 years and creates an independent company representative of River Iwi at the decision-making table. UNIFORM ANNUAL GENERAL CHARGE (UAGC) Of the Maori owned residential or Pa zoned residential land in the Waikato District, the capital value appears to be determined by increasing land values, as opposed to significant improvements on the property itself. The general rate is reliant on the capital value which is likely to be low. Maori are likely to benefit directly from a lower UAGC of \$286.50. The Board strongly supports Option 3 as the best option in support of Maori owned residential or Pa zoned residential land in the Waikato District. CONCLUSION The Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012, Deed in relation to the co-governance and co-management of the Waipa River, the Vision & Strategy - Te Ture Whaimana o te awa o Waikato, He Mahere Taiao - The Maniapoto Iwi Environmental Management Plan, He Mahere Ika - Maniapoto Fish Plan for the Waipa River and the Maniapoto Priorities for the Restoration of the Waipa River Catchment Report set the direction for Maniapoto in relation to the Waipa River. It is unclear, however if Council intends to take water from or dispose into the Waipa River (or any of its tributaries) as part of the Three Water's Management, the Board wish to have further discussions with Council. The Joint Management Agreement between the Council and Maniapoto solidifies the existing and future relationship between the two entities. Should Council not be taking or disposing into the Waipa River (or its tributaries) the Board strongly support Option 3 for the 'Three Waters' Management in support of Waikato-Tainui and also Option 3 for the UAGC. The Board acknowledges and supports the wider direction of Council to effectively lead and manage the Waikato District, for the greater community. Naku iti noa, na Sonya Hetet Interim Chief Executive</p>
602	Donald	Hagenson	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	<p>Overall I do not support the Long term Plan 2018 - 2028. Ngaruawahia resident are again targeted for the highest rate increase in the area, at the information meeting that Huntly had the greatest increase in QV home values however their rate increase does not reflect that. The average house GV revaluation in Huntly went up by 72.97% and in Ngaruawahia 71.74% a difference of 1.23%. However, Ngaruawahia's average rate increase is 1.35% higher than Huntly's, how do you justify Ngaruawahia's higher increase? The LTP has outlined that primary spending will be in the north with no immediate focus on Ngaruawahia. We are one of the two major towns in the district and we are paying the highest increase in percentage of all the communities. This is unfair. I do not support Ngaruawahia's priority projects identified. These projects were not identified by the community. Further more there has been no justification or data produced by to support the need for Ngaruawahia rate payer money to be spent on a round about on Saulbury Road or restoration of the old flour mill which is below the flood level and has limited accessibility. I believe the Twin Rivers Art Centre have applied for \$500,000.00 for the art centre, again Ngaruawahia ratepayers cannot afford this. In the Community Facility Consultation last year it was voice by many that an expensive art gallery was not the focus for this town. What has changed? I do not support the Regional Fuel Tax or the Long Term Plan 2018 - 2028</p>
603	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	No Address	Option 4	Option 3	<p>Overall I do not support the Long Term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) I am not happy with the process in how properties in Ngaruawahia were valued so as to support Council's reason to increase rates. This is not fair and does not align with your vision as it makes it difficult for families to afford to live here, thrive here and feel like they cannot contribute to better outcomes for the community - so no sense of connectedness. The Ngaruawahia community should have the lowest UAGC, therefore Option 3 - Reduce the UAGC to \$286.50 is the preferred option.</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
604	Timothy	Duff	N/A	N/A				<p>My considerations are as follow;Raglan Naturally PlanMy involvement in Raglan naturally includes being on the original team in 2000and being on the Steering committee to investigate its revision in 2017.My opinion is it that a revised RNP is the best way to bridge the gap between whatthe community want and what WDC provide.i.e. Improve relationship and satisfaction of community to WDC.WDC needs to put in its LTP I think a figure of \$5k at a minimum per year towards RNP.That money should be used towards creating a document similar to the LTP Consultation Document or Raglan Chronicle in size and during Winter each year besent to all Ratepayers and community for feedback which would then be updatedinto the RN plan.Work with IWI and make name corrections Consultation should be undertaken with Iwi to look into where names for streets and parkscould be changed. Doing so would help in many ways. Last year for example I attendeda Treaty of Waitangi workshop, one of the guest speakers was able to provide concrete evidence to the group that the correct name for Te Kopua is actually Papahua.Walking tracks and Bike pathsRaglan is the ideal town to have high use of bicycles as a means of transport.Most areas of the town are within a reasonably easy distance of CBD and not to hilly.There would be untold advantages of promoting use of bikes e.g. If workers usedbikes there would be a lot less pressure on parking around town.It is proposed to develop a walkway over three years from Wainui Reserve to Whale Bay in Raglan starting in 2011/2012 at a total cost of \$198,000. What happened to that?There has been propositions about building a walkway from Manu bay to Whale bayby WDC to my knowledge for the last 25 years. This would actually be quite an easyundertaking and is very needed for public Health and Safety.I would suggest WDC look at where bike paths can be put in easily and start with themto help gain momentum e.g. Riri Kereopa Memorial Drive, this would safely link town to the new mountain bike tracks. Another example is again at Riri Kereopa Memorial Drivewhere the footpath stops that has come from town. This needs to connect the lastbit to Wainui Reserve to encourage walking and biking to the beach. Currently this missingsection requires biking or walking on the open road which is very dangerous.Sewage upgrade 2021A working group needs to be formed as soon as possible with resources given by WDCto seek professional advice on options and alternatives for the treatment system.When the best options have been narrowed down to a few by the working group anotherdocument similar to the LTP Consultation Document or Raglan Chronicle in scope shouldbe sent out to all Ratepayers and community over the Winter period for consideration andfeedback. I believe this approach would create better community approval and potentially save WDC lots of money.Overall WDC Works Plan WDC provides contracts for the maintenance and upkeep of the town. There need to beplan created of what all these works are and when they are to be for filled. This planshould be available at the WDC Raglan office.I had put in several requests to WDC for water blasting of Wainui Rd Boat ramp in 2017 under Health and Safety as members of the public were slipping and getting injured. Upon investigation it turned out that there already was a contract for this service to be done but nobody had been for filling the contract.Often contracts are not for filled correctly also e.g. When the contractor came out to water blastthis boat ramp and it was High tide so he couldn't do his job. Or when the footpath cleanerwho was contracted to do the CBD missed places and ran over others too quickly to dothe job properly.A plan of works will help us to make sure all contracts are for filled as well as showing wheretweaks need to be made. This plan should be rolled out to show visibly what will be donein the LTP. Perhaps a visual display on the wall of the WDC Raglan office.Bring contracts back to the Community Most contracts seem to be given to contractors from out of town.When and where possible WDC should bring these back to members of the community.Take Raglan Lawns as a fantastic example, they provide local jobs and we getter a betterservice.A good example would be Bylaw Enforcement officer to deal with sound issues, parking andFreedom Camping. Currently if there is a sound complaint somebody may have to come outform the city to sort out the problem. We would get a faster more efficient and regular serviceif the job was local.More control given to RCB for WDC discretionary spending.Most of the money WDC has is allocated to spend is fixed and well planned. There is a certainamount that does not fall into this category which may be called discretionary spending.For example perhaps the \$161k WDC has allocated towards the Pillboxes may fall intothis category. The RCB are elected members by the community where as WDC staff are not.Empowering the RCB will achieve better results and satisfaction for the community.Reserve management plans and empowering the community.Parks and reserves need to have long term management plans developed. For example Te Kopua ~ where should pedestrian access to beach be and where should pedestrians beexcluded and planting take place.Currently works are mostly undertaken in the parks by outside of town contractors. Resource community groups to upkeep the parks and provide improvements inside the scope of themanagement plan and under the guide of WDC parks an reserve staff and you would getway better results. Take Friends of Wainui as a fantastic example.Roadside SprayingInvestigate alternatives to the perpetual cycle of roadside spraying. Raglan road sideditches all lead into waterways and then the ocean. There are alternatives and we wereable to cope for decades before Spraying became normal. In a lot of situations correctplantings would mean the cycle of repetitive spraying could be broken.Thank you very much for considering my pointsTimothy M Duff</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
605	Glenda	Raumati	N/A	Turangawaewae Trust Board	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	We do not support the priority projects identified in the LTP for Ngaruawahia. In February 2016 a large number of rate payers submitted ideas for priority in this plan which were ignored.We don't want any investment in the Flour Mill.We want a new aquatic centre and recreational facility developed in Patterson Park. The LTP will result in inequitable investment across Waikato and dispropoartionate investment in the northern communities at the detriment of Ngaruawahia.We do not support regional petrol tax.
606	Nicky	Moore	N/A	N/A	Mercer	Option 4	Option 3	Dear Waikato District Council.Thankyou for the opportunity to have a say on the rates. I would also appreciate your reviewing the recent valuation of my property at 58 Koheroa Road, Mercer. My home was built in the 1920s and requires extensive work on it externally including reroofing which i am currently unable to afford and also requires internal renovation [my home has no shower only a bath]. I do not believe that your last valuation is correct due to these issues and would appreciate your review of this matter and evaluation of property. kind regardsNicky Moore PS we have a large power pylon close to the house.
607	Rik	Samuels	N/A	Nga Uri o Maahanga Trust	Te Awamutu	Option 3	Option 3	
608	Janice	Hona	N/A	Nga Miro Charitable Trust	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	We do not support the priority projects for Ngaruawahia. We want investment in a new aquatic and recreational centre at Patterson Park as submitted in February 2016.
609	Natasha	Ramsay Clark	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	I do not think the rates in our district and in Ngaruawahia in particular should be increased as much as intended, I rent and evertime WDC increases rates this has an effect on my already limited income. I shop locally and the state of the streets in Ngaruawahia are disgusting Jesmond street is awful instead of a roundabout and the Flour Mill why is this money not used to make Ngaruawahia more attractive to visitors, which WDC encourage to come to Ngaruawahia with the Hakarimatas and Te Awa cycle way.If the WDC is interested in preserving heritage buildings why not the Old Bakery directly across from WDC offices. We appear to be paying for a lack of forward thinking in regards to maintenance of assets by WDC.
610	Pourewa	Paikea	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	I do not support the priority projects for Ngaruawahia. I want a new aquatic centre in Patterson Park as submitted in 2016.
611	Lindsay	Matthews	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
612	Barbara	Barrand	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	We moved to Pokeno in 2017 with the promise of infrastructure and facilities. The message from WDC is these things cost money however, due to large rates increases we find it hard to believe there isn't funds available. Yes we appreciate investment costs however we were, along with thousands of others, encouraged to invest here in property on the promise of better facilities and infrastructure.The priorities as we see them are;1) Transportation. A bus service and a rail link is needed urgently. 2) The proposed supermarket needs to be built this year so other improvements can follow and other shops etc.3) The existing shops need to take some responsibility and clean their own areas. Eg water blast the pavements etc. Their profits must have increased enormously so it's time they stepped up and cleaned up.4) Tired of hearing LTP lets have a STP and some action! PS - very disappointed that sections are being built on the Totara area in PVE that was, we were told earmarked for shops/community space etc. Smacks distinctly of profit over people!
613	Janet	Bambra	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
614	Fred Pauhiku	Tapara	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 3	
615	Anonymous	Submitter	N/A	N/A	No Address	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
616	Trish	Forsyth	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	Ngaruawahia - LTP Ngaruawahia is not being supported in the LTP. Our residents are being hit with the highest increases in the District with little to no spending to be done in this town. In previous budget rounds the UAGC was reduced to meet the property valuation increases due to the impact of lower incomes not only in this town but also Huntly. These meetings were debated at length with very strong interactions across the floor. To say now 'we want the whole district to have the same UAGC' is ludicrous as this seriously impacts lower economic areas when the spending is not being done here. The Council is double dipping with Ngaruawahia and Huntly due to the high increase in property valuations which should have minimal impact to rates, and Council should have taken this in to account, whilst our more affluent areas like Tamahere and the newer area of Pokeno have had minimal increases but huge infrastructure money to be spent from our rates. Council are very blasé to say we will hold your rates increases to \$30m in the Northern area and another \$74m in Te Kauwhata plus I also point out this does not include any of the \$24m district wide budget which these areas are named as having further funds allocated, this is another kick in the guts for us. Again coming from the UAGC? These are the areas that need higher targeted rates to accommodate these costs which I assume is coming through the UAGC as borrowed funds. If a roundabout is partially funded by a developer then should not a developer contribute to the infrastructure required for these 'new areas'. It should be handed over to Council as a finished project, not a we need you to fork out millions so that we can line our pockets from the sale of these properties. With regards a Regional petrol tax, how can this benefit our area when it will be administered by Central Government. Is this not what the Government is advocating they are looking at? If not and this is 'on top of their proposal' I do not support a further increase. I can appreciate the district has been lumbered with old SH1 roads but these at the very least should be in very good condition to start with, not like previously when pot holes were prevalent and it was catch up to repair these roads. On a final note, we do not get wage increases, or in a lot of cases benefit increases of 12%, Council has to start working within its budget not look at obscene increases that will put so many households further down the poverty line. I cannot agree with the comment that you can apply for a rates rebate, this is just not a realistic amount to many to and will barely cover the increases in rates.Overall I am disappointed in the impact of the LTP for this area, there is little to celebrate but a lot to mourn.
617	Audry	Hatfield	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 2	Why are the poorer areas getting such huge increases when the wealthiest parts, being Tamahere & lifestyle increases are tiny. I wish someone could tell me what exactly Ngaruwahia is getting for this huge increase. Lucky Te Kauwhata having approx 80,000,000 being spent there. No doubt the developers will be making lots of money
618	James	Whetu	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	
619	James	Whetu	N/A	Ngaruawahia Community Board	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	See attachment.
620	John	Lloyd	N/A	N/A	Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	
621	Kitty	Burton	N/A	Matangi Community Committee	Hamilton			See attachment. The Matangi Community Committee requests the provision of a bus bay and turning area in the village to improve safety for the intermediate and High School students who wait for buses to travel to school in Hamilton each school morning. The current stopping place on the side of the road is too near the intersection and there is no access to shelter. From the last LTP process we were advised that the committee needed to say where they wanted the bus bay to be and last year residents nominated the Jack Foster Reserve as the most suitable option. The current bus route means the stopping and turning area would need to be large enough to enable the bus to pull off the road and turn to go back toward Hamilton. The alternative to this would be a roundabout at the Matangi Road/ Tauwhare Road intersection. A recent accident at the intersection highlighted both the need for better control at the intersection and that the current bus stop is too close to it. The vehicle lost control at the corner and had there been any students at the bust stop they would have been in the direct path of the vehicle.A roundabout would mean that the bus stop could move to the Jack Foster Reserve but the construction of a bus bay would not be required as the bus would be able to turn at the roundabout.
622	Kitty	Burton	N/A	Matangi Community Committee	Hamilton			See attachment.
623	G & L	Pownall	N/A	N/A		Option 2	Option 2	
624	Warren	Jonson	N/A	N/A		Option 3	Option 2	
625	Georgina	Newton	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
626	Allan	Foote	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
627	Andrew	Farries	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
628	Katrina	Langlands	Huntly Community Board	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
629	J	Foggin	N/A	N/A		Option 2	Option 2	
630	John C.	Irvine	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	
631	Gary	Middlemiss	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 1	
632	L & A	Gibson	N/A	N/A		Option 2	Option 3	
633	Everard Wlater	Thomsen	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
634	K & M	Forsyth	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 2	Option 1	
635	M.	Wright	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 3	
636	Neil	Blakeman	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 1	
637	Selwyn	Kahui	N/A	N/A	Port Waikato	Option 3	Option 2	
638	Hayley	Thorpe	N/A	N/A	Taupo	Option 4	Option 2	
639	D J	Evans	N/A	N/A		Option 2	Option 3	
640	MJ	Gerrand - QSM	Huntly Lions	N/A				The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for community use.
641	Sharlene	Watene	N/A	N/A				The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for community use.
642	D.	Soni	N/A	N/A				The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for community use.
643	Shirley	Stewart	N/A	N/A				The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for community use.
644	Cheryl	Williams	N/A	N/A				The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for community use.
645	S.F.	Capper	N/A	N/A	Hamilton			To whom it may concern.i[we] have lived in Reids Rd Puketaha for 67 years at the moment we are concerned about the Reids Road Puketaha Corner where there it [no light] [no sign] and nothing around the drain corners which i [we] fee; is a danger to the public and could result in a fatal accident if you could look into this for us it would be much appreciated. Yours sincerely SF Capper.PS the sign down the other end [Shewan Road] has also being knocked down.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
646	Neil	Young	Young Group of Companies Tuakau Hotel	N/A				<p>IN A Nutshell WDCTo all of the Councillors WDCHave you driven to Auckland Lately?Point 1 Auck Council and WDC are Using the same Growth rates of 700 new people per year for Pukekohe area and Tuakau/Pokeno We have 3 times greater growth on a per NZ popoulation basis. 55 years ago when Young Family came here to Pukekohe there was 4,500 people in Pukekohe Currently has 28,000 people [2017 now 30,000] expecting 300,000 in 33 years time From Wesley collage, Belmont and Anselme Ridge over next 3 yrs 2000 houses, equates to 5000 new people.From Tuakau south to Pokeno another 2000 houses 5000 people This combined in the next few years = 10,000 increase Our rail system was designed over 140 years ago. The English designers expected the population to be 12 to 20 million people by now. The slow growth made passenger trains uneconomical, then in the 1970s the service stopped Now that feul is around \$2 per litre and our roads clogged with greater Auckland population reaching 1.8 million people it's time to reinvent the Railway wheel and let the train do what it was designed to do 140 years ago So let's fix housing shortage and transport get people on the train to Tuakau pokeno Huntley right through to Hamilton and fro,m Pukekohe/Tuakau to Auckland now at 6.30am 1 hour on the Train.2.Our main st George st shopping areas has a natural ring rd around it Liverpool st- St Stephens Ave - jelico rd-West st for through traffic and truck By Pass. This would help with safety for pedestrians SLow vehicle speed with two more raise walkways across George St Stop minor accidents by adding Roundabouts at both end particularly at Tuakau Hotel corner [the give way sign control is on the wrong corner]3Rename Central Part to "Sir Edd hillary" park for obvious reasons Pay tribute to the 60th anniversary of Sir Edd first person to climb the worlds highest mountain Sir Edd live in Tuakau most of his life The confusion of having two parks with similar names "Central Park and "Centennial Park" would give special meanings to both.4Signage Need before Pokeno. Traveling north from say Hamilton people sail straight past Pokeno eventually turning off at Bombay Signage at mercer. We have a 30 bed "Mercer Airport Hotel Backpackers" at Mercer airport that our tourists cant find us 6k,s up Koheroa rd5 Dominion Road extension to Ridge rd to make it a through road to state highway one motorway for light traffic and we would have oue own Tuakau exit sign from it. There is already a paper roads extending off the end of Dominion rd to within 230 meters of ridge rd The normal high cost of filling the gully can come from the strippings from ridge road quarry.6Cycleway/walkwa 2.8 ks I have now scale measured it at 3.68 kilometers Tuakau to Buckland Pukekohe Im working with Vance Hodgson HPC Planning on this one [first cost in 78K for resource consent] the kids already walk on the Train tracjs 3.68 ks ks rather than cycle/walk 8 ks up Harrisville rd way or 12 ks Buckland rd way 7Palm Trees full length of George st [main st] cost \$40k 8Safety Restrict speed from Tuakau Bridge all along River road past our Beautiful Batkin Reserve from 100 Ks to 70Ks per hour i'm aware of Karl Flavels brother being killed on this road. WDC has the lowest Debt ratio of any council per population and one of the fastest population growths per population in NZ Our family have invested over \$19million in Tuakau in the past 15 years [now \$22 million] we are asking our governing body "The council" you guys to have our confidence and to fix all of the above by investing in Debt I would love to share any of the above requests with any of the WDC Councillors. Kind regardsNeil Young</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
647	Bridget & Scott	Dowsett	River Road Vicinity Residents	N/A	Hamilton			<p>This submission is made on behalf of residents in the vicinity of River Road east of Ngaruawahia, specifically between Driver Road and Speedy Road. The general theme of this submission is to seek safer walking and cycling on River Road and connectivity to Horotiu and Ngaruawahia communities. This includes improved access to the Waikato River and the Te Awa cycle way. In this context we support the Long Term Plan (LTP) 'Vision': "Liveable, thriving and connected communities". Thus we have structured our submission around this Vision and related desired Community Outcomes, which are well aligned with the Local Government Act "well - being" objectives.</p> <p>2. Current problems for residents Our residents are in a rural environment with small pockets of rural residential development and in the main, the only way to connect to nearby Communities of Horotiu and Ngaruawahia is to travel by private motor vehicle (cars, utes etc.). The only public transport/bus service is the school buses, mainly into Hamilton Schools. River Road is 100 km/hr with narrow (or virtually no) road shoulder and for considerable lengths (hundreds of metres) there is no berm for pedestrians or cyclists to move along the road safely. Families and young children are not able to safely walk or cycle on the section of River Road between Ngaruawahia Township and Horotiu Bridge Road, if trying to access either Community, the Waikato River or Te Awa Cycle way. In the latter case, this has been frustrating for residents as we can see the new Te Awa Bridge crossing the Waikato River, but to access it or the Te Awa Cycle way, we have to drive several kilometres to the parking area on the western side of Horotiu Bridge. Some residents are only about a hundred metres from this cycle way or the River, but can't safely or easily access either. This lack of access means poor connectivity and less quality liveability and thriving community well-being.</p> <p>3. Liveable communities The Long Term Plan refers to 'Liveable communities' as "well planned and people friendly, providing for ----- walk ways and open spaces" (page 4 LTP Consultation Document). Whilst this more focused on urban areas, it can and should be applied to rural areas of the District. Obviously in the rural area there generally are open spaces, but we also need walkways especially as we are close to urban areas (in this case Ngaruawahia). Council has been a partner in the 'Waikato Regional Walking & Cycling Strategy' --- https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Transportpolicy/Walking-and-cycling-strategy-for-the-Waikato-region/, and has been a partner in the 'Te Awa cycleway'. Thus Council should understand the social and health benefits of walkways and cycleways. We have engaged with Council staff about access to the River and Te Awa cycleway with limited success and in some cases no response; but have had good assistance from NZ Walking Access Commission www.walkingaccess.govt.nz. The Commission have met with us and provided advice around "rights and responsibilities for Unformed Roads" - such as the one opposite Smith Road, from River Road to the River.</p> <p>4. Thriving communities The Long Term Plan refers to 'Thriving communities' as one in which residents can "participate in Council decision-making and community led projects, ---" (page 4 LTP Consultation Document). Recently representatives of this River Road vicinity community have made contact with Council staff, Councillors and Ngaruawahia Community Board members to engage about our connectivity problems and now to participate in this LTP decision making process. The residents have made it clear to those we have engaged with, that we are willing to help lead any relevant community project (e.g. direct access to the River) and provide working bee manual help (e.g. clearing vegetation or weeds such as blackberries).</p> <p>5. Connected communities The Long Term Plan refers to "Connectivity through ---- cycleways, walk ways --- enable ---engaging in activities together. By these means, people in connected communities access services and amenities that meet their social, health, education and employment needs " (page 4 LTP Consultation Document). The residents particularly seek their outdoor "social and health needs" being met, by safe cycleways and walkways. This would be best served by convenient (reasonably close) access to the River and Te Awa cycleway, however we recognise that this would have to be budgeted in the Long Term Plan and negotiation with adjoining land owners. Increased walking and cycling along River Road or the north east side of the Waikato River would benefit other communities including Ngaruawahia. We have noted that there is some provision for Cycleways in the Ngaruawahia Community from 2022 to 2027, but this is too far away in time for our community safety and it is also unlikely to be for rural cycleways. Thus we seek specific funding for cycleway access in our vicinity. In the short term this may not be "off road" (e.g. along the River bank), but Council will have to consider more "on road safety" under the recently published draft 'Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding' (2018 GPS) - https://www.transport.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Our-Work/Documents/Draft-GPS-2018.pdf. Council has its own Road Safety policies and are a party to the 'Waikato Regional Road Safety Strategy' -- https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/Road-Safety-Strategy-FINAL-WEB.pdf. It is also expected that Council are participating in the draft 'Waikato Regional Land Transport Plan' --- https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/RLTP/5827-Draft-RLTP-web.pdf; which has a strong road safety focus. This Plan has expectations that Waikato District Council will share in the programme delivery, to reduce deaths and serious injuries from road crashes. In summary we expect that Council will give focus on the road safety of this section of River Road and as well as lowering the speed limit (as potentially proposed in the near future) will undertake at least "Minor Safety Improvements" in their Local Roding Programme - to form a berm pathway or provide wider shoulders for pedestrians to safely walk along one side of River Road, especially near Smith Road and east of this.</p> <p>6. Conclusion The residents seek to work with Council to improve road safety on River Road and also provide reasonably convenient and safe access to the</p>

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								Waikato River, as well as ultimately Te Awa cycleway.Thus we seek appropriate funding consideration in the Long Term Plan and collaboration from Council and its staff to facilitate meaningful connectivity for walking and cycling in our community vicinity .It is realised that full access along the Waikato River on the northeastern side from Ngaruawahia to the Te Awa river bridge, will take considerable resourcing and take a long time; but this type of programming over many years is recognised in the LTP Vision.In summary, in the short term (first 3 years of this draft LTP) we seek;• Access to the River via Formed and Unformed Roads• Access to Te Awa cycleway from these river access points and some form of river pathway from Smith Road (extension) eastwards• Safer road shoulder or berm width in the vicinity of Smith Road and east of this.We would appreciate the opportunity to explain our Community concerns to Councillors at the Long Term Plan hearings in mid May 2018.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
648	Helen	Clotworthy	N/A	Pokeno Community Committee	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>TransportFuel TaxProposal of a petrol tax was supported but we want transparency in its management and want that revenue is ring fenced for roading issuesHeavy Traffic BypassFuture planning for Pokeno requires a heavy traffic bypass avoiding our main street to issue the desired ambience of PokenoSchool CrossingWe would like a dynamic changing speed sign to manage our children’s safety on this dangerous road. We are still waiting for a pedestrian crossing to be installed. The current speed is 70kph; this is too high for the traffic volume and the nature of it considering we have over 200 children entering and exiting Pokeno School dailyFootpaths and ConnectivityFootpaths must be confirmed for ALL of Helenslee RdWe require:Corner of Munroe and Helenslee Helenslee to the corner of Pokeno Rd opposite the school.Helenslee above Gateshead Rd to Hillpark Rd where it is missing from the subdivision connectivity.Where are the plans for our footpaths for Helenslee Rd?On Helenslee Rd we have a dangerous blind corner which requires street lighting and double yellow lines.On the corner of Munroe and Helenslee Rd we require a stop sign. This is not a wish it is a requirement.Where are the plans for our footpaths and cycleways connecting our new subdivision to our existing PokenoVillage?Public TransportWe support efforts to provide public transport and bus links for Pokeno residents. We would like you insure that timetabling is such that buses arrive in time for train connections in Papakura and Pukekohe. We also support commuter bus services from Hamilton. We support proposed bus scheduling linking Pokeno, Tuakau and Pukekohe.Planning should begin for a railway station and park and ride facilities.We urge you to represent us vigorously on these matters during your negotiations with the Waikato Regional Council and the New Zealand GovernmentCommunity FacilitiesSports and Recreation FacilitiesThe Munroe Block has been talked about endlessly as a recreation and sports facility for us. The most achieved is yet another report. Is this just a talkfest. Our youth have been let down through lack of action. There is nowhere in Pokeno to kick a ball. ALL ages of our Pokeno residents are missing out on being able to use this space.Pokeno HallThis is used daily. Budgeting needs to be included for its upgrade to cope with this increased use.North WaikatoNew CemeteryWe support the development of a new cemetery on Whangarata Rd. What are the time lines for this? We expect to have serious input into to planningRubbish Recycle Transfer StationThe promised plans need to be implemented now, 2024 is too lateWater ReticulationOn page15 of the vision document it states there is a budget of \$18.8m for reservoirs in the Pokeno Tuakau area. Is the recently completed reservoir at the end of Hitchen Rd inadequate for the supply of Pokeno?Please assess our people spaces and revue the Public Places Bylaw for the Northern towns particularly for us in PokenoPokeno ToiletsPokeno toilets are the most used in the district we request an urgent ungrade and a more purpose built for usage and the gateway to the Waikato. We also would like to be involved in the early stages of the design and when can we expect it to happen. We love the design of the Te Kawhata toilets.SupermarketWe confirm approval of a supermarket coming to Pokeno , however we wold like Pokeno Community Committee into mitigation of the consent to insure to the village and people’s values of the whole of Pokeno are considered appropriately and the main street does not become a box retail or 2 dollar shop retail area. Please remember we have a Main Street design guide line and our resident’s expectations of serious input into this designPokeno Master PlanWe need a master plan for Pokeno which includesOur Market SquareWe would like to plan for a pedestrian only community space from the Pokeno Hall to the Train Station with all community Facilities opening on to this. LibraryCouncil RepresentationAn I Sitels Pokeno in the WDC Parks and Reserves Strategy?Has planning for Pokeno’s growth included planning for cycleways and walkways to link homes and commercial areas on both Eastern and Western sides of the MotorwayIn Pokeno we are behind in planning for people, spaces, roading, infrastructure and connectivity. Also buses, traffic, tourists, park and ride which hampers retail and adds to congestion.Our community is asking the question. Are we the Golden Goose dropped in the WDC basket? This committee is concerned about the balance of spending in Pokeno equalling the rateable income received.All these issues impact on the wellbeing of all our community members. It is time for council to consider our needs seriously.</p>
649	Mark	Brown	N/A	Life Unlimited				See attachment.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
650	John	Mitchell	N/A	Onewhero Residents and Ratepayers Group	Taukau		Option 1	<p>We also submit that Waikato District Council provide a rubbish and recycling depot to service the north Waikato areas that do not have kerbside collections. Currently a large part of the northern area is unable to dispose of their rubbish and recycling. Our nearest facility is in Te Kauwhata, and it is only open two days a week. If council wants the district clean and tidy, without rubbish being thrown out on the roadside, they need to provide a facility.If council is unable to provide this facility we submit that you restart the monthly collection mornings at suitable sites throughout the northern area, charging a targeted rate if required.We submit that council include funds of \$5000 to construct, in partnership with the community, a BMX track on the Onewhero Reserve. The community is prepared to undertake all the physical work – track construction, fencing, planting however we will need financial support for contract a digger driver, purchase fencing materials and metal and lime chip for the surface of the track.We submit that WDC also provide financial assistance in developing a third multi-purpose sports field on the Onewhero Reserve. Our two existing fields cannot cope with the demand, especially for the junior part of the club and we regularly have to use the Onewhero Area School’s field to cater for all the teams. While the school is accommodating, there is also increased interest in both local soccer and cricket clubs starting. The third field could accommodate both of these activities. Again, the community is more than willing to work with council to develop the third field.We submit that WDC provide far more signage around where freedom campers should park and what is and is not appropriate behaviour on the Onewhero Reserve. We have been plagued by freedom campers parking in the most inappropriate places and not respecting our beautiful reserve, which our community in heavily invested in. The Onewhero Rugby club has worn the brunt of numerous freedom camper indiscretions, with constant rubbish issues, having their power “high jacked” by freedom campers charging their phones and providing all the electricity and water for the public toilets. While the club is happy to be a member of our community, it is unfair that their facilities are damaged when freedom campers flush wipes down the toilet, block the septic tank lines and flood the clubs shower block with human effluent.Our collective impression, from the LPT presentation, was that the Alliance did not have enough money to do the work they have and we were extremely disappointed. The Alliance was originally going to be the answer to all our roading issues, or that is what we were lead to believe. There were discussions around how much more the Alliance would be able to achieve and that the level of maintenance would dramatically improve. In our collective opinion, based on what we have seen around our area, there are still major issues with roading, and it is becoming all too common to see roads requiring major structural works – for example where one side of the road has subsided and cannot be driven on, simply dealt with by placing an orange road cone on it.We would also like to pass on our sincere thanks to council for the improvements made on the Onewhero Reserve. Our playground is extremely well utilised and an absolute asset to the community, as are the public toilets. We hope these two projects are good examples to council of what can be achieved when communities and councils collaborate to provide facilities.Finally, whilst we understand that Mr Grant Sirl has left council, we wanted to inform you of what an absolutely superb job Grant did at our reserve. His communication was outstanding and he always listened to our ideas and worked with us. We were really disappointed to lose him from our team.</p>
651	Jordan	Mahara	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
652	Lance & Kath	Straker	N/A	N/A	Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno :1. Munro road sports field developed ASAP2. foot paths all around school and down to Munro rd sport field3. Traffic by pass for heavy transport4. Public transport /train station /5. Main street design ,poeno residents to have more say .6. supermarket, 7. library and community centre,isite8. trash transfer station 9. Swimming pool ,recreation centre10. market squarer development, hall upgrade
653	Shani	Matenga	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
654	Desiree	Berryman	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	See attachment.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
656	Rangita	Wilson	Waikato Housing Conference Committee	N/A				REQUEST SUMMARY• To provide funds for the purpose of supporting the planning activities and promotion of the National Maori Housing Conference to be held 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference being held at Turangawaewae Marae and Claudelands Event Centre • To support a member of staff onto the Host Planning Committee.BACKGROUNDThe National Maaori Housing Conference occurs every two years and is held throughout the regions. The conference provides an opportunity for the regions to showcase their leadership and contribution in the “housing space” context and provides a platform for Maaori and relevant stakeholders to share ideas, information, network, and to formulate strategies to assist Maaori into quality affordable housing.In 2016 at the Tauranga Moana Conference, Waikato-Tainui made a “tono” to bring the 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference to Waikato that was accepted by the conference fraternity.In November 2016, we established the Waikato Housing Conference Committee to plan the Conference. The Committee comprises members of Waikato-Tainui entities, Turner Whanau Trust, Ranga Whanau Trust, Waikato Housing Hub, Waikato District Council, Hamilton City Council, Te Matapihi, Te Puni Kokiri, Nga Rau Tatangi Ltd, and individuals involved in Housing and Papakainga delivery in Waikato-Tainui. PROJECT DESCRIPTION The National Maaori Housing Conference 2018 will deliver an exemplar conference that is strategic and adds value to every participant, stakeholder, and community housing provider. The theme of the Conference is:“Maku anoo e hanga i tooku nei whare. Ko ngaa pou oo roto he maahoe, he patete. Ko te taahuhu, he hiinau. Me whakatupu ke ti hua o te rengarenga. Me whakapakari ki te hua o te kawariki // I myself shall build my house. The ridge-pole will be of hiinau and the supporting posts of maahoe and patatee. Raise the people with the fruit of the rengarenga, strengthened them with the fruits of the kawariki.” - Kiingi TaawhiaoThe theme of the conference draws on the vision of Kiingi Tawhiao, a vision of Hope – Aspiration – Reality - Rebuilding – revitalisation of his people through self-determination. Maku anoo e hanga tooku nei whare will enable participants to leave the conference with a strong tahuu, foundation in the national services, nga pou pou, a network of regional services and he rengarenga he kawariki, the relationships to set a vision and activate an aspiration. CONCLUSIONThe Waikato Housing Conference Committee believes that your Council needs to be involved in this Conference, building on the positive relationships and progress that your Council has made in recent times to work with tangata whenua to build on Maaori land in your region, by:• providing funds for the purpose of supporting the planning activities and promotion of the National Maori Housing Conference to be held 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference being held at Turangawaewae Marae and Claudelands Event Centre • supporting a member of staff from your Council onto the Waikato Housing Conference Committee.Please refer to email for Conference details.
657	Parekawhia	McClean	N/A	NZ Transport Agency				See attachment.
658	Sinclair	Wharakura	N/A	N/A	Dinsdale			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
659	Candace	Pukeroa	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
660	Charlie	Waitohi	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
661	Hiki	Haunui	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
662	Kathryn	Tarrant	CPD Trust	N/A				The War Memorial hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
663	Marleinei	Leota	N/A	N/A				The War Memorial hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
664	Rahn	Berryman	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
665	Waikato	Tainui	N/A	N/A	Hamilton			See attachment.
666	Kirsty	Rear	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
667	Phil	Davis-Hunter	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
668	Des and Sandra	Maskell	N/A	N/A	Taupiri			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
669	Tony	Perkins	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
670	Rose	Browne	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
671	Dianne	Gyde	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
672	Alice	Bennie	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
673	Beverley	Shields	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
674	Rodger	Shead	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
675	Aotea	Maipi	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
676	Claire	Molley	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
677	Wayne	Thomson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
678	Joy	Beveridge	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.; This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
679	Alicia	Leef	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.I would like to submitt that we have chains around Fairfeild park to keep out motor bikes.
681	Kay	Kaukas	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
682	Maria	Ahu	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
683	Hannah	Swinkles	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
684	Keith	Stark	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
685	Linda	Stark	N/A	N/A	Ohinewai			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
686	Head	Deb	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
687	Willie	Wilson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
688	Lorraine	Stephens	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.
689	Marcus	Leota	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
690	Elizabeth	Johnson	N/A	N/A	Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be brought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the Community to use.
691	Indrajit	Sarkar	N/A	N/A	Auckland	Option 2	Option 3	
692	Graham	Byers	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
693	GA	Wilson	GA Wilson & RJ MacLeod	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	
694	Shirley	Ritchie	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
695	Kenneth Ronald	Soanes	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 1	Option 3	
696	Annette	May	N/A	N/A	Raglan			Unless I'm very mistaken, the reference on Page 15 (Map) of 'Raglan Pill Boxes' is a concrete bunker, almost submerged with beach sand and only good for kids to smoke and pee in. With all those rate-payer dollars, what is really needed is more GOOD seating and GOOD (meaning suitable and beautiful) trees. Above the bubble, pointing to Raglan are hastily sketched shapes - which related to VERY large concrete items, which are used all around the world with vulnerable coast lines and retaining sand dunes. Check out our fast eroding beaches.
697	N	Rogers	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 2	
698	kathryn	Gold	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	1. Wainui road walkway and bridge upgrade. This upgrade is urgent - 2023-26 is to long await. The council allowing Extensive Residential Development in Raglan West before this bridge is upgraded shows there is little respect or regard for the Raglan West ratepayers. The gridlock experienced over teh summer months is unsatisfactory to say the least as most of Raglans Visitors destination is the beach, surf and boating facilities. 2. Parking. The parking issues around Bow Street, Wallis and Cliff Street, The Residents of Raglan must be consulted extensively around this issue. There a many ways to improve this problem without changing the main street design. Angle parking, Rugby Grounds carpark, with signage to parking areas. Large grass verges in Wallis St and James St. Visitors need to change their habits and walk. Instead of changing the look of our town to accommodate them. 3. Boat Parking. The boat parking at the wharf needs to increased to allow for boat users to use the safest ramp in Raglan. hundreds of ratepayers use this facility, which has been part of this thriving community for decades. Who is behind changing this and why? To have no boat parking at the wharf is not what the ratepayers have asked for and want. !!!! 4. Roding. At present unsealed roads with heavy traffic have below average maintenance. As ratepayers we dont get a far go. Try living on one. Often these roads are dangerous to drive, more and more tourist are now driving the metal road route. Dont ignore the ratepayers on these roads because at present you are. WDC you need to up your game. No new seal very disappointing. Problem areas should be sealed, as even regular maintenance is not the answer. Discussion with people that live on these roads would be helpful for a better outcome.
699	Richelle	Whittaker	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
700	Jan	Shand	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 3	
701	Mary	Smid	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
702	Ron & Silvia	Bird	N/A	N/A		Option 4	Option 2	
703	Shanoa	David	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
704	Peter	Leef	N/A	N/A				This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020. Our community needs move disabled accessible options-painting etc. We have alot of physically impaired people in town and its difficult enough just finding parking. Youth Centre
705	John	Johnson	N/A	N/A	Juntly	Option 4	Option 2	
706	Joey	David	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
707	Cecelia	Leef	N/A	N/A	Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.
708	Waikato	Tainui	N/A	Waikato Tainui	Hamilton			See attachment.
709	Ingrid-Jayne	Huirama	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board	N/A		Option 4	Option 3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supporting targeted growth in targeted areas • maintaining existing assets • modernising infrastructure where it is proven to do so in Raglan • doing more within the existing budgets • move the costs of providing a service to those who use them (parking fees on public spaces). The Raglan Community board concurs with the Audit New Zealand statement that this LTP fairly represents the community matters proposed for the inclusion in this LTP. Community Outcomes and Goals resonate well within our review of our community plan Raglan Naturally. The decision of how we manage our Three Waters into the future this board agrees that; Option 4 the Water Governance Board would be the best fit for our community. The Re-balancing ratepayer contributions to the General Rate, this board agrees with; Option 3 to reduce the UAGC to \$286.50. The Raglan Community Board supports planning for Liveable Thriving and Connected Communities; Planning for growth: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master planning, should include Raglan as the pressure from tourism is impacting on our infrastructure to cope in the peak periods, • Sub regional initiatives, with the introduction of the Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study we should be included in the growth management plan • Housing infrastructure fund, we have a community project (WRAP) that requires woe support • District plan review, engagement and consultation is the key to success . Building resources to improve customer experience : is supported by the Raglan Community Board. Halls and community facilities, as one of the most utilised Hall in the District we would expect full consultation in the future . Economic development, is the major concern for our Board as we are well behind the eight ball in consultation and engagement, as item is always under the Public Excluded section of Council business. Regional Fuel Tax, if the community gets a rate reduction then this community would be fully supportive. Waste Management and Minimisation, Raglan has been the standard setting for the council • Refuge and recycling, Raglan is the trend setting of the country • Raglan (food waste) organic kerbside collection. is ground breaking in this space and will need more consultation into the cost benefits of this programme moving forward. Building and Maintaining Infrastructure Roads and transport ; • Maintenance: we agree that the footpath maintenance and extensions will increase and include the recommended Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study action F) b) i. & ii. • Safety : we agree on high priority safety issues such as bridge and structure replacement as detailed in the recommended Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study action C) a), and the safety of the foot/cycle traffic across the Wainui Bridge. • Plaining for growth: as above the Wainui Bridge and the introduction of PPC12. Parks and Facilities • The Community Board has been asked to provide an RSA section in the raglan Cemetery. Key council projects in your area 2018-28 Raglan restoration of heritage sites (Raglan Pill Boxes, \$161,000) this was a surprise as it has not been on our priority list of projects for the community, footpath extensions is a top priority. Fees and Charges; • Airport landing fees seem low compared to the wider District fees charged, the question are these charges cost recovered for the up keep.
711	Ken	Soanes	N/A	Raglan & District Museum				<p>A submission to the Waikato District Council from the Raglan & District Museum Society</p> <p>The Raglan Museum Society receives \$20,000 per year from the Council. This submission is a request for that to be increased to \$30,000 per year . Our aim is to increase the hours of paid administrative assistance from ten to twenty per week.</p> <p>The museum runs considerably on the voluntary work of its members. But there is too much work to rely completely on volunteers . For the last few years we have used \$10,000 of the Council's payment for running costs for the museum and the other \$10,000 for administrative assistance, that being ten hours a week at \$20 per hour.</p> <p>The museum has had real success in the years since it opened in its new building on 12 November 2011. Our collection has expanded and continues to do so. Our public profile has grown as we have become an integral part of the tourist town that is today's Raglan.</p> <p>Our visitor numbers have also grown. We are reluctant to charge for entry as we are a public facility and we want people to come. However we do have a moderate charge for entry (\$2 for adults and \$1 for children) which does not deter but does show some commitment from visitors and, importantly, enables us to keep a track of visitor numbers. We have had 19085 visitors since opening, that being 14963 adults and 4122 children. These numbers show a steady increase over time, with last year's figures being 1000 more than the previous year. Indeed in this year's January we had a record 644 adult visitors. (The wet January weather helped!) We also have good numbers of school class visits, a result of our policy of targeting schools in our district.</p> <p>We are in danger of becoming victims of our success in that we need more effort in appraising and cataloguing our growing collection, in meeting the requests of not only school parties but various tours that include the museum in their Raglan visit, in answering the queries of visitors and, generally, the day to day tasks that are part and parcel of a functioning museum.</p> <p>Our finances are suffering. I attach our financial statement. Do note that we have run deficits in the past two years. We do need greater assistance.</p> <p>We acknowledge the real support we have received from the Council. The museum would not exist without it. The Council operates under the correct understanding that support for museums is part of its function. We submit that we have repaid that support by becoming a really good museum in a town where our role is appreciated and supported by locals and visitors. We now ask the Council to acknowledge our success and, because of it, our greater need.</p>
712	Andrew	Feierabend	N/A	Meridian Energy				See attachment.
713	D&F	Falconer	N/A	N/A	Huntly	Option 1	Option 3	See attachment.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
714	David and Alison	Taylor	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	
715	T	Lockyer	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	
716	Sheryl	Hart	N/A	Raglan Sport Fishing Club				See attachment.
717	LR	Scott	N/A	N/A	Taupiri			See attachment.
718	Liam	McGrath	N/A	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Mercer			See attachment.
719	Paul	Le Miere	N/A	Federated Farmers				See attachment.
720	John	Lawson	N/A	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				See attachments.
721	John	Lawson	N/A	N/A				See attachment.
722	Ruth	Williams	N/A	N/A	Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	
723	Charles	King	N/A	N/A	Cambridge		Option 1	
724	KM & RA	MacKay	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 1	Option 2	
725	Terry	Dance	N/A	N/A	Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	
726	Dermot	Murtagh	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
727	Margaret	Dippenaar	N/A	N/A	Te Kowhai	Option 3	Option 3	
728	Steven	Searle	N/A	N/A	Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	
729	Van	Leeuwen	N/A	N/A		Option 3	Option 2	
730	Daniel	Rensburg	N/A	N/A				Points 1-3 were for Waikato Regional Council. 4. Water levy should be based on usage per household, or amount of persons per household rather than on "per household" or on "value of property"5. Fuels levies currently only target diesel or Petrol vehicles and does not tax electrical vehicles, which is not fair. It could also be based on toll for actual users of the road, rather than fuel levy.6. Toilets in town (Next to town hall) must be upgrade to ensure they are easy to clean and neat and tidy.7. Toilets must be erected at new sports park, and at totara park, and at the 2 playgrounds in the new subdivision. Children playing in the park must rush home to use the toilets.8. Pokeno subdivision has no recreational facilities for teenagers, whilst all the other townships have a pool, sports fields, swings, parks, and dog exercise areas.9. Don't support the cost for a new cemetery in Whangarata road. Current swings, slide and Jungle gym is only for small children.10. We propose A Supermarket be built in Pokeno by a larger company like Pack and Save, Foodtown, Countdown, or New World and not smaller vendors. 700 current households, and a further 800 houses to be built in Hitchens division would sustain . This will also be closer than Pukekohe for neighbouring towns.11. We prefer the higher UAGC (Uniform Annual General Charge) and less based on Capital value. Council valuation is inflated.12. For water to use watercare as company for water supply.13. That the Library and Council offices be removed from LTP submission, and a station be built with the funds. Alternatively the Library can be built on top of the new station in Pokeno.14. A Pedestrian crossing in Helenslee At Pokeno school, Hillpark and Pokeno road at the schools and day-care centres. Crossing must be in 40km Zone and not in 70km Zone15. That the footpaths in Pokeno next to the waterway be sealed, instead of gravel. Footpaths extend from Pokeno to new subdivisions16. Speed limit be set to 50km/h instead of 40km/h in Hillpark Road/ Mark Ball road.17. I propose that a Dog Exercise Park that is fenced off be erected in the new subdivision of Pokeno where dogs can be let off leash. It is senseless to load your dog in the car drive to the Tuakau or Pokeno tennis club dog Exercise Park to be able to let them off-leash. You cannot walk the dog under the motorway through a

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
								roundabout to fenced dog exercise area by the old church/cenetary or walk to the Tuakau dog exercise area.
731	Sarah	Nathan	N/A	N/A				See attachment.Please find attached (file) an overview of the Waikato Arts Navigator.It is a joint framework developed by Creative Waikato available to all Councils in the wider Waikato region. It goal is to build a collective vision for arts and creative outcomes in your community.Creative Waikato would like to work with your staff to devise a simple arts action plan for the Waipa District to align to regional goals of:• Creative Prosperity• Creative Experiences• Creative Wellbeing• Creative ExcellenceThis is a simple way to have an effective arts strategy utilizing existing knowledge of your communities’ activities and working from strengths.Start with what you are already doing and build from there.Lets talk more.Creative Waikato has been working hard in the Waikato region for the last 6 years at no cost to WDC, mainly thanks to funding from Trust Waikato. We support artists, build capability with arts groups, build audiences and advocate nationally for better arts investment in our communities.We request as part of this submission:1) The opportunity to work with your staff over the next 3 years to develop an arts plan that aligns with the Waikato Arts Navigator2) That Waikato District Council allocate Creative Waikato \$6,000 per annum to support and build focus to grow the Waipa Creative Community.
732	Annabel	Cotton	N/A	Hamilton & Waikato Tourism				See attachment.
733	Malcolm	Beattie	N/A	Sunset Beach Lifeguard Service				See attachments.
734	Tobias	Tohill	N/A	N/A	Whatawhata	Option 2	Option 2	
735	Colleen	Rear	N/A	N/A				As a rate payer i do not support a 12.5% increase and three increases there after. As a middleincome (one wage, and three small children) family we are already paying \$150 per fortnight!Our town of Ngaruawahia needs attention, we should not be paying for developments in thenorth.

Sub #	First Name	Last Name	On Behalf Of	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Comments
736	Jennifer	Duncan-Bailey	N/A	N/A				Hello my name is Jennifer Duncan-Bailey I own a section in Meremere where I will be building a home with my husband Robert. We would like to know who. To contact to have the water Tower behind our section 6 Heather Green ave removed. As I have seen several young children carrying axes and the like into the water tower doing goodness knows what. They were aged as young as six or seven which I found to be horrifying as they where carry dangerous tools and who would have known if anything had of happened to them while they were in there. My husband and I have many grandchildren each and would hate for them to think this is a good place to build a fort or such like. It's not only a hazard it's also a health risk and a terrible eye sore for the main traffic line south. I am of the Tainui tribe and have seen my tribe mentioned in your last newsletter asking for input from the consumers of the region/ district. May I put my decision forward which is option 3 & 4. I have stated 3 and 4 because the combination of options here will benefit all voting. Option 3 I would like clarity on - why would we risk creating a tax liability? And option 4 the representation of the Tainui people - will their voice be heard as an equal. Not just a visual face/ body present to pacify the locals? I hope Tainui representative will agree with council to remove the water tower for the safety of all concerned. By the way who is responsible for being a representative from Meremere as the village looks like an abandoned child that no could gives a damn about. Would you enjoy living in an environment of such neglect. The children can't even walk along the footpaths to get to school. I dread to think about those with prams or wheelchairs... You should all be ashamed of yourselves. If you the council have little respect for the environment yet charge the rates you do why should the people there care. I have spoken to a number of the locals there and they all say the same thing. You the council get a deaf ear when it comes to Meremere. How about pouring some of the money you collect into bringing Meremere appearance up to a standard we can all be proud of
737	Peter	Findlay	N/A	N/A				I refer to the Proposed 2018 Long Term Plan, the link road proposed between Resolution Drive and Horsham Downs Road, and all related infrastructure improvements.The Village Church Trust supports the planning, design and construction of all works required to give effect to the same in the Proposed 2018 Long Term Plan, and to integrate the timing of such works with construction of the Waikato Expressway and the extension of Resolution Drive. The Village Church Trust wishes to present its oral submission to hearings related to the 2018 Proposed Long Term Plan.
738	John and Lesley	Hoburn	N/A	N/A	Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	