

**Long Term Plan 2018-28**  
**Appendix 2 – Submission Points with Staff Comments**  
**May 2018**



## Index in Alphabetical Order

	Page #		Page #		Page #
3 Waters Infrastructure	107	Huntly on/off ramp	125	Shared Services	141
3 Waters Management - options	35	Infrastructure Strategy	135	Stormwater	142
Affordability	117	Joint Management Agreement	136	Strategic Planning	44
Airport	129	Library Services	110	Tamahere Reserve	142
Amalgamation	130	Local Projects	98	Targeted Rates	128
Animal Control	124	Maintenance of Infrastructure	136	Te Reo	142
Aquatic Centres	96	Manu Bay	126	Toilets	142
Arts Centre	124	Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	108	Town Maintenance	66
Boat Ramps	118	Operating Surplus	136	TPPA	143
Building	130	Parking	119	Training	144
Catchment Management	130	Parks & Facilities	58	UACG	69
Consultation	93	Pensioner Housing	120	Wastewater	103
Crime	131	Planning for Growth	111	Water Quality	104
Cycle-ways/walkways	62	Playgrounds	136	Water rates	105
Debt	131	Property Valuations	120	Wetlands	145
Development Contributions	125	Public Toilets	121	WMMP	145
Distribution of Rates	131	Public Transport	88		
District Planning	109	Raglan Naturally	137		
Dog Registrations	131	Raglan Pill Boxes	115		
Economic Development	97	Railway Crossing	137		
Fees and Charges	132	Rates Increase	52		
Footpaths	94	Rating System	77		
Freedom Camping	119	Refuse/recycling	81		
Future Proof	132	Regional Fuel Tax	92		
Grant Funding	84	Renaming of Huntly	137		
Halls	102	Representation	137		
Heritage	132	Revaluations	138		
HIF	132	River Management	139		
Housing	133	Roading	22		
Huntly Domain	134	Roadside Maintenance	116		
Huntly Memorial Hall	2	Seal Extension	122		
Huntly Mine	135	Security Cameras	141		

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
----------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	------	----------	------	------------------	----------------

Submission points have been categorised as follows.

Category	Count	Category	Count	Category	Count	Category	Count
Huntly Memorial Hall	165	Raglan Pill Boxes	4	Te Reo	1	WMMP	1
Roading	33	Library services	4	Building	1	Internal Resourcing	1
3 Waters Management - options	27	District Planning	4	River Management	1	HIF	1
Strategic Planning	26	Planning for growth	4	Operating surplus	1	Airport	1
Rates Increase	25	Roadside Maintenance	4	Security cameras	1	Housing	1
Parks & facilities	20	Pensioner housing	3	Future Proof	1	Wetlands	1
UAGC	17	Public Toilets	3	Tamahere Reserve	1	Renaming of Huntly	1
Town Maintenance	17	Boat ramps	3	Raglan Naturally	1	Maintenance of infrastructure	1
Cycle-ways/Walkways	17	Parking	3	Infrastructure Strategy	1	Representation	1
Refuse/Recycling	16	Seal Extension	3	Catchment management	1	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>588</b>
Rating system	16	Affordability	3	Revaluations	1		
Grant funding	15	Property valuations	3	Railway crossing	1		
Public transport	13	Ngaruawahia priority projects	3	Huntly domain	1		
Regional Fuel Tax	12	Targeted rates	2	Distribution of rates	1		
Consultation	9	Animal Control	2	Huntly mine	1		
Footpaths	9	Freedom Camping	2	Heritage	1		
Local projects	7	Manu Bay	2	Shared Services	1		
Aquatic Centres	7	Huntly on/off ramp	2	TPPA	1		
Economic Development	7	Development Contributions	2	Dog registrations	1		
Water quality	6	Arts centre	2	Training	1		
Wastewater	6	Refuse	2	Amalgamation	1		
Water rates	6	Reserves	1	Joint Management Agreement	1		
3 Waters Infrastructure	5	Stormwater	1	Toilets	1		
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	5	Crime	1	Debt	1		
Halls	5	Playgrounds	1	Fees and Charges	1		

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	188	Sandra	Shields		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	2/We object to WDC's cavalier attitude regarding the War Memorial Hall, either fix it or replace it with new, DO NOT sit on it and do nothing until it deteriorates as you seem to want it to do.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	277	Mana	Toka		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	278	Craig	Moffitt		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	279	Edna	Townsend		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	280	Thelma	Tyler		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	281	Eileen	Lloyd		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	282	Heather	Huxtable		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	283	Myra	McFetridge		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	284	Shirley	Lavis		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	285	Ron and Shirley	Farrar		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	286	Wayne	Stewart		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	287	Ian	Bettison		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	288	Duncan	Gillies		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	289	David	Combe		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	290	Graham	Simpson		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	291	Willemien	Wennekers		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	292	Shirley	Farrar		Huntly			<p>Comments:</p> <p>1/The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use. Too much time and money wasted by WDC to help Huntly Ratepayers and Community to get anything up and done to help Huntly revive.</p> <p>2/Town looks unkempt! Huntly subsidising all other towns in the district. Nothing being done for Huntly.</p>	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	293	Mary	Robinson		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	294	Gary	Robinson		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	295	Edna	Alder		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	296	William	Walker		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	297	Dawn	Walker		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	298	Prue	Clifford		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	299	Huntly Linedancing	Club		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	300	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	301	Graham Gunn	Builder		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	302	Annabelle	Leaf		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	303	Bryce	Mounsey		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	304	Bryan	Morris		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	305	Andrew	Inglis		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	306	Victoria	Kemp		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	307	Ray	Lloyd		Huntly			Comments: This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to a standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	308	Greg	McCutchan		Huntly			Comments: The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	375	A	Goodall		Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use. This is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	376	J	McNamara		Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	377	Roseanne	McNamara		Huntly			The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	433	Suzanne Carol	Watkins		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	434	Kelly-Ann	McBean		Huntly RD 1				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	435	Andrew	McBean		Huntly RD 1				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	436	Jane	Johnson		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	437	W	Boyd		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	438	Joinery	A1		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	439	Vijax	Parmar	Vijax & Jagjit Parmar	Hamilton				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	440	Rachel	Watkins		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	441	Caleb	Watkins		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	442	John	Watkins		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	443	Bernice	Watkins		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	444	Marion	Nicholas		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	445	Joseph	Trinder		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	446	Jeff	Lamb		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	447	Barbara	McCarthy		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	448	D	Hogan		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	449	Jamie	Thurston		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	450	Jodene	Clutterbuck		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	451	Maurice	Beck		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	452	Mike	Munson		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	453	Rae	Munson		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	454	Tony	Allan		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	455	Lynne	Allan		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	456	Andrew	Beeching		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	457	Judith	Hogan		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	458	D	Maliseva		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	459	Janine Megan	Payne		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	460	Dafydd	Payne		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	461	Tony	Nathan		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	474	Kelly	Bentley		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	475	Tracey	Allwood		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	476	Joanne	Small		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	478	Angela	Birks		Ohinewai				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	479	Elizabeth	Thompson		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	480	Rebecca	Thompson		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	481	Paul	Mita		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	482	Blair	Gardiner		Ohinewai				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	483	Grant	Thompson		Ohinewai				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	484	Scott	Mason		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	485	Hartmut	Joschonek		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	486	M	Chatterton		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	487	Sallymei	Matia		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	488	Tomuri	Luke		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	489	Paula	Raihe		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	490	Hubert	Marcon		Te Kauwhata			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	491	Iris M	Kimpton		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	492	Dorothy	Stephens		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	493	Jennifer	Hohaia		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	494	Klazz	Mckinnon		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	495	Winnie	Watene		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	496	Janet Mary	Jay		Huntly				Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	497	Arzhay	Heslop		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	498	Marahi	Kingi		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	499	Kawana James	Wallace		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	500	Korina	Vaughan		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	501	Tui	Lee		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	502	Nesan	Gorender		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	503	Stacey	Baker					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	504	Janie	Solomon		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	505	Katrina	Hipkin		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	506	Maleina	Tauariki		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	507	Roana	Daley		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	508	Tumakai	Te Aho-Solomon		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	509	Martin	Hipkin		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	510	Jenna	Tauariki		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	511	Belinda	King		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	512	Evelyn	Shead		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	513	Reginald	Taua		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	514	Joy	Lynch		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	515	T	Kea-Perston		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	516	Kapariera Malcolm	Taua		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	517	Anahera	Taua		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	518	Trish	Thompson		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	519	Luressa	MacDonald		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	520	Valerie	Attkins		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	521	Angela	Wilkins		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	522	Julie	Baker		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	523	Andrea	Thomas		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	524	Heather	Paterson		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	526	Moyra	Thompson		Huntly			#N/A	Parking provisions for boat trailers are currently under review as part of the parking by-law by the Raglan Community Board. Consideration of the Rugby Ground for overflow provisions is one of the options
Huntly Memorial Hall	528	Pauline	Roberts		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	1/ This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	530	Pauline H	Lewis		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	544	Mary-Ann	Taiapo		Huntly			This submission is to include the Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan. The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as multipurpose community facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	640	MJ	Gerrand - QSM	Huntly Lions				#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	641	Sharlene	Watene					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	642	D.	Soni					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	643	Shirley	Stewart					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	644	Cheryl	Williams					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	651	Jordan	Mahara		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	653	Shani	Matenga		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	654	Desiree	Berryman		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	658	Sinclair	Wharakura		Dinsdale			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	659	Candace	Pukeroa		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	660	Charlie	Waitohi					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	661	Hiki	Haunui					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	662	Kathryn	Tarrant	CPD Trust				#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	663	Marleinei	Leota					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	664	Rahn	Berryman					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	666	Kirsty	Rear					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	667	Phil	Davis-Hunter					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	668	Des and Sandra	Maskell		Taupiri			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	669	Tony	Perkins		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	670	Rose	Browne					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	671	Dianne	Gyde		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	672	Alice	Bennie		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	673	Beverley	Shields		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	674	Rodger	Shead		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	675	Aotea	Maipi		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	676	Claire	Molley		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	677	Wayne	Thomson		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	678	Joy	Beveridge		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	679	Alicia	Leef		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	681	Kay	Kaukas		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	682	Maria	Ahu		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	683	Hannah	Swinkles		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	684	Keith	Stark		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	685	Linda	Stark		Ohinewai			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	686	Head	Deb		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	687	Willie	Wilson		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	688	Lorraine	Stephens					#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly Memorial Hall	689	Marcus	Leota		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	690	Elizabeth	Johnson		Huntly			#N/A	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	703	Shanoa	David		Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	704	Peter	Leef					This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.Our community needs move disabled accessible options-painting etc. We have a lot of physically impaired people in town and its difficult enough just finding parking.Youth Centre	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	706	Joey	David		Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	707	Cecelia	Leef		Huntly			This submission is to include The Huntly War Memorial Hall in the 2018-2028 Long Term plan.The Huntly War Memorial Hall to be repaired and bought up to standard for public use and to be re-opened as a multipurpose facility before 2020.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Huntly Memorial Hall	713	D&F	Falconer					Huntly Hall - see attachment.	Council are committed to working with the Community to ensure that facilities maintained by ratepayers are wanted and used. The Council is committed to working with the volunteer group if the community at large would like to see the Hall refurbished. We are in no way discouraging volunteers throughout the district and are actively working on ensuring that Council have the right processes in place to ensure the Health and Safety of any and all volunteer workers working on council assets. In respect to earthquake assessments, all Council owned facilities are being assessed as per legislative requirements.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	6. Page 13 Building and Maintaining Infrastructure, support making sure the roading networks have resilience so in times of emergencies they can be used to get supplies and help the communities out. With the hand over the State highway handovers from NZTA, the towns that are being bypassed the NZTA should help to build resilience into these towns so they become vibrant.	Once the Waikato Expressway is completed, Waikato District Council will inherit around 100km of roads and four major bridges. The additional maintenance, operating and renewal costs for Waikato District is around \$1 million per year and rising. As the old state highways and bridges are handed over to Waikato District Council we carry out joint inspections and agree who has ownership of what assets and what works are required by NZTA to bring Councils new inherited assets up to an acceptable level of service.
Roading	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	6)The kerbside reserves and roadside garden are neglected and there has been a huge failure in maintaining these garden which will result in replacement cost or potentially ignoring the issue as the concil has down over the years. Has the concil polled the north waikato ratepayers in the past 3 years to see why there has been a increase in houses on the market is this due to the factors mentioned above or other reasons. Are north waikato ratepayers going to have to set aside 10% of annual income in the next 10 years to fund increase costs in the community.	Parks and Reserves maintain urban kerbside reserves and gardens. The Alliance mows rural verges to provide adequate sight distances.
Roading	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			3/ The importance of protecting the transport links by road & rail from the outlying Farms & Industry alike. The arterial roading link to Auckland, Hamilton & Tauranga are critical to our district. Access to the Southern Motorway needs to be improved & protected.	The draft LTP includes a budget of \$300k in years 2 & 3 for planning of future links between Pokeno - Tuakau and SH1.
Roading	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	4/ Roundabout \$3.2m ratepayers are only paying about 20% of this, has this proposed roundabout been investigated? Do we need a roundabout? Where is the evidence? or is this on the developers wishlist?,	Saulbrey road roundabout. The roundabout solution is not required for any single development, but serves to function as a safety solution for Great South Road where there is conflict between multiple vehicle movements in a high speed environment. There have been fatal injuries on Great South road and the changing function of the road, with new residential access has raised the risk.
Roading	525	S.F and J.L	Wall		RD 3	Option 1	Option 1	2/ Our roads occasionally get a little fill in any holes that develop. The bottom end of Kariotahi Road (towards Waiuku) is so narrow and the edges are broken, so passing other vehicles (as well as horse trucks to beach) is dangerous. Coming out of Butchers Bridge Road, again, is extremely dangerous, (I have notified Council on other occasion), because there is a convergence of 3 roads, which leaves no reasonable visual ability for emergence safety. Traffic has to actually drive through a stop sign, into the middle of traffic flow, to be able to ascertain whether the roads can be crossed. I believe there has been a roundabout plan, but because you leave it in Auckland Council's planning, nothing is done. I would also remind Council that we also pay regional rates to which all the above applies.	Kariotahi Road as well as the intersection in question falls within the Auckland Transport region. Unfortunately WDC have no jurisdiction over this area

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	534	Bruce	Cameron					10/ Rural roads i.e. roads outside of urban boundaries: These need to be kept up to a standard whereby all traffic is safely able to pass over them all year round. Maintenance has fallen behind in the last year and the costs to get ahead again can get excessive. Having trucks getting stuck on metal roads is unacceptable. There has been a lot of ground movement on Highway 22 in the Glen Murray area. Work has started on repairing it, however there is a lot more work to be carried out in this area.	The intention of road maintenance is to minimise any time that communities are not connected. We are aware of ground movement on Highway 22 and our western network in general. Repairs to retaining structures and slips will continue, however retaining structures often have a relatively high cost associated with them which are not always immediately affordable. There are some areas on Highway 22 that will not receive funding until 2022 which will result in the road still being accessible but with a reduced lane width until a permanent repair has available funding
Roading	545	Robert and Tina	Macnab		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	2/ Key road routes are being left to deteriorate and are not meeting resident's needs. My solution is a roadshow that is a workshop where local roads plans and strategies ( including timelines) are outlined and are able to be submitted on. In isolated areas Private public partnerships should be considered, where the contract is given to locals to maintain hard to maintain roads. Such an example that has worked well is Retaruke Rd to Whakahoro in the Ruapehu district.	We undertake and hold roadshow meetings on a regular basis. This is done in accordance with the local community boards, councillors, council staff and WDA staff. The roadshows we have held recently have been positive and had good outcomes and learnings. We understand that some of our key routes have issues and we are continuing to work on these. On-going maintenance is being carried out regularly and for the longer term issues/repairs that have a high associated costs with them and are not immediately affordable, they are put into our long term programme.
Roading	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				<p>In the past few years there has been significant growth in residential development in Rototuna resulting in growth in the traffic volumes on roads that straddle the borders of HCC and WDC.</p> <p>The increased traffic volumes and speeds on these roads are resulting in safety concerns being expressed by residents in Waikato District and Hamilton.</p> <p>HCC lowered the speed limit on the shared sections of Kay Road and Horsham Downs Road on 1 March 2013 to 80km/h. HCC believes it is very important for WDC to address the current inconsistency in speed limit on the section of Kay Road between Osborne Road and River Road that sits wholly within Waikato District.</p> <p>HCC also request that consideration is given to lowering the speed limit on the approach to Hamilton on River Road and Gordonton Road in accordance with the Speed Management Plan.</p> <p>HCC note that the connection of Borman Road to Kay Road will be completed in this financial year i.e.2017/18. We request that consideration is given to the safety of the intersection of River Road and Kay Road to cater for the potential increase in vehicles using this intersection, along with urbanisation of Kay Road.</p>	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				The Waikato Regional Transport Committee, of which WDC is a member, has confirmed that safety is one of two top priorities through the draft Regional Land Transport Plan 2018 (RLTP). Central government, through the newly released draft Government Policy Statement on Transport, is now signalling that safety is a top transport priority and has an expectation that local government will do its part by targeting funding to priority areas. WRC supports WDC's work on road safety and encourages you to ensure there is sufficient funding to address high risk infrastructure, and an emphasis on high risk user behaviour through road safety coordination and community engagement across the district. We look forward to working with you on progressing speed management initiatives over the 2018-21 period.	No response required
Roading	561	Neil	Barker		Ngaruawahia			10 Year Plan - SubmissionSaulbury Road RoundaboutOn behalf of the Ngaruawahia Golf Club Inc. (NGC) I submit our input for consideration - The Long Term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP) indicates that a roundabout will be constructed at Saulbrey Road / Great South Road in 2028. We understand that Council has budgeted money for the necessary purchase of land in 2019. NGC would like the Council to consider urgency in construction of the roundabout for numerous reasons as set out below:SafetyThis section of Great South Road is 100kph with vehicle speed increasing after leaving Ngaruawahia Township (which has an outer speed limit of 70kph).The River Terraces sub-division has increased the number of traffic manoeuvres within 1 kilometre of the NGC entrance and Saulbrey Road. The traffic volume will increase substantially and quickly with more sections becoming available.River Terraces has a marked turning bay for traffic heading north and turning right into the development. Parking in the middle of an increasingly busy main road, even with a stopping zone is not ideal.Access to the golf course does not include a right turning bay. While, thankfully, to my understanding, there have been no crashes attributed to right turning into the Course there have been some 'hairy' moments when one motorist stops in the middle of the road to turn right into the golf course, which is legal, and another decides to stop in front of the café opposite the NGC entrance. This leaves very little room for following cars to pass.The roundabout would have the effect of improving traffic safety by slowing vehicles and giving better direction to vehicles entering and exiting River Terraces, the NGC and Saulbrey Road. The NGC would re-locate its entrance way from the present location to a new road north of the site (currently only a paper road).We understand Council roading staff are of the view that the roundabout should be completed as a priority. The developers of River Terraces have also indicated they would prefer the roundabout is constructed earlier than scheduled in the Draft LTP.FutureThere are signs that Ngaruawahia is a growing township with River Terraces an example of this. Hamilton City has strong growth at its northern boundary (Kay Road/Borman Road area) and these developments are bringing more golfers closer to NGC.The NGC is, in conjunction with an overseas sponsor, in the process of completing a Resource Consent Application to build a driving range on our course in an area that is presently used as a practice fairway. It is proposed that the Sponsor will use these facilities for a Golfing Academy and outside these times they will be available to the public. We do not expect there to be a great increase in traffic entering and exiting the course from driving range visitors but are in the process of undertaking a traffic assessment which will indicate likely numbers.The Perry Charitable Trust has	Thank you for your relevant and detailed account of the traffic environment in that location. Council staff supports the earlier implementation of the roundabout, however affordability constraints have pushed the programme out and council has to consider a large programme of works across the whole district, while maintaining a reasonable rates rise. We encourage you to take the discussion to your community board to elevate the project priority in the LTP discussions.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>approached NGC requesting us to allow access for cyclists to the Te Awa Cycleway from the course. The NGC have agreed to this.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b></p> <p>In summary, construction of the proposed Saulbrey Road roundabout will improve traffic safety at multiple locations along the stretch of Great South Road from the River Terraces entrance to the golf course entrance. It will have an immediate improvement and accommodate the increasing traffic volumes along this road. There is a growing need for the roundabout and its construction date should be brought forward from 2028. This view is aligned with that of staff in Council's Roads Asset Management Team and the developers of River Terrace. NGC requests that Council budgets for construction of the Saulbury Road/ Great South Road roundabout to be programmed to be actioned within the next 12 to 24 months i.e. completed with urgency. I am prepared to be heard in support of my submission</p>	
Roading	571	Details withheld	Details withheld		Raglan	Option 2	Option 2	<p>1/ Raglan is developing at a very fast rate and the Council needs to have a plan to manage and mitigate the impact and to slow the rate of development accordingly. Infrastructure for residents is lacking. The access to Rangitahi is going to present a lot of traffic issues that do not appear to be on the Council's radar. In addition, the restoration of the pill boxes does not seem high priority in comparison to providing increased public access and parks in intensely development zones e.g. around Government and Manakau streets.</p>	<p>With regard traffic utilising Rangitahi peninsular – Traffic has been considered as part of the developer's Traffic Impact Assessment and an appropriate intersection design has been provided at Wainui Road (to be constructed mid 2018). Raglan has the district's highest walking/cycling communities, as well as the district's highest visitor numbers. Footpaths and cycling provisions within the Raglan Urban area and the links to nearby beaches will be reviewed in 2019. In conjunction, the safety of these vulnerable road users will be also reviewed. In 2019, Tranche 3 of the District's Speed By-law reviews will be focussing on Raglan with a view to reduce the speed in the Raglan Urban Area.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	5/ Roundabout Saulbury Road - What data form police and ACC to you have to justify the need for this roundabout? I have been told the Ngaruawahia rate payer contribution is only 20% of the \$3.2million cost, why is this figure not reflected? Why don't developers pay for the entire cost if they want it?	Saulbrey road roundabout. The roundabout solution is not required for any single development, but serves to function as a safety solution for Great South Road where there is conflict between multiple vehicle movements in a high speed environment. There have been fatal injuries on Great South road and the changing function of the road, with new residential access has raised the risk.
Roading	595	H and A	Murphy		Ngaruawahia			Submission not related to the above consultation questions. Wanted to comment on the condition of the Otorohaea Trig Road as it needs improving. When it is graded it makes it better however the increasing traffic can impact the condition between grading contracts. The amount of trucks on that road have increased and they make a mess of the road. Please increase the level of service on this road. It can be extremely unsafe. Also roading conditions throughout the Waingaro and Te Akau area need continuing improvement. The roads get flooded frequently, approx. 3 times last year Waingaro school was forced to close due to flooding. Thank you	<p>We are aware of the condition of Otorohaea Trig Road, unsealed road which is narrow, winding and receives increased truck movement following grading. We inspect roads on a regular basis and will continue to evaluate the needs of Otorohaea Trig Road with the remaining 600km of unsealed roads across our network.</p> <p>We will undertake work to mitigate flooding where practical. Unfortunately some roads within the Waingaro area are susceptible to flooding during extreme weather events which appear to be happening across New Zealand on a more regular basis.</p>
Roading	596	Bruce	Cameron			Option 4	Option 1	1/ First I would like to thank you for the recognition of the state of the unsealed roads within the WDC by the proposed allocation of extra funding. The sealed network is also experiencing neglect in areas of the council roading network. Under the Strada contract the roads deteriorated markedly and a lot of work needed to be done to get them up to a reasonable state again. This was further enhanced by the Alliance contract but in the last year there has been a marked deterioration. We have had some significant storm events which the Alliance has done a very good job of dealing with. However there has been a marked lack of basic maintenance carried out on the remainder of the network which is disappointing, as the repairing of further damage only gets more costly. I understand the main reason for this is that the Council has “run out of money”. Let’s hope that the extra funding proposed will address all of these issues.	Thank you for your comments. Last year we experienced a number of significant storm events and over all a very wet year. This caused a number of issues around our network which we are still recovering from. Throughout the summer season we have carried out a great deal of pavement and drainage issues along with unsealed grading rounds including metalling. Our routine maintenance of our network is on-going. With the additional funding in the unsealed roads, this will enable us to focus more routine maintenance in critical areas of the network and have a greater impact.
Roading	602	Donald	Hagenson		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	3/ Further more there has been no justification or data produce by to support the need for Ngaruawahia rate payer money to be spent on a round about on Saulbury Road or	Saulbrey road roundabout. The roundabout solution is not required for any single development, but serves to function as a safety solution for Great South Road where there is confclit between multiple vehicle movements in a high speed environment. There have been fatal injuries on Great South road and the changing function of the road, with new residential access has raised the risk.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	Ngaruawahia - LTP  4/ If a roundabout is partially funded by a developer then should not a developer contribute to the infrastructure required for these 'new areas'. It should be handed over to Council as a finished project, not a we need you to fork out millions so that we can line our pockets from the sale of these properties.	Developers do pay for the required infrastructure created by any new development through charges known as development contributions. Development contributions are received at the time a property is developed (i.e. house is built). This means that infrastructure costs initially sit on council books, reducing as DC's are received.
Roading	621	Kitty	Burton	Matangi Community Committee	Hamilton			See attachment. The Matangi Community Committee requests the provision of a bus bay and turning area in the village to improve safety for the intermediate and High School students who wait for buses to travel to school in Hamilton each school morning. The current stopping place on the side of the road is too near the intersection and there is no access to shelter. From the last LTP process we were advised that the committee needed to say where they wanted the bus bay to be and last year residents nominated the Jack Foster Reserve as the most suitable option. The current bus route means the stopping and turning area would need to be large enough to enable the bus to pull off the road and turn to go back toward Hamilton. The alternative to this would be a roundabout at the Matangi Road/ Tauwhare Road intersection. A recent accident at the intersection highlighted both the need for better control at the intersection and that the current bus stop is too close to it. The vehicle lost control at the corner and had there been any students at the bus stop they would have been in the direct path of the vehicle. A roundabout would mean that the bus stop could move to the Jack Foster Reserve but the construction of a bus bay would not be required as the bus would be able to turn at the roundabout.	No response required
Roading	645	S.F.	Capper		Hamilton			To whom it may concern.i[we] have lived in Reids Rd Puketaha for 67 years at the moment we are concerned about the Reids Road Puketaha Corner where there it [no light] [no sign] and nothing around the drain corners which i [we] fee; is a danger to the public and could result in a fatal accident if you could look into this for us it would be much appreciated. Yours sincerely SF Capper.PS the sign down the other end [Shewan Road] has also being knocked down.	This has been passed on to NZTA's Agent Fulton Hogon - We understand that Cherie Sargeant has been in touch with the submitter regarding their concerns 3.5.2018

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	646	Neil	Young	Young Group of Companies Tuakau Hotel				<p>2/Signage Need before Pokeno. Traveling north from say Hamilton people sail straight past Pokeno eventually turning off at Bombay Signage at mercer. We have a 30 bed "Mercer Airport Hotel Backpackers" at Mercer airport that our tourists can't find us 6k,s up Koheroa rd5 Dominion Road extension to Ridge rd to make it a through road to state highway one motorway for light traffic and we would have oue own Tuakau exit sign from it.</p> <p>There is already a paper roads extending off the end of Dominion rd to within 230 meters of ridge rd The normal high cost of filling the gully can come from the strippings from ridge road quarry.6Cycleway/walkwa 2.8 ks I have now scale measured it at 3.68 kilometers Tuakau to Buckland Pukekohe</p> <p>Im working with Vance Hodgson HPC Planning on this one [first cost in 78K for resource consent] the kids already walk on the Train tracjs 3.68 ks ks rather than cycle/walk 8 ks up Harrisville rd way or 12 ks Buckland rd way 7Palm Trees full length of George st [main st] cost \$40k 8Safety Restrict speed from Tuakau Bridge all along River road past our Beautiful Batkin Reserve from 100 Ks to 70Ks per hour i'm aware of Karl Flavels brother being killed on this road.</p> <p>WDC has the lowest Debt ratio of any council per population and one of the fastest population growths per population in NZ Our family have invested over \$19million in Tuakau in the past 15 years [now \$22 million] we are asking our governing body "The council" you guys to have our confidence and to fix all of the above by investing in Debt I would love to share any of the above requests with any of the WDC Councillors.</p> <p>Kind regards Neil Young</p>	Signage from State Highway - talk to NZTA/ railways and safety - this enquiry has been passed on to NZTA . They will be in touch with the submitter 3.5.2018

These comments have been approved by staff.  
As such they may change following Council deliberations.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	647	Bridget & Scott	Dowsett	River Road Vicinity Residents	Hamilton			<p>This submission is made on behalf of residents in the vicinity of River Road east of Ngaruawahia, specifically between Driver Road and Speedy Road.</p> <p>The general theme of this submission is to seek safer walking and cycling on River Road and connectivity to Horotiu and Ngaruawahia communities. This includes improved access to the Waikato River and the Te Awa cycle way.</p> <p>In this context we support the Long Term Plan (LTP) 'Vision': "Liveable, thriving and connected communities". Thus we have structured our submission around this Vision and related desired Community Outcomes, which are well aligned with the Local Government Act "well - being" objectives.</p> <p>1/ Current problems for residents.</p> <p>Our residents are in a rural environment with small pockets of rural residential development and in the main, the only way to connect to nearby Communities of Horotiu and Ngaruawahia is to travel by private motor vehicle (cars, utes etc.).The only public transport/bus service is the school buses, mainly into Hamilton Schools. River Road is 100 km/hr with narrow (or virtually no) road shoulder and for considerable lengths (hundreds of metres) there is no berm for pedestrians or cyclists to move along the road safely. Families and young children are not able to safely walk or cycle on the section of River Road between Ngaruawahia Township and Horotiu Bridge Road, if trying to access either Community, the Waikato River or Te Awa Cycle way.In the latter case, this has been frustrating for residents as we can see the new Te Awa Bridge crossing the Waikato River, but to access it or the Te Awa Cycle way, we have to drive several kilometres to the parking area on the western side of Horotiu Bridge. Some residents are only about a hundred metres from this cycle way or the River, but can't safely or easily access either. This lack of access means poor connectivity and less quality liveability and thriving community well-being.</p> <p>3. Liveable communities.</p> <p>The Long Term Plan refers to 'Liveable communities' as "well planned and people friendly, providing for ----- walk ways and open spaces" (page 4 LTP Consultation Document).Whilst this more focused on urban areas, it can and should be applied to rural areas of the District. Obviously in the rural area there generally are open spaces, but we also need walkways especially as we are close to urban areas (in this case Ngaruawahia).Council has been a partner in the 'Waikato Regional Walking &amp; Cycling Strategy'-----  <a href="https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Transportpolicy/Walking-and-cycling-strategy-for-the-Waikato-region/">https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/Council/Policy-and-plans/Transportpolicy/Walking-and-cycling-strategy-for-the-Waikato-region/</a> , and has been a partner in the 'Te Awa cycleway'. Thus Council should understand the social and health benefits of walkways and cycleways. We have engaged with Council staff about access to the River and Te Awa cycleway with limited success and in some cases no response; but have had good assistance from NZ Walking Access Commission <a href="http://www.walkingaccess.govt.nz">www.walkingaccess.govt.nz</a>The Commission have met with us and provided advice around "rights and responsibilities for Unformed Roads" - such as the one opposite Smith Road, from River Road to the River.</p> <p>4. Thriving communities.</p>	<p>Council thanks you for taking the time to submit against the LTP. The opportunity to work alongside our communities is welcomed and encouraged. We will review your submission and ideas to increase connectivity and improve safety and how it fits in with Councils overall trails strategy.</p>



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>The Long Term Plan refers to 'Thriving communities' as one in which residents can "participate in Council decision-making and community led projects, ---" (page 4 LTP Consultation Document). Recently representatives of this River Road vicinity community have made contact with Council staff, Councillors and Ngaruawahia Community Board members to engage about our connectivity problems and now to participate in this LTP decision making process. The residents have made it clear to those we have engaged with, that we are willing to help lead any relevant community project (e.g. direct access to the River) and provide working bee manual help (e.g. clearing vegetation or weeds such as blackberries).</p> <p>5. Connected communities The Long Term Plan refers to "Connectivity through ---- cycleways, walk ways ---enable ---engaging in activities together. By these means, people in connected communities access services and amenities that meet their social, health, education and employment needs " (page 4 LTP Consultation Document). The residents particularly seek their outdoor "social and health needs" being met, by safe cycleways and walkways. This would be best served by convenient (reasonably close) access to the River and Te Awa cycleway, however we recognise that this would have to be budgeted in the Long Term Plan and negotiation with adjoining land owners. Increased walking and cycling along River Road or the north east side of the Waikato River would benefit other communities including Ngaruawahia. We have noted that there is some provision for Cycleways in the Ngaruawahia Community from 2022 to 2027, but this is too far away in time for our community safety and it is also unlikely to be for rural cycleways. Thus we seek specific funding for cycleway access in our vicinity. In the short term this may not be "off road" (e.g. along the River bank), but Council will have to consider more "on road safety" under the recently published draft 'Government Policy Statement on Land Transport Funding' (2018 GPS) - <a href="https://www.transport.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Our-Work/Documents/Draft-GPS-2018.pdf">https://www.transport.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Our-Work/Documents/Draft-GPS-2018.pdf</a> Council has its own Road Safety policies and are a party to the 'Waikato Regional Road Safety Strategy' -- <a href="https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/Road-Safety-Strategy-FINAL-WEB.pdf">https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/Road-Safety-Strategy-FINAL-WEB.pdf</a> . It is also expected that Council are participating in the draft 'Waikato Regional Land Transport Plan' --- <a href="https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/RLTP/5827-Draft-RLTP-web.pdf">https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/Transport/RLTP/5827-Draft-RLTP-web.pdf</a> ; which has a strong road safety focus. This Plan has expectations that Waikato District Council will share in the programme delivery, to reduce deaths and serious injuries from road crashes. In summary we expect that Council will give focus on the road safety of this section of River Road and as well as lowering the speed limit (as potentially proposed in the near future) will undertake at least "Minor Safety Improvements" in their Local Roading Programme - to form a berm pathway or provide wider shoulders for pedestrians to safely walk along one side of River Road, especially near Smith Road and east of this.</p> <p>6. Conclusion The residents seek to work with Council to improve road safety on River Road and also provide reasonably convenient and safe access to the Waikato River, as well as ultimately Te Awa cycleway. Thus we seek</p>	



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>appropriate funding consideration in the Long Term Plan and collaboration from Council and its staff to facilitate meaningful connectivity for walking and cycling in our community vicinity .It is realised that full access along the Waikato River on the northeastern side from Ngaruawahia to the Te Awa river bridge, will take considerable resourcing and take a long time; but this type of programming over many years is recognised in the LTP Vision. In summary, in the short term (first 3 years of this draft LTP) we seek;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to the River via Formed and Unformed Roads</li> <li>• Access to Te Awa cycleway from these river access points and some form of river pathway from Smith Road (extension) eastwards</li> <li>• Safer road shoulder or berm width in the vicinity of Smith Road and east of this.</li> </ul> <p>We would appreciate the opportunity to explain our Community concerns to Councillors at the Long Term Plan hearings in mid May 2018.</p>	
Roading	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>2/ Heavy Traffic Bypass</p> <p>Future planning for Pokeno requires a heavy traffic bypass avoiding our main street to issue the desired ambience of Pokeno</p>	The roading team is working on a number of proposals for Pokeno road network including a roundabout at Munro Road and a bypass for heavy traffic.
Roading	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>3/ School Crossing</p> <p>We would like a dynamic changing speed sign to manage our children's safety on this dangerous road. We are still waiting for a pedestrian crossing to be installed. The current speed is 70kph; this is too high for the traffic volume and the nature of it considering we have over 200 children entering and exiting Pokeno School daily.</p>	The roading team is working on a number of proposals for Pokeno road network including a roundabout at Munro Road and a bypass for heavy traffic.
Roading	649	Mark	Brown	Life Unlimited				<p>Roads and Transport:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with Regional Council to ensure public bus service is accessible for people with disabilities. (minimal distances between bus stops, covered bus stops, low loading buses).</li> <li>• An example where transport could be improved is Raglan – Hamilton. The buses from Raglan all terminate at the Hamilton Transport Centre. There is no bus that directly travels to the Waikato Hospital, this makes travel awkward and added expense to people with disabilities and elderly.</li> <li>• Pathways – Allow for wide pathways and footpaths free of sign boards or other obstructions for sight impaired people, elderly, mobility scooters and wheelchair users (allow sufficient unobstructed pathways).</li> </ul>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	650	John	Mitchell		Taukau		Option 1	4/ Our collective impression, from the LPT presentation, was that the Alliance did not have enough money to do the work they have and we were extremely disappointed. The Alliance was originally going to be the answer to all our roading issues, or that is what we were lead to believe. There were discussions around how much more the Alliance would be able to achieve and that the level of maintenance would dramatically improve. In our collective opinion, based on what we have seen around our area, there are still major issues with roading, and it is becoming all too common to see roads requiring major structural works – for example where one side of the road has subsided and cannot be driven on, simply dealt with by placing an orange road cone on it.	The Alliance is delivering a significant programme of work within the fixed Lump Sum Budget . There are a number of Flood damage sites that occurred during Cyclone Debbie that have been coned off awaiting Council funding in Year 4 of the LTP. This Flood Damage is too large to be repaired from the Annual Maintenance Budget.
Roading	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno/ Traffic by pass for heavy transport	The roading team is working on a proposal for a bypass for heavy traffic.
Roading	657	Parekawhia	McLean	NZ Transport Agency				<p>Waikato District council and the NZ Transport Agency (Transport Agency) are party to a multi-party funding agreement (MPFA) in respect of the Tamahere Structure Plan and Waikato Expressway connectivity. The purpose of this MPFA is to set out a formal funding agreement for activities relating to the investigation and construction of roads in the vicinity of Tamahere and the Waikato Expressway Hamilton Section southern interchange. The MPFA sets out the agreed relationship of each agency's projects as follows:</p> <p>“3.5 On 20 November 2013 NZTA lodged a Notice of Requirement for an Alteration to Designation for the Hamilton Section of the Waikato Expressway (refer Attachment 5 for arrangement). The Southern Interchange of the Waikato Expressway Hamilton Section connects a large part of the Tamahere Country Living Zone to and from Hamilton and east and west Tamahere across the Expressway. The Southern Interchange relies on a connection to the Tamahere local road network including a connection to SH21 Airport Road.</p> <p>3.6 WDC have completed Plan Change 3, Tamahere Structure Plan, including alterations to the local road layout to connect to the proposed Waikato Expressway Hamilton Section Southern Interchange. On 20 November 2013 WDC lodged a Notice of Requirement for Land for the Tamahere East-West Connection (refer Attachment 5 for arrangement) to connect to the Southern Interchange and has acquired property required for part of that project.</p> <p>3.7 WDC intends to construct an alternative local road connection, the Devine Link Road (Refer Attachment 5 for likely arrangement), which will supersede the Newell Road connection to SH21 Airport Road. NZTA and WDC have agreed to ensure that there is sufficient land available for different intersection arrangements should it become desirable in future to provide additional capacity, reinforce the road hierarchy or provide for a possible realignment of Tamahere Drive. WDC is also preparing a Notice of Requirement for Alteration to Designation to accommodate the proposed Devine Road/SH21 intersection and provide for future intersection development.</p> <p>3.8 When completed, the Southern Interchange, Tamahere East-West Connection and Birchwood Lane Extension will operate as a single route for many Tamahere residents. WDC and NZTA wish to ensure that the road network (State Highways and local roads operating as one network) adequately provides for the safe movement of vehicles, pedestrians and</p>	Council acknowledges the submission from New Zealand Transport Agency and looks to continue its relationship and support for the Waikato Expressway via the MPFA for the Tamahere Structure Plan.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>cyclists taking into account changes in traffic patterns as a result of traffic growth and changes in connectivity.</p> <p>Following conversations with Waikato District Council staff the Transport understand that elements of the Waikato District work programme (as identified in the MPFA) are not included in Councils land transport programme - specifically:</p> <p>- Activity 9 - Birchwood Lane: Unformed section to Devine Road - Design and Construction required by 2019.</p> <p>The Transport Agency requests that all works identified in the MPFA are provided for in Council's LTP for the timeframes agreed. In addition it should also be noted that there are conditions within the designation for teh Waikato Expressway Hamilton Section and the Tamahere East-West link that require that:</p> <p>a) Prior to the designated Tamahere East-West Link Road being open to vehicles traffic connecting to the Hamilton Southern Interchange Birchwood Lane shall be completed to its intersection with Devine Road and be available for vehicular traffic. It shall be designed to the Tamahere Country Living Zone Collector Road standard, with appropriate facilities for pedestrians and cyclists.</p>	
Roading	698	kathryn	Gold			Option 4	Option 2	<p>1/ Wainui road walkway and bridge upgrade. This upgrade is urgent - 2023-26 is to long await. The council allowing Extensive Residential Development in Raglan West before this bridge is upgraded shows there is little respect or regard for the Raglan West ratepayers. The gridlock experienced over teh summer months is unsatisfactory to say the least as most of Raglans Visitors destination is the beach, surf and boating facilities.</p>	<p>As part of developing our capital works programme Council has to assess its projects for the entire district. Affordability limits what can be done in the short term. The opportunity exists to take the discussion to the community board and ask them to elevate the issue.</p>
Roading	698	kathryn	Gold			Option 4	Option 2	<p>4/ Roding. At present unsealed roads with heavy traffic have below average maintenance. As ratepayers we dont get a far go. Try living on one. Often these roads are dangerous to drive, more and more tourist are now driving the metal road route. Dont ignore the ratepayers on these roads because at present you are. WDC you need to up your game. No new seal very disappointing. Problem areas should be sealed, as even regular maintenance is not the answer. Discussion with people that live on these roads would be helpful for a better outcome.</p>	<p>The Waikato district has an unsealed network of 608 kilometres. It costs approximately \$500,000 to seal one kilometre of unsealed road. Sealing roads within the district would currently be unaffordable (each kilometre of road sealed adds more than one per cent extra to the general rate).</p> <p>Due to the completion of the Waikato Expressway, Waikato District Council will inherit around 100km of roads and four major bridges. The additional maintenance, operating and renewal costs for Waikato district as a result of this are estimated as rising to almost \$1m per year. As a result Council has decided to discontinue seal extensions, which cost 2.5 times that of an unsealed road to maintain, for the foreseeable future. This is so we can focus on maintaining our current roads and not overburden ratepayers with additional roading costs.</p> <p>Council will continue to develop their unsealed road strategy, this strategy will focus on vulnerable road users such as tourists and school bus routes. The intent of the strategy is to create value for money from a very tight budget. This includes undertaking product trails on troublesome sections of unsealed roads in order to provide some longevity in a cost effective manner.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>A particularly significant project identified in the Long-Term Consultation document is the reconfiguration of the former state highway through Huntly. Huntly has a strong W-T population, and WT is concerned to ensure that the township has a secure future post state highway revocation. That the social and economic risks associated with the bypass of the township are given due consideration and are actively planned for and mitigated.</p> <p>As set out earlier in this submission, strong inter-regional transport links are crucial to the economic success of the district including Huntly. Huntly is the central node of a much larger geographic area and as such, any transport business cases for the area need to have wider consideration of interconnection to other significant nodes, including but not limited to the Ruakura Inland Port, and other local townships. This will enable appropriate consideration of the access that is required to connect Huntly to the national highway network so it is not further isolated.</p> <p>W-T submits that it is appropriate that a full diamond interchange is provided through the current Waikato Expressway project to promote the development potential of the wider Huntly environs. A full diamond interchange signals to the wider public including developers the potential for the area and better enables industrial and commercial development in the area. Residential growth in Huntly will be supported by provision of a full diamond interchange due to the convenient transport connections, which also has a direct contribution to the creation of jobs, developing the well-being and economic success of the Waikato District. W-T acknowledge and support Councils engagement with the Huntly community with regards to the revocation process and request that this engagement is widened to consider all stakeholders views, including those who have development aspirations.</p> <p>W-T request a seat at that table to ensure that that W-T's voice on both the social and economic development fronts are heard.</p>	Council acknowledges the submission from Waikato-Tainui regarding the reconfiguration of the state highway through Huntly. The interchange remains high on Councils agenda and is appreciative of the support from Waikato-Tainui in this regard. Our staff and consultants will continue to work with you and the community to mitigate the social and economic risks.
Roading	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Building and Maintaining Infrastructure – High volume traffic. See attachment.	In relation to footpaths and safety concerns. Staff are looking at how they can best utilise available funds across the district for new footpaths. In conjunction with our safety engineer we will investigate your specific requests and see how we can incorporate into our future programmes.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roading	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				Roading The statements seem in conflict. It is said that, “An ‘Alliance’ with Downer NZ that provides more cost-effective roading”. Yet it is also said that, “Higher depreciation and lower dividends (we no longer have dividend income from a Council-owned roading company) mean we have to increase our general rate.” So, apparently Downer is costing more than Strada (after dividends), which is confirmed by the statement that, “About half the increase [in rates] is for transport and roading”, which will add \$1.8m from 2018/19, \$1.1m for emergency works, mainly to respond to storm damage. \$2.58m for permanent restoration of roads damaged a year ago for completion in 2021/22. There will be increases for water and refuse, so rises may be significant for some communities, ranging from 2.68% to 12.75% for properties of average value. This is relevant to the proposal to set up council controlled water under Watercare. Insufficient information is given to judge the merits of the change, but the conflicting statements about roading raise doubts that Watercare will be any more effective. Council will only have a minority of governors on the board and experience elsewhere is that CCOs are anything but council controlled.	No response required
Roading	730	Daniel	Rensburg					14/ A Pedestrian crossing in Helenslee At Pokeno school, Hillpark and Pokeno road at the schools and day-care centres. Crossing must be in 40km Zone and not in 70km Zone	The crossing and footpath network at this location will be addressed.
Roading	730	Daniel	Rensburg					16/ Speed limit be set to 50km/h instead of 40km/h in Hillpark Road/ Mark Ball road.	Thank you for your submission. The Speed Limit Bylaw will be out for consultation soon.
Roading	737	Peter	Findlay					I refer to the Proposed 2018 Long Term Plan, the link road proposed between Resolution Drive and Horsham Downs Road, and all related infrastructure improvements. The Village Church Trust supports the planning, design and construction of all works required to give effect to the same in the Proposed 2018 Long Term Plan, and to integrate the timing of such works with construction of the Waikato Expressway and the extension of Resolution Drive. The Village Church Trust wishes to present its oral submission to hearings related to the 2018 Proposed Long Term Plan.	The link road is included in our LTP. Affordability will still be dictated by the co-share to be provided by NZTA, which is still under consideration.
3 Waters Management - options	4	Andrew	Thompson		Huntly	Option 3	Option 3	1. Comments: Kia Ora, As a ratepayer and also a Waikato-Tainui Beneficiary it's important that I remain fully supportive of all issues relating to the Bi-Lateral arrangement between Waikato-Tainui and the Waikato District Council. In terms of my choice well it is and always will be in the best interests of Waikato-Tainui As my Iwi. The important factor for my family and I is knowing full well Waikato District Council will be held accountable and will be compliant to the Iwi as my thoughts are made clear in the Councils response that Option3 A Council Waters Company(CWC) is not the preferred option. as I read Comparing the options the benefits are significant. I would think it is important that the WDC consider making some sought of attempt to attend Waikato Tainui public forums to further explain these important points being very significant in allowing a better informed decision.	Both option 3 and the preferred option, option 4, have significant governance involvement from Waikato Tainui in for form of seats on the board or either structure.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters Management - options	6	Carl	Ammon					1/ Comments: As per the consultation advice I wish to elaborate on the following: Three waters proposals. - there is no detail provided to show how the savings will accrue to various options. Given the status quo and option 4 appear to be purely a structural separation exercise it is hard to see where the savings will accrue.	The savings come largely from procurement; bigger discounts due to the relative size of orders (such as with chemicals for water/wastewater treatment, lower consultancy costs due to a wider base of technical staff and an assumed level of staff savings. The detailed business case talks to the various areas where savings are expected.
3 Waters Management - options	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	3. Page 11 Sub Regional Initiatives I don't support the increase in this from \$70,000 to \$110,000 as on page 8 in the Three Waters Management your preferred options is to have a Water Governance Board and not to go with Share Waters Management Company with Hamilton and Waipa Councils. I don't see this Initiative working because the Councils don't seem to want to work together on initiatives.	It is necessary to increase our funding for sub-regional initiatives. Government is increasingly looking at engaging with councils through established forums either at a regional level (e.g. through the Waikato Plan) or the sub-regional level (e.g. through Future Proof) especially when addressing challenges associated with growth and the sustainable management of natural resources. Many councils speaking in one voice is more effective than individual council's addressing concerns with government. Sub-regional and regional collaboration is also important due to the functional linkages between many of our towns and villages in the region with both Hamilton and Auckland and the related cross-boundary issues.
3 Waters Management - options	26	Natalie	McKenzie		Pukekohe		Option 2	Comments: I am not in favour of any of the 4 options offered above re 'Three Waters' Management: Paying external contractors and consultants to deliver water infrastructure services is expensive and a waste of ratepayer's money. The council could review their current remuneration strategy and talent attraction and retention strategy to attract and retain the required talent, and in doing so deliver raised levels of water infrastructure services without incurring expensive implementation costs associated with establishing a separate organisation or board. Really people! If the external contractors and consultants can find the staff to do the council's job then SURELY the council is able to do so by being a little smarter about how they go about the business of employing talent! Perhaps you want to employ a professional Talent Acquisition Business Partner, such as myself, to pull this together for you. May common sense prevail!	Council has invested significant time and effort into thoroughly investigating the options presented. The projected savings have been well tested in multiple reports (Waikato Water Study Website) and confirmed by Councils Finance team.
3 Waters Management - options	28	Bill	Mitchelmore					Comments: I received the LTP consultation document today and I noticed a recommendation to enter into an arrangement with Watercare and Waikato-Tainui to establish a governance board arrangement. I have 2 questions which I hope you can help me out with as follows: 1. Who prepared the \$28.3M forecast savings and is that party willing to enter into a risk sharing arrangement to provide confidence that the forecast is achievable; and,	Council's proposed budget was shared with all relevant partners and potential savings were financially modelled by independent consultants. The business case was also reviewed by external providers with expertise in waters management, mergers and acquisitions. The savings are based on the lowest level scenario so are a conservative estimate.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters Management - options	28	Bill	Mitchelmore					Comments: I received the LTP consultation document today and I noticed a recommendation to enter into an arrangement with Watercare and Waikato-Tainui to establish a governance board arrangement. I have 2 questions which I hope you can help me out with as follows: 2. What experience does WaterCare possess in the provision of stormwater management services. (I understand that they have resisted overtures from Auckland City to get involved in stormwater management in Auckland for a number of years.) As a general comment I think Waikato DC is wise to align itself with WaterCare for the closed access water and wastewater network services. However, I am not so sure about the value of bundling in stormwater at this stage.	The most significant non-financial improvements that a relationship with Watercare would bring to WDC are in the areas of asset management and planning. While Watercare does not currently maintain Stormwater services in Auckland, they still bring valuable planning resource to the Waikato District that can be applied equally to our stormwater network.
3 Waters Management - options	168	Michael	Becker		Raglan	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: What confidence can we have in the projected costs and savings mentioned in the consultation document as associated with the various options? Is this an estimate from Council staff or external accountants?	Estimated costs are based on the significant forecasting assumptions provided as supporting information on council's website. Estimates are provided from a suitably qualified team, and will have had input from both internal and external parties where required.
3 Waters Management - options	169	David	Packer		Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: 1/ There is a danger that the new organisation does not deliver the stated savings. This will require competent Governance and an understanding of risk and who wears that risk. I am concerned by the statement that the 'Board would control all the operations and service delivery'. A Board should set the policy and govern and ensure that the organisation set up controls the operations and delivery of service. There appears to be a confusion of roles here. There is also a danger a separate Board gets too remote from the Council and the benefits are lost. I would favour this being managed by a Management Committee of the Council made up of the CEO, CFO, Chief Engineer and an IWI representative with the CEO responsible to the Council.	No response required
3 Waters management - options	312	Phil	Journeaux		Hamilton	Option 2		2/ Water Management: "Three Waters" Given the paucity of information provided, it is difficult to discern which option may be best. While the figures are provided as to estimated savings, the council record over many years on estimates of savings, or costs, is abysmal, so they can be safely ignored. The document indicates that the various rate increases on water supply, waste water and storm water are all well in excess of inflation over the next 10 years (again), so where exactly are these savings being made. If there are savings of \$20-28 million from the various options, why are rates increasing? The main requirement is to endeavour to provide a degree of efficiency in the supply of such services. None of the option indicate much in this area. I am opposed to the idea of a Council Water Company (option 3), and a Council-appointed Water Board (Option 4). Water services are already a monopoly, so distancing them further from ratepayer sanction will not improve efficiency. So by default, I would support Option 2, a Shared Waters Management Company, mainly on the basis it is the least worst option.	Savings forecast all options reflect added value to the service for the rate payer. The savings presented are in essence an offset from potential future increases to the volumetric water charge and the targeted rates.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	466	Greig	Metcalfe					Manage Water, Wastewater and Stormwater ('3 Waters') 4/ The submitter is supportive of Option 2, which is a shared waters management company with Hamilton City and Waipa District Councils. This is because a regional approach will ensure greater collaboration in the delivery of 3 waters assets and facilitate and enable the future growth which is expected to occur in the sub-region.	No response required
3 Waters management - options	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand				Manage Water, Wastewater and Stormwater ('Three Waters') 5.1 Property Council's previous submission on a Shared Waters Management Company for Hamilton City Council and Waipa District Council was in strong support. We believe a single organisation allows for better governance and a more strategic approach to decision-making and asset management. A consistent regional approach is best suited to manage and replace existing assets as well as to plan for an implement increased network capacity to facilitate and enable the ongoing growth expected in the region. Property Council is disappointed that Waipa District Council voted against the Shared Waters Management Company. 5.2 Given Waipa has ruled out a Shared Waters Management Company, our secondary preferred option would be option 3: establish a new Council Controlled Organisation (CCO) Council Waters Company. Option 3 is closely aligned with option 2, namely that a CCO is established to take ownership and responsibility for Council's assets and undertake all water operations and services. A CCO further provides more flexibility in terms of borrowing against its own balance sheet. 5.3 Option 3 proposes a Council Waters Company made up of Waikato Tainui and Watercare Services Limited to take ownership and responsibility of three water services in the Waikato region. Although Property Council supports option 3 (development of a CCO), we question whether Watercare are the right entity to manage stormwater, given they have no experience of doing so. We are concerned that this may result in increased costs and decreased overall savings. 5.4 Council should develop a robust business case to determine costs and savings associated with all options to ensure that the forecasted cost savings are accurate. The Property Council wishes to stress the importance of robust management of three waters. At this stage, there is no certainty that the cost savings of \$28.3m for option 3 and 4 will be achieved. We question the robustness of the forecasted cost savings and would prefer to see a robust business case to determine costs and savings associated with all options. This will help Council to determine whether the forecasted costs of creating new entities justify the savings.	The most significant non-financial improvements that a relationship with Watercare Services Ltd would bring to WDC are in the areas of asset management and planning. While Watercare does not currently maintain Stormwater services in Auckland, they still bring valuable planning resource to the Waikato District that can be applied equally to our stormwater network.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports Option 3 and Council's preferred option (Option 4) for how the three waters are managed across the Waikato District. However we recommend that when a final decision is taken by Council on a preferred option, that public health is not put at risk, and that local communities' access to safe potable drinking water is protected and assured.</p> <p>We request that Waikato DHB be identified as a key stakeholder in any three waters management agreement and ideally as a stakeholder required to be consulted with, particularly in regard to drinking water.</p> <p>Rationale</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports Option 3 and Council's preferred option (Option 4) for how the three waters are managed across the Waikato District as they incorporate iwi collaboration. These two options reflect a partnership approach which is critical to improved Māori health outcomes. However, we do appreciate that a range of assessment criteria need to be used to determine Council's preferred option.</p> <p>We recommend that when a final decision is taken by Council on a preferred option, that public health is not put at risk, and that local communities' access to safe potable drinking water is protected and assured.</p> <p>Water is a fundamental determinant of health and life and water management decisions have the potential to impact on access to and quality of municipal water supplies, stormwater drains and sewerage.</p>	No response required
3 Waters management - options	564	David	Whyte					<p>Water Services and Contracting/sub-contracting</p> <p>It is funny how water savings by going to water meters has resulted in a price increase within the first year. It is also difficult to get answers from the council. Since the job is done by a contractor. The contractors then employ sub-contractors to get the job done. So with so many layers it is impossible to get information back out. The buck is always pushed down the line. It took the council over a year to figure out that their contractors, sub-contractor didn't have a gardener for Huntly. Thus residents had to put up with a crappy service, and no doubt the council paid the contractor for services they didn't receive. (Love to see that money clawed back to keep the rates down – are we writing our contracts to allow for these contract breaches with no financial consequence to the contractor?) So what this tells me about council, is that they have an inability to actually know what is going on, once things get sub-contracted out, by their contractors. Secondly the service provided by the new Huntly main-street team, is much poorer than before. What this tells me is that companies that say they can save the council money, do it by providing a poorer service to the communities involved. Sure the money can be easily put on a spreadsheet. But the poor service that is provided, the inability to find out who exactly is providing the service, and the inability for residents to have any effective feedback mechanism does not appear to be worth it as the apparent 'savings are not being passed onto rate payers. Therefore any option which creates distance and layers of bureaucracy that impede the flow of information from the community to the service provider, is clearly going to result in a lower service.</p> <p>Recommendation: Therefore I recommend that option 1 for water services is used. Because the council has clearly demonstrated by its actions, that even though cash may be saved, the quality of service provided to residents will be much poorer.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	582	Anton	Marais			Option 1	Option 2	I wasn't able to get a full understanding of what drives the proposed savings for the Waters CCO. Both CCO options have the same saving but different implementation costs, I am not able to determine if the implementation cost is included in the savings. I tried to find out what % of operational cost the \$28,3 M actually is. Its a number that sounds big but without a reference to capital and operational costs is misleading only looking at a single number. The Annual plan documents have reference to the 3 waters cost as do the supporting documents in the LTP. I figure that this is about a 4 - 5.2 % saving with the CCO. I think that institutional knowledge can not have a value, I would say it is greater than 4 -5 %. I also think that having a 3rd party managing assets reduced first hand knowledge, and this leads to reduced transparency for residents. Another aspect not listed as a risk is association with a 3rd party and their organizational reputation, I would say that Water Care has been receiving some fairly negative press. I read several times that the Rooding Alliance is resulting in savings, however the amount is not stated. The LTP is planning an increase in Rooding budget by \$1.8m. It would be good information to know if the Rooding Alliance is producing saving in line with the original Alliance business case. The benefit table has a line that is - More effective partnership with iwi to achieve improved environmental outcomes - I would like to understand what this is actually about and how this can not be addressed in the same way for all options. Environmental outcomes (depending on what these actually are ), in my mind do not have any dependencies on the how the 3 waters are managed, they are strategic and resource issues. Some of the benefits such as the better procurement, in status quo, this is N/A. I understand the LASS and various all of government can offer a lot of cost reductions. I only partially understand some of the complexities and issues WDC currently faces. I also do not understand all of the complexities around the technology. The only comment I can make is that the information and options presented are severely skewed against the option councils chooses and therefore appears that the decision is already made. If there was an option for not sure then I would choose this. There is not really enough information to make an considered choice. Thanks	Yes the implementation costs are factored into the savings. There a number of detailed reports contained on the Waikato Water study website <a href="http://www.waterstudywaikato.com">www.waterstudywaikato.com</a> .
3 Waters management - options	585	Ken	Wood		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	The Water / Wastewater management should continue to be managed within the council as it currently is .The 28 Million dollar saving (option 4) is just advertising bolster, two extra profit taking levels of management are now added so how is it possible to save any money ? the only way is to reduce services or maintenance - Anyone hear of how Toll holding reduced the railroad to be almost derelict . Is this just a way of distancing the council from the rate payers / lawyers in times of trouble as in Havelock North ?. It is not the management layer that needs bolstering it staff on the ground with better access to training. Better communication with other councils re compliance. better negotiated purchase rates. Learning not to take the lowest quote , but to do some diligence to secure a correctly costed project.	All options look to leverage savings through improved procurement strategies afforded through an increase in scale. Further savings would be sought though increasing staff skill, access to improved management systems and resources and a reduced reliance of external companies for support.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	596	Bruce	Cameron			Option 4	Option 1	5/ The three waters I have concerns about the establishment of the proposed water governance board. The council history with Strada gives me little confidence and I fear a repeat. The proposal to use the reserve fund to provide water meters to 121 properties at cost of \$46100k, which equates to \$3,519 per property, is an unjustifiable use of the reserves. If this is going to be the philosophy on expenditure for the three waters then I can see future rate increases. Savings of \$28million are forecasted over 10 years but there are no figures showing to provide proof of this. Recommendation Very, very tentatively support option 4 but would need to see a very robust watchdog of the board. It becomes another tier of governance removed from the councillors.	All options presented in the consultation document have been thoroughly developed and independently reviewed. Council is confident in the findings and in our ability to achieve the forecasted savings, operational efficiencies, and resilience.
3 Waters management - options	599	Tamahere Community Committee	Tamahere Community Committee			Option 4	Option 2	2/. THREE WATERSa. Support for Option 4.b. TCC notes the savings identified of \$28.3 million over 10 yearsc. TCC requests that Council annually directly measures the savings made and reports to ratepayers on them and identifies changes to the LTP target and any reasons for change	The plan that is adopted will be inclusive of any saving and depending on which option is adopted the reporting frameworks will be different. There are legislative reporting requirements of council controlled organisations. If the preferred option is approved then there will be a contract in place with reporting requirements. In terms of information reported to the public, variations against key financials are reported to the Strategy & Finance Committee quarterly, and monthly updates on work programmes are reported to the Infrastructure committee along with any formal requests for contract variations. The Annual Report provides commentary around key financial and non-financial data against LTP targets.
3 Waters management - options	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				On 'how we manage our three waters', the risk and uncertainty outlined in the LTP for preferred Option 4 would suggest that further research is necessary. On this basis, the Ngaruawaahia Community Board chose Option 1 to reflect the information and decision of Council as part of the amendment to LTP 2015-2025 via Annual Plan 2017/2018.	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>W-T are actively considering the development of 730 hectares of industrial / commercial development in Meremere, and would like to partner with Council to achieve integrated master-planning which achieves the widest possible benefits for the Waikato District. The three water services planned for Meremere need to go beyond what is set out in the draft 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy and the Consultation Document. The current three waters plans set out for Meremere focuses on investment which enables the renewal of the existing wastewater treatment plant discharge consent, which does not enable development of a scale that W-T envisages and given the likely future industrial / commercial demand in Meremere.</p> <p>W-T submit that Council needs to better understand the master-planning and development outcomes for areas (including Meremere and Huntly) areas before locking in a 30-year view for infrastructure in areas highlighted for growth, either by Council or third parties.</p> <p>W-T submit in support of Option 3: Council Waters Company (with Waikato-Tainui and Water Care Services Ltd)</p> <p>Addressing water consumption, quality and management, supported by highest targets and measures for restoration is key to achieving the Vision and Strategy for the Waikato River, born of the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010.</p> <p>In relation to the delivery of resources and services within the rohe of Waikato-Tainui, the tribal blueprint 'Whakatupuranga 2050' provides clear direction on how to best advance the social development of our people in partnership with the Waikato District Council. They include;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The reaffirmation of our treaty relationship with the Crown to;</li> <li>2. Address social issues of Education, Health, Employment, Housing, etc.</li> <li>3. Co-management with government agencies on the allocation and delivery of resources and services in our rohe; and</li> <li>4. Reviewing and monitoring the effectiveness of service provision to our people.</li> </ol> <p>The Waikato-Tainui Environmental Plan also indicates a desire to become more involved in decision-making and allocation of water throughout the Waikato as a part of Waikato-Tainui's Mana Whakahaere – Joint-Management Agreement with the Waikato District Council.</p>	No response required
3 Waters management - options	712	Andrew	Feierabend	Meridian Energy				<p>Water Services. The Council has identified 4 options. Meridian sees the water services as a core council function, and must be fully integrated into the planning function, particularly when there is high urban growth projected. To this end, retaining ownership, control and accountability is important; by the same token, ensuring the governance is appropriately qualified to provide strategic direction is essential. These requirements appear to be captured with option 4.</p>	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters management - options	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust	Taupiri			<p>Firstly "The Council commissions a business case to investigate the risks and benefits for four different options for managing out water(s) services" page 6. Kindly advise who undertook this investigation and how much was paid? Secondly, option 1 - "This is still a workable solution and involves no new setup costs" - "but debt levels will rise". If this is the case then why have any change at all? the statement about debt, kindly provide a copy of the budget to prove this. Including all income and debt repayment including interest and structure.</p> <p>Option 2 - "We establish a company" why a company? What about types of entities whereby a mutual association is involved?</p> <p>"The larger operation would offer greater efficiencies and be able to attract and offer the expertise needed" Are you joking? Just look at the Auckland supercity - what a shambles!</p> <p>Option 3 - "Waikato Tainui would be offered board representation as a key partner. Does this mean that they have a vote at the table? If this is the case, I did not see any representatives from Tainui standing for election in the last local body elections. Only elected officials should be able to vote. If this group were to be given a vote, this means why did any elections take place and that a section of control of the use of assets involved has been given away to a party without due electoral process. Why have elections then?</p> <p>Option 4 - "We establish a Council appointed Waters Governance Board, including Waikato Tainui representation". Again same as before only duly elected members should serve on the board. My recollection serves me to say that I do not remember any of Tainui standing at the last local body elections, so I have assumed that they would not have a vote at the table. Kindly confirm this.</p>	<p>The information you have requested is publicly available on the water study website <a href="http://www.waterstudywaikato.org.nz">www.waterstudywaikato.org.nz</a>. Items raised in your submission such as the types of entity (why a company?) were evaluated through the process. Iwi are valued partners within Local Government and the intent of these relationships is supported by law. Having representation on the board would not override the council (elected members) as ultimate decision makers, but would give rise to more holistic information to aid effective decision making, the detailed reports explain this relationship.</p>
3 Waters Management - options	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				<p>Federated Farmers does not support Option 3, the establishment of a new Council Controlled Organisation (CCO).</p> <p>Federated Farmers primary concern is the lack of clarity around the ongoing commitment of ratepayer funds to any new CCO, and the lack of transparency and accountability for use of ratepayer funds now and in the future that may result from a CCO structure set up at 'arm's length' to the elected council.</p> <p>The core issue is the CCO structure attempts to run a private sector model, with public sector funding. Whereas in the private sector is held to account by the profit imperative, the public sector has democratic accountability, and needs transparency to achieve this. In Federated Farmers view CCOS at their worst dilute accountability and inhibit transparency.</p> <p>The Council preferred Governance Board option seems, on the face of it, to have some merit, however we are concerned that the significant forecast cost savings have not been quantified in any way. It would be in the best interests for Council to have submitters making fully informed decisions on this important matter. To achieve that submitters, needed a better understanding of how the cost savings and the significant improvements identified in the table on page 8 will actually be achieved.</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>9. Federated Farmers provides tentative support for Option 4.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	47	Shane and Donna	Lovell					Comments: We would like to put forward a submission regarding the Rural Environmental Enhancement Overlay Area. Our Vision is to make this area a thriving, liveable community by releasing land that is no longer economically viable but would be beneficial to the wider community and council to make the land sub dividable. Benefits to allowing country side living in the EEOA • By doing this on uneconomical blocks i.e. - under 10 hectares this will protect the larger blocks of land for cropping, beef, sheep and dairy. • Residents and ratepayers will get value for money with the development of this uneconomic land as there will be no development costs to the council but increased financial revenue with firstly the council contribution for subdivision and then on going rates revenue. • This will benefit the local economies of Tuakau and Pukekohe with the creation of extra jobs and opportunities with the building of new housing. This will support existing industry and the community retailers as the new residents will shop locally, an ongoing benefit to the whole wider community. • Location between Hamilton and Auckland for work, close to the motorway access points i.e., Beaver Road and Bombay on ramps as well as being accessible to the train routes from Pukekohe. • Our local education sector will benefit by bringing in new younger families to the primary schools, at this point in time the local schools are only filled because of out of zone children. Our proposal is to allow blocks in the EEOA area under the size of 10 Hectares the right to sub divide with the benefits above being maximised as stated for the council and wider community.	At the time of your submission the District Plan was being drafted in accordance with feedback received through previous District Plan-specific community engagement sessions. However your feedback has been sent to the District Plan team. The District Plan will be notified in July 2018. Should you feel that your issues are not addressed we urge you to make a submission on the Proposed District Plan once it is notified.
Strategic Planning	171	Peter	Tancock		Hamilton			Comments: Submission to the Long Term Plan. 2018 - 2028. Change of regulations for Sub Division In the Waikato District Council area of Tauwhare and others to a Residential classification. * The present Government has put a high priority on the building of thousands of residential buildings, through out the country in order to cope with the acute shortage of community houses available. * Land available for this purpose has its present building regulation re classified to Residential Status. District Councils have been encouraged to do so by the present Government. * In the Waikato Regional area neighbouring Districts, bordering WDC have changed or considering change, the status to Residential in order to increase allowances for more houses to be built in the community providing accommodation for this demand. * The village of Tauwhare, is a very sort after area. New housing estates have been sold quickly. Older houses entering the market sell quickly. There are families demanding houses in this area. * Resource Consent for Sub Division in the area at present is behind the times, which prevents new houses being built. * The size of ground area demanded for Sub Division of houses varies considerably. * I submit that the Resource Consent amend classification for the Tauwhare and other similar area, to that of Residential and DECREASING the area demanded as at present, freeing up much needed land as directed by Government * It is imperative early action on this submission be given, in order to achieve similarity to actions of neighbouring District and City Councils. Thank you.	Council has an identified settlement pattern it wishes to advance to ensure that growth within the district happens in a sustainable and affordable manner. Many of these areas (Tuakau, Pokeno, Te Kauwhata, Ngaruawahia, Taupiri, Horotiu, Te Kowhai) already have significant amounts of land identified for housing. When we plan our district we need to plan it in a way which ensures that we grow the district in a way that provides economies of scale in our growth nodes to make the provision of services affordable. We also need to manage the cross boundary impacts of growth so that it does not compromise the future growth of Hamilton city. Tauwhare is unfortunately not a growth node for us.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			<p>Comments: Dear Councillors, We commend you on the work done so far for the betterment of our great Waikato District. The people of Tuakau are rite behind your efforts to develop the town &amp; surrounding districts for the benefit of all. This must be done in such a way as to benefit &amp; protect all of the facets of this plan relating to different &amp; sometimes competing wishes of the residents &amp; land owners.</p> <p>The points that we wish to address are:  1/ Reverse sensitivity with placement of Residential Developments alongside Industrial Developments.</p>	The reverse sensitivity issue is being dealt with through the District Plan Review. At the time of your submission the District Plan was being drafted in accordance with feedback received through previous District Plan-specific community engagement sessions. However your feedback has been sent to the District Plan team. The District Plan will be notified in July 2018. Should you feel that your issues are not addressed we urge you to make a submission on the Proposed District Plan once it is notified.
Strategic Planning	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			<p>4/ Tuakau needs further development of basic infrastructure in order to be able to absorb the spill over effect of new residents wishing to settle in the town.</p>	Tuakau is an important and strategic growth area for Council. Council acknowledges the need to provide not only network infrastructure but also social infrastructure (community facilities, education facilities, open spaces etc.) to accommodate growth. We have developed a structure plan (which will be given effect to in Council's Proposed District Plan to be notified in July). We will also be undertaking additional planning for the town (through a master plan) by taking a more holistic view of what is required to ensure that the town is able to grow in a connected and complete manager.
Strategic Planning	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			<p>5/ The importance of good, common sense planning that is realistic &amp; based on the pretext of "How Can We Help You, rather than How Can We Hinder You".</p>	We absolutely support the importance of good, common sense planning. Our planning ethos is indeed based on a 'how we can help you' ethos but it is important that this is viewed in accordance with what is the best way to grow our district in a sustainable and affordable manner rather than through a laissez faire approach where everyone can do what they like. Such an approach will result in perverse outcomes for the environment, for our communities and for Council. Council is committed to building liveable, thriving, connected and complete communities and to do this, we have to plan smartly and in a joined up manner with our partners and other service providers.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			6/ The development of quality residential zones that create a climate suitable for residents to want to make Tuakau their long term home.	Council is whole-heartedly committed to creating quality residential environments. This is why, through the Tuakau Structure Plan, which will be given effect to through the District Plan Review we have provided the opportunity for Council to work with developers in Tuakau in creating a thriving, liveable, connected and complete community appropriately serviced not only by network infrastructure but also by social infrastructure (schools, medical facilities, open spaces etc.). Our Proposed District Plan will also identify specific objectives and policies for growth and development in Tuakau that will help achieve Council's vision for the town. We will also be undertaking further work in Tuakau by looking at doing a master plan that will further identify opportunities for creating a complete and connected town and looking at the connections between Tuakau, Pokeno and Pukekohe.
Strategic Planning	383	Dale	Walters		Te Kauwhata			I would like to propose that the minimum Net Lot size for sections in the Te Kauwhata Living Zone be returned to 400m2 as it was pre-2011. The reason for suggesting this change is that most of the original 1/4 Acre sections are too big for modern lifestyles but aren't quite big enough to subdivide for infill housing. On face value a section 960-1020m2 should be easily subdivided under the current rules, but it isn't as a driveway providing access to a rear site doesn't contribute to the Net Lot size of a section. A minimum Lot size of 400-420m2 would solve this. Infill housing is desirable to both the council and the environment, as new development can tap into existing infrastructure, without increasing the spread of the town and its burden on the environment. These original 1/4 Acre sections are typically on higher ground with a lot of sun and could be better utilized for the increased housing pressures Te Kauwhata is facing. Increasing the population in the center of town would also benefit local businesses and facilities (golf club, fitness center, etc.) with increased patronage.	At the time of your submission the District Plan was being drafted in accordance with feedback received through previous District Plan-specific community engagement sessions. However your feedback has been sent to the District Plan team. The Proposed District Plan will be publicly notified in July for submission. If you feel that the issue you have raised still warrants attention then we urge you to make a submission on the Proposed District Plan. The growth planning that Council has done for Te Kauwhata (both through the Te Kauwhata Structure Plan and the Lakeside Development Private Plan Change) has been done through extensive community consultation.
Strategic Planning	466	Greig	Metcalfe					We would like to acknowledge Waikato District Council (WDC) for supporting young people in your district to be part of the Enviroschools network since 2001. Thanks to this long-term support there is now a network of 25 Enviroschools in your district that are part of a larger network of 173 in the Waikato region. This network is also supported in partnership with Waikato Regional Council; Hamilton City Council; the South Waikato, Waipa, Hauraki, Matamata-Piako, Taupō, Otorohanga, Waitomo, and Thames-Coromandel District Councils; Waikato Kindergarten Association, Central Kids Kindergartens and New Shoots. Due to increasing community demand for Enviroschools, this submission requests that WDC work with Waikato Regional Council (as the coordinating agency for Enviroschools) to invest in the further growth and development of the Waikato District Enviroschools network. As part of our submission we have included some background material and key figures about Enviroschools for your information.	Council has noted the submitter's support of Te Kowhai being a targeted growth area. The submitter will be aware that Te Kowhai already has a structure plan (Plan Change 17) so there may not be a need to undertake further planning for Te Kowhai just yet. A detailed structure plan is also envisaged to be done by the developer for the Metcalfe block so Council looks forward to seeing this with respect to the area being zoned "Village Zone" but with the flexibility to enable greater intensification should services be able to be provided in the future. However Council will consider the efficacy of doing another plan for Te Kowhai as part of its master planning programme for key towns in its district and in accordance with available budget.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	466	Greig	Metcalfe					3/ The submitter is also supportive of Council's proposal to fund the review of the District Plan. The Waikato District is experiencing rapid growth, therefore it is important the review of the District Plan occurs and that it provides a framework for this growth to be realised.	<a href="#">Council has also noted the submitter's support for the District Plan Review budget.</a>
Strategic Planning	572	Details withheld	Details withheld		Raglan	Option 2	Option 2	Development in and around Raglan is controlled by a small number of individuals; the Council should not serve the interests of influential individuals at the expense of public good, particularly with regard to planning decisions. Development needs to be contained and managed so that basic services and infrastructure can catch up whilst maintaining the character of Raglan and enhancing natural amenity.	Council is very mindful of the development aspirations of some developers in Raglan. Council is committed to ensure that all its towns and villages grow in a connected and complete (holistic) manner which also provides for people's wellbeing through appropriate network and social infrastructure provision. This also means that growth needs to be centred in and around the existing urban footprint of the town rather than dispersed and unconnected. Council is currently working with the community on the review of its Raglan Naturally community plan as this will help inform how the town should grow in the future.
Strategic Planning	591	Janine	Hayward		Hamilton	Option 2	Option 2	We would also like to request further detail about 1) the rules for placing an auxiliary dwelling on a residential site (are these changing?) and 2) what is the update with the rezoning of HT1 (Kay Road) - when will this be? Thank you	<p>The new rule provision in the Proposed District Plan permits relocated dwellings so long as they are attached to the foundation within 7 days of arrival at the new site. The building consent takes care of all other matters so resource consent and bonds aren't required. Note: customers will not be able to rely on this permitted rule for some time to come – not until decisions are released (after hearings) – some time well into 2019.</p> <p>With regards to HT1 (Kay Road) – this land has been earmarked to be transferred to Hamilton city in 2045. However Waikato District Council is currently working with Hamilton City Council to review the Strategic Agreement on Future Urban Boundaries between the two councils which guide the timing of land identified for transfer to the city. The Agreement will be reviewed to allow for greater flexibility for the transfer - based on the city's need for the land (and based on agreed triggers associated with growth requirements) as opposed to a date. This would mean that HT1 could be transferred earlier than 2045 should the city be ready to develop it to meet its growth needs. Right at the moment, the city does not need this land as it is focussing on other growth nodes.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	2/ Page 11 of your consultation document talks about master planning for growing communities, Ngaruawahia does NOT feature in any of this information, housing infrastructure does not refer to Ngaruawahia.	The reason why Ngaruawahia has not been identified as a town that needs a master plan is because it recently had a structure plan (Plan Change 17) which was developed together with Taupiri, Glen Massey and Horotiu. We believe that this structure plan is still relevant and the growth and associated land use identified is appropriate as it was informed by extensive community engagement. Council is mindful though that growth needs to be monitored so if we feel that there is a need for further planning to be undertaken in Ngaruawahia in the future, we will do so.
Strategic Planning	612	Barbara	Barrand		Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	2/ The proposed supermarket needs to be built this year so other improvements can follow and other shops etc.	Council is working with the developer and Progressive Enterprises on the supermarket in Pokeno. We acknowledge your support for such a supermarket. However we want to make sure that the supermarket is located in a precinct that you can be proud of and that will provide a number of related facilities and services that will bring the community together. We are currently doing some planning work for this and we expect to finalise this with the Pokeno Community Committee soon.
Strategic Planning	612	Barbara	Barrand		Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	4/ Tired of hearing LTP lets have a STP and some action! PS - very disappointed that sections are being built on the Totara area in PVE that was, we were told earmarked for shops/community space etc. Smacks distinctly of profit over people!	No response needed as the comment is not clear.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	646	Neil	Young	Young Group of Companies Tuakau Hotel				<p>IN A Nutshell WDC</p> <p>1/To all of the Councillors WDCHave you driven to Auckland Lately? Point 1 Auck Council and WDC are Using the same Growth rates of 700 new people per year for Pukekohe area and Tuakau/Pokeno We have 3 times greater growth on a per NZ popoulation basis. 55 years ago when Young Family came here to Pukekohe there was 4,500 people in Pukekohe Currently has 28,000 people [2017 now 30,000] expecting 300,000 in 33 years time From Wesley collage, Belmont and Anselme Ridge over next 3 yrs 2000 houses, equates to 5000 new people.From Tuakau south to Pokeno another 2000 houses 5000 people This combined in the next few years = 10,000 increase Our rail system was designed over 140 years ago. The English designers expected the population to be 12 to 20 million people by now. The slow growth made passenger trains uneconomical, then in the 1970s the service stopped</p> <p>Now that fuel is around \$2 per litre and our roads clogged with greater Auckland population reaching 1.8 million people it's time to reinvent the Railway wheel and let the train do what it was designed to do 140 years ago So let's fix housing shortage and transport get people on the train to Tuakau pokeno Huntley right through to Hamilton and fro,m Pukekohe/Tuakau to Auckland now at 6.30am 1 hour on the Train.2.Our main st George st shopping areas has a natural ring rd around it Liverpool st- St Stephens Ave - jelico rd-West st for through traffic and truck By Pass.</p> <p>This would help with safety for pedestrians Slow vehicle speed with two more raise walkways across George St Stop minor accidents by adding Roundabouts at both end particularly at Tuakau Hotel corner [the give way sign control is on the wrong corner]3Rename Central Part to "Sir Edd hillary" park for obvious reasons Pay tribute to the 60th anniversary of Sir Edd first person to climb the worlds highest mountain Sir Edd live in Tuakau most of his life.</p> <p>The confusion of having two parks with similar names "Central Park and "Centennial Park" would give special meanings to both.</p>	<p>Council is presently working on a Strategic Business Case on Transport Connections between Hamilton and Auckland together with key partners such as the Auckland Council, Auckland Transport, the Regional Council, Kiwi Rail, NZTA and relevant government ministries such as the Ministry of Transport. Connectivity between Pokeno, Tuakau and Pukekohe is central to the efficient movement of people and connection of our communities in the north of our district. The establishment of a rail platform in Tuakau is important for Council to prepare for a potential passenger rail service between Hamilton to Auckland. This is why we have committed \$511,000 in the long term plan for refurbishing such a facility. Such as facility will also need to be supported by a park and ride facility. Once we have undertaken the costings for such a facility we will be able to make a case to government for funding in support of a future train service.</p>
Strategic Planning	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	11/ Please assess our people spaces and revue the Public Places Bylaw for the Northern towns particularly for us in Pokeno	We have noted your request to have the Public Spaces Bylaw reviewed.
Strategic Planning	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>13/ Supermarket</p> <p>We confirm approval of a supermarket coming to Pokeno , however we wold like Pokeno Community Committee into mitigation of the consent to insure to the village and people's values of the whole of Pokeno are considered appropriately and the main street does not become a box retail or 2 dollar shop retail area. Please remember we have a Main Street design guide line and our resident's expectations of serious input into this design</p>	<p>Council is working with the developer and Progressive Enterprises on the supermarket in Pokeno. We acknowledge your support for such a supermarket. However we want to make sure that the supermarket is located in a precinct that you can be proud of and that will provide a number of related facilities and services that will bring the community together. We are currently doing some planning work for this and we expect to finalise this with the Pokeno Community Committee soon.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	14/ Pokeno Master Plan We need a master plan for Pokeno which includes Our Market Square. We would like to plan for a pedestrian only community space from the Pokeno Hall to the Train Station with all community Facilities opening on to this.	Council intends to develop a master plan for Pokeno which not only considers the CBD footprint but also the existing and proposed residential development and the types of infrastructure and services required to build a complete and connected community.
Strategic Planning	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	17/ In Pokeno we are behind in planning for people, spaces, roading, infrastructure and connectivity. Also buses, traffic, tourists, park and ride which hampers retail and adds to congestion.	Your concerns are noted. Council intends to develop a master plan for Pokeno which not only considers the CBD footprint but also the existing and proposed residential development and the types of infrastructure and services required to build a complete and connected community.
Strategic Planning	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno: Main street design ,poeno residents to have more say , supermarket	Your concerns are noted. Council intends to develop a master plan for Pokeno which not only considers the CBD footprint but also the existing and proposed residential development and the types of infrastructure and services required to build a complete and connected community.
Strategic Planning	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno: market squarer development,	Council is working with the developer and Progressive Enterprises on the supermarket precinct which also takes into consideration the market square area. We want to make sure that we create a CBD precinct that you can be proud of and that will bring the community together. We are hoping to announce details of this once we undertake further engagement with the Pokeno Community Committee.
Strategic Planning	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	Supporting targeted growth in targeted areas• maintaining existing assets• modernising infrastructure where it is proven to do so in Raglan• doing more within the existing budgets• move the costs of providing a service to those who use them (parking fees on public spaces). The Raglan Community board concurs with the Audit New Zealand statement that this LTP fairly represents the community matters proposed for the inclusion in this LTP.Community Outcomes and Goals resonate well within our review of our community plan Raglan Naturally.The decision of how we manage our Three Waters into the future this board agrees that;Option 4 the Water Governance Board would be the best fit for our community.The Re-balancing ratepayer contributions to the General Rate, this board agrees with;Option 3 to reduce the UAGC to \$286.50.The Raglan Community Board supports planning for Liveable Thriving and Connected Communities;Planning for growth: 1/ Master planning, should include Raglan as the pressure from tourism is impacting on our infrastructure to cope in the peak periods,	We have noted your desire for Raglan to be considered for a master plan. Council will consider this through a master plan programme for some of its towns and villages once funding for such an exercise is committed through the LTP.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Strategic Planning	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	2/ Sub regional initiatives, with the introduction of the Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study we should be included in the growth management plan	Yes, with Raglan being a major visitor destination within our district we have always considered its importance to the sub-regional economy in regional planning initiatives. We will continue advocating for the interest of Raglan in such forums as well as raising issues that are pertinent to the sustainable and affordable growth of Raglan. Council awaits with interest the recommendations from the Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study.
Strategic Planning	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	4/ District plan review, engagement and consultation is the key to success .	Yes, we absolutely agree that engagement and consultation is the key for the District Plan Review. We have been through an extensive engagement process over the past 18 months and once the District Plan is notified in July this year there will be an opportunity for members of the public to make a formal submission. Council has to balance conflicting priorities when it comes to growth we cannot always satisfy everyone. However, we urge you to make a submission on the Proposed District Plan when it is notified if you feel strongly about something. We will be allowing 60 working days (more than the statutory requirement) for the public to make a submission as we acknowledge that this is a very important stage of the process.
Strategic Planning	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	13/ Planning for growth: as above the Wainui Bridge and the introduction of PPC12.	Yes, any further growth planning for Raglan will need to consider the limitations of the existing Wainui Road Bridge if there is to be any further growth in the town.
Strategic Planning	730	Daniel	Rensburg					10/ We propose A Supermarket be built in Pokeno by a larger company like Pack and Save, Foodtown, Countdown, or New World and not smaller vendors. 700 current households, and a further 800 houses to be built in Hitchens division would sustain . This will also be closer than Pukekohe for neighbouring towns.	Council is working with the developer and Progressive Enterprises on the supermarket in Pokeno. We acknowledge your support for such a supermarket. However we want to make sure that the supermarket is located in a precinct that you can be proud of and that will provide a number of related facilities and services that will bring the community together. We are currently doing some planning work for this and we expect to finalise this with the Pokeno Community Committee soon.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates Increase	33	Ron	Gibson		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	<p>Comments: In support of my views on your Long Term Plan I submit my letter as follows. You may as well ask why I don't submit views at one of your Hearings. I am not a public speaker and find I have more understanding of the topics when I can study them at my leisure and put my thoughts in writing; as I am sure many others do. I am not confident at speaking in public.</p> <p>1/ As a pensioner I am understandably reluctant to see any increase in rates in any form what-soever. A proposed increase of nearly 10% is not on. The pension goes up by just the inflation rate every year and when this is my sole source of income (except for a miserly interest on my very meagre savings) any increase erodes my spending power and ability to maintain my living style. If rates increases continue at the current rate then there will come a time in the future when all of our pension will be required just to pay rates. If Council cannot budget to increase their spending by just the inflation rate each year then they are not fit to be running the finances. For goodness sake, you are raking in a substantial increase in the rates take each year with the surge in housing and the development of more land for housing plus you are charging ridiculous fees for permits etc. Maybe this is user pays which I will come to shortly regarding water rates. If you cannot afford to buy then you go without has been my philosophy for life and if Council adopted the same philosophy then we would all be better off. I shudder when I see any mention of a fuel tax to pay for services. I escaped from Auckland Supercity to get away from this idea. I no longer feel like doing a runner if this is the future in the Waikato District Council area. You mention that it is Council policy to apply a system of user pays. I am all for this and believe that every citizen should share the burden of rates. A citizen tax instead of rates would serve this purpose and the burden would be shared equally by all users.</p>	<p>Unfortunately council's do not have an ability (under legislation) to assess rates based on household income or number of individuals in a household. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. Council's position on fuel tax is that if Auckland have a fuel tax and Hamilton are also permitted to levy a fuel tax that petrol stations within the Waikato district will likely follow suit in terms of pricing. If the cost at the pump goes up, council would like those funds to be ring-fenced for the benefit of our ratepayers (like will happen in Auckland) and our local network rather than as a profit to the petrol companies.</p>
Rates Increase	187	Rosser	Thornley		Raglan	Option 4	Option 3	<p>Comments: As well as the two issues you have asked for feedback, I would like to express my concern at a general rate rise of 6.24%. In my view this should be no more than CPI for 2018/19. Council should ask officers to resubmit their plans based on this CPI % with a consequent reduction in rates income. And similarly, projections for 2019/20 and outer years should use the CPI % assumed for that year.</p>	<p>The increase you refer to relates to council's overall income from general rates in that it will be 6.24% higher than the current year. It is worth noting that both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge is lower than the current year, and it is the recent property valuation movement that will determine whether you will pay less or more in general rates. Council would love to be able to offer services at no more than CPI. However, the items that are included within the CPI rate are for consumer type products that do not reflect the construction type markets that council operates within. Local government has it's own set of inflation factors that are determined by BERL, an independent entity. These factors are much higher than CPI but better reflect the costs that Council's have to pay in the operation of services. Because these factors are so much higher a lot of work goes into identifying offsetting efficiencies to bring down costs.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates Increase	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: 1/We object to Huntly ratepayers having an increase of 11.4%, Huntly ratepayers are effectively subsidising the remainder of the District.	Where your property value has increased more than others in the district your rates will go up by more. Targeted rates are charged at the same amount across the district for water, wastewater and stormwater for those who receive or are able to connect to these services.
Rates Increase	312	Phil	Journeaux		Hamilton	Option 2		Comments: I am writing in submission on the LTP consultation document recently sent out to ratepayers.  1/ It discusses the various rate and service fee increases proposed but seems to miss out a central tennent, namely justification as to why rates should be rising at all. The document notes that rates will rise by 6.24% in 2018/19. The question is why? Average inflation, as measures by the CPI over the last 4 calendar years is 0.9%, and 1.6% over calendar 2017. So the proposed rise is 7 times the average, or 4 times last year. The table of the proposed rate increases show a 36% increase over the 10 year period, which is actually a 42% increase as the rate increases will compound on each year. So, assuming inflation averages 1.5%/year, it means rates will increase over this period by around 2.5-3 times the level of inflation - reinforcing the fact that local government costs are a major driver of domestic inflation. So the question remains - what justification does the council have to determine such increases. The document talks about a rise in asset depreciation costs of \$1.2 million, which equates to 1.6% of the 2016/17 rate take. This is the only figure quoted. So it is difficult to determine how real the 6.24% is, especially as many depreciation costs relate to specific assets which should be funded by targeted rates, not by the general rate. Given that Council services haven't either increased or improved, ratepayers would be very interested in the justification for such significant increases. Issues raised in the consultation document 1.	The increase you refer to relates to council's overall income from general rates in that it will be 6.24% higher than the current year. Both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge is lower than the current year, and it is the recent property valuation movement that will determine whether you will pay less or more in general rates. Council would love to be able to offer services at no more than CPI. However, the items that are included within the CPI rate are for consumer type products that do not reflect the construction type markets that council operates within. Local government has it's own set of inflation factors that are determined by BERL, an independent entity. These factors are much higher than CPI but better reflect the costs that Council's have to pay in the operation of services. Because these factors are so much higher a lot of work goes into identifying offsetting efficiencies to bring down costs.
Rates Increase	312	Phil	Journeaux		Hamilton	Option 2		5/ As an aside I note that the Council made a surplus of \$28.9 million in 2015/16, and \$21.5 million in 2016/17, so why the desperate need to increase rates several times above the rate of inflation?	Council's surpluses are not operational in nature. They mainly relate to accounting income generated from receiving assets from developers which are not budgeted for.
Rates Increase	381	Jane	Chapman		Pokeno			Re district rates increasing by 6.24% If the council is experiencing growth surely therefore there is more money coming into the area and hence an increase of this amount is actually too much. Also by the sounds of it those rural properties that do not have access to mains water are going to be subsidising those that do? Is this correct or have I got this wrong	You are correct, more growth in the district brings more rating income. The 6.24% relates to an increase in council's overall general rates income compared to the current financial year. Both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge have decreased, so any increase will only be a result of a higher than average property valuation. Targeted rates are charged for water services, so if you are not currently connected or able to connect then you wouldn't be charged for water.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates Increase	382	Robert	Hyslop		Pokeno			I am a very worried rate payer. I live in pokeno and my rates are almost \$4000 dollars. This is crazy. We have heard that our rates are going up 6.4% this on top of the large increase that will happen because of house valves have gone up. People will stop coming to live in the area because of this. We and many other people are thinking of moving. So thank you, to your council your destroying our family dream. So don't act surprise when you start getting dirty emails and phone calls from worried people in this area. Our wallets only stretch so far. Your excuses for theses rates have run dry with people. I don't expect a reply , you and everybody at the council will be at lunch dining out on our rates money and driving our rate funded cars. Everybody at the council are so far out of touch with the normal working person its not even funny. Why only 6.4% why not round it up to a round number 10%, why not put your noses further into the trough	The 6.24% relates to an increase in council's overall general rates income compared to the current financial year. Both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge have decreased, so any increase will relate to a higher than average property valuation. In a democracy, the government pays for healthcare, police, social welfare etc. through collecting income and other taxes, and council rates are an extension of government funding at the local level and are charged as a property tax for the services received at a community level such as roads, street lighting, parks, playgrounds, public transport, certification of food premises, animal control, libraries, drinking water, wastewater, refuse and recycling etc. Council manages \$1.4 billion of assets on behalf of ratepayers and unfortunately only has a limited number of properties from which to spread those costs.
Rates Increase	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	1/ Ngaruawahia has had several increases recently - rates, water meters, wastewater, rubbish etc.. the rates are becoming unaffordable for those on fixed and low incomes. It is unclear what Ngaruawahia gets for its rates for example the WDC has had a CRM to repair noticeboards in Jesmond Street since January and these are still not fixed. Several emails, phone calls have been made but each time you get a different story depending on who answers the phone.	The need to increase rates is primarily to cover rising costs. Council collects only the money it needs to deliver services, and does not wish to accrue deficits that will occur without rate increases.
Rates Increase	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	7/ Ngaruawahia ratepayers will be paying 12.75% increase in rates and yet we have the lowest level of service and spending in the district.	As council has selected a capital value rating system, any community that experiences a higher percentage valuation increase than other areas will also invariably receive a higher increase in rates. In this years valuations received by QV NZ, areas such as Ngaruawahia and Huntly received far greater percentage increases in property value than other areas. Ngaruawahia will continue to receive the many services it currently does. The need to increase rates is primarily to cover rising costs. Council collects only the money it needs to deliver services, and does not wish to accrue deficits that will occur without rate increases. Levels of service delivered by councils are consistent across the district. The majority of large spending that is occurring in the district is in response to significant development occurring in an area. This spending is primarily funded by the growth itself in the way of development contributions.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates Increase	536	Katrina	Milburn		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	When increasing rates I would ask what you consider the affordability of your increases and the effect this is going to have on the people living in our community. Many families are struggling to pay bills already. Many children and elderly are already missing out on the basics that they need. Are all projects needing to be done now or can you spread them out more to help with keeping the increase to rates at a more reasonable level?	Rates are a property tax. Unfortunately council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on household income. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. If you contact the rates team they will be able to provide information on these policies and the rebate scheme. The projects have been spread based on whether something needs to be replaced or whether a new service is required because of growth or other legislation.
Rates Increase	537	Al	Wilson		Ngaruawahia			Living in the country, the proposed changes to the water management do not affect me in any way, as I collect my own water and have no access to town water. What I am not happy about is the fact that I will have to pay considerably more in the coming financial year for the water that I don't get. I think the rating system in general is most unfair, being based on the value of the property and not on the number of people in the property. If I had ten people living in my house, I would still pay the same rates as I do living alone. For me, living alone and on a pension, I find a 6.8% rise in rates difficult to accept. My pension is going up by 1% this coming year but the Council see fit to raise the rates by 6.8%. Eventually I will be forced out of the property in which I have lived for the last 30 years because I can't afford the cost of the facilities which I don't get. My feelings are that we should be charged on a per head basis and not on a per property basis. More people use more roads and more of the Council facilities but my house does not use any.	The 6.24% relates to an increase in council's overall general rates income compared to the current financial year. Both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge have decreased, so any increase will only be a result of a higher than average property valuation. Targeted rates are charged for water services, so if you are not currently connected or able to connect then you wouldn't be charged for water. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. If you contact the rates team they will be able to provide information on these policies and the rebate scheme.
Rates Increase	543	Anonymous	Anonymous					3/ Property valuations (page 17)Ratepayers were told that rates do not necessarily relate to current valuations. I have been told by a real estate agent that properties in Huntly were over valued in the last valuation. After this past weeks "West Side Stories" in the Waikato Times our properties are now possibly a third of our valuation. I can't understand why these stories were allowed to go to print. No one will want to buy a property here now. On page 21 there is an unfair discrepancy between the projected rates increase for Huntly of 11.40% compared with mid range rural of 4.82%. Why is this when obviously the asset base for mid rural ratepayers is much higher, apart from the fact they also get a lot of tax concessionsthe "Joe Blow?" in an urban dwelling is not entitled to. You are just sucking the low income folk and superannuitants dry.	The valuations process is an extremely rigorous process and is managed external to council by the Office of the Valuer General. The objections process is in place to address any concerns individual property owners may have with their assessment. Where your property value has increased more than others in the district your rates will go up by more. Targeted rates are charged at the same amount across the district for water, wastewater and stormwater for those who receive or are able to connect to these services.
Rates Increase	559	Peter & Mary	Henry		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	We are concerned as to how we will afford rates when we retire. Pensions may have risen recently, but that rise did not even cover the rise on cost-of-living expenses, let alone annual rates rises! This will concern many elderly in the community.	Unfortunately council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on household income. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. If you contact the rates team they will be able to provide information on these policies and the rebate scheme.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates Increase	570	Richard	Way		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	Rates are continuing to rise, but wages are not. Last year was the increase in rates for wastewater, this year the council is trying increase the general rates, what will it be next year? Ngaruawahia is an attractive place to live, out of the main cities, with a lower property prices, however increases like this are going to drive more people away, who simply cannot afford to live in a small town, that charges like a city does.	Unfortunately council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on household income. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. If you contact the rates team they will be able to provide information on these policies and the rebate scheme.
Rates Increase	592	Alex	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	1/ The rates in Ngaruawahia and throughout the district have increased enough over the last couple of years - when you look at water meters, rubbish stickers, wastewater increases, regional council increases. What do we get for these increases? The way our properties are valued is a nonsense, there is no way my property is worth my current valuation.	Council does not collect any more revenue than what it needs to deliver services to its communities. Any increases or changes in cost are purely to recover rising costs and the intention is to not collect anything more than required. Council does not undertake property valuations. These are performed by an independent company named QV who carries out this service nationwide for all councils. If you wish to challenge you valuation you can contact QV to do so.
Rates Increase	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	1/ I do not support the Long Term Plan 2018 - 2028. Ngaruawahia resident have been hit the hardest in recent rate increase due to other towns in the district needing finical support with waste water issue. The data produced by the WDC in the increase of waste ware rate identified the issues where with Raglan and Huntly, Ngaruawahia residents opposed this increase but it went ahead regardless. Ngaruawahia resident are again targeted for the highest rate increase in the area, at the information meeting that Huntly had the greatest in crease in QV home values however their rate increase does not reflect that. The average house GV revaluation in Huntly went up by 72.97% and in Ngaruawahia 71.74% a difference of 1.23%. However, Ngaruawahia's average rate increase is 1.35% higher than Huntly's, how do you justify Ngaruawahia's higher increase?	Although Huntly properties rose in value by a higher percentage than Ngaruawahia, Ngaruawahia properties were worth considerably more to start with. This resulted in Ngaruawahia properties going up by more value in real terms than Huntly. For example if house A was worth \$100,000 and went up by 100% the increase in value is another \$100,00. If house B is worth \$200,000 and went up in value by 80%, that's an additional \$160,000. House A went up by a higher percentage, but less overall value. This represents Huntly properties. Because a proportion of rates is based on capital value, and Ngaruawahia properties went up on average more total value than Huntly properties, they receive a higher rates increase.
Rates Increase	602	Donald	Hagenson		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	Overall I do not support the Long term Plan 2018 - 2028. 1/ Ngaruawahia resident are again targeted for the highest rate increase in the area, at the information meeting that Huntly had the greatest in crease in QV home values however their rate increase does not reflect that. The average house GV revaluation in Huntly went up by 72.97% and in Ngaruawahia 71.74% a difference of 1.23%. However, Ngaruawahia's average rate increase is 1.35% higher than Huntly's, how do you justify Ngaruawahia's higher increase? The LTP has outlined that primary spending will be in the north with no immediate focus on Ngaruawahia. We are one of the two major towns in the district and we are paying the highest increase in percentage of all the communities. This is unfair.	Although Huntly properties rose in value by a higher percentage than Ngaruawahia, Ngaruawahia properties were worth considerably more to start with. This resulted in Ngaruawahia properties going up by more value in real terms than Huntly. For example if house A was worth \$100,000 and went up by 100% the increase in value is another \$100,00. If house B is worth \$200,000 and went up in value by 80%, that's an additional \$160,000. House A went up by a higher percentage, but less overall value. This represents Huntly properties. Because a proportion of rates is based on capital value, and Ngaruawahia properties went up on average more total value than Huntly properties, they receive a higher rates increase.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates increase	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	<p>Ngaruawahia - LTP</p> <p>3/ Council are very blasé to say we will hold your rates increases to \$30m in the Northern area and another \$74m in Te Kauwhata plus I also point out this does not include any of the \$24m district wide budget which these areas are named as having further funds allocated, this is another kick in the guts for us. Again coming from the UAGC?</p> <p>These are the areas that need higher targeted rates to accommodate these costs which I assume is coming through the UAGC as borrowed funds.</p>	<p>The higher level of spending in Te Kauwhata and Pokeno are in response to significant development of large areas of housing.</p> <p>Although this will initially sit on councils book it will primarily be paid back from the growth itself in the form of development contributions.</p>
Rates increase	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	<p>Ngaruawahia - LTP</p> <p>6/ On a final note, we do not get wage increases, or in a lot of cases benefit increases of 12%, Council has to start working within its budget not look at obscene increases that will put so many households further down the poverty line. I cannot agree with the comment that you can apply for a rates rebate, this is just not a realistic amount to many to and will barely cover the increases in rates. Overall I am disappointed in the impact of the LTP for this area, there is little to celebrate but a lot to mourn.</p>	<p>Council accepts that the rate increases many will receive are higher than anyone would like to see. They are however necessary to cover rising costs of providing services to communities and prevent council accruing operational deficits that will need to be recouped in future.</p>
Rates increase	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				<p>Capital investments for growth</p> <p>It is of interest that the document advises that repayment is to come everything else, but from rating value.</p> <p>Rates limits</p> <p>"The average rate increase for ratepayers will not exceed 15 per cent per year in the first three years".</p> <p>Kindly explain this statement in a more detail. Is the Council intending to impose increases upon the ratepayer close to the limit of 15%? This may continue over a 3 year period? A 45% increase over a three year term! Is the proposed increases compounding year on year? I have real concern that the Council is prepared to impose such a high burden upon the ratepayer.</p>	<p>No Council is not planning to increase the rates by 15% in each of the first three years. In the first year of the plan there will be some increases of that magnitude due to capital value changes. The 15% is a limit only and should not be breached. The general rate income increases planned for year 2 and 3 of the plan are approximately 5% and 4% respectively but would decrease if growth exceeds assumed levels.</p>
Rates increase	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				<p>Rates are one of the most significant, fixed expenses for our farming businesses. It is accepted that this year projected rates increases on other property types are much higher as a result of property revaluations and increased user charges. However, in terms of the overall rates revenue, rural properties continue to make a significantly disproportionate contribution. They will not be deriving any special or particular benefit from this privilege.</p> <p>The affordability of rates is a significant issue for farm businesses. Income from a farm business is extremely vulnerable to externalities such as weather events, exchange rates, pay outs and consumer demand and as such is highly variable from season to season. Rates are one of the most significant, fixed expenses for our faming members and unlike other businesses there is no ability to pass that cost on.</p> <p>Over reliance on the General rate compounds the inequitable effect of land and capital value rates – the UAGC is a general rating mechanism that can act as a counter balance to this and as such Federated Farmers is seriously concerned at the proposal to reduce UAGC usage and increase the variable general rate by 6.24 %.</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>3. That Council continues to work hard to make efficiency gains and exercise spending restraint, to ensure rates increases are within the rate of inflation.</p>	<p>No response required</p>



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rates increase	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				When part of Franklin merged with Waikato in 2011/12, income from general rates and UAGC was \$48.097m. In that period the general CPI has risen 7% and wage inflation 17%. The rates increase has been 12.6%. Water rate was \$357. Wastewater rate was \$510. Stormwater rate was \$215. Refuse rate was \$84.	No response required
Rates increase	735	Colleen	Rear					As a rate payer i do not support a 12.5% increase and three increases there after. As a middleincome (one wage, and three small children) family we are already paying \$150 per fortnight!Our town of Ngaruawahia needs attention, we should not be paying for developments in the north.	Council accepts that the rate increases many will receive are higher than anyone would like to see. They are however necessary to cover rising costs of providing services to communities and prevent council accruing operational deficits that will need to be recouped in future. The higher level of spending in Te Kauwhata and Pokeno are in response to significant development of large areas of housing. Although this will initially sit on councils book it will primarily be paid back from the growth itself in the form of development contributions, not by the ratepayers of Ngaruawahia.
Parks & facilities	6	Carl	Ammon					7/ Returning marginal land to reserves and native forests should be a priority as should riparian restoration. Some form of title transferability may be way to compensate farmers as the costs may be prohibitive in some cases.	Native habitat restoration. WDC undertakes and supports ecological enhancement including developing native forests and riparian restoration throughout the district.
Parks & facilities	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			7/ The development of quality Parks & Reserves including the use of the Waikato River for such activities as the re-establishment of the Tuakau Water/Jet-ski Club with facilities that will attract both locals & visitors to e.g.: a River Edge Tourism Centre with café & sporting facilities.	Thanks for your submission. This work has not come up as a priority within the Tuakau community boards future plans. We recommend discussions with your local Councillor and Community Board members.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Parks & facilities	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports Council's proposals identified in the 2018 Draft LTP to complete a Halls Strategy, and continue budgeting for walkway and cycleway spending, but recommends that the Halls Strategy be reframed as a 'Community Hubs Strategy'.</p> <p>Rationale</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports proposals identified in the 2018 Draft LTP to complete a Halls Strategy, and continue budgeting for walkway and cycleway spending.</p> <p>We recommend that the proposed Halls Strategy be reframed as a 'Community Hubs Strategy' to provide for the development and re-development of more flexible community facilities that can help meet diverse local community needs.</p> <p>This response aligns with the Social infrastructure and community 'place-making' provisions within the Future Proof Growth Strategy of which Council is a partner. It is also aligned with Waikato Plan provisions e.g. Action 1.1.2: 'Identify the regional priorities for service and technical infrastructure' which Council has adopted and approved for implementation.</p> <p>Our organisation congratulates council for providing an extensive and impressive number of parks and reserves across its district accommodating a diverse range of activities and uses. Open spaces are important for peoples' general health and wellbeing.</p> <p>We note and support Council's commitment to the Te Awa Walkway, as well as funding for other walkways from year 4 of the LTP 2021/22. Parks recreation and community facilities enable physical activity and community participation which have clear health and wellbeing benefits. As an example, literature identifies that increased access to community recreation facilities and amenities can increase physical activity which in turn lowers the risk of cardiovascular disease and Type 2 Diabetes, and mental health issues<sup>15</sup>.</p> <p>Improving neighbourhood walkability and adopting universal design standards can promote walking and cycling and enable participation for all<sup>16</sup>. In order for this to be achieved it is imperative that iwi and other community groups are participants in all levels of planning and implementation to ensure equitable opportunities to experience these benefits.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Parks & facilities	531	Monju	Sarkar			Option 1	Option 3	2/ I am told that the Waikato council rates are one of highest compared with many districts considering the limited infrastructure facilities and current state of assets for poor maintenance over the years. You will agree the above facts do not reflect effective, efficient, innovative, timely, cost effective professional action that is your goal. However, we have very high expectation from council for their professional services for the benefit of all in the community and there is always hope for future if we learn from our past. I am pleased that Council has plans and intentions as pointed out in the referred document and I trust we will see implementation of same in future. At this stage my sincere request is that we do not rush for implementation of the long term plan without reviewing same and comparing with councils having similar conditions and statistics –namely numbers, geography, demography and current status and our strengths and weaknesses. I feel this will help us focus to the reality and make informed and professional decision for our long term plan run. I definitely oppose councils preferred option in both cases. With the views expressed I propose further discussion and studies on both issues and until such time I support Option 1 for issue of three water management and Option 3 for Uniform annual General charge. The submission form duly filled in is attached. I thank you for the opportunity to express my views in the matter and assure my support in a positive way with my limited wisdom.	No response required
Parks & facilities	534	Bruce	Cameron					If we aim to be one of the most informed and engaged councils we feel there are some missed opportunities. While we realise there are some items that must be consulted on by statutory process, these are not the items that people are often passionate about and think we would get better results from informing people what is going on in their backyard outside of wastewater and roading. We would welcome the chance to discuss this further at an appropriate time. Following is a list of items that we would like to highlight to be sure we have a clear understanding or to help guide:- 1/ We would like to see more consultation on the possibility of joining the library, aquatic and community centre all into the one complex, and maybe the council office. This could also enable the tie-in with Partnerships with Schools.	Further consultation would be required between Council and the Tuakau Community Board if there is a desire from the Board to co-locate certain facilities.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Parks & facilities	534	Bruce	Cameron					3/ Parks: Tuakau is short of 25/30 ha of open space. There needs to be urgent consultation as to how this extra space is acquired. We believe this is likely to be addressed by the Master planning for Tuakau but are concerned at the lead time for this. With the District Plan Notification getting close, design work for local subdivision is already underway and may happen before the opportunity to masterplan is undertaken. We would like to see emphasis placed on larger parks rather than small Neighbourhood parks. Whilst we are not against neighbourhood parks, there is a feeling that the developers are putting them in because of council requirements when they really need to be looked at as part of a bigger picture of the whole town development. The cost of many small parks would be much greater than the maintenance of a fewer number of larger parks. There also needs to be planned connectivity of the walkways/cycle ways within Tuakau, from Tuakau to the river and Tuakau to Pukekohe via the railway corridor. This concept can create a more open, relaxed feel about the town, as it gives alternatives to being limited solely to footpaths on the roadside. The Board would also like clarification of two lots of \$900k funding (\$1.8m) in the 2015 LTP that this is still in the plan for Tuakau.	The Parks Strategy outlines where (and when) WDC requires land in each ward based on needs related research. Based on that information, neighbourhood parks are the desired outcome to ensure people have access to sites within 10 minutes walk or 500 metres of their homes. Destination parks are not supported in the Parks Strategy at this stage.
Parks & facilities	534	Bruce	Cameron					4/ Cemetery: Please update the Board with any proposals/plans in this area. The area of land and its location gives rise to some other possibilities and the board would like to be part of discussions on this.	The Board will be updated once scope and designs are being planned for the cemetery.
Parks & facilities	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	6/ Community Facilities Sports and Recreation Facilities: The Munroe Block has been talked about endlessly as a recreation and sports facility for us. The most achieved is yet another report. Is this just a talkfest. Our youth have been let down through lack of action. There is nowhere in Pokeno to kick a ball. ALL ages of our Pokeno residents are missing out on being able to use this space.	Funding for the development of Munroe Sports Grounds is proposed for this coming financial year with the work to take place over the next 3 years.
Parks & facilities	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	8/ North Waikato New Cemetery: We support the development of a new cemetery on Whangarata Rd. What are the time lines for this? We expect to have serious input into to planning	The design phase for the cemetery will be undertaken in the upcoming financial year 2018/19. Consultation will be undertaken with the community.
Parks & facilities	649	Mark	Brown	Life Unlimited				Parks and Facilities: • Parks and reserves – Playgrounds to allow informal play for the whole family including facilities for wheelchair users. Proposed seating, tables and BBQ's to be friendly for people with disabilities including wheelchair users.	No response required
Parks & facilities	650	John	Mitchell		Taukau		Option 1	5/ We would also like to pass on our sincere thanks to council for the improvements made on the Onewhero Reserve. Our playground is extremely well utilised and an absolute asset to the community, as are the public toilets. We hope these two projects are good examples to council of what can be achieved when communities and councils collaborate to provide facilities.	No response required
Parks & facilities	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno : 1/ Munro road sports field developed ASAP	Funding for the development of the Munroe Sports Ground is proposed for the coming financial year with the work to commence over the next 3 years.
Parks & facilities	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	6/ library and community centre, isite	We recommend that you discuss your requirements with members of your Community Board.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Parks & facilities	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	Parks and Facilities 14/ The Community Board has been asked to provide an RSA section in the raglan Cemetery.	The establishment of an RSA section of the cemetery has been explored by Council staff. The RSA are willing to assist but would like to see consultation with existing returned servicemen to establish if there is a need.
Parks & facilities	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Parks and Facilities - Playgrounds/fields. See attachment.	Staff will consider these when reviewing future projects for the Mercer area.
Parks & facilities	730	Daniel	Rensburg					8/ Pokeno subdivision has no recreational facilities for teenagers, whilst all the other townships have a pool, sports fields, swings, parks, and dog exercise areas.	Pokeno has land for recreational sports fields on Munro Road.
Parks & facilities	730	Daniel	Rensburg					9/ Don't support the cost for a new cemetery in Whangarata road. Current swings, slide and Jungle gym is only for small children.	Whangarata Road Cemetery needs to be developed to manage the predicted trends within Tuakau and Pokeno. The current Cemetery servicing the area has two years left before it will be at capacity.
Parks & facilities	730	Daniel	Rensburg					13/ That the Library and Council offices be removed from LTP submission, and a station be built with the funds. Alternatively the Library can be built on top of the new station in Pokeno.	Library and Council offices need to be built to ensure staff and services can be housed in the area. This is to ensure services can be provided where needed in the North.
Cycleways/Walkways	4	Andrew	Thompson		Huntly	Option 3	Option 3	3. Residential get nothing. Just as a side issue but something that may arise in the not to distant future. Te Awa walkway - Ngaruawahia - Hopuhopu - Taupiri - Huntly. Or Ngaruawahia via Hakarimata back Road to Huntly.	Thanks for your submission your comments will be taken into consideration.
Cycleways/Walkways	48	John	Marcon		Te Kauwhata			1. Te Kauwhata has currently no designated off-road walkways. There is an urgent need for them in part due to the increasing number of families living in our town which is growing by at least 200 homes per year. Most public streets are serving as walk and scooter, trike and cycleways by children of all ages. Our street, Ribbonwood Close and its access street, Blunt Road are highways for lots of children for whom the only other option is the playground at the Village Green and which is not set up for wheeled transport. While all local residents take great care when driving the risk of hitting a child remains unacceptably high. With many retired people also on the move the lack of walkways seriously restricts options for recreational off-road walking. Suggestion: Bring forward the planned walkways project to 2019 with completion date 2022.	The Waikato District Council has developed a Walkway Strategy that identifies a number of off road walkways in the Te Kauwhata Area. These walkways are proposed to be funded between 2022-2025. This funding is unable to be brought forward to 2019.
Cycleways/Walkways	169	David	Packer		Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	4/ There has been a considerable sum I believe to the Council from development in the Tamahere region. It is not obvious how much of this has been spent in this region. It would be good to have some walkways/ cycleways in the area as biking on the busy narrow roads is not safe. A cycleway to Hamilton city would encourage more cycling in the area for a healthier lifestyle	Thanks for your suggestion. It is a good alternative to the proposed project. Note that the museum is not managed by the Waikato District Council.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Cycleways/Walkways	248	Julie	Clark		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: 1/ Council Development I have lived here 1.5 having chosen Te Kauwhata has a growth area yet affordable. I am aware the water infrastructure is a number 1 priority however the number of houses springing up fast is not coinciding quickly enough with linked pathways. The Long Term Plan lists walkways to 2022-2028 this is way to far out considering the housing about to be built at the end of Eccles, on Swan Road, on Travers to Wayside. The walkways ought to be part of the subdivision requirements at the start at the portions that they are building on. If you want growth and people, families to stay this resource need to go in sooner than later i.e. by 2022 not 2028. The planting can be community involvement including fundraising providing the money goes directly to it. The bigger plans for walkways around lakes could wait but walkways in town linking to Travers Rd and the perimeter needs to happen as soon as possible.	The Waikato District Council has developed a Walkway Strategy that identifies a number of off road walkways in the Te Kauwhata Area. These walkways proposed to be funded between 2022-2028. This funding is unable to be brought forward to 2019.
Cycleways/Walkways	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			9/ The development of the long awaited Cycleway/Walkway from Buckland to Tuakau to Alexander Redoubt then to the River. This would then link up with the Cycleway that heads South & East in line with the Governments National Cycleway.	The Council Trails Strategy has identified this route as one of the high priority routes
Cycleways/Walkways	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	5/ walkways (pg14) focus Hamilton - Cambridge section, so actually there are no key projects for Ngaruawahia and none of the key projects have been identified by the Ngaruawahia community, m Why are staff allowed to write up information in such a disingenuous way it is deliberately misleading and insulting like the comment in regards to	Ngaruawahia has had the Te Awa Walkway/Cycleway built from The Point to the link at Horotiu Bridge. It has also had exercise equipment built along the walkway in immediate proximity to the town. Future plans have a walkway/cycleway link from Te Awa to the Hakarimata walkway.
Cycleways/Walkways	527	Jennifer	Palmer		Hamilton			The Trustees of the Te Awa River Ride Charitable Trust very much value and appreciate Waikato District Council's commitment to the Te Awa River Ride project. The section linking Ngaruawahia to Horotiu that was opened in November last year was a significant highlight for the project and Council's support was instrumental in achieving this milestone. The response from the community has been overwhelmingly positive; counter data and user feedback indicates this section is being widely used and appreciated. We believe Te Awa will continue to help realise many of Waikato District Council's community outcomes and facilitate liveable, thriving and connected communities. We are confident the trail will be an asset for the region and for generations to come. Our submission asks that Waikato District Council: 1. Support the development of the Te Awa River Ride between Hamilton and Cambridge. We ask Waikato District Council to allocate \$2.5m in the first three years of its LTP to completing the Cambridge to Hamilton section of Te Awa. (see hard copy for image with specific numbers and pedestrian / cyclists graph). 2. Continue to work in partnership to realise the Te Awa Lakes development vision for the District.	The Cambridge to Hamilton section of the Te Awa River Ride is supported by Council and included in the LTP for 2018-2021. Council appreciate the continued support from Te Awa and the collaboration in the development of these projects and will work to achieve the same levels of success as on the Horotiu to Ngaruawahia section. we recognise the enormous benefits to our communities that the River Ride provides



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Cycleways/Walkways	535	Jennifer	Palmer	Brian Perry Charitable Trust	Hamilton			<p>For over forty years, the Perry Family has been proudly supporting the wider Waikato community. In 1976 a charitable trust was established with a personal donation of \$100,000 from Hamilton businessman Brian Perry, and his wife Peggy, who wanted to provide support for the community that had, in turn, assisted them with their business growth over the previous 20 years. The Brian Perry Charitable Trust continues to develop strong partnerships with like-minded organisations to delier on its purpose of 'Making a meaningful difference towards a vibrant Waikato Region'.</p> <p>The Trust invests significantly in a wide range of projects and organisations and is committed to supporting its local community. Our submission asks that Waikato District Council:</p> <p>1. Continue to work in partnership to realise the Te Awa Lakes development vision for the District.</p>	Waikato District Council is acutely aware of the Te Awa Lakes development proposal since this is on the other side of our boundary with Hamilton city. We have already signalled to the City that there needs to be a collaborative planning approach for the entire Horotiu area which also includes the Perry’s land on the Hamilton side of the boundary (which is currently zoned industrial). Once the outcome of the Special Housing Area application and the Private Plan Change are known, we would like to get this process underway by working with the city, Perry’s Group and other key landowners/developers in the area to ensure that the area can be developed in connected and holistic manner.
Cycleways/Walkways	535	Jennifer	Palmer	Brian Perry Charitable Trust	Hamilton			<p>2. Support the development of the Te Awa River Ride between Hamilton and Cambridge.Our Trust has invested over \$4m into this incredibly worthwhile project since 2010 and we are particularly delighted with the success of the Perry Bridge. Data shows a significant and sustained increase in user numbers since the completion of the Ngaruawahia to Hamilton section and we expect this number to continue growing once the final section is complete.</p>	Waikato District Council acknowledges the success of already opened sections of the Te Awa River Ride and is proud to have been part of this worthy project. Council does have budget in the Draft LTP for the Te Awa Cycleway – Hamilton to Cambridge section. This budget is subsidised by the NZTA at 45% with the balance being funded by Council by way of loan. The breakdown is as follows: 2019 \$800,000 2020 \$817,600 2021 \$887,811
Cycleways/Walkways	545	Robert and Tina	Macnab		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	<p>3/ Parks and facilitiesAn increased allowance for Hakarimata Tack. A highly used facility needs a link to the Te Awa trail and increased signage and maintenance.An upgrade to the Waingaro Church walk, a local fitness track.Identification of swimming holes and facilities to compliment them.</p>	A linkage from the Te Awa Cycle Way to the Hakarimata Track is being investigated with the development of The Point Reserve Management Plan. Funding has been requested for future years.
Cycleways/Walkways	552	Richard	Briggs	Hamilton City Council				<p>HCC is fully supportive of the partnership approach that has taken place in the development of the Hamilton to Cambridge cycleway project and looks forward to working closely with WDC on the construction of this important cycleway connection.</p> <p>As WDC will be aware, HCC is proposing to contribute \$4 million towards the cycleway in 2019/20, with WDC contributing \$800,00 per annum for three years commencing 2018/19 i.e.\$2.4 million in total (\$1.2 million from ratepayers and a \$1.2 million NZTA subsidy).</p> <p>This is a regionally significant cycleway project which will provide further choice in how our communities travel between our neighbouring districts.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Cycleways/Walkways	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				The Waikato region has a number of cycle trails which attract visitors and contribute to local and regional economic development. WDC has been involved in working together with cycle trail managers and their partners across the region, including Regional Tourism Offices, as part of the trails network group. WRC encourages WDC to continue to engage with the trails network to grow the benefits of the trail for your district and the region as a whole. WRC acknowledges WDC's cycling investment to date and ongoing investment in the Hamilton to Cambridge Cycle Connection project incorporating the Te Awa River Ride. We also encourage your further support to enable route completion in the 2018-2021 period.	No response required
Cycleways/Walkways	599	Tamahere Community Committee	Tamahere Community Committee			Option 4	Option 2	4/ KEY COUNCIL PROJECTSa. Walkwaysi. Support for the walkways spend of \$1.5 mii. This project will significantly aid the completion of the Te Awa cycle and walk wayiii. The completion of Te Awa will drive economic development across the District and over time drive healthy lifestylesb.	No response required
Cycleways/Walkways	604	Timothy	Duff					3/ Walking tracks and Bike paths. Raglan is the ideal town to have high use of bicycles as a means of transport. Most areas of the town are within a reasonably easy distance of CBD and not too hilly. There would be untold advantages of promoting use of bikes e.g. If workers used bikes there would be a lot less pressure on parking around town. It is proposed to develop a walkway over three years from Wainui Reserve to Whale Bay in Raglan starting in 2011/2012 at a total cost of \$198,000. What happened to that? There has been propositions about building a walkway from Manu bay to Whale bay by WDC to my knowledge for the last 25 years. This would actually be quite an easy undertaking and is very needed for public Health and Safety. I would suggest WDC look at where bike paths can be put in easily and start with them to help gain momentum e.g. Riri Kereopa Memorial Drive, this would safely link town to the new mountain bike tracks. Another example is again at Riri Kereopa Memorial Drive where the footpath stops that has come from town. This needs to connect the last bit to Wainui Reserve to encourage walking and biking to the beach. Currently this missing section requires biking or walking on the open road which is very dangerous.	WDC have a Walkway Cycleway strategy which was developed with consultation through all community boards at the time. All priorities for areas throughout the District were submitted and included as part of this strategy.
Cycleways/Walkways	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	16/ Has planning for Pokeno's growth included planning for cycleways and walkways to link homes and commercial areas on both Eastern and Western sides of the Motorway	There are no plans for further walkways across the Motorway at this stage.
Cycleways/Walkways	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					A safe track that connects the Hakanoa Lake walkway with the Lake Puketirini for people to come down and cycle or walk around the two lakes (not the railway bridge).	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Cycleways/Walkways	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					Hakanoa Lake walkway, this has got very shabby with areas on the walkway not on the new contractors tablet. Regular work used to be done on it with extra work done when the Huntly half marathon used to take place. Just because this no longer takes place in Huntly is not a reason to ignore it. The hill at the back of Kimihia Road, which used to be a great. Boot camp area has fallen into disrepair, with old dying trees that will become a Health and Safety issue. It would not take a lot of money to clear the dying trees and with consultation with the ratepayers whose properties are affected plant suitable trees that won't block their views, which is why they bought their houses in the first place. The steps have been washed away, and it would not take a lot of imagination to have the current steps repaired, also short and close steps up the steep part of the hill, two or three sets, so kids and parents can race each other. This would not take a lot of money. Somebody planted a kahikatea tree on the rise of the hill, and recently a picnic table has been placed there, Problem you can't see a damn thing because this stupid tree blocks the view. We have heard visitors and touri who come up the hill to take a photo looking over the lake, which would 1 an ideal spot complaining and saying "HOW DUMB", you can't see a damn thing.	No response required
Town Maintenance	41	Anthony Cameron	Williams		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: Get Huntly cleaned up. Citycare not doing job. Stop crime / reduce at least.	There are projects in place for the beautification of Huntly main street in the current LTP. These will be occurring between May and August. Unfortunately your comment regarding City Care does not provide sufficient detail for Council to make comment.
Town Maintenance	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	4/As a township, Huntly is looking rather untidy, it seems that less money and time is being spent on Huntly maintenance	Both SH1 and Main Street Gardens are being renovated over May – August 2018. Council are working on improvements around general parks and reserves as well as both Lake Puketirini and Lake Hakanoa Domain over the next few years.
Town Maintenance	292	Shirley	Farrar		Huntly			1/The War Memorial Hall to be bought up to a standard acceptable to the Waikato District Council for public use and re-opened for the community to use. Too much time and money wasted by WDC to help Huntly Ratepayers and Community to get anything up and done to help Huntly revive.	Consultation with the Huntly Ward is currently underway on whether or not the community want, and would use the Hall. Once the outcome of this is known, we will work closely with the Community Board to ascertain the next steps in regards to the Hall.
Town Maintenance	292	Shirley	Farrar		Huntly			2/Town looks unkempt! Huntly subsidising all other towns in the district. Nothing being done for Huntly.	Both SH1 and Main Street Gardens are being renovated over May – August 2018. Council are working on improvements around general parks and reserves as well as both Lake Puketirini and Lake Hakanoa Domain over the next few years.
Town Maintenance	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	2/ Our town is looking tired and WDC does not help by allowing Kiwi rail to have the rail corridor looking derelict with weeds that are so big they start looking like shrubs and during summer are a fire risk, if they refuse to clean it up why can't WDC weed spray and then invoice them? Our main Streets Great South Road and Jesmond Street are dirty, these cobblestones used to be cleaned on a regular basis, but this does not appear to be the case now.	Thank you for taking the time to submit to our LTP. Council will raise your concerns with Kiwirail in relation to the rail corridor. In relation to the footpaths we will review our street cleaning process with our contractors.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Town Maintenance	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	4/ The company that has the contract to keep Taupiri nice and tidy are not doing their job so hopefully their contract will not be renewed.	The Parks maintenance contract involves mowing, gardening, and set levels of service that have been assigned to the contract by Council in the previous LTP. Certain areas are out of scope including the mowing of grass verges adjacent to private properties.
Town Maintenance	534	Bruce	Cameron					7/ Tuakau Main Street: It is noted that there is no budget for maintenance of Tuakau's street furniture. On a recent OTCB and council staff walk through the town, it was patently obvious that no work of any significance has been done for a number of years. The word used to describe the situation was "appalling". The pavers on the paths are slippery when wet and are a health and safety issue. Railings and posts are broken or missing and have not been repaired or stained for a long time. The trees and under planting are also seriously neglected.	We have produced a upgrade plan and schedule for bollards, post & rails and litter bins on Tuakau Main Street. This will be forwarded to the community board for review. A funding gap currently exists in this space. Meeting arranged between Roading and Parks to confirm gap and seek funding required.
Town Maintenance	592	Alex	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	2/ Ngaruawahia looks tired and dirty I drive daily along the main road and the weeds that are along the main road are a disgrace and this is also along the rail corridor is WDC not able to insist that Kiwirail keep the corridor tidy and weed free, during summer this has to be a fire hazard. With all the new residential developments proposed in Ngaruawahia to attract new residents is grotty and unkept the image WDC is going for? I do not see the sense of a roundabout by Salisbury Road and why would that be \$3.2m if developers want it they should cover the entire costs.	Thank you for taking the time to submit to our LTP. Council will raise your concerns with Kiwirail in relation to the rail corridor. In relation to the footpaths we will review our street cleaning process with our contractors. The roundabout solution is not required for any single development, but serves to function as a safety solution for Great South Road where there is conflict between multiple vehicle movements in a high speed environment. There have been fatal injuries on Great South road and the changing function of the road, with new residential access has raised the risk.
Town Maintenance	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	3/ Page 12 - Economic Development, you have identified tourism as a major opportunity, Ngaruawahia features greatly in tourism with the Hakarimata walk way and the start of the Waikato cycleway but we can't get our streets cleaned, road signed cleaned, berms mowed, footpaths cleaned to promote tourism and show a thriving community we residents and rate payers know we are? Regional Fuel Tax - It has been over 20 years since the planned express way was proposed to by pass many Waikato District towns and remove Great South Road for Transit NZ funding to council funding. Why is this tax been used as an option instead of rate increases for regional roading? Why is now being addressed through a regional fuel tax. Future planning should have been addressed and consulted back then.	CBD footpath steam cleaning currently takes place two to three times per year, kerb and channel throughout the entire town takes place on a 6 monthly basis with additional sweeping during leaf fall, sumps are cleaned 6 monthly, signs will be programmed to be cleaned

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Town Maintenance	597	Joss	Annandale		Ngaruawahia			<p>Re Long Term Plan 2018-2028 - I tried to submit this through the 'WDC sayit website' but it kept telling me of 'system failure'. A ploy by council?? I do not wish to speak in support of my submission. I agree with the councils preferred options re water management and general rates. With the increase in rates, which I'm sure you have already been made aware of, we have already had with refuse stickers and water meters, we would hope the management of our environment will improve.</p> <p>1/ I am particularly concerned about the state of our footpaths, berms and general environment. I walk the streets and river walk/cycle way regularly and can't help getting a little annoyed at the lack of care and pride our council has for our towns. I am of course talking about grass growing over footpaths to the extent that the paths are getting narrower, including growing out over the gutters. Spraying does not solve the problem, it just creates an eyesore. Spaying just pushes the dead grass further out over the paths. It doesn't help that some residents insist on planting shrubs and plants on the outside of their boundary fences that again reduce the footpath space. I am not the only resident noticing this lack of care and I know the council has had notification of these concerns. If the council has no pride how do they expect their rate paying residents to care for their own sections. Some of our districts towns already get a bad rap and when outsiders see the condition of our environment it is no surprise.</p>	WDA has agreed with Parks that WDA will maintain footpath hard surfaces while parks will maintain all vegetation, this item probably requires a parks response. We could trim footpath edges but would require funding of around \$100,000 / year to trim only road footpath twice per year (does not include parks footpaths).
Town Maintenance	604	Timothy	Duff					<p>5/ Overall WDC Works Plan</p> <p>WDC provides contracts for the maintenance and upkeep of the town. There need to be plan created of what all these works are and when they are to be for filled. This plan should be available at the WDC Raglan office. I had put in several requests to WDC for water blasting of Wainui Rd Boat ramp in 2017 under Health and Safety as members of the public were slipping and getting injured. Upon investigation it turned out that there already was a contract for this service to be done but nobody had been for filling the contract. Often contracts are not for filled correctly also e.g. When the contractor came out to water blast this boat ramp and it was High tide so he couldn't do his job. Or when the footpath cleaner who was contracted to do the CBD missed places and ran over others too quickly to do the job properly. A plan of works will help us to make sure all contracts are for filled as well as showing where tweaks need to be made. This plan should be rolled out to show visibly what will be done in the LTP. Perhaps a visual display on the wall of the WDC Raglan office.</p>	Council establishes contracts in accordance with its Procurement Policy.
Town Maintenance	604	Timothy	Duff					<p>6/ Bring contracts back to the Community</p> <p>Most contracts seem to be given to contractors from out of town. When and where possible WDC should bring these back to members of the community. Take Raglan Lawns as a fantastic example, they provide local jobs and we get a better service. A good example would be Bylaw Enforcement officer to deal with sound issues, parking and Freedom Camping. Currently if there is a sound complaint somebody may have to come out from the city to sort out the problem. We would get a faster more efficient and regular service if the job was local.</p>	Council establishes contracts in accordance with its Procurement Policy



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Town Maintenance	604	Timothy	Duff					8/ Reserve management plans and empowering the community. Parks and reserves need to have long term management plans developed. For example Te Kopua ~ where should pedestrian access to beach be and where should pedestrians be excluded and planting take place. Currently works are mostly undertaken in the parks by outside of town contractors. Resource community groups to upkeep the parks and provide improvements inside the scope of the management plan and under the guide of WDC parks an reserve staff and you would get way better results. Take Friends of Wainui as a fantastic example.	Reserve Management Plans already exist for all of the main reserves in the district. The use of volunteers for many organisations is difficult due to health and safety obligations. WDC is currently working on a framework to enable volunteers to offer support to projects while ensuring appropriate mechanisms are in place to ensure their safety.
Town Maintenance	609	Natasha	Ramsay Clark		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	2/ I shop locally and the state of the streets in Ngaruawahia are disgusting Jesmond street is awful instead of a roundabout and the Flour Mill why is this money not used to make Ngaruawahia more attractive to visitors, which WDC encourage to come to Ngaruawahia with the Hakarimata and Te Awa cycle way.	CBD footpath steam cleaning is carried out two to three times a year, kerb & channel takes place every 6 months and additional sweep carried out during leaf fall. Sumps are cleared 6 monthly also. Signs are programmed to be cleaned as required.
Town maintenance	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					I would like to see the Huntly Town Centre be tidied up, a bit of paint on the chairs in Garden place is a start. When was the paving last cleaned, outside all of the food places the footpath is a disgrace. How about some nice colourful plants instead of flaxes that attract rats which I have seen in the flaxes by the public toilets. I remember when the Huntly township was well known for its wonderful gardens and when the contractors and Council' gave a damn.	No response required
Town maintenance	736	Jennifer	Duncan-Bailey					3/ By the way who is responsible for being a representative from Meremere as the village looks like an abandoned child that no could gives a damn about. Would you enjoy living in an environment of such neglect. The children can't even walk along the footpaths to get to school. I dread to think about those with prams or wheelchairs... You should all be ashamed of yourselves. If you the council have little respect for the environment yet charge the rates you do why should the people there care. I have spoken to a number of the locals there and they all say the same thing. You the council get a deaf ear when it comes to Meremere. How about pouring some of the money you collect into bringing Meremere appearance up to a standard we can all be proud of	Meremere is an important part of our community and we are currently undertaking remedial works across the Village including mowing, spraying and fixing of paths. We have a Grass Verges policy which was adopted by council in 2017 which we are currently working with property owners to understand their responsibilities. We have carried out significant maintenance 2 months ago including rubbish collection and mowing. At that stage footpaths were in a reasonable condition. We suspect trucks movements involved with the construction of new developments on Heather Green Ave have damaged footpaths and kerb & channel recently. We will be following up with the councils monitoring team and be carrying out a full inspection and scheduling maintenance intervention programme as required.
UAGC	4	Andrew	Thompson		Huntly	Option 3	Option 3	2. UAGC - Uniform Annual General Charge. It's only a natural assertion that the the below income earner and average ratepayer would select Option 3 Reduce the UAGC rate. Again the WDC states this is not the preferred option, again the user pays well below the costs as I see it. Tax the bigger money earners in the Commercial, industrial, Lifestyle as well as the Rural As you have already stated these groups get all the supportive advantages ie TAX write offs.	Council is required to act in the best interest of all ratepayers so selecting a uniform annual general charge that satisfies each sector of the community is difficult. Approximately half of council's properties are residential or commercial in nature with the remainder of the district's properties deemed to be rural. For this reason council's preferred option offered some relief for residential ratepayers with higher than average capital value movements without over-penalising rural ratepayers.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	4. Page 5 Regional Fuel Tax I don't support having a Regional Fuel Tax. On Page 9 the Waikato District Council wants to reduce the UAGC because of the burden to lower-value properties well all you will be doing is placing the burden back onto these lower-value properties because they need to use a car too. As there properties add in value they won't be able to afford to stay there so they move further out so they those people will pay more for there travel. These residents must probably cant apply for a GST return so they will be paying the 11.5 cents fuel tax. The 11.5 cent fuel tax is a tax on a tax, the Waikato District Council if it wants or needs a Regional Fuel Tax it should tell the government that they should take the GST off it.	We have noted your feedback on a regional fuel tax. Nothing will proceed in this space without wide public consultation.
UAGC	245	Carole	Chambers		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	1/ I have never in my life known of a 6.9% increase in Council fees. It is absolutely unbelievable. Please reduce your staff instead of increasing it (pg11) and put in a days work like we did in our time; not extra staff for questionnaires; no "did we do well with service" over the telephone; no extra money for anything other than basics; developers pay for services to cover industrial growth, more population. As you say on your Long Term Plan pg5 No.4 "Do more within existing budgets". The UAGC charge for Lifestyle, Rural and Residential should show some difference, but in the former 2 they can still live there so should be rated higher.	The increase you refer to relates to council's overall income from general rates in that it will be 6.24% higher than the current year. It is worth noting that both the UAGC and the variable general rate charge is lower than the current year, and it is the recent property valuation movement that will determine whether you will pay less or more in general rates.
UAGC	312	Phil	Journeaux		Hamilton	Option 2		3/ Uniform Annual General Charge I am a supporter of such charges; everyone benefits from the various services provided, so should pay equally. In this respect therefore I oppose the idea that the UAGC be reduced (Option 2). I don't actually support Option 1 in the sense of the UAGC increasing; the preferred option would be to keep the UAGC at its current level. If the UAGC is to increase to \$482.85, this represents an increase of 6.24%. So I would not support Option 1 on the basis if the UAGC increases, then the general rate does not have to. This would also have a greater beneficial effect for lower income householders.	No response required - the final decision of council is what is relevant to this point
UAGC	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand				Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) 6.1 The Council is proposing to reduce the UAGC from \$482.85 to \$351.96. This option would only reshuffle ratepayer contributions onto the business and industrial sector. For example, the Council's proposal will result in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$128 increase per year (equivalent to 50 per cent) for the commercial sector,</li> <li>• \$172 increase per year (equivalent to 61 per cent) for the industrial sector,</li> <li>• - \$48 decrease per year (equivalent to -13 per cent) for the residential sector.</li> </ul> 6.2 The Property Council strongly opposes the shift of the rating burden onto the commercial property sector given that this funds general council services. This is inequitable and would result in the commercial sector funding general council services that predominately benefit residential ratepayers. We support maintaining the UAGC as it currently stands.	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports Option 3 or Council's preferred option (Option 2) for re-balancing ratepayer contributions to the General Rate across the Waikato District . However, we recommend that when a final decision is taken on a preferred option by Council that it keeps rates as low as possible, as the socio-economic status spread is not consistent across the District.</p> <p>Rationale</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports Option 3 or Council's preferred option (Option 2) for re-balancing ratepayer contributions to the General Rate across the Waikato District as those living in the lower socio-economic areas are not well placed to accommodate increased rating costs.</p> <p>However, we recommend that when a final decision is taken on as a preferred option by Council that it keeps rates as low as possible, as the socio-economic status spread is not consistent across the District. In this regard, it would appear that Option 3 would be preferable as it provides a more equitable distribution of rate contributions based on ability to pay.</p> <p>While appreciating that a range of assessment criteria need to be used to determine Council's preferred option, Waikato DHB knows that some local communities across the district are struggling with affordability issues, which can have significant impacts on people's health in a variety of ways, including ability to access early health care. In general we support a general rate over targeted rates, because (as Council has stated) there is a public good element to most services, and targeted rates may place a larger financial burden on a smaller population.</p>	No response required
UAGC	596	Bruce	Cameron			Option 4	Option 1	<p>3/ UAGC It is very disappointing to see a proposed reduction in this rate. It is a tool that the council has that can rate properties across the whole district regardless of size or value but recognise that everybody has the same access to council services (outside of targeted rates). Although everybody has access to these Council services (out side targeted rates) not everybody is reasonably able to access them, especially those in the outlying rural areas. For that reason the UAGC needs to be kept up to the maximum of 30% because what the UAGC doesn't cover has to come out of the general rate, and this seriously impinges on the rural rate payer who ends up paying a disproportionately large share of the total rates. This reduction proposal seems mainly to satisfy the Huntly and Ngaruawahia townships which had a larger than average property value increase. These properties also have access to waste water, water, rubbish and storm water which they pay a targeted rate for. It is these services that have had by far the biggest increases that have effected their total rates bill. By lowering the UAGC the higher value properties are effectively subsidising the lower value properties. Even if the council set the UAGC at Option 1 of \$482.85 it is still a reduction of the UAGC because the targeted rate component has such a large effect on it and these targeted rates are having such large increases. Recommendation Increase the UAGC to its maximum or at the very least to \$482.50 Option 1</p>	<p>Council has an aggressive user pays targeted rate scheme which charges the end user for the costs of services such as providing drinking water, managing rubbish and recycling collection and treating wastewater. This means that wider benefits are not necessarily charged to all residents e.g. limiting the number of wastewater spills has an advantage for all residents not just those who receive wastewater services. Those on targeted rates bear all of these costs.</p> <p>As council has selected a capital value rating system, changing the level of UAGC is one mechanism that allows council to recalibrate some of these inequities without penalising properties at varying values unduly.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	603	Anonymous	Submitter		No Address	Option 4	Option 3	Overall I do not support the Long Term Plan 2018-2028 (LTP)I am not happy with the process in how properties in Ngaaruawaahia were valued so as to support Council's reason to increase rates. This is not fair and does not align with your vision as it makes it difficult for families to afford to live here, thrive here and feel like they cannot contribute to better outcomes for the community - so no sense of connectedness.The Ngaaruawaahia community should have the lowest UAGC, therefore Option 3 - Reduce the UAGC to \$286.50 is the preferred option.	Council does not undertake property valuations. These are performed by an independent company named QV who carries out this service nationwide for all councils. If you wish to challenge you valuation you can contact QV to do so. Your selection of option 3 - UAGC is noted.
UAGC	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				On 're-balancing ratepayer contributions', we are of the view that the Ngaaruawaahia community should have the lowest UAGC, therefore Option 3 - Reduce the UAGC to \$286.50 is our preferred option.	No response required
UAGC	708	Waikato	Tainui					W-Tainui submit in support of; Option2: Reduce the UAGC to \$351.96 (Council's preferred option) We note the Waikato District Council publication which points to a 2017 property-value reassessment of residential properties within the Waikato – in particular, the highest increase in value taking place within our Waikato townships. We note that some of the residential properties in Huntly West had increased in value by 100 per cent compared with an average rise of 33.7 per cent across the district. Rebalancing the UAGC would assist our whanau in ensuring that the general rates burden is less likely to fall more heavily on lower-value residential properties where our whanau are predominantly located. While many of our whanau are not home owners themselves. Cost offsetting by landlords is also a concern for W-T and we note that any increases in the UAGC may and will likely impact renters, which makes up a sizable amount of the tribal population.	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	712	Andrew	Feierabend	Meridian Energy				<p>Uniform Annual General Charge. The proposal to reduce the UAGC cause Meridian significant concerns. It would appear the Council is proposing options that manipulate the impact of changing property values, contrary to the Council's own Revenue and Funding Policy principles which in turn are consistent with the Local Government Act requirements (s. 103). In particular the concerns are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Policy refers to the UAGC be used for "people related" activities to recover costs all ratepayers receive, to be fair and equitable, and the General Rate for public goods where there are no practical alternatives. The proposal the change these principles due to a revaluation is inequitable to those who will be subsequently negatively impacted and who haven't benefitted from the level of increase in equity of those being provided with the proposed relieve.</li> <li>• The Draft refers to the UAGC making up a significant proportion of rates. The use of uniform charges is capped in the legislation. The document doesn't refer to where the Council is relative to this cap, implying the status quo does not breach the cap.</li> <li>• Central Government provides for rates rebate where a property owners rates are considered unaffordable relative to the property owners income. This welfare support is a function of central government, and provided on an individually assessed basis.</li> <li>• Council is not in a position to do this, and should not seek to address perceived issues through compromising broader policy principles and legislative requirements. Council can use its rates rebate policy, again assessed on individual circumstances if specific needs are identified.</li> <li>• Council policy refers to changes to UAGC to rebalance impact "not in revaluation years" (page 213). The inclusion of the statement in the policy appears to be there to take into account changing services/beneficiaries, and to exclude manipulating to modify the effect of valuation changes.</li> <li>• Page 9 of the Consultation Document refers to the tax deductibility of rates by businesses. This argument has been discredited and not legally available to Council. Further, you are likely to have many operations in your commercial areas that are charitable/not-for-profit activities that would get no such tax deductibility. Also, rental accommodation in your residential areas would have tax deductibility.</li> <li>• Property values do not take into account the owner's equity or income. That the Waikato District Council stays true to the Revenue and Funding Policy and does not reduce the UAGC to offset the effects of the 2017 revaluation. That the UAGC only be change where there is a change to a level"of service (funding requirement) and/or the identified beneficiaries of the Council services.</li> </ul>	WDC's revenue and financing policy does not differentiate between fixed or variable general rate funding. It does explain what a UAGC can be collected for, which means we would not charge a UAGC for something that is not people related, but does not limit the ability to pay for people related activities through other funding mechanisms. Council's are not required to use a uniform annual general charge as part of their rating system, however, WDC does see this as an important mechanism in assisting with the assignment of benefits in what is a strong user pays rating system.
UAGC	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				<p>Option 2 - paragraph 3. The explanation to not congruent with existing protocol. Even is the statement is true there is no comment about the increased rating on those properties of higher value. The burden of expense is also upon those people; this is fair? The Council is not responsible for the increased value of the properties only the level of tax burden. If fairness was an option, then lower the taxation on all.</p>	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				UAGC. See attachment	No response required
UAGC	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				<p>WDC's current rating mix includes a low use of the Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) allocation, and thus over relies on the variable, property valued based, general rate contribution. It is for this reason that we strongly urge council to have the courage to make what may be an unpopular decision and increase the UAGC usage to the legislated 30% cap to give more substance to fairness and equity principles.</p> <p>UAGC's are a fair way for Council's to rate for services that provide an equal or indistinguishable amount of benefit across ratepayer groups. Especially when compared to a general rate calculated by capital value which results in groups such as farmers paying more for an activity which they are unlikely to use more than any other group in a community. We ask WDC to explain how they are comfortable with Council Leadership activities being fully funded by the General Rate for example. This group of activities includes Governance and Representation – farmers don't receive more representation than other ratepayers. So why should they pay more.</p> <p>It is worth remembering that uniform charges were introduced into rating legislation as a tempering tool to offset the bluntness of the property value mechanism. It was designed to shift inequitable rating burdens from those with high value properties. A point which seems to be lost on WDC as they use equity based arguments to justify the proposal to reduce the UAGC rate.</p> <p>Uniform charges and "ability to pay"</p> <p>Federated Farmers is concerned that Council's interpretation and focus on 'affordability' stifles the opportunity to more fully utilise this funding tool.</p> <p>Where Council is concerned that the effects of increasing the use of the UAGC rate would be regressive and impact upon lower capital value properties, Federated Farmers submits that the rates remissions scheme, alongside the broader central government welfare system and rates rebates scheme, remain the most robust and efficient methods of progressive redistribution, with the ability to target each concern on a case by case basis, in a way that is not possible using the blunt property value basis afforded by rates.</p> <p>Council is not in a position to assess who is most able to afford its rates. Councils do not know the income or net wealth of their ratepayers, which are the best indicators of ability to pay. All councils know is their ratepayers' assessed property values and have access, like anyone else, to social demographic statistics. This is insufficient information on which to base a compulsory tax with the purpose of reflecting "ability to pay" principles.</p> <p>Council should keep in mind the specific purpose of local government rates is to fund Council activities in a manner that is efficient and equitable; the tax treatment of rates as a business expense, is not a matter that is either reasonable or relevant to consider when deciding what is a fair allocation of rates. Federated Farmers takes exception to the use of this flawed argument to engender support for WDC's preferred option on page 9 of the Consultation Document.</p> <p>Further, reducing uniform charges to benefit low income households</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>may simply be to the advantage of landlords, as low income people tend to rent their homes.</p> <p>Federated Farmers supports maximum use of general and targeted uniform charges. When these mechanisms are utilised every ratepayer pays the same amount for the public good services of council that everyone benefits from equally. Better use of the UAGC will also reduce reliance on the general rate as a funding mechanism, and flattens the distribution of rates bills between high to low value properties.</p> <p>Transparency</p> <p>Federated Farmers considers it important to have the percentage of UAGC use clearly reported in the Long Term Plan. This allows readers to see how close to the legislative maximum the UAGC use is, and therefore how committed a council is to reducing their reliance on the property value based general rate and how fair their rating system is consequently.</p> <p>For this reason, it is frustrating to find that Council is using the 2015 Funding Impact Statement ('FIM') and 2015 Revenue and Finance ('R&amp;F') policy to support the consultation process for the 2018 LTP. There are material differences proposed to the UAGC usage as evidenced by the prominence of this issue in the Consultation document. It is reasonable to have expected the related supporting document to have been revised accordingly.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>6. Federated Farmers seeks maximum use of the UAGC funding mechanism. If council determines that this would result in unfeasible rates increases this year, then incremental increases to achieve the 30% cap should be made over the next three years.</p> <p>8. FFNZ submission on the Waikato District Council Long Term Plan 2018-28</p> <p>7. Federated Farmers expects services that provide an equal or indistinguishable amount of benefit across ratepayer groups, to receive increased levels of UAGC contribution. Activities such as Council Leadership, and Organisational Support are two examples.</p> <p>8. That Council addresses their affordability concerns by providing targeted relief through either new rates remissions policies, or working harder to promote central government's rates rebate scheme, rather than manipulating the funding sources so that some ratepayers continue to subsidise the services or benefits received by other ratepayers.</p>	

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
UAGC	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				<p>There are about 32,000 rateable properties, resulting in current UAGC collecting about \$15.5m. So, if UAGC were abolished, general rates would rise by about 40%. Option 4 is calculated on that basis. The other options are from a poster displayed at the Information sessions and belatedly added to the website as 'Roadshow powerpoint presentation'. It is unfortunate that the above table had only limited availability and was not in the consultation document. Therefore the majority of those putting in submissions are likely to be making them based on minimal information.</p> <p>There seems to have been little research since 2007 on the relationship between property valuation and ability to pay. However, the finding illustrated in the graph below wasn't unexpected, so the relationship between high income and high value property likely remains. That accounts for UAGC being widely regarded as a regressive tax. As such, UAGC should be minimised.</p> <p>Raglan and Tamahere top the average capital values for the towns at \$610,000. Only the high range rural, commercial and industrial properties would lose significantly from the \$286.50 UAGC, whereas the great majority of town and country dwellers would benefit. For most town ratepayers that is true even if no UAGC were charged.</p>	No response required
UAGC	730	Daniel	Rensburg					<p>11/ We prefer the higher UAGC (Uniform Annual General Charge) and less based on Capital value. Council valuation is inflated.</p>	<p>Council does not undertake property valuations. These are performed by an independent company named QV who carries out this service nationwide for all councils.</p> <p>If you wish to challenge you valuation you can contact QV to do so.</p> <p>Your feedback on the UAGC is noted.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rating system	5	David	Hay				Option 1	<p>Comments: Our company Roskill Properties Limited is a property owner and we write in that capacity we disagree with option to reducing the uniform annual Gen charge to \$351.96 We would prefer to see the uniform annual Gen charge increased to a higher level than \$482.85 however as you only give us 2 options then we support option 1 We would like to make the following points in support of our submission.</p> <p>1. Council recommending option to is trying to manipulate the system according to what Council believes is the ability of various ratepayers to pay. Property values do not necessarily reflect ability to pay. There are some very wealthy property owners in low value properties and many low value properties are also investment properties where the property owner is running a business. Some ratepayers in high value properties are retired and have low income.</p> <p>2. Rates based on property values is a tax rather than a charge for services were as a uniform annual general charge is to some degree a charge for services.</p> <p>3. 1 system of rating creates anomalies where as 2 different rating systems, one based on property values and the other a fixed charge does assist resolve anomalies.</p> <p>4. Property values to some extent are impacted by the level of service and amenities supplied by Council. The low value properties in Huntly West went up by more than 100%. Those property owners have had a significant increase in their personal wealth. And by Council's own reasoning and logic the ability of the owners of those low value properties to pay rates has increased to a greater extent. If the low value property is mortgaged to 50% in 100% increase in the value would increase the owners equity by 200%.</p> <p>5. Property owners pay the same price for a huge basket of goods regardless of the valuation of the property and to collect a reasonable portion of rates by way of a fixed uniform annual charge is fair and reasonable. Council should not be reducing its uniforms annual general charge to protect a particular class of the ratepayers.</p> <p>6. I have no idea whether we are personally better off with a high or low uniform annual general charge but there is a principal of collecting a reasonable portion of the total rates income by way of uniform annual Gen charges which Council should follow.</p>	<p>You have a good understanding of the complexities regarding the setting of rates and council accepts that capital value increases are generally positive for property owners. Council has an aggressive user pays targeted rate scheme which charges the end user for the costs of services such as providing drinking water, managing rubbish and recycling collection and treating wastewater. This means that wider benefits are not necessarily charged to all residents e.g. limiting the number of wastewater spills has an advantage for all residents not just those who receive wastewater services. As council has selected a capital value rating system, changing the level of UAGC is one mechanism that allows council to recalibrate some of these inequities without simply penalising higher capital value properties. The factors for determining what each of the three options will mean for your property was provided on council's website under the rating information database link.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rating system	6	Carl	Ammon					2/ Universal general rate: equity the key factor in setting published c good levies rates and taxes. The favoured proposal is intended to lower the total cost on rates and balance these with targeted user pays rates and fees. As this rate is for general services used by most then a common rate level is fair. The rate system is already a wealth tax based on asset values. Businesses are able to reduce tax by claiming expenses. Residents who own ( and indirectly if they rent) face the full cost so the system already so subsidises lower asset value property and businesses. Using rates to help the asset poor (and generally lower income earners) is not at all bad but tax and welfare measures coupled with minimum wage changes are better tools and central government is the one best placed to do this.	The rating system, as you have alluded to, certainly has its challenges and setting a tax based on property values (whether land, capital value or annual value) will never suit everyones individual circumstances. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government.
Rating system	33	Ron	Gibson		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	2/ Another thing that annoys me to to be paying a tax (GST) on a tax (rates). The Government is taking 15% of our rates; how much are they returning? A better method would be to not pay GST on rates. The savings to the ratepayers would be immense and would also free up teams of shiny-arses to do something useful.	GST is a value added tax (consumption based) and as such those who receive the services pay the tax. The assumption with rates is that the use of services is either spread evenly over everyone who gets the service (targeted rates) or spread based on the underlying value of your property (general rates).
Rating system	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	Comments: 1) The user pay policy is extremely high and puts undue pressure onto what was a once affordable place to live. Pokeno resident who own a home with a CV of approximately \$550,000 are paying almost \$4000 in rates per year ( roughly \$3300 in district council rates and \$500 is regional council rates). This is extremely high compared to Auckland Council rates where a property valued at \$1.2 million would be paying \$3300 in rates. The same services being supplied by both councils. The information provided by the council is insufficient for rate payers to a decision. The council has provided a graph on page 6 of the proposal, this graph does not give the rate payer enough information to see how each rateable item (Water, Wastewater and Stormwater) will be impact, rather grouping these together. Also what is considered to be an average household by the council? this should be appended to the graph to provide term a reference to allow ratepayers to see where they sit.	Unfortunately this is an economies of scale issue. The number of ratepayers to spread the costs of the same service compared to Auckland is significantly less in the Waikato district ( approximately 510,000 properties in Auckland to 24,800 in Waikato). Each property will differ, the graphs are used to present an average impact based on the movement in rates between the current year and the first year of the LTP. The factors for determining what each of the three options will mean for your property was provided on council's website under the rating information database link.
Rating system	167	Barry & Anne	Chappell		Waiuku			Comments: We are on the periphery of Waikato Council's area and do not benefit in any way from this targeted rate so therefore we do not support this proposal. Also, please advise and justify your rating of our property on the basis of our location and what is provided by the Waikato Council for us. Where is our library? Where is our community centre? Where is our swimming pool? Where is our inorganic/ refuse & recycling centre/ collection. Please note: we pay privately for a weekly rubbish service. Please have someone contact us to discuss the above and give consideration to either provide a voucher system for nearby Waiuku or a rate rebate. Will consider your response before engendering support from neighbours.	Unfortunately, as a property tax, council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on individual household situations. Your specific submission points have been passed to your local councillor.
Rating system	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			2/ The importance of protecting the financial base that is expected to fund development of the Waikato District.	No response required - is not a question



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Rating system	470	Broughton	Thomas					<p>We, the ratepayers of Ryan Road subdivision, Te Akau South and adjacent properties wish to formally object to the level at which our properties are rated. We believe that we are being rated at the same level as if we were in a community situation like Raglan town. This is not appropriate for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. We are not a town or an urban community. a. We have no refuse or recycling collection. b. We have no sewerage scheme. c. We have no Council maintenance on footpaths and (as yet) on public reserves. d. There is no street lighting. There are no shops, library, public toilets, garages, community hall, etc., which would normally be present in an urban community. – Many of these missing facilities would attract council costs, justifying urban ratings. f. There are no local costs relating to other Council functions, such as public transport, or lifestyle facilities (libraries, pools, parks etc.)</p> <p>2. The facilities we do enjoy are more of a rural nature: a. We have our own bore water scheme for which we pay by meter monitoring. We all paid a capital sum to help get the scheme started in the 1980s. One of our permanent residents contributes to maintenance by checking the water treatment once a week. This facility is more appropriate for a collection of rural houses. b. We do have our own scheme for mowing the lawn reserves. This attracts a relatively low cost to the council compared to alternatives. In addition this extends to areas which are used by the wider rural community even more than by residences nearby (e.g. down by the boat ramp.) We consider that the current ratings system is unfair. We would therefore appreciate from Council some explanation of, or justification for, the level of rates charged on our rather isolated and remote properties.</p>	Unfortunately, as a property tax, council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on individual household situations. Your specific submission points have been passed to your local councillor.
Rating system	525	S.F and J.L	Wall		RD 3	Option 1	Option 1	<p>1/ To assume a property that has a higher value means automatically people can afford to pay more is a fallacy and unfair. Often mortgages are higher. Rates obviously are higher. And these can be families, or older residents, who have lived a long time at their properties and are on fixed incomes. For the rates we are charged, living rurally, we receive nothing other than a rubbish collection.</p>	Rates are a property tax. Unfortunately council's do not have an ability to assess rates based on household income. Council has a number of rating policies that aim to assist in cases of financial hardship and there is a rates rebate scheme where for low income households a portion of the rates are paid directly by the government. If you contact the rates team they will be able to provide information on these policies and the rebate scheme.
Rating system	584	Bernardette	Wood		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	<p>I do not support the LTP as I believe that the valuation system that has been used to justify rates increases for Ngaruawahia is unfair.</p> <p>1/ The LTP has outlined that spending will be primarily in the North and that there is no immediate focus on Ngaruawahia although we carry the highest rating increases. Ngaruawahia households should not be carrying the extra financial burden of improvements to other communities in the district. The targeted rates paid by Ngaruawahia households should be spent on improvements in Ngaruawahia.</p>	Targeted rates such as those for waste water, water, refuse collection etc. are a standard district wide charge, shared by all properties who receive that particular service. No single community could afford the cost of major repairs or renewals themselves so the need to treat the district as a whole is vital. Every town (including Ngaruawahia) has had their fair share of targeted rates spent in their community in the past, and this will happen again in the future.
Rating system	606	Nicky	Moore		Mercer	Option 4	Option 3	<p>Dear Waikato District Council. Thank you for the opportunity to have a say on the rates. I would also appreciate your reviewing the recent valuation of my property at 58 Koheroa Road, Mercer. My home was built in the 1920s and requires extensive work on it externally including reroofing which I am currently unable to afford and also requires internal renovation [my home has no shower only a bath]. I do not believe that your last valuation is correct due to these issues and would appreciate</p>	Council does not undertake property valuations. These are performed by an independent company named QV who carries out this service nationwide for all councils.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								your review of this matter and evaluation of property. kind regardsNicky Moore PS we have a large power pylon close to the house.	
Rating system	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	<p>Ngaruawahia - LTP</p> <p>1/ Ngaruawahia is not being supported in the LTP. Our residents are being hit with the highest increases in the District with little to no spending to be done in this town. In previous budget rounds the UAGC was reduced to meet the property valuation increases due to the impact of lower incomes not only in this town but also Huntly. These meetings were debated at length with very strong interactions across the floor. To say now 'we want the whole district to have the same UAGC' is ludicrous as this seriously impacts lower economic areas when the spending is not being done here.</p>	<p>The UAGC has always been the same amount district wide. It is the one rating tool council has to ensure every single property in the district pays a minimum standard amount for all universal services.</p> <p>Councils preferred option (lower the UAGC) benefits the majority of properties in Ngaruawahia at the expense of significantly higher value properties (\$1 million plus) throughout the rest of the district.</p> <p>Targeted rates such as those for waste water, water, refuse collection etc. are a standard district wide charge, shared by all properties who receive that particular service. No single community could afford the cost of major repairs or renewals themselves so the need to treat the district as a whole is vital.</p> <p>Every town (including Ngaruawahia) has had their fair share of targeted rates spent in their community in the past, and this will happen again in the future.</p>
Rating system	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	<p>Ngaruawahia - LTP</p> <p>2/ The Council is double dipping with Ngaruawahia and Huntly due to the high increase in property valuations which should have minimal impact to rates, and Council should have taken this in to account, whilst our more affluent areas like Tamahere and the newer area of Pokeno have had minimal increases but huge infrastructure money to be spent from our rates.</p>	<p>Council does not undertake property valuations. These are performed by an independent company named QV who carries out this service nationwide for all councils.</p> <p>As council has selected a capital value rating system, any community that experiences a higher percentage valuation increase than other areas will also invariably receive a higher increase in rates.</p> <p>Changing the level of UAGC is one mechanism that allows council to recalibrate some of these inequities which is what council has proposed to do this LTP.</p>
Rating system	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>18/ Our community is asking the question. Are we the Golden Goose dropped in the WDC basket?</p> <p>This committee is concerned about the balance of spending in Pokeno equalling the rateable income received. All these issues impact on the wellbeing of all our community members. It is time for council to consider our needs seriously.</p>	<p>Rating information is compiled based on wards not the individual villages or census area units. In the current financial year the residential properties in Awaroa ki Tuakau Ward contributed 7.85% of total rating income and for those same properties based on the preferred solution and movements in property valuations, in the 2018/19 year it will be 8.15%. Proportionately the residential properties in this ward make up 23% of the overall residential properties in the district.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Refuse/Recycling	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	5. Waste Management and Minimisation certainly we need to minimise waste, recycling is one way but with China not accepting any more recycling from other countries this recycling will need processed here more. The Waikato District Council needs to support to minimise waste and clean at the source so it can be recycled so the companies like Oji Fibre Solutions can process them, so it doesn't get dumped in a landfill.	Our Waste Management and Minimisation Plan will be out for consultation in May - please see our proposed activities for the next 6 years. I would encourage you to engage with the plan and look forward to your submission. With regard to reducing waste; you are absolutely correct - minimising the amount of waste that is generated by each and everyone is top of the list and we all play a part in this. However you mention recycling and increasing recycling - if CDL does get approved by Central Government, then this will have a big impact on recycling levels. With regard to having further processing facilities in NZ due to the impact of National Sword, this would be a central government level decision with advocacy from local government and business but it is a challenging space due to location, quantities and the price of virgin materials. The Council is committed to minimising waste, support from community is important to achieve change
Refuse/Recycling	169	David	Packer		Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	2/ While I can see some merit of user pays with refuse collection the stickers are just an additional rate. This appears also to lead to some trying to avoid the cost. We have 2 immediate neighbours to the north of us who burn rubbish in 200 litre drums including plastic to reduce what they have to put out causing air pollution.	The user pays refuse service is designed to run hand-in-hand with an education strategy. The goal of the system is to reduce waste to landfill and educate our residents on the issues surround solid waste.
Refuse/Recycling	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	3/We object to Huntly's only project being \$600k on the Resource Recovery Centre, this is not just for Huntly, it is used district wide, therefore Huntly only receives a small portion of benefit.	The resource recovery centre will not be paid for only by Huntly residents. Agree the benefits are broader and this has been reflected in the LTP.
Refuse/Recycling	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	5/We object to the removal of many rubbish bins from Huntly Domain, now rubbish is being dumped close to where the bins were, creating smells and eyesore	A rubbish bin at the Huntly Domain was removed due to damage. This is due to be replaced within the next month.
Refuse/Recycling	312	Phil	Journeaux		Hamilton	Option 2		4/ I see the Refuse targeted rate is to increase by 22% in 2017/18. While I generally favour targeted rates, I find some irony in the increase. When the \$1.50 stickers were introduced (12 months ago?) it was on the basis of the previous targeted rate decreasing. So within 12 months that promise has proved worthless, as per the earlier comment about the estimated reduction in water services costs.	The increase in the targeted rate for solid wastes is a by-product of the success of the user-pays system. User-pays is more an educational tool and less a cost recovery mechanism. Residents have reduced kerbside waste to landfill and this in turn has reduced sticker usage and sticker income. The increase in the targeted rate will ensure services continue to be provided as expected.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Refuse/Recycling	534	Bruce	Cameron					<p>5/ Recycling/Rubbish: We would like to see Council progress the purchase of Land for a Resource Recovery Centre in the North. This can be shared with Pokeno. No mention is made or allowed for in the LTP. We did get our hopes up when a recycle centre was due to open and then it disappeared off the radar altogether. Removing rubbish and recycling of recyclables in the northern part of the WDC for those without kerbside collection is very poor with one once a month at Glen Murray and nothing for the Rural areas of Tuakau, Pokeno and Aka Aka. For businesses and those outside the collection area, there are only drop off areas in Waiuku, Huntly and Te Kauwhata.</p> <p>6/ For tourists moving through, especially campers/camper vans/freedom campers there is nowhere obvious for them to dispose of rubbish. The town street rubbish bins are not designed for their "household/van" rubbish. Onewhero domain is a classic case of this problem with freedom campers. They regularly have 25 to 30 each night. The septic cannot take the extra load and is constantly blocking or overflowing. It is not up to the locals to either be continually cleaning up after them or reminding council to do so. As Onewhero is one of the closest stops to Auckland Airport, those ending their tours clean their vans out and dump everything there. Rubbish and recycling needs to be moved forward from its planned 2024 slot and the Board would welcome the opportunity to be involved.</p>	Council is continuing to investigate availability of suitable land for a resource recovery centre in the north. Currently we have made funding provisions for this in 2024, however, should a solution become feasible earlier than this, we will bring funding forward.
Refuse/Recycling	543	Anonymous	Anonymous					<p>I have just read the above document and my blood pressure has soared accordingly. There is no point in making a submission as the Council just goes ahead and does what it wants to do anyway. The only recourse we have is the ballot box, and so many voters are blinded by the "spin" dished out, or just feel it is a waste of time voting. The following areas annoy me intensely:</p> <p>1/ Refuse (page 12) We were literally fed a lot of 'garbage' when you introduced the new stickers. This was supposed to reduce the targeted rate, but no, you are proposing to increase this again already, which no doubt you intended to do all the time. We just can't believe what you tell us.</p>	The increase in the targeted rate for solid wastes is a by-product of the success of the user-pays system. User-pays is more an educational tool and less a cost recovery mechanism. Residents have reduced kerbside waste to landfill and this in turn has reduced sticker usage and sticker income. The increase in the targeted rate will ensure services continue to be provided as expected.
Refuse/Recycling	571	Details withheld	Details withheld		Raglan	Option 2	Option 2	2/ In addition, basic rubbish and recycling facilities need to be installed at high traffic tourist zones, for example at the beach.	Your comments will be taken into consideration when reviewing Council's future waste requirements.
Refuse/Recycling	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	4/ Not supported - Waste minimisation - Use pays stickers and reduction of recycling has not worked. Look forward to reading more on this issue.	The WMMP is currently out for consultation.
Refuse/Recycling	596	Bruce	Cameron			Option 4	Option 1	<p>2/ Rubbish/recycling In the northern part of the WDC we only have one recycling facility outside of curb side collections, which is at Glen Murray. This service is once a month. For those people within a reasonable distance of Glen Murray it is fine. However for those further afield there is no viable alternative. There is no obvious facility for tourists travelling through the area especially those in camper vans and this can only but increase the amount of rubbish that ends up on the roadside. The freedom camping area at the Onewhero Domain is setting a bad example of rubbish dumping. Recommendation Council needs to secure land for a recycle / rubbish centre, probably in the Tuakau Pokeno area, and obtain a resource consent for it. The running of this facility can then be contracted out</p>	Council is continuing to investigate availability of suitable land for a resource recovery centre in the north. Currently we have made funding provisions for this in 2024, however, should a solution become feasible earlier than this, we will bring funding forward.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Refuse/Recycling	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	9/ Rubbish Recycle Transfer Station: The promised plans need to be implemented now, 2024 is too late	Council is regularly reviewing options for a recover centre in the north. Should appropriate land become available, Council would look to bring this project forward.
Refuse/Recycling	650	John	Mitchell		Taukau		Option 1	1/ We also submit that Waikato District Council provide a rubbish and recycling depot to service the north Waikato areas that do not have kerbside collections. Currently a large part of the northern area is unable to dispose of their rubbish and recycling. Our nearest facility is in Te Kauwhata, and it is only open two days a week. If council wants the district clean and tidy, without rubbish being thrown out on the roadside, they need to provide a facility. If council is unable to provide this facility we submit that you restart the monthly collection mornings at suitable sites throughout the northern area, charging a targeted rate if required.	Council is continuing to investigate availability of suitable land for a resource recovery centre in the north. Currently we have made funding provisions for this in 2024, however, should a solution become feasible earlier than this, we will bring funding forward.
Refuse/Recycling	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	7/ trash transfer station	Council is regularly reviewing options for a recovery centre in the north. Should appropriate land become available, Council would look to bring this project forward from 2024.
Refuse/Recycling	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	9/ Waste Management and Minimisation, Raglan has been the standard setting for the council	Agreed, the XZW model is in many ways industry leading.
Refuse/Recycling	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	10/ Refuge and recycling, Raglan is the trend setting of the country	Agreed, the XZW model is in many ways industry leading.
Refuse/Recycling	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	11/ Raglan (food waste) organic kerbside collection. is ground breaking in this space and will need more consultation into the cost benefits of this programme moving forward. Building and Maintaining Infrastructure Roads and transport ;	Agreed, the XZW model is in many ways industry leading.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Grant funding	464	John & Dorothy	Wakeling		Hamilton			The Sculpture Park @ Waitakaruru Arboretum is a 17.5 ha fully-developed regional asset, a rehabilitation project of a former quarry undertaken over 27 years. The park is now an outstanding arts-in-nature experience, located in Scotsman Valley equidistant between Hamilton, Cambridge and Morrinsville, at the south-eastern end of Waikato District. When it was open every day for 8 ½ years, it hosted a succession of sculpture exhibitions, attracting up to 10,000 visitors a year. The entry fee for visitors and other sources of revenue never quite covered the cost of running exhibitions and the park maintenance. For this reason, the park has only been open by appointment in recent years. We started the 'Share the Park' campaign early this year, setting out to demonstrate support to reopen the sculpture park and arboretum as a free-entry public space. If the cost of maintaining the park is met, many more people could enjoy it. We had 4 open days Anniversary Weekend and Waitangi Day when more than 1000 people visited the park. On a crowd-funding site we raised \$8000 from 64 donors to cover the cost of impartial reports on the maintenance costs and improvements to health & safety. 190 people over one month completed a survey about their perceptions of the park. This supporting information is now available. We are seeking a long-term partnership with funding organisations, just to cover the cost of maintenance. The cost of maintenance is based on a primary funding organisation having a 'licence to occupy' so that it can be open daily for free. The park is a good candidate for the proposal of local authorities in the Waikato to collaborate and co-fund regional assets through the Community Facilities Funding Framework <a href="https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/LTP-FWD10/Documents/10374937.pdf">https://www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/WRC/Council/Policy-and-Plans/LTP-FWD10/Documents/10374937.pdf</a> . Hence we are making a submission to the Regional Council and all four territorial local authorities close to the park. The above proposition is an inexpensive way to provide for a destination park of regional significance. The park has a proven track record of drawing regional and overseas visitors as well as providing a resource for environmental and arts education. Many of you may have visited the park in the past. Please take the opportunity to come with your family on the 29th April. Alternatively, take a look on our website and view the short overview video.	No response required
Grant funding	534	Bruce	Cameron					2/ The Board would like to thank the council for the skate park budget of \$350k. However, we feel this is potentially light with the planned expansion of Tuakau. There is a lot of youth now, and with the planned growth, there is going to be a lot more. Skate parks are a great attraction for youth and a great activity that gets them outside and active, both physically and socially. Therefore we would request that this be placed on the external funding list.	All external funding requirements would need to be applied for and followed up by the relevant community groups with support from council staff. Council would not seek the funding on behalf of the community groups specifically.
Grant funding	534	Bruce	Cameron					13/ Port Waikato The Board supports the Hall/surf lifesaving complex and is thankful for the council's support on this. \$500k was, we believed, set aside for the boat ramp/wharf at the Port. Has this been carried forward?	There is no \$500k amount set aside specifically for the Port Waikato Boat ramp /wharf.
Grant funding	551	Michelle	Hollands	Sport Waikato	Hamilton			See attachment	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Grant funding	552	Richard	Briggs	Hamilton City Council				<p>HCC is supportive of the Waikato Regional Council's (WRC's) approach to considering providing funding for regional facilities that offer significant benefit for the Waikato Region. Such an approach needs to be undertaken on a fair and equitable basis for the region's ratepayers. To this end, HCC supports WRC's development of a Community Facilities Framework as outlined on page 23 of their Draft 2018-28 Long Term Plan Consultation Document.</p> <p>As you will be aware, HCC is proposing to contribute \$4 million (\$2 million in 2021/22 and \$2 million in 2022/23) towards a multi-sport indoor recreation centre being developed by the University of Waikato, pending a detailed business case.</p> <p>The proposed Indoor Recreation Centre will be based on the University Campus in Hamilton to cater for the needs of a growing city and will also service the wider Waikato Region. The proposed indoor recreation centre is supported by Sport Waikato and aligns with the recommendations from the Waikato Regional Sports Facilities Plan.</p> <p>HCC would like WDC to note that we are requesting that WRC provide funding of an additional \$2 million towards construction of the University of Waikato Indoor recreation facility via the Community Facilities Framework to ensure other surrounding residents and ratepayers in the region, including those in Waikato District, support this sub-regional facility.</p>	No response required
Grant funding	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				<p>WRC thanks WDC for its current contribution to the Lake Waikare and Whangamarino catchment management plan and Waikato and Waipa Rivers restoration project. We request that WDC provides additional funding for the plan and strategy implementation.</p>	No response required
Grant funding	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				<p>With the projected growth of the Enviroschools programme, WRC has increased its investment in this area and is pleased to be partnering with you to expand key environmental learning into more schools within the Waikato district. We would like to acknowledge the ongoing support WDC has provided to the Enviroschools programme and hope we can continue to work with you going forward.</p>	No response required
Grant funding	604	Timothy	Duff					<p>7/ More control given to RCB for WDC discretionary spending. Most of the money WDC has is allocated to spend is fixed and well planned. There is a certain amount that does not fall into this category which may be called discretionary spending. For example perhaps the \$161k WDC has allocated towards the Pillboxes may fall into this category. The RCB are elected members by the community where as WDC staff are not. Empowering the RCB will achieve better results and satisfaction for the community.</p>	

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Grant funding	650	John	Mitchell		Taukau		Option 1	2/ We submit that council include funds of \$5000 to construct, in partnership with the community, a BMX track on the Onewhero Reserve. The community is prepared to undertake all the physical work – track construction, fencing, planting however we will need financial support for contract a digger driver, purchase fencing materials and metal and lime chip for the surface of the track. We submit that WDC also provide financial assistance in developing a third multi-purpose sports field on the Onewhero Reserve. Our two existing fields cannot cope with the demand, especially for the junior part of the club and we regularly have to use the Onewhero Area School's field to cater for all the teams. While the school is accommodating, there is also increased interest in both local soccer and cricket clubs starting. The third field could accommodate both of these activities. Again, the community is more than willing to work with council to develop the third field.	Council is willing to speak with the Onewhero Domain Committee to discuss the development of a BMX track.
Grant funding	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	3/ Housing infrastructure fund, we have a community project (WRAP) that requireswoe support (Raglan)	The Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) which Council applied for was specifically to support a major housing development (for 1600 houses) in Te Kauwhata. There is at yet no official announcement by Government if it is to make more funding available for the HIF . However your point has been noted.
Grant funding	711	Ken	Soanes					<p>A submission to the Waikato District Council from the Raglan &amp; District Museum Society</p> <p>The Raglan Museum Society receives \$20,000 per year from the Council. This submission is a request for that to be increased to \$30,000 per year. Our aim is to increase the hours of paid administrative assistance from ten to twenty per week.</p> <p>The museum runs considerably on the voluntary work of its members. But there is too much work to rely completely on volunteers. For the last few years we have used \$10,000 of the Council's payment for running costs for the museum and the other \$10,000 for administrative assistance, that being ten hours a week at \$20 per hour.</p> <p>The museum has had real success in the years since it opened in its new building on 12 November 2011. Our collection has expanded and continues to do so. Our public profile has grown as we have become an integral part of the tourist town that is today's Raglan.</p> <p>Our visitor numbers have also grown. We are reluctant to charge for entry as we are a public facility and we want people to come. However we do have a moderate charge for entry (\$2 for adults and\$1for children) which does not deter but does show some commitment from visitors and, importantly, enables us to keep a track of visitor numbers. We have had 19085 visitors since opening, that being 14963 adults and 4122 children. These numbers show a steady increase over time, with last year's figures being 1000 more than the previous year. Indeed in this year's January we had a record 644 adult visitors. (The wet January weather helped!)</p> <p>We also have good numbers of school class visits, a result of our policy of targeting schools in our district. We are in danger of becoming victims of our success in that we need more effort in appraising and cataloguing our growing collection, in meeting the requests of not only school parties but various tours that include the museum in their Raglan visit, in answering the queries of visitors and, generally, the day to day tasks that</p>	Council will unfortunately not be in a position to provide additional funding over and above the \$20K p.a it currently provides. We advise that the Raglan & District Museum Society recovers this cost through its fee structure.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>are part and parcel of a functioning museum.</p> <p>Our finances are suffering. I attach our financial statement. Do note that we have run deficits in the past two years. We do need greater assistance.</p> <p>We acknowledge the real support we have received from the Council.</p> <p>The museum would not exist without it. The Council operates under the correct understanding that support for museums is part of its function.</p> <p>We submit that we have repaid that support by becoming a really good museum in a town where our role is appreciated and supported by locals and visitors.</p> <p>We now ask the Council to acknowledge our success and, because of it, our greater need.</p>	

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Grant funding	731	Sarah	Nathan					<p>See attachment. Please find attached (file) an overview of the Waikato Arts Navigator. It is a joint framework developed by Creative Waikato available to all Councils in the wider Waikato region.</p> <p>It goal is to build a collective vision for arts and creative outcomes in your community.</p> <p>Creative Waikato would like to work with your staff to devise a simple arts action plan for the Waipa District to align to regional goals of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Creative Prosperity</li> <li>• Creative Experiences</li> <li>• Creative Wellbeing</li> <li>• Creative Excellence</li> </ul> <p>This is a simple way to have an effective arts strategy utilizing existing knowledge of your communities' activities and working from strengths. Start with what you are already doing and build from there. Let's talk more.</p> <p>Creative Waikato has been working hard in the Waikato region for the last 6 years at no cost to WDC, mainly thanks to funding from Trust Waikato. We support artists, build capability with arts groups, build audiences and advocate nationally for better arts investment in our communities.</p> <p>We request as part of this submission:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The opportunity to work with your staff over the next 3 years to develop an arts plan that aligns with the Waikato Arts Navigator</li> <li>2) That Waikato District Council allocate Creative Waikato \$6,000 per annum to support and build focus to grow the Waipa Creative Community.</li> </ol>	<p>Waikato District Council will be developing an Arts Strategy in the new financial year. We welcome the support of Creative Waikato for such a strategy. However we note that Creative Waikato is seeking funding from Waikato District Council for the Waipa District Council's Waipa Creative Community. Whilst we support and wish Waipa District Council well on this initiative, we recommend that Creative Waikato approach the Waipa District Council directly for funding for helping with its own initiative as it is not appropriate for Waikato District Council to use its ratepayers money to fund a Waipa District Council initiative.</p>
Public transport	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>4) Another issue is the concil plans of commuter traffic, looking at decision and implementation in 2042-2048. By this time the problem would become out of control and potentially attempted to be fixed with a bandaid solution. Park-n-Ride facilities and public transport solution should be at the top for growing developments such as Pokeno. Council is aware that the majority of resident commute to Auckland and Hamilton for work, however are they aware of the current commute times and choke points. Traffic to Auckland builds up at RamaRama. Within 5 years with increase development in Pokeno and surrounding areas it will be nearing Bombay or possibly down the hill. Take a drive on a Sunday afternoon on toward Auckland and you will experience a taste of what is to come for North Waikato ratepayers. Transport solution for 2042 is not acceptable, if the council expects ratepayers to be pay extremely high rates then take action. There are solutions such as tolling or value added transport solution. Examples have a company pay for the infrastructure and give them tolling right till a certain date to recoup cost and interest. Have a transport company establish a bus service to Pukekohe trainstation like park n ride service. This would employ staff reduce vehicle traffic, carbon emission and improve transport system. 2042 is too late ACT NOW, rather than putting band aid solutions</p>	<p>Council is committed to improving public transport within the district. This is especially the case for the northern Waikato where Council, together with the Waikato Regional Council, undertook a review of public transport in this area last year and has identified increases levels of services for towns such as Pokeno, Te Kauwhata and Huntly especially with regards to Auckland and Hamilton. These interventions are being considered by both councils as part of their respective LTPs. Council also supports park and ride facilities and staff are currently undertaking an assessment of such a facility for Tuakau, Pokeno, Te Kauwhata, Huntly and Ngaruawahia as part of a Strategic Business Case on Transport Connections between Hamilton and Auckland. There are funding implications for such facilities as you will know and we will be making a case to Government to provide funding support to build such a facility in anticipation of a future passenger rail service.</p>



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Public Transport	245	Carole	Chambers		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	2/ Transport (Tuakau Buses) - We used to be able to shelter from wind and rain under a small overhang both at the library and at the hotel, until the route was changed. Now on St Stephens Ave behind the butchers shop we get drenched and remain wet from 10:30 until the bus returns from Pukekohe after 3pm.	The roading team will investigate the provision of a bus shelter at this site.
Public Transport	310	Gary	McGuire	Tuakau & Districts Development Association	Tuakau			8/ The re-establishment of our Tuakau Rail Station & supporting links to the outlying areas. This requires support & action, not just political talk between other affected agencies. WDC, WRC, Trans-Rail, Kiwi Rail, ATEED & Central Government.	The establishment of a rail platform in Tuakau is important to Council to prepare for a potential passenger rail service between Hamilton to Auckland. This is why we have committed \$511,000 in the long term plan for refurbishing such a facility. We absolutely concur that such a facility needs to be supported by an appropriate park and ride facility -which Council staff are in the process of looking at options with Kiwi Rail. This is being done through a Strategic Business Case on Transport Connections between Hamilton and Auckland which will help Council and its partners on such an initiative advocate to government for funding such a facility to support a future train service.
Public Transport	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports Council's proposed commitment to transport improvements and encourages Council to recognise in the Draft 2018 LTP a commitment to the government adopting a mode-neutral key theme as identified in the Draft 2018 Government Policy Statement on Land Transport.</p> <p>Rationale</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports proposals identified in the 2018 Draft LTP to manage maintenance budgets, focus on priorities for road safety, and plan for transport interventions in growth areas.</p> <p>We encourage Council to recognise in the Draft 2018 LTP a commitment to improving all modes of transport in recognition of the government's recent signal that it is adopting a mode-neutral key theme in the revised Draft 2018 Government Policy Statement on Land Transport, including walking and cycling and public transport<sup>13</sup>.</p> <p>Non-road modes including walking and cycling and public transport encourage physical activity which is identified as a preventative factor for many chronic heart conditions such as diabetes and heart disease. Daily exercise has proven health benefits such as lowered obesity rates, reduced risk of stroke and other cardiovascular diseases, reduced risk of diabetes and increased physical fitness and mental health<sup>14</sup>.</p> <p>Waikato DHB is also aware of local community transport services provided in Waikato District which enable access to hospital health services –for older people in particular. On average people consume 30 percent of their whole of life health care services in the last few years of life.</p>	No response required
Public Transport	534	Bruce	Cameron					8/ Train Station/Park & Ride: Land purchase Planning needs to be in place for the possibility of a train stop and Park and Ride. There are very limited parcels of land available near the Train station and with the Boards local knowledge would be keen to help achieve an outcome.	Very preliminary planning has begun for re-opening the Tuakau station to provide commuter services to Auckland. Engagement with the Community Board will be useful (vital)

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Public Transport	534	Bruce	Cameron					14/ Tuakau bus transport servicesWith the growth of Tuakau the need for an efficient and regular bus service tying in with the trains in Pukekohe is essential for the Town.The promotion of a train stop at Tuakau is also going to be vital to cater for the growth of the town in the future.	The draft LTP contains budget for a Pokeno - Tuakau - Pukekohe bus service. The suggested timetable provides for 15 services each way on week days and 7 on weekends. The schedule is designed to provide connections to the current train timetable. An enhanced service from Te Kauwhata is also proposed.
Public Transport	552	Richard	Briggs	Hamilton City Council	Hamilton			<p>HCC is supportive of the Government's priority/policy to provide substantial funding towards an interim rail service between Hamilton and Auckland.</p> <p>We endorse the proposal in the Waikato Regional Council's Draft 2018-28 Long Term Plan supporting a passenger rail start up project that is in part funded through a targeted rate charged to Hamilton ratepayers i.e. Under Option 2 in the Waikato Regional Council's Draft 2018-28 Long Term Plan this would see the majority of Hamilton ratepayers paying less than \$30 per year towards the service.</p> <p>Similarly, HCC also endorses the proposal in the Waikato Regional Council's Draft Regional Land Transport Plan currently being consulted on that supports a daily rail service between Hamilton and Auckland.</p> <p>Prior to all councils making decisions on their respective Long Term Plans, HCC expect to be further advanced in respect of more detailed government policy and will be more informed by the passenger demand survey that is currently underway. Local government also expects to be better informed by the recently released Draft 2018 Government Policy Statement on Land Transport.</p> <p>In this period, the Waikato Regional Council and HCC also expect to advance discussions with government and KiwiRail on the rolling stock ownership model and the makeup of the cost information they have provided.</p> <p>As part of its commitment to the service, HCC has made land purchases (around \$6 million) that are in close proximity to The Base to provide a rail station and a future park and ride facility to support the rail service.</p> <p>HCC is supportive of and acknowledges WDC's capital funding provision of \$500,000 in 2020 in its Draft 2018-28 LTP for infrastructure to support a passenger rail service i.e. the Tuakau Rail Platform.</p> <p>A significant number of WDC residents are likely to make regular use of the Hamilton to Auckland rail service (especially residents living in Huntly and Tuakau) and particularly in light of the continued substantial growth over recent years in the Pokeno-Hamilton corridor.</p> <p>Given this, HCC believes that WDC should subsidise the operation of the Hamilton to Auckland rail service to the same level as HCC ratepayers.</p>	No response required
Public Transport	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				<p>WRC supports the proposed improvements to public transport services in the north Waikato that aim to provide improved public transport connections between north Waikato towns and the Auckland region. We request that WDC ensures appropriate public transport infrastructure, such as bus stops and shelters, is included in the LTP to support the delivery of proposed public transport services in North Waikato. We also support the proposed Hamilton to Papakura commuter bus service being identified in WDC's LTP as a 'provisional activity', with a view that this activity will not be implemented if a passenger rail service between Hamilton and Auckland is confirmed and endorsed by central government and regional partners.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Public Transport	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				WRC is currently consulting on an interim Hamilton to Auckland passenger rail proposal as part of its LTP. The exact timing of the start of this proposal is contingent on a number of issues being resolved including funding, availability of rolling stock and upgrade of stations and associated infrastructure. We note that WDC has included funding for the upgrade of Tuakau railway station in 2021/22. Given the proposed passenger service could potentially start at the end of 2019, we recommend that this funding is brought forward to 2018/19 to allow work to be completed by August 2019. We also request WDC sets aside funding to allow for further improvement to be made to the Huntly railway station so that it is fully operational in time for the interim rail service.	No response required
Public Transport	612	Barbara	Barrand		Pokeno	Option 3	Option 2	We moved to Pokeno in 2017 with the promise of infrastructure and facilities. The message from WDC is these things cost money however, due to large rates increases we find it hard to believe there isn't funds available. Yes we appreciate investment costs however we were, along with thousands of others, encouraged to invest here in property on the promise of better facilities and infrastructure. The priorities as we see them are; 1/ Transportation. A bus service and a rail link is needed urgently.	Council thanks you for your submission. Our staff are currently investigating a rail service in conjunction with Hamilton City Council, NZTA and the government. Bus services are in place and will look to increase over the next three year period and beyond.
Public Transport	621	Kitty	Burton		Hamilton			1/ The Matangi Community Committee requests the provision of a bus bay and turning area in the village to improve safety for the intermediate and High School students who wait for buses to travel to school in Hamilton each school morning. The current stopping place on the side of the road is too near the intersection and there is no access to shelter. From the last LTP process we were advised that the committee needed to say where they wanted the bus bay to be and last year residents nominated the Jack Foster Reserve as the most suitable option. The current bus route means the stopping and turning area would need to be large enough to enable the bus to pull off the road and turn to go back toward Hamilton. The alternative to this would be a roundabout at the Matangi Road/ Tauwhare Road intersection. A recent accident at the intersection highlighted both the need for better control at the intersection and that the current bus stop is too close to it. The vehicle lost control at the corner and had there been any students at the bus stop they would have been in the direct path of the vehicle. A roundabout would mean that the bus stop could move to the Jack Foster Reserve but the construction of a bus bay would not be required as the bus would be able to turn at the roundabout.	Council thanks you for your submission. School bus service infrastructure is not currently funded by the New Zealand Transport Agency, however we will undertake to investigate the suitability of the Jack Foster Reserve option.
Public Transport	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	5/ Public Transport We support efforts to provide public transport and bus links for Pokeno residents. We would like you insure that timetabling is such that buses arrive in time for train connections in Papakura and Pukekohe. We also support commuter bus services from Hamilton. We support proposed bus scheduling linking Pokeno, Tuakau and Pukekohe. Planning should begin for a railway station and park and ride facilities. We urge you to represent us vigorously on these matters during your negotiations with the Waikato Regional Council and the New Zealand Government	The draft LTP includes a proposal for a bus service from Pokeno to Tuakau and Pukekohe. The proposed timetable provides 15 return trips per weekday and 7 on weekends timed to connect with the train at Pukekohe.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Public Transport	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno/ Public transport /train station	The draft LTP includes a proposal for a bus service from Pokeno to Tuakau and Pukekohe. The proposed timetable provides 15 return trips per weekday and 7 on weekends timed to connect with the train at Pukekohe. Land has been purchased for a train station and proposals will be developed in conjunction with NZTA and Kiwirail
Regional Fuel Tax	169	David	Packer		Hamilton	Option 4	Option 2	3/ The danger with a Regional fuel tax is that it is just another form of rates and we see rates go up overall. I would rather the Council focused on improving efficiency.	Council's position on fuel tax is that if Auckland have a fuel tax and Hamilton are also permitted to levy a fuel tax that petrol stations within the Waikato district will likely follow suit in terms of pricing. If the cost at the pump goes up, council would like those funds to be ring-fenced for the benefit of our ratepayers (like will happen in Auckland) and our local network rather than as a profit to the petrol companies. Your point regarding efficiencies is noted and was certainly at the forefront of our planning process.
Regional fuel tax	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand				Regional fuel tax 8.1 Given the recent Government announcements of a national fuel tax being implemented for regional development, the Property Council does not support Waikato's bid for a regional fuel tax. Instead, we support the Council developing a proposal to Government to receive national fuel tax benefits for projects that align with the Government's priorities of a wider rail network from Waikato to Auckland. We believe this would have more weight than the current consultation document requesting funding for roads, given the Waikato expressway is a Road of National Significance which has received Government funding and is set to be completed by December 2020. 8.2 The Property Council is yet to see evidence that a regional fuel tax should be requested for the Waikato region.	No response required
Regional Fuel tax	605	Glenda	Raumati		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	4/ The LTP will result in inequitable investment across Waikato and disproportionate investment in the northern communities at the detriment of Ngaruawahia. We do not support regional petrol tax.	Targeted rates such as those for waste water, water, refuse collection etc. are a standard district wide charge, shared by all properties who receive that particular service. No single community could afford the cost of major repairs or renewals themselves so the need to treat the district as a whole is vital. Every town (including Ngaruawahia) has had their fair share of targeted rates spent in their community in the past, and this will happen again in the future.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Regional Fuel tax	616	Trish	Forsyth		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 2	Ngaruawahia - LTP  5/ With regards a Regional petrol tax, how can this benefit our area when it will be administered by Central Government. Is this not what the Government is advocating they are looking at? If not and this is 'on top of their proposal' I do not support a further increase. I can appreciate the district has been lumbered with old SH1 roads but these at the very least should be in very good condition to start with, not like previously when pot holes were prevalent and it was catch up to repair these roads.	Should a regional fuel tax be introduced, this revenue would come directly to council to be spent on roading within our district. Any funding council applies to roading is currently matched (approx.) by NZTA so council receives double the value of any roading expenditure it outlays. This would also be expected to offset rates increases should the regional fuel tax be implemented in future years. A regional fuel tax would be separate (additional) to any that central government applies.
Regional fuel tax	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				We do not support any future regional petrol tax in the district. No petrol tax applied in the Ngaaruawaahia community (and broadly the district)	No response required
Regional Fuel Tax	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	1/ Transport Fuel Tax Proposal of a petrol tax was supported but we want transparency in its management and want that revenue is ring fenced for roading issues	Thank you for your submission. Council continues to work with government to further understand this potential tax.
Regional Fuel tax	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	8/ Regional Fuel Tax, if the community gets a rate reduction then this community would be fully supportive.	Yes, this would be expected to offset rates increases should the regional fuel tax be implemented in future years.
Regional fuel tax	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				Fuel tax - Support for an 11.5c/litre regional fuel tax is welcome, but seems unlikely to eventuate in the next 3 years.	No response required
Regional Fuel Tax	730	Daniel	Rensburg					5/ Fuels levies currently only target diesel or Petrol vehicles and does not tax electrical vehicles, which is not fair. It could also be based on toll for actual users of the road, rather that fuel levy.	Thank you for your submission. Council continues to work with government to further understand this potential tax.
Consultation	39	Crystal	Lange		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 1	Council applied bias by only showing impacts (pg 21) for the councils own preferred options.	Legislation requires council to indicate it's preferred option against alternate solutions. The rating information database which is particular to your property provided the factors for calculating rates under all of the options.
Consultation	580	Roger	Bright		Hamilton			Inclusion as stakeholders in Council decisions. Waikato Sport Fishing Club and its members are key stakeholders in regard to the boat ramps, boat access and parking with the Raglan area. As key stakeholders, Council is required to inform and consult with our club. We therefore request that Council in the future informs the Waikato Sport Fishing Club directly of any proposed changes to the ramps, reserves associated with the ramps and parking for boat trailers by a direct email to our president at president@waikatosportfishing.co.nz.	We have included your contact details and interests in our stakeholder database. We will be in contact should we be seeking public feedback on issues affecting your stated interests.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Consultation	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				<p>Federated Farmers commends WDC on the good use of benchmarking (page 21), to illustrate the projected rating impact of the plan across a range of property types. This information has been used, in part, to inform aspects of this submission. However, we do find some of the graphs used in the Consultation Document not particularly useful in terms of telling the full story or providing the information which would enable fully informed submissions to be made.</p> <p>The graph on page 10 is one example which we consider has the potential to significantly misrepresent the intended purpose of rating proposal and is designed to engender support for Council's preferred option rather than provide information which would help inform the debate.</p> <p>One of the two key focuses of the Consultation Document is to re-balance ratepayer contributions to the General Rate to address fairness and affordability issues. It is disappointing therefore to find no specific information, graphic or otherwise, which shows how the proposed UAGC options, will affect ratepayer contributions to the general rate fund. This is the stated purpose of the proposal after all. The pertinent information is a breakdown of how the variable component of the General rate is made up and how the UAGC options would modify that.</p> <p>The graph below has been created using the benchmarking information provided in the consultation document. It tells quite a different story in terms of fairness and how the property value, based rates burden is shared across the district than the graph used by Council on page 10.</p>	No response required
Consultation	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				<p>The information about the LTP is set out in 4 documents and this submission is set out under those headings. One, the 'Consultation Document', which is required by the 2014 amendment to the Local Government Act 2002, was delivered to most households (possibly only to 67.2% who are ratepayers, rather than the third who are tenants? - the Act only requires councils to tell people that the document is available and how to access it). Other information is in Regional Council papers and more was made available at consultation meetings, some only in response to questions. Therefore many submissions are likely to be made on the basis of very limited information. The value of such 'consultation' is not as great as it could be, but the 2014 Act does limit the information and it has been audited as within that Act.</p>	No response required
Footpaths	550	Daryl	Smart		Hamilton			<p>Te Otamanui Walkway</p> <p>This project has been a huge success as we near the completion of stage two of the proposed walkway. The project has so far received nine of the ten annual grants of \$25,000 per annum and we acknowledge Councils support to complete the walkway. We note the final \$25,000 is included in the 2018-2019 year of the LTP and thank Council for honouring the commitment made many years ago.</p>	No response required
Footpaths	622	Kitty	Burton	Matangi Community Committee	Hamilton			<p>The Matangi Community Committee with the support of Matangi School request additional footpaths to ensure greater safety for children and residents and meet the desire of residents and visitors to have better options for exercise.</p> <p>This request has become more urgent with the increase in the school roll and consequent increased traffic and options for the school to use local facilities.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Footpaths	622	Kitty	Burton	Matangi Community Committee				<p>Footpath from Matangi Village to the Matangi Hall.</p> <p>The Matangi Hall is a community facility utilised by the school and community. With the increase in the school roll the school onsite Recreational Centre can no longer accommodate all pupils so the Matangi Hall becomes the best option for a whole school event. A footpath on Tauwhare Road from the railway line to the Matangi Hall would enable the students to be off the roadside and therefore more safely get to the hall. A way to safely cross Tauwhare Road to the Hall would also need to be created as part of this work. A number of community events, regular sports and meetings are held at hall during the day and at night. It would be good for Matangi village residents to be able to walk to and from these events. Poor street lighting and lack of a footpath currently make this a hazardous walk at night. A footpath and improved street lighting would increase safety in this area.</p>	The footpath from the village to the Hall has been added to our footpath programme. In conjunction with our safety engineer we will also look at a crossing point.
Footpaths	622	Kitty	Burton	Matangi Community Committee				<p>Footpaths/ cycleway for Matangi area and to Hamilton</p> <p>Matangi is already a popular area for cyclists and while the road cyclists are happy to share the road there are more people who do so reluctantly or not at all but would like to safely walk and cycle along our roads both for recreation and to get to school and work .</p> <p>The paths would allow more Matangi School students to walk or cycle to school and for Intermediate and High School students who need use local roads to get safely to the bus route to school.</p> <p>The request is therefore for off road paths running alongside or separate from the roadway.</p> <p>Given the distances involved we do not imagine it being done all at once or being of concrete - a firm gravel surface would probably be less expensive, but we would like a plan over time that would begin with paths radiating from Matangi that would eventually connect to create a network useful to all users:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Matangi Village to Matangi West</li> <li>b. Matangi Village to Hoeka Road</li> <li>c. Matangi West to Assisi Home</li> <li>d. Hoeka Road to Woodside Road</li> <li>e. Taplin Road to Lee Martin Road</li> <li>f. Woodside Road</li> <li>g. Fuschsia Lane</li> </ul>	Council will review the request in conjunction with its overall footpath/cycleway programme. We appreciate and understand the safety concerns and desire to create a connected network. It is good opportunity for the Council to work alongside the community.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Footpaths	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	4/ Footpaths and Connectivity Footpaths must be confirmed for ALL of Helenslee Rd. We require: Corner of Munroe and Helenslee. Helenslee to the corner of Pokeno Rd opposite the school. Helenslee above Gateshead Rd to Hillpark Rd where it is missing from the subdivision connectivity. Where are the plans for our footpaths for Helenslee Rd? On Helenslee Rd we have a dangerous blind corner which requires street lighting and double yellow lines. On the corner of Munroe and Helenslee Rd we require a stop sign. This is not a wish it is a requirement. Where are the plans for our footpaths and cycleways connecting our new subdivision to our existing PokenoVillage?	Council is currently undertaking the planning and design stage for the urbanisation of Pokeno in accordance with all the developments. In regards to the footpaths we are constructing two new temporary footpaths, one outside the Pokeno School and another at the intersection of Munro and Helenslee Roads. These two footpaths will be completed before the end of the financial year.
Footpaths	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	Additional to the attached I would like to see the following applied for Pokeno/ foot paths all around school and down to Munro rd sport field	Council looks to work with the developers wherever we can to extend teh footpath network where it is appropriate, safe and provides connectivity. we are also looking at increasing the level of funding available for footpaths around teh district including Pokeno. The sites around teh school are noted and are on our list for progressing over the next LTP period.
Footpaths	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	12/ Maintenance: we agree that the footpath maintenance and extensions will increase and include the recommended Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study action F) b) i. & ii. • Safety : we agree on high priority safety issues such as bridge and structure replacement as detailed in the recommended Raglan Visitor Infrastructure Study action C) a), and the safety of the foot/cycle traffic across the Wainui Bridge.	No response required
Footpaths	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Building and maintaining infrastructure - footpaths. See attachment.	Council thanks you for the submission. In relation to footpaths and safety concerns. Staff are looking at how they can best utilise available funds across the district for new footpaths. In conjunction with our safety engineer we will investigate your specific requests and see how we can incorporate into our future programmes
Footpaths	730	Daniel	Rensburg					15/ That the footpaths in Pokeno next to the waterway be sealed, instead of gravel. Footpaths extend from Pokeno to new subdivisions	The footpath network in Pokeno is being reviewed and works will be programmed over the next 3 year period.
Aquatic Centres	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	5/ The Huntly swimming pool has not been kept up to standard, painting etc. the North Waikato Aquatic Trust took 10 years to get that pool refurbished so that it could be used all year at a cost of around 3 million dollars. Also the current managers are not very efficient. I took my grandchildren there on a Sunday during the school holidays and there were only two lifeguards on duty and they were very young. There was also a birthday celebration going on too so I thought at least another lifeguard was warranted.	A significant amount of interior maintenance was undertaken in 2017 including refurbishment of all changing facilities and replacement of all Pool lighting fitting with LED fittings. The exterior west side of the building ( main entrance side ) is due to be re-painted in May 2018
Aquatic Centres	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	6/ While I am on the subject of swimming pools, the shade sales at the Ngaruawahia pool do not belong to the Council. The Ngaruawahia swimming club purchased those. Also there needs to be more advertising to say that the pool is there for all to use.	Council have been maintaining the shade sails , one of the shade sails was repaired in Nov. 2017. The company managing the Pool have increased the advertising of the Pool through social media.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Aquatic Centres	605	Glenda	Raumati		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	3/ We want a new aquatic centre and recreational facility developed in Patterson Park.	Please discuss your options for priorities including a new aquatic centre with your local Community Board members.
Aquatic Centres	608	Janice	Hona		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	We do not support the priority projects for Ngaruawahia. We want investment in a new aquatic and recreational centre at Patterson Park as submitted in February 2016.	Please discuss your options for priorities including a new aquatic centre with your local Community Board members.
Aquatic Centres	610	Pourewa	Paikea		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	I do not support the priority projects for Ngaruawahia. I want a new aquatic centre in Patterson Park as submitted in 2016.	Please discuss your options for priorities including a new aquatic centre with your local Community Board members.
Aquatic Centres	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	8/ Swimming pool ,recreation centre	We recommend that you discuss your requirements with members of your Community Board.
Aquatic Centres	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					Genesis Energy Huntly Aquatic Centre This fantastic millions of dollars Waikato District Council ASSET has not had the regular maintenance, with recent photos showing small trees growing in the gutters, Slime on the Genesis Energy Huntly Aquatic Centre on the west/south side of the entranceway. Faded paint, and over the past three months out of the six showers that were installed, only one was working. Not sure if the issue has been sorted yet. I believe there is a further problem to the heating of the pool, some days there is warm water coming in and others cold water coming in. This asset is only 10 years old on 13th November 2018 and it needs a good clean up. Like the hall, Council state it is underused, what advertising is done for the facilities at Huntly Domain by the Council. If the pool was at the correct temperature during winter, a lot more people will be interested in using the pools. The pools state is very disappointing as a member of the former North Waikato Aquatic Trust who worked for 10 years to get this facility built.	No response required
Economic Development	420	Dallas	Fisher	Waikato Regional Economic Development Agency	Auckland			See attachment.	\$60,000 is included in the draft LTP.
Economic Development	471	Nienke	van Dijken	Tourism Industry Aotearoa				See attachment.	The draft LTP proposes increased investment in Council's economic development programme . This programme proposes continued co-funding of the Hamilton & Waikato Regional Tourism Organisation, support for our local Destination Management Organisations and tourism operator clusters across our district. The draft LTP also includes a proposal to co fund the regions new Waikato Regional Economic Development Agency.
Economic Development	543	Anonymous	Anonymous					4/ Huntly needs some employment opportunities urgently. We are supposed to be in this wonderful golden triangle but nothing to show for it.	No reply necessary as there is no name nor address with this submission.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Economic Development	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>The Consultation Document summary of the District's Economic Development Strategy acknowledges the importance of freight and logistics to the district's economy. This is due in part due to the Waikato District's location within NZ's golden triangle. When planning for the district, these transport connections need to be fully understood and leveraged as much as possible. Planned growth nodes such as Huntly or Pokeno and large-scale future development locations such as Meremere need to be identified early in both strategic plans and master plans and built into road corridor and growth strategies. It is important that transport connections into these areas are promoted, or in the case of Huntly, managed to retain the economic potential of the town and to avoid a stranded community due to the social and economic risks associated with the pending bypass.</p> <p>W-T requests equal representation at the table as and when wider transport plans for the area are being considered, as well as partnering with Council to implement a master-plan for Meremere. As W-T is a key Meremere landowner and developer, discussions at this early planning stage will ensure that options for the development of Meremere are maximised, further developing the economic potential of the district.</p>	Council welcomes any opportunity to work with our Partner Waikato Tainui. The draft LTP will continue to support our partnership approach.
Economic Development	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	<p>7/ Economic development, is the major concern for our Board as we are well behind the eight ball in consultation and engagement, as item is always under the Public Excluded section of Council business.</p>	<p>Your comments are noted. There is strict criteria around when agenda items can be excluded from the public. Often due the commercial sensitivity of economic development these items fit this criteria. In regards to general economic development in Raglan, council often work with developers to ensure their intention aligns with Raglan Naturally. Council hosts monthly free business service to assist business owners with their economic growth aspirations. When Raglan Naturally is updated we will actively promote the town in alignment with these aspirations. Raglan is openly promoted through both Council and Hamilton &amp; Waikato Tourism initiatives.</p> <p>The draft LTP proposes an increase in resource to support the increasing demand for economic development activity.</p>
Local projects	564	David	Whyte					<p>Connectivity</p> <p>Huntly has a piece of infrastructure that is essential to the community, but the council does not own this. The walking bridge that connects town to the West side. I do believe Kiwirail own the bridge and walkway. It would be wise to have money allocated to purchase/upgrade/upkeep the bridge if Kiwirail decide to no longer use the line. Also given it is an essential part of the community, WDC should be proactive in securing the future of this bridge, instead of reactive. Also I am aware that Kiwirail may remove the walkway bridge if they think it is more hassle than it is worth. Hence adding more importance to WDC being proactive.</p> <p>Recommendation: That WDC puts aside money to investigate long term options of the walk way bridge. With the view for obtaining the bridge for the community.</p>	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Local projects	564	David	Whyte					<p>Loss of parkland</p> <p>Lake Puketirini is unique in the Waikato being a healthy deep water lake. This provides business and recreational activities for locals and people from the wider Waikato. A satellite shot is shown below. Due to the Solid Energy sell off, significant chunk of the surrounding parkland has been sold off. One would presume that WDC didn't purchase it, otherwise it would have been in the papers. I personally don't think people appreciate how much land was sold off, that appears to be part of the park. I spend random times in these now sold areas hunting for fungi. It consistently surprises me how many walkers are out and about in this park like area, clearly enjoying the environs.</p> <p>The loss of land, is highlighted in blue, on the satellite image. As you can see this is significant. And once developed, over the next decade(s?) will make an impact onto the quality of life experienced by those who regularly use this area.</p> <p>There could be a silver lining in this sell off. The Huntly East underground mine site was sold to a local business owners. They plan to develop this site into a facility for recreational activities.</p> <p>Obviously the owners need this to be a successfully commercial venture. But there could be opportunities for the council to work with the new owners, to develop space(s) that public could have access to. For example if the council plants out an area in natives, then the public could have walking rights, or something of this ilk.</p> <p>Also there could be opportunities with the rail line removed from along side East Mine road, that could be used for walking / biking. I am currently unaware of how wide this corridor is, who owns it, and how it could be developed.</p> <p>Recommendation:</p> <p>That the council activity pursues opportunities to work with the new land owner, which will benefit public access to the land. Also money is put aside to develop a food path / walking path along McVie Rd / East Mine Rd if and when the opportunity presents itself.</p>	No response required
Local projects	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				<p>The Ngaaruawaahia Community Board does not support the priority projects identified for Ngaaruawaahia. These projects were not identified by the community.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Local projects	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				Building and maintaining infrastructure Infrastructure Strategy - 40% - Tuakau 2019-20 - Skatepark \$350k. This is not a core activity for Council - Tuakau/Pokeno 2021-24 North Waikato Resurce Recovery Centre \$3.1 million. Kindly advise what this actually is. - Pokeno 2019-22 Library services centre \$3 million. We do not need a physical presence for a Library. The internet is a larger library by far. - Te Kauwhata 2019-20 - Walkways \$2.3 million. What walkways are the Council considering? It takes 6 years to build? Hopefully not the national walkway, as this should be funded by Government. - Huntly 2019-20 - Resource recovery centre \$600k. Same comments as above. - Tamahere 2022 - 28 - Walkways \$1.5 million. Comments the same as Te Kauwhata. Six years to build? - Raglan 2020-22 Restoration of hertiage site \$161k. Is this project a core council activity? - Raglan 2023-26 - Walkway content unknown. Kindly advise how much? Comments same as others. - Ngaruawahia 2019-28 - Restoration of heritage site \$418k. Is this a core activity? It takes 7 years? - Ngaruawahia 2022-27 - Walkways \$402k. Comments are the same as above. Five years to build?	Most if not all of the proposed items listed here have been supported by the respective community boards and elected members for these areas. We recommend that you consult with your local elected member in the first instance.
Local projects	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Building and maintaining infrastructure - public toilets. See attachment.	Staff will consider these when reviewing future projects for the Mercer area.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Local projects	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				<p>Raglan projects - There is considerable confusion about projects shown on the map on page 15 of the consultation document. The only survey with significant community input in recent years was done in 2014, but several other lists of Raglan priorities exist -</p> <p>\$161,000 for restoring the Raglan pillboxes in 2020 is a mystery. It seemed to have been rejected as too expensive when last considered in August 2006. Yet somehow it has made it to the list of Raglan projects in this LTP, despite Tony Whittaker, Cr Lisa Thomson and Raglan Community Board seemingly knowing nothing of how that happened. It is still too expensive and moving heavy machinery on the beach risks damage to the beach.</p> <p>2023-26 Wainui walkway and bridge upgrade for \$7.6m appears to have evolved from the scheme agreed with Raglan Land Co for that company and council to contribute \$3m each to widening Wainui Rd where it crosses Opotoru Creek. This scheme should be evaluated along with parking and shuttle bus proposals to ascertain whether a quality shuttle bus service would be cheaper and more effective than the combined bridge and parking options. Meanwhile, the serious accident with a mobility scooter shows that the walkway over the bridge is unsafe. A clip-on solution should be urgently investigated.</p> <p>In October 2014 Raglan Community Board Community achieved a 25% response rate (378 survey forms) in a survey which indicated that Raglan's top five Long Term Plan priorities were</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Continued development of footpaths in Raglan</li> <li>- A heated swimming pool</li> <li>- Additional areas for parking in the CBD</li> <li>- A new footpath from Whale Bay to Manu Bay</li> <li>- Public transport – increase bus services to &amp; from Hamilton</li> </ul> <p>Tony Whittaker said at the 28 March public information session that footpaths will have \$½m pa district wide. As below, this is out of a roading budget of \$34.5m ie 1.5%. As noted below, this is inadequate. This list of Raglan projects was on display at the 28 March session (even the year sequences don't fit and neither councillor, nor community board, knew anything about them!) -</p> <p>Year 1   (2018/19) Install new access way – Raglan Cemetery</p> <p>Year 2 (2019/20) Coastguard – Raglan- Boat Ramp Upgrade</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Te Mata cemetery expansion</li> </ul> <p>Year 2 (2020/22) Restoration of heritage sites (Raglan pill boxes)</p> <p>Year 3 (2021/22) Wallis Street – Raglan- Boat Ramp Upgrade</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Greenslade Rd Intersection - Planned for 2020/21</li> <li>• Lorenzen Bay Rd Ext. - Planned for 2020/21</li> <li>• Lorenzen Bay Connector Rd - Planned for 2020/21</li> </ul> <p>Year 6 (2023/24 Raglan - new playground</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Puriri Park- Boat Ramp</li> <li>• Upgrade Wastewater treatment plant upgrade \$15.6m</li> </ul> <p>Year 9 (2026/27 Kopua Domain – RaglanPlayground Upgrade</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Riria Kereopa Memorial Drive - Raglan- Toilet Upgrade</li> <li>• Wainui Beach - Raglan- Toilet Upgrade</li> </ul> <p>Prioritised amongst the entire district's toilets so date yet to be confirmed</p> <p>2023-26 - Wainui walkway and bridge upgrade \$7.6m</p> <p>In 2017 Raglan Community Board submitted this list as their high priority items for the LTP -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Upgrade and improve wastewater solutions for the pending Consent</li> </ul>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								Renewal *Upgrade and improve wastewater solutions for the pending Consent Renewal * - Raglan Naturally Review - Raglan Structure Plan - Create a footpath/boardwalk from town to Manu Bay and Whale Bay - Create footpath on Stewart on Gilmour Street and off street parking on Lower Stewart St - Create more bicycle lanes on high use routes. Ie. from population nodes to school to Kopua to CDB to beach - Create a Recreation Centre for the community - Create an indoor swimming pool - Safety rails on Wainui Bridge - Parking in CBD - Improved water quality - Review Toilet Strategy - Continue funding and support for Xtreme Zero Waste	
Halls	545	Robert and Tina	Macnab		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 1	1/ Review of hall targeted rates boundariesIn my case I pay rates to Naike Hall where I mainly use Te Akau Waingaro Community Complex. These areas are outdated based upon halls being linked to schools and outdated social activities. A Lot of these schools have closed so the communities need for the hall has diminished. Our needs are better met at Te Akau.RoadsLocal communities should have more input into roading strategies.	A Review of the Halls catchments has been proposed to ensure a consistent approach to how the Halls are funded. Halls usage will also be monitored in conjunction with the Committees to ensure they remain relevant and useful to the community.
Halls	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	7/ Pokeno Hall: This is used daily. Budgeting needs to be included for its upgrade to cope with this increased use.	The recent hall strategy suggests that the hall has medium to high usage however still has the potential to accommodate further visitations. Therefore at this stage there is no proposal to increase the size or expand the Pokeno Hall.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Halls	649	Mark	Brown	Life Unlimited				Community Facilities and Halls: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Community Facilities and Halls to exceed the building code NZS 4121 provision for accessibility. Access to facilities, buildings to meet Barrier Free requirements and standards to be fully accessible especially for wheelchair and mobility aid users.</li> <li>• Allow – footpaths in front of Community Facilities and Halls to be designed to accommodate a mix of users eg space for and accessible for older people, wheelchair users, mobility scooter users, etc.</li> <li>• Parking spaces – Accessible parking that is conveniently close (walkable) to all Community Facilities in sufficient numbers to meet or exceed the legal required numbers. Designed to meet Building Code NZS 4121.</li> </ul>	No response required
Halls	652	Lance & Kath	Straker		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 1	10/ hall upgrade	We recommend that you discuss your requirements with members of your Community Board.
Halls	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	6/ Halls and community facilities, as one of the most utilised Hall in the District we would expect full consultation in the future .	Should any significant decisions regarding halls or community facilities become necessary, Council will certainly engage with the Raglan Community Board.
Halls	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Halls and community facilities. See attachment.	Staff will consider these when reviewing future projects for the Mercer area.
Wastewater	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				Waikato DHB supports Council's plans to continue implementation of the 'Continuous Improvement Programme' for wastewater services, and the associated reduction in waste water spills into Raglan Harbour. Waikato DHB supports the continuing implementation of plans to prevent wastewater spills into Raglan Harbour, including the monitoring equipment upgrades, asset assessment, and public education programmes. Wastewater spills into Raglan harbour place recreational users of the harbour at risk of illness from contaminated water. We support actions to prevent such spills from reoccurring.	No response required
Wastewater	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				WRC acknowledges the considerable growth occurring in the north Waikato district and the challenges this places on existing wastewater treatment plants and associated infrastructure. In particular and as you will be aware, the development of Te Kauwhata has posed some real challenges to WDC with regard to community expectations for the removal of treated wastewater discharging to Lake Waikare in the immediate future. It is our expectation that development contributions and government funding will assist WDC to provide sustainable alternatives for improved wastewater treatment and discharge for this community. WRC is also aware that other smaller wastewater treatment plants such as Meremere and Te Kowhai are currently seeking new discharge consents, however, improvements are required until the centralisation of wastewater services is achieved. The mothballing of these older systems with the reticulation of wastewater from these smaller communities through to a modern plant is supported.	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Wastewater	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				WRC acknowledges the considerable growth occurring in the north Waikato district and the challenges this places on existing wastewater treatment plants and associated infrastructure. In particular and as you will be aware, the development of Te Kauwhata has posed some real challenges to WDC with regard to community expectations for the removal of treated wastewater discharging to Lake Waikare in the immediate future. It is our expectation that development contributions and government funding will assist WDC to provide sustainable alternatives for improved wastewater treatment and discharge for this community. WRC is also aware that other smaller wastewater treatment plants such as Meremere and Te Kowhai are currently seeking new discharge consents, however, improvements are required until the centralisation of wastewater services is achieved. The mothballing of these older systems with the reticulation of wastewater from these smaller communities through to a modern plant is supported. WRC is also mindful that the Raglan wastewater treatment plant is due for re consenting in 2020. We encourage you to ensure sufficient funding is available to support this process which will involve considerable community engagement.	No response required
Wastewater	564	David	Whyte					Waste Water Huntly also has a crappy sewer network (sorry couldn't resist). It has ageing infrastructure, and the overwhelming majority of it, is class 4 or the worst, class 5. and most is not self cleaning. Given that councils core business should be its core services, waste water should be given a high priority. Recommendation: That the long term plan increases money for sewer replacements / upgrades in Huntly	No response required
Wastewater	604	Timothy	Duff					4/ Sewage upgrade 2021 A working group needs to be formed as soon as possible with resources given by WDC to seek professional advice on options and alternatives for the treatment system. When the best options have been narrowed down to a few by the working group another document similar to the LTP Consultation Document or Raglan Chronicle in scope should be sent out to all Ratepayers and community over the Winter period for consideration and feedback. I believe this approach would create better community approval and potentially save WDC lots of money.	Council is in the process of implementing a re consenting strategy that will deliver such an approach.
Wastewater	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Building and Infrastructure – Wastewater. See attachment.	At present, there is no wastewater utility in Mercer, therefore no option to connect. There are no immediate plans for a pipeline to be laid through the area. As a result, we would be happy for residents to maintain their own septic tanks for residential wastewater, and have the option to connect to the network if they wish should a wastewater reticulation line eventuate. There would not be 'forced' connections for residents.
Water quality	6	Carl	Ammon					4/ Water quality needs urgent attention and it is good works are projected.	Waikato District Council takes our responsibility to provide safe drinking to our communities very seriously. Council will continue work to maintain or improve standards

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Water quality	6	Carl	Ammon					6/ The council needs to work with the regional council to address water quality issues in urban and farming areas.	Waikato District Council has strong relationships with many parties interested in the health of our Wai, Waikato Regional Council is one of these partners.
Water quality	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	7. Page 14 Water Services, Agree that water should be treated to the highest standard practical for above normal events is good but to make these treatment stations cope with extreme events isn't even practical and the costs would just blow your budgets to make the treatment stations comply with the standards in extreme events so Best Practical Options (BPO is in the RMA) is the way forward for these treatment stations.	Waikato District Council strives to deliver a cost-effective service. Any option adopted by Council is assessed with legislative and financial requirements firmly in mind.
Water quality	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	7/We object to the Huntly water quality, it is barely fit for consumption at times	A number of initiatives are already in place regarding this ongoing issue. Of particular note are two recent assisted flushing programs undertaken on Councils behalf by Hydrotech.
Water quality	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council				WRC is conscious of the multiple potential requirements territorial authorities are facing with their current municipal drinking water supplies given the various recommendations set out in Stage 2 of the Havelock North Drinking Water Inquiry. It is likely the recommendations will result in additional costs and capacity needs in the drinking water supply area of each council. As a result of the inquiry's recommendations, WRC would like to work collaboratively with you to help implement the recommendations where appropriate. In the meantime, we encourage proposed investments to bring the district's water supplies up to national drinking water standards.	No response required
Water quality	564	David	Whyte					Water Quality Huntly does have serious infrastructure issues. For example potable drinking water supply. Many residents in Huntly currently buy their own drinking water because they do not trust the water supply. It is often dirty, discoloured or tastes bad (metallic or over chlorinated). WDC are aware of the problem. And slowly working to overcome it. The cost of cleaning the pipes is expensive, and would be in the order of \$100k's so needs to be budgeted. The real problem is the 90+% of the water supply pipes are asbestos cement. These need to be replaced. This obviously is an expensive exercise. Low socio areas should not be afraid enough to be buying water to drink, these families have other pressing priorities that such funds could be used towards. Recommendation: That the long term plan includes all water pipes being cleaned. Also that money is also allocated for pipe replacement.	No response required
Water rates	33	Ron	Gibson		Tuakau	Option 4	Option 2	3/ Water rates is my final point of dissatisfaction. I am please that you are of the opinion that it should be user pays. A considerable proportion of my water usage (particularly during spring, summer and autumn) goes on the garden. Why am I, under your user pays policy, paying a waste water tax on this water. It is not going into your waste water system so I am being penalised for having a garden. I brought up this complaint when I was in the Auckland Super City and was met with a brick-wall. They were content to bury their heads in the sand and hope the challenge to their user pays policy would go away. Is the Waikato District Council no better than the Auckland Super City?  Yours Faithfully, Ron Gibson	The decision to transition to volumetric water invoicing was primarily around bring equity to how the District recovers the cost of providing this service. Volumetric wastewater invoicing is always a possibility, however, this methodology of recovering the costs of the wastewater service is believed to be unsuitable. One water use reduction initiative that Council encourages is the use of rain water as an outside water supply. Installing rainwater storage would both reduce your usage and reduce the impact your garden maintenance has on your water invoice

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Water rates	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	2) The forecasted rates increase for the three waters would see an average household(2 adult 2 kids, in a 3 bedroom house with a CV of \$550,000) paying an average of \$158.33. I am sure the council has forecasted the water rates for the next 10 years, the council should release this information in a simple bar chart progression based on an example of the council definition of an average household.	Council has forecasted water rates for the next 10 years. This information is provided in the LTP consultation document.
Water rates	250	Bruce and Geri	McCutchan		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	6/We object to paying for our water, many major cities do not have meters, we know this is also a lead in to wastewater charging on water usage	Residents in the Waikato District, like all residents in NZ receiving municipal drinking water, have always paid for the treatment cost of providing that water. Prior to the introduction of water metering, Council charged all water users "the average" cost of water per household via their rates. This method sees low volume users subsidise the high volume users. Invoicing for water on a metered rate provides a more equitable user pays method, whereby all users pay a fair prices reflecting their usage. A by-product of this approach is also increase awareness of personal/household water usage and therefore a greater focus of responsible water usage by our residents.
Water rates	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	2/ I do not think that it is fair that householders have to pay for their water supply. Each house should have its own water tank so that all the lovely rainwater does not go to waste. Maybe people would learn to appreciate water and not waste it so much.	The decision to transition to volumetric water invoicing was primarily around bring equity to how the District recovers the cost of providing this service. Volumetric wastewater invoicing is always a possibility, however, this methodology of recovering the costs of the wastewater service is believed to be unsuitable. One water use reduction initiative that Council encourages is the use of rain water as an outside water supply. Installing rainwater storage would both reduce your usage and reduce the impact your garden maintenance has on your water invoice.
Water rates	543	Anonymous	Anonymous					2/ Water ratesNothing annoys me more than the constant spin you feed us on the reason for changing our water services. The new meters were supposed to make it fairer for everyone. Why is it Huntly East have their meters up and running, but on the West side of the river there are no water charges. It seems more than a coincidence that the Mayor and a good proportion of councillors are on the West side, or live rurally. Perhaps Huntly East residents are conditioned to paying their rates bills! I get sick and tired of the constant claim that the water infrastructure is in a bad way. I personally think our hard earned rates are going towards the infrastructure in the golden North Waikato subdivisions of Te Kauwhata, Pokeno and Tuakau. How about the user pays system and put these charges on their rates, not ours.	Water metering is universal and includes both Huntly East and Huntly West.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Water rates	730	Daniel	Rensburg					4/ Water levy should be based on usage per household, or amount of persons per household rather than on "per household" or on "value of property"	Council has implemented universal water metering. All properties received two charges, a fixed targeted rate that primarily covers the fixed cost of running the network (depreciation, consenting, etc.) and a volumetric charge that primarily covers the variable costs of treating the water (electricity, chemical costs, etc.)
3 Waters Infrastructure	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	2. Page 6 the Management of Water, Wastewater and Stormwater, this is and issue that is dear to the heart of many. Waikato District Council needs to put into place at these sites what is practical to treat the water to a standard that meets the water quality in normal events but you will never meet the most extreme events that nature will send to you to the standard that is required. The cost to meet the most extreme event would just bankrupt the ratepayers of this district. Would support a small contribution from the general rate to help pay for these up grades.	Waikato District Council is committed to delivering a cost effective service to rate payers and the community. This service looks to find the balance between level of service, legislative obligation and affordability
3 Waters Infrastructure	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	3) UAGC. The council foresighting of population growth has been poor at best and in my opinion will be poor going forward. The council seems to be implementing bandaid solutions to issues. Rather than implementing processing to aid growth bring more people into the waikato, increase rateable income and reduce the burden on each ratepayer in the process. Lets take Pokeno, the council expects the population to increase more significantly over the next 10 years compared to most surrounding regions. However according to to 30 year plan the council has the expected start of construction of the reservoir and network upgrades will not begin until 2021-2025 the is half way through the expect population boost. population would be at over 6000 at this point. The network currently has failed on several occassion with blocked pipe and burst pipe. what is the concil plan of futuing proofing infrastructure?	The capital works programme takes into account growth and upgrades are planned at intervals that ensure that these upgrades occur at the correct time. My staging infrastructure upgrades appropriately the cost of upgrading is apportioned to those who receive the benefit (new residents)

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
3 Waters Infrastructure	466	Greig	Metcalf					<p>5/ Building and maintaining infrastructure Table 7 of the Draft Infrastructure Strategy (Page 1–16) details a proposal to fund the design and construction of a wastewater pipe from Te Kowhai village to the Hamilton network (preferred), or alternatively an upgrade to the existing wastewater treatment plant. The timing for a decision is the 2027 LTP, with design and construction between 2029-2033. The submitter is supportive in part of this proposal. However, given the existing discharge consent for the Te Kowhai wastewater treatment plant is due to expire in September 2018, and that Te Kowhai village has been identified as a potential growth node under both Future Proof and the Draft Waikato District Plan, it is submitted that the timing for this work is brought forward to ensure there is good alignment between growth projections, land use, infrastructure and funding. In the event that wastewater services are extended from Hamilton, it would be prudent to also include potable water supply. The submitter requests a decision be made in the 2018 LTP with design/construction to occur in 2020-21.</p> <p>Summary In summary the main points of this submission are: ? Support Council's approach to support growth in targeted areas. ? Support Council's Master Planning initiative, but with the inclusion of Te Kowhai as an early priority. ? Support Council's sub-regional planning and District Plan review projects. ? Support the establishment of a shared waters management company (Option 2) ? Support the investigation, design and construction of a wastewater solution for Te Kowhai Village, but with timing to be brought forward.</p>	Service discussions for Te Kowhai by Hamilton City Council are ongoing and only a potential option at this stage. Should an agreement be reached (or not), funding for the solution would be brought forward to appropriately meet demand.
3 Waters Infrastructure	599	Tamahere Community Committee	Tamahere Community Committee			Option 4	Option 2	<p>5/ Water Reservoir. This project has been on the books for many years. TCC has consistently questioned the need for this to be built. No detailed paper on justification has been presented during this time as the project has kept being pushed out. TCC notes that Tamahere properties are required to store 22,000 ltr of water. The trickle feed system is also in place which also requires a tank to be in place rather than direct supply. Why is a reservoir upgrade required?</p>	The reservoir project aims to not only increase storage but improve levels of service to some parts of the network by providing pressure. The reservoir project also provides resilience to this part of the network.
3 Waters Infrastructure	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	<p>10/ Water Reticulation: On page 15 of the vision document it states there is a budget of \$18.8m for reservoirs in the Pokeno Tuakau area. Is the recently completed reservoir at the end of Hitchen Rd inadequate for the supply of Pokeno?</p>	The \$18.8 mil budget is to deliver further reservoirs primarily in the Tuakau area. Council phases infrastructure to coincide with growth and provide resilience. In many cases it is preferable to service a township from multiple reservoirs.
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	<p>3/ Key projects for Ngaruawahia as in the discussion document (pg 15) \$418k for heritage sites with an s implies more than one not just the Old Flour Mill, has any costing been done or once again is a number just plucked out of the air.</p>	We will consider your submission when reviewing the Ngaruawahia Flour mill project post the public consultation period.
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	592	Alex	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	<p>3/ The old Flour Mill who decided this was a community idea? no one I have asked thinks this is a sound idea in fact it seems that adding these to what Ngaruawahia is getting in the Long Term Plan is a smokescreen to divert attention from rates increases.</p>	We will consider your submission when reviewing the Ngaruawahia Flour mill project post the public consultation period.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	593	Venessa	Rice		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	6/ Not supported - Old Flour Mill - What does the \$418k restoration cover? Why is heritage money being sort for this not ratepayer? What will the flour mill be used for once restored? Not supportedCan you tell me what Ngaruawahia ratepayers and residents can see happening in their town that benefits them?	We will consider your submission when reviewing the Ngaruawahia flour mill project post the public consultation period.
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	602	Donald	Hagenson		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	4/ No justification or data produce by to support the restoration of the old flour mill which is below the flood level and has limited accessibility.	We will consider your submission when reviewing the Ngaruawahia flour mill project post the public consultation period.
Ngaruawahia Flour Mill	605	Glenda	Raumati		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	2/ We don't want any investment in the Flour Mill.	We will consider your submission when reviewing the Ngaruawahia flour mill project post the public consultation period.
District Planning	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports Council's proposed commitment to provide a resource allocation to support completion of the District Plan Review project, and that associated costs are spread across the full 10 years of the 2018 LTP.</p> <p>Rationale</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports proposals identified in the 2018 Draft LTP to provide sufficient resources to enable completion of the Council's District Plan Review Project, including costs associated with making this plan fully operative, and that this cost be spread over the full 10 years of the 2018 LTP.</p> <p>The revised District Plan will provide for statutory provisions which can help enable effective growth management that supports community health and wellbeing. Both current and future ratepayers will benefit from these provisions and so to be fair, these benefits should be borne by both.</p>	No response required
District Planning	550	Daryl	Smart					<p>Te Kowhai Village Green extension.</p> <p>The Te Kowhai Community plan envisaged the extension of the current village green as the village expanded. In November 2016 Council rezoned three parcels of land to country living under PCI7. The plans used in the hearing included stages 2 and 3 which included the extension of the village green, subject to Council negotiating the purchase with the current land owners. Council passed a resolution some months ago to enter into negotiations to secure a contract on the neighbouring Sam property. The Committee urges Council to complete those negotiations as soon as possible as development is now happening under the provisions of PC17 with further developers (Airport &amp; Metcalfe) seeking to add further development in and around Te Kowhai. Once secured the Community is prepared to help with the development of the land for the reserve, as it did with the initial village green, saving Council and thus ratepayers, a great deal of the cost to establish the reserve.</p>	Council acknowledges this request and there is funding in the LTP for this. Once the LTP is adopted it will pave the way for Council to work towards the acquisition of the land to extend the village green.
District Planning	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				<p>The Waikato District Council is being deceptive to community members by aligning its proposed infrastructure strategy with the Heathy Rivers Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 document. The Plan Change is focused on addressing water quality effects from non-point discharges, which effects that mainly arise from rural activities or urban land distance near waterways. We will be seeking an investigation by the Controller and Auditor-General on probity/integrity and accountability of the Waikato District Council in this regard.</p>	Council's Infrastructure Strategy is premised on supporting growth in our key growth nodes whilst maintaining existing infrastructure. Our district is growing and Council is compelled to ensure that this growth can be accommodated in a sustainable and affordable manner and supported by the appropriate infrastructure.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
District Planning	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				<p>Master Planning - your budget expense is for \$100,000 each year for 10 years. Total expense is \$1,000,000? I shall be please if you forward the application so that I can work for Council on this. All joking aside (maybe not) this is not petty cash we are talking about. Does Council not have its own accountin/revenue dept? I know Hamilton does. Maybe sub-contract to them, they would have most of the data available and only minor adjustments would be required to reflect that is Waikato District not HamiltonMore thought is needed before this amount of money is spent.</p> <p>Sub-regional iniatives - the budget again seems to be on an annual basis i.e. \$70,000 to \$100,000. Total expense to the ratepayer is between \$700,000 to \$1,100,000 over a 10 year period. Comments are the same as before.</p>	Master planning is a significant exercise. Considering the fact that we are committed to doing master plans for each of our main towns in the district together with a few other settlements in which major developments are expected, the amount being requested is actually quite modest. We will be developing such plans with other key partners (many of whom have offered in-kind contribution through staff involvement) which will help keen the cost down. However, there is also a significant amount of community engagement that will inform this planning which will have implications for the way council funds the provision of infrastructure to ensure the sustainable growth of our communities.
Library services	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	5) Pokeno does not have a library, However is a library needed, look at the future of learning everything is moving electronic. The council cant even keep the town hall in good working condition how can it expect to run a library. If the council needs to invest in a library invest a bus that can reach several community not a stationary buidling that will be run down like the Pokeno Hall.	As a growth node for the Waikato District, the proposed building for Pokeno will serve as both a library and council office. This site will give the local community access to professional services i.e. planners; building inspectors; monitoring staff etc. (currently based in Tuakau) as well as convenient access to Council front facing services including payments; dog registrations; booking of burials etc. It will also serve as an area for social participation that people can enjoy as a community hub. It is envisioned that this building will be co-designed with the community to ensure it meets local needs. Public meetings have been held with local residents and these services were desired by those in attendance. In regards to a mobile library service, this was proposed by Council staff through public consultation during 2017. This was not the preferred option for the more than 5000 submitters. As the Waikato District evolves and grows, we will continue to re-evaluate our library services and adjust those to meet the needs and desires of our communities.
Library services	552	Richard	Briggs	Hamilton City Council				<p>Recently WDC undertook consultation around the current agreement for Library services that is in place with HCC. We understand that it is WDC's intention to renegotiate this agreement in the upcoming months.</p> <p>WDC residents use of Hamilton Libraries equates to 11 percent of the total use of our service, with WDC presently paying \$300,000 per annum, or 4.8 percent of the direct costs (excluding overheads), which we believe represents value for money.</p> <p>HCC supports collaboration between our councils and the opportunity for shared Library services.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Library services	564	David	Whyte					So when the council moved to cashless offices it made a big impact into the community that runs purely on cash. Now this submission is not to question the decision to go cashless. As I strongly suspect things such as security concerns drove the decision ie it wasn't the cash which was the problem, but the risk to staff handling the cash. But unless I had lived in Huntly and observed how the cash economy works, it wouldn't have even crossed my mind that moving from cash, to plastic, would have an effect.	No response required
Library services	564	David	Whyte					Scan to USB It is great to see photocopying offered as part of the library service. What is not offered is scanning to USB. Many times someone wants a copy, an electronic copy is preferred. Thus scanning to USB would be ideal. This would also lower the cost (no paper, no ink). Appreciate this may not be worthy if being in the 10 year plan. But doesn't fit in the Service Delivery pricing either. Recommendation: Make scan to USB upgrade to the library photocopiers over the next decade.	No response required
Planning for growth	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand				Planning for Growth 7.1 Property Council is supportive of the Council's Master Planning, Housing Infrastructure Fund and District Plan Review. An integrated wholistic planning and consenting approach will be beneficial in supporting and planning for growth. Integrating the Waikato and Franklin sections into a single district wide plan is one example on how to increase efficiencies within the consenting process for both Council and developers. We are eager to work with Council in the development phase of this process. 7.2 Property Council strongly supports sub-regional initiatives such as Future Proof. This initiative has the potential to drive regional collaboration by monitoring and identifying land supply needs along with an agreed sequence for development over the next 50 years. We support a focus for growth being a coordinated regional development approach. Although Hamilton is a focal point for the region and forms a vital commercial centre, the sub-region is a key economic driver of Hamilton's economic success. 7.3 Property Council supports the need to improve customer experience, focusing on consents, planning, information management, economic development and customer services. The Council's Information Services Digital Strategy will hopefully be customer focused and reduce application time and streamline the process, which would have great efficiencies for our members.	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Planning for growth	473	Greg	Morton	Waikato District Health Board				<p>Waikato DHB supports the ongoing commitment from your Council to sub-regional planning (Future Proof growth management plan), and to the proposed increase in the annual budget which enables this collaborative work.</p> <p>However, we encourage Council to continue to engage with local communities and iwi on growth management planning, and where possible to enable them to be active participants in it.</p> <p>6. Waikato DHB recommends that Council recognise and incorporate the Waikato Region Māori Economic Action Plan and Agenda produced by Waikato Tainui, Te Puni Kōkiri and Waikato Means Business, as part of your economic development services provision.</p> <p>7. We request that Waikato DHB and other interested parties have opportunities to contribute to any further development of a future Regional Fuel Tax proposal prepared by Council for Government consideration.</p> <p>Waikato DHB supports the ongoing commitment from your Council to sub-regional planning (Future Proof growth management plan), and to the proposed increase in the annual budget which enables this collaborative work as identified in the Draft 2018 LTP.</p> <p>Waikato DHB encourages Council to continue to engage with local communities and iwi on growth management planning, and where possible to enable them to be active participants in it. This will help ensure that growth management planning responses are developed with local community needs in mind, and are locally owned.</p> <p>We encourage Council to ensure growth management planning includes collaboration with local minority groups to better meet the need of these vulnerable groups in our communities.</p> <p>Waikato DHB is involved in Future Proof, and recognises that Future Proof's sub-regional work complements implementation of the Waikato Plan at a regional scale in which the DHB is an active partner. A participatory approach to planning for these communities aligns with the District's identified community outcomes: "Supporting our communities" and "Working together with you". This approach also aligns with Waikato DHB values of People at heart -Te iwi Ngakaunui and Stronger together – Kotahitanga i.e. collaborate with people in mind to achieve outcomes.</p> <p>We commend Council on its involvement in the North Waikato Integrated Growth Management Programme and we commit to continue Waikato DHB participation in implementing the health components of this programme alongside Counties Manukau DHB. Waikato DHB staff can provide evidence on likely health and well-being impacts associated with growth planning.</p> <p>Waikato DHB recommends that Council recognise and incorporate the Waikato Region Māori Economic Action Plan and Agenda produced by Waikato Tainui, Te Puni Kōkiri and Waikato Means Business, as part of your proposed economic development services.</p> <p>We have concerns about a regional fuel tax because it would likely disproportionately impact on low socio economic families and whānau. Those people with less efficient and/or older vehicles are likely to be paying up to twice the amount more for their petrol than those with newer or hybrid vehicles<sup>12</sup>. Given this, we request that Waikato DHB and the other interested parties have opportunity to support any further development of a Regional Fuel Tax proposal prepared by Council for Government consideration to ensure potential negative health and wellbeing impacts are minimised for vulnerable population groups.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								Finally, we also ask that Council considers the needs of the local communities within the district which are not currently experiencing growth to ensure that they have the infrastructure and services that they require to maintain and improve their health and wellbeing.	



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Planning for growth	708	Waikato	Tainui		Hamilton			<p>As identified in many national, regional and district strategic documents, the Waikato District is experiencing significant business and residential growth. The measures set out in the LTP Consultation Document to proactively plan for this growth<sup>3</sup> are supported by W-T. They provide an integrated strategic approach to managing and supporting growth which promotes and enables development in the district.</p> <p>The Consultation Document summarises the need to develop comprehensive master-plans to manage growth, which will require a significant level of resource to implement. WT supports Councils view that these are required and have a view that master-plans are a critical next step following the North Waikato Integrated Growth Business Case (NWIGBC).</p> <p>We appreciate that with targeted rates pressure and rate increase limits, master-planning for the district may be difficult to research, plan for, and achieve. With the collaborative approach signalled in the Consultation Document, Council opens the door to more innovative approaches to planning. Critically, this means that Waikato District Council does not need to manage its constrained funding environment alone and can look to partners to provide support in this area. Bearing in mind the potential for benefits to the tribe and local community, W-T signals a willingness and intention to undertake, in partnership with Council through appropriate processes, planning studies in areas where there is mutual interest and/or enhanced potential for W-T or tribal benefits.</p> <p>Undertaken in a transparent manner, such an evidence based approach to planning will reduce the risks to Council, and would help to contribute to the viability of future developments.</p> <p>Areas identified with significant industrial or commercial development potential for W-T are Meremere and Huntly, where there is a significant W-T footprint. Supporting Council to deliver plans for Meremere provides more certainty to W-T that the area which is already zoned for industrial growth will also enable our more specific opportunities and aspirations. In terms of achieving wider outcomes for Waikato District, commercial or industrial development in the Waikato district will add value per capita, improve the productivity of the district, and improve the standards of living in Waikato District communities.</p> <p>As noted above, W-T have a vested interest in development which improves the well-being and prospects of the W-T people and our environment.</p> <p>W-T request that Council support the development of master plan for Meremere in the 2019/20 year, with Waikato District Council and WT entering discussions on how the process may be undertaken in a mutually beneficial and transparent manner, and can be incorporated into the wider strategic plans for the North Waikato.</p>	<p>Council is committed in ensuring that the wellbeing and prospect of Waikato-Tainui is enhanced. This fits in well with our vision of building liveable, thriving, connected and complete communities. As no doubt shared by the tribe, it is important that growth and development within our district occurs in a sustainable and affordable manner. It is also important that relevant constraints and hazards are taken into account when doing growth planning as there has been significant lessons learnt for New Zealand as a whole due to a number of natural disasters that has affected several communities over the past few years. We are aware of the tribe's aspirations for both Huntly and Meremere but we lack the detail of such aspirations. We therefore welcome the opportunity to engage with the tribe on these aspirations to inform master planning. We also value the tribe's offer of partnering with Council on the development of master plans for Huntly and Meremere. We will consider the request for a master plan for Meremere in 2019/2020 through the development of a master plan programme.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Planning for growth	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee	Mercer			Planning growth - master planning. See attachment	Council is committed to ensuring that the growth in our district occurs in a sustainable and affordable manner. For this to happen, it is critical that we focus this growth in and around already established towns and build on the existing economy of scale provided by the existing urban footprint to ensure that the provision of services to these towns is affordable. Whist we acknowledge the tourism potential of Mercer we do not see Mercer growing into major residential node like say Tuakau, Pokeno or Te Kauwhata. However Council is committed to sustaining what is there and building on Mercer's tourism offerings.
Raglan Pill Boxes	6	Carl	Ammon					5/ The house go level of spending on an old concrete pillboxes x at raglan is unwarranted - a plaque would suffice. As other public works are of benefit to the wider community . For example walkways and cycle horse trails; footpaths near the highway seem a concern to many.	Your comments will be taken into consideration when reviewing the Raglan pill box project
Raglan Pill Boxes	166	Trena	Marshall		Waikato	Option 4	Option 2	Comments: I have a point to make about 'Key Council Projects in Your Area'. It states that 2020-22 it is planned to restore the Raglan pillboxes at a cost of \$161,000. It is my view that this is an utter waste of money, for the following reasons:  1. Any restored pillbox will be covered in graffiti within a week and littered with broken bottles. 2. In this day and age when historical buildings can be photographed, even videoed, why not gather a collection of photographs of the pillboxes throughout the years and display this collection in the Raglan Museum? An accompanying site map can locate the pillboxes for people. This would be a great project for the \$1 million museum! In this way, council can save \$161,000 and put it toward a classy walkway and cycleway to the sea from Raglan township instead of the weedy, Gap 20 metalled walkway we have at present. Much more useful and it will be enjoyed by a lot of people for many, many years.	Your comments will be taken into consideration when reviewing the Raglan pill box project
Raglan Pill Boxes	696	Annette	May		Raglan			Unless I'm very mistaken, the reference on Page 15 (Map) of 'Raglan Pill Boxes' is a concrete bunker, almost submerged with beach sand and only good for kids to smoke and pee in. With all those rate-payer dollars, what is really needed is more GOOD seating and GOOD (meaning suitable and beautiful) trees. Above the bubble, pointing to Raglan are hastily sketched shapes - which related to VERY large concrete items, which are used all around the world with vulnerable coast lines and retaining sand dunes. Check out our fast eroding beaches.	Your comments will be taken into consideration when reviewing the pill box project. There is a proposal for tree planting around Raglan and in particular Wainui, Manu Bay and the Kopua Domain.
Raglan Pill Boxes	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	15/ Key council projects in your area 2018-28 Raglan restoration of heritage sites (Raglan Pill Boxes, \$161,000) this was a surprise as it has not been on our priority list of projects for the community, footpath extensions is a top priority.	Thanks for your submission. Your comments will be taken into consideration when reviewing the Raglan Pill Box project.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Roadside Maintenance	534	Bruce	Cameron					9/ Rural Roadside Maintenance Yellow Bristle Grass: This is an extremely aggressive annual plant which spreads rapidly through clean pasture and is becoming very wide spread throughout the district along the roadsides. The main form of spreading is the road-side mowers in the period from mid-December through to mid-end-May when the grass is in full seed production. During this period there should be no mowing; only spraying should be carried out. Mowing can be carried out over the winter period. Council needs to come up with a programme to manage this. A properly managed system may cost little or no more than the current spend on roadside maintenance.	Road side mowing is undertaken for road safety, sight lines and trafficable shoulder where possible, unfortunately with wet summers our higher growth periods have been between December and May. Waikato Regional Council have no advise on effective control of YBG and advise that property owners undertake their own biosecurity measures, this is due to the main cause of YBG being spread is through imported stock feed and ag contractor movement as well as by other means.
Roadside Maintenance	596	Bruce	Cameron			Option 4	Option 1	4/ Yellow Bristle Grass This is an extremely aggressive annual plant that is becoming very wide spread throughout the District. At this time of the year it is very obvious on the side of the road. Council contractors mowing it spreads the seed further and from here it is being tracked onto farms. Recommendation Council formulate a plan to reduce, leading towards eradication of this grass. A properly managed programme may cost little more than the current roadside maintenance spend.	Roadside mowing is undertaken for road safety, sight lines and trafficable shoulder where possible. Unfortunately with wet summers our higher growth periods have been between December and May. Waikato Regional Council have advise on effective control of YBG and advise that property owners undertaken their own biosecurity measures, this is due to the main cause of YBG being spread through imported stock feed and ag contractor movements as well as by other means.
Roadside Maintenance	604	Timothy	Duff					9/ Roadside Spraying Investigate alternatives to the perpetual cycle of roadside spraying. Raglan road side ditches all lead into waterways and then the ocean. There are alternatives and we were able to cope for decades before Spraying became normal. In a lot of situations correct plantings would mean the cycle of repetitive spraying could be broken.	Council will take your submission on roadside spraying in to consideration when a review next done.
Roadside Maintenance	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				Yellow bristle grass (Setaria pumila) is an invasive weed. On highly productive dairy farms, where yellow bristle grass is prolific, annual feed production may be reduced by up to 20% resulting in increased on-farm costs associated because of the need for supplementary feed and/or pasture renovation. The result is estimated to be about \$1,100/ha in lost production. Stock health issues are also of concern as there is evidence that seed heads can cause lesions and ulcers to the mouths of grazing cattle. Federated Farmers is concerned at the rate that it is spreading throughout the Waikato District. Federated Farmers submits this is largely due the mowing of roadside verges and the moving of seeds by this activity. 9 FFNZ submission on the Waikato District Council Long Term Plan 2018-28 Federated Farmers urges council to review its process and contract with providers as well as commence a bristle grass control programme. Recommendations: 10. Council funds bristle grass control out of general rates. 11. Council develops a comprehensive plan to stop the spread of yellow bristle grass.	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Affordability	564	David	Whyte		Huntly			When was the last time your heard “Once I am successful, I’m going to move to Huntly”? The odds are never. Heck the only reason we moved to Huntly was it was the closest place to Hamilton that we could afford to buy a house! Clearly we have loved the town, and what it has to offer, so we have stayed. This is important because it means Huntly does not have a large proportion of people who work in management, or have the skills to successfully engage with beurocratic organisations like the council. So hence what is painfully obvious is in the 10 year plan, that the management making the decisions, do not live, or work, in Huntly. It is not until one works or lives in a community, that one begins to slowly truly understand what the issues are. For example, I never have cash in my wallet, using one of the plethora of plastic cards that inhabit it. But after living in Huntly, came to see that everyone uses cash. A number of reasons contribute to this cash economy, I suspect the dominate one is that you get all your cash out, once it is gone, it is gone. So you can ration it appropriately.	No response required
Affordability	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board	Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	<p>Ngaaruawaahia will not be a liveable, thriving and connected community if our first year of rates is increased by 12.75% and that the use of that income is spent outside of the community from which it was gathered. In this LTP, there is no accountability of the Waikato District Council to the Ngaaruawaahia community as the focus and spending will primarily be in the north in the immediate future with no guarantee that Ngaaruawaahia will receive any support in the review of the LTP in 2021. We have a huge concern that there is no immediate focus on Ngaaruawaahia, yet Ngaaruawaahia will be paying the highest increase in percentage of all other communities.</p> <p>If Ngaaruawaahia are to accommodate a 12.75% increase in rates for 2018-2019, and potential further increases in the following two years thereafter (2019-2020 and 2020-2021), we expect from Council an improvement in the level of service and spending in Ngaaruawaahia. We would like to see a clear description of activities of the Waikato District Council that demonstrates its accountability to the Ngaaruawaahia community.</p> <p>Ngaaruawaahia households should not experience financial pressure to accommodate the growth of other communities in this district. The use of targeted rates should be spent in this community.</p>	No response required
Affordability	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					<p>Huntly Township in General and WDC handling of issues</p> <p>I feel that the Waikato District Council before spending millions of dollars on new facilities anywhere else should take a good look at the facilities in the District and makes sure they are well maintained before spending elsewhere on bike trails (\$10 million??). I know the residents and ratepayers of Huntly have been frustrated with the lack of action re: Bell crossing grass island one of many issues that are not addressed properly and promptly. How much extra is it costing the Council for areas of parks etc. that were left off the latest contract, ie the hill on Hakanoa Lake walkway – Kimihia Road side, it is not even on the contractors tablet. How can this be?? Who was responsible for this? Do you get charged extra, obviously if it is not in the original contract?? How many other areas has this happened, and while you are patting yourself on the back for money saved by going with this contractor #rat least the previous one maintained the area well and had pride in their work, because they lived and worked locally.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Boat ramps	716	Sheryl	Hart	Raglan Sport Fishing Club				<p>The condition of the boat launching facilities for the Raglan Harbour and Manu Bay range from having extremely limited functionality to posing a significant danger and are in dire need of attention, also the supporting infrastructure.</p> <p>We urge the council to meet with ourselves as major stakeholders and representatives of other user groups to develop solutions for these issues and increase the enjoyment of boat users drawn to the natural beauty of Raglan, our fishery and coastline.</p> <p>We also have issues with erosion of the back gabion wall, caused by the changing nature of the sea since the shortening of the Break Wall. This is yet to be rectified.</p> <p>Drainage problems in the Manu Bay ramp car park, which were created with the realigning of the road and sealing of the top car park some years ago. Numerous complaints on this issue have been already lodged with WDC. And it's still not fixed.</p>	Your submission has been noted and will be taken into account when reviewing Raglan's future work programme priorities.
Boat ramps	716	Sheryl	Hart	Raglan Sport Fishing Club				<p>Raglan Wharf</p> <p>Best boat ramp in town with limited parking. Originally built by Hartstone Seafood's and local boaties. The pontoon was provided by Raglan Sport Fishing Club at a cost of \$89,000 with WDC funding of \$17,000. We need surety of use of this area, this includes the 48 hour parking where it already exists. This is needed for boats overnighing off the coast and up the harbour. We are not asking for exclusive rights to the area of the board walk or the opposite side of the road in Wallis Street. The only area of exclusivity are the few parks in front of the old cement silos.</p>	Your submission has been noted and will be taken into account when reviewing Raglan's future work programme priorities.
Boat ramps	716	Sheryl	Hart	Raglan Sport Fishing Club				<p>Built by local boaties a long time ago. Rebuilt by the Raglan Sport Fishing Club members around 2005. WDC had received a quote to rebuild the one lane ramp, which was in urgent need of repair for \$186,000. The club met and said "we want more than a one lane ramp" so at a cost of \$56,000 to WDC we built a three lane ramp.</p> <p>The Kopua ramp now has insufficient parking, which is exacerbated by the lack of appropriate marking for the parking layout. We have spent more than 2 years lobbying WDC for this to happen.</p> <p>Many times offering to do it ourselves, still waiting. This ramp is restricted by the height of the walk bridge and the depth of the entrance to the Opouru Channel, which restricts this area to vessels of less than 7 metres and without hard tops, at high and low tide. Vessels over this size have to use the main wharf ramp. Please note that the preferred time to cross the Raglan Bar is high tide. To ensure the safety of vessels using this area with the recently introduced navigational safety aids would be to put a sign on the walk bridge indicating the height at MHWS (Mean High Water Spring) from the underside of the bridge to the water surface.</p>	Your submission has been noted and will be taken into account when reviewing Raglan's future work programme priorities.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Freedom Camping	3	James	Imlach	New Zealand Motor Caravan Association	Papakura			See attachment	WDC continues to monitor the impacts and benefits of Certified Self Contained (CSC) motorhomes, caravans and other 'freedom campers' on our communities. We are an emerging tourism market, as shown by our strong visitor expenditure growth over recent years, due in part to our proximity to NZ's mayor gateway port (Auckland) and visitor growth in the district's key visitor destinations, particularly Whaingaroa Raglan. Council's various infrastructure plans such as our Toilet Strategy, the successful application to Round One of the Tourism Infrastructure Fund and managed approach to providing facilities to care for the CSC visitor market are supported through this Long Term Plan. Council supports the efforts of Local Government New Zealand and central government to encourage responsible freedom camping through education and an appropriate policy and regulatory framework to manage the burgeoning demands and related issues of this tourism sector. Council's Bylaw is currently permissive of self-contained vehicles and Council only prohibits freedom camping in those areas where necessary.
Freedom Camping	650	John	Mitchell		Taukau		Option 1	3/ We submit that WDC provide far more signage around where freedom campers should park and what is and is not appropriate behaviour on the Onewhero Reserve. We have been plagued by freedom campers parking in the most inappropriate places and not respecting our beautiful reserve, which our community in heavily invested in. The Onewhero Rugby club has worn the brunt of numerous freedom camper indiscretions, with constant rubbish issues, having their power "high jacked" by freedom campers charging their phones and providing all the electricity and water for the public toilets. While the club is happy to be a member of our community, it is unfair that their facilities are damaged when freedom campers flush wipes down the toilet, block the septic tank lines and flood the clubs shower block with human effluent.	Council will review the issues being caused by Freedom Campers over the next few months.
Parking	698	kathryn	Gold			Option 4	Option 2	2/ Parking. The parking issues around Bow Street, Wallis and Cliff Street, The Residents of Raglan must be consulted extensively around this issue. There a many ways to improve this problem without changing the main street design. Angle parking, Rugby Grounds carpark, with signage to parking areas. Large grass verges in Wallis St and James St. Visitors need to change their habits and walk. Instead of changing the look of our town to accommodate them.	Parking provisions are currently under review as part of the parking by-law. Raglan community board are considering options for additional parking on Bow St, Cliff st, Wainui Rd and consideration of a one way provision on Cliff St.
Parking	698	kathryn	Gold			Option 4	Option 2	3/ Boat Parking. The boat parking at the wharf needs to increased to allow for boat users to use the safest ramp in Raglan. hundreds of ratepayers use this facility, which has been part of this thriving community for decades. Who is behind changing this and why? To have no boat parking at the wharf is not what the ratepayers have asked for and want. !!!!	Parking provisions for boat trailers currently under review as part of the parking by-law by the Raglan Community Board. Consideration of the Ruby Ground for overflow provisions is one of the Options

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Parking	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Building Maintaining infrastructure - Parking. See attachment.	Council will review the submitters comments and undertake a review of the Traffic movements and parking around the Mercer township
Pensioner housing	170	Daniel	Brookes		Ngaruawahia	Option 4	Option 3	Comments: i refer you to page 14 and 19 of the long term plan 2018-28 consultation document. pensioner rent to be raised from \$130 to \$156 per week. these people have spent their lives paying rates and taxes contibuting to the infrastructure that we are now paying for over and over again. any council that seeks to burden a pensioner has no integrity. i do not object to paying rates however the current (and predicted) level is to high for low income earners.	Council's pensioner housing requires upgrades and maintenance to bring it up to todays rental standards. Rents need to be increased to meet these increased costs of running the portfolio.
Pensioner housing	463	Anne	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	6/ pensioner housing(pg14), pensions have been increased by Govt so WDC takes it in a rent increase.	Council's pensioner housing requires upgrades and maintenance to bring it up to todays rental standards. Rents need to be increased to meet these increased costs of running the portfolio.
Pensioner housing	564	David	Whyte					<p>Council flats</p> <p>The reality is that Council's roll is not a landlord. However selling of social housing to general public, also isn't appropriate, since of course the people will be either evicted, or rent increased to market rate. There is a solution, selling / moving these houses to another social housing provider.</p> <p>The charity Habitat for Humanity has extended its mandate from building houses, through to the whole spectrum of housing needs. Thus they now have proven experience in obtaining, managing and upgrading of what were once council flats. Thus it seems sensible to sell the social housing, say in Huntly (which is the houses I am aware about). And if this is successful in the long term (ie no issues 5 years post sale) then the other social housing (if any) could be released into their care.</p> <p>Recommendation: Council move Huntly social housing, to Habitat for Humanity, and if successful for all involved, then further movement of district social housing (if any) to Habitat be undertaken.</p>	No response required
Property valuations	39	Crystal	Lange		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 1	3/Council has used flawed logic when assuming low property values equals low income and does not consider that other property owners may be at maximum debt level which puts them in the same position	Council's proposal is based on actual property data and the rates impact for properties that were in existence at 1 July 2017 (property revaluation date) relative to proposed rates in 2018/19. There are no assumptions around income levels. Council has financial hardship policies which aim to manage these situations and for low income earners the government will pay a portion of their property rates under the rates rebate scheme.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Property valuations	617	Audry	Hatfield		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 2	Why are the poorer areas getting such huge increases when the wealthiest parts, being Tamahere & lifestyle increases are tiny. I wish someone could tell me what exactly Ngaruawahia is getting for this huge increase. Lucky Te Kauwhata having approx. 80,000,000 being spent there. No doubt the developers will be making lots of money	As council has selected a capital value rating system, any community that experiences a higher percentage valuation increase than other areas will also invariably receive a higher increase in rates. In this years valuations received by QV NZ, areas such as Ngaruawahia and Huntly received far greater percentage increases in property value than other areas. Ngaruawahia will continue to receive the many services is currently does. The need to increase rates is primarily to cover rising costs. Council collects only the money it needs to deliver services, and does not wish to accrue deficits that will occur without rate increases.
Public Toilets	648	Helen	Clotworthy		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	12/ Pokeno Toilets Pokeno toilets are the most used in the district we request an urgent upgrade and a more purpose built for usage and the gateway to the Waikato. We also would like to be involved in the early stages of the design and when can we expect it to happen. We love the design of the Te Kawhata toilets.	All new toilet builds will include consultation with the local Community Boards.
Public Toilets	730	Daniel	Rensburg					6/ Toilets in town (Next to town hall) must be upgrade to ensure they are easy to clean and neat and tidy.	WDC has a toilet strategy which has taken into account what toilets it currently has, where future toilets should go and when this work should happen. This site does not meet the criteria of the Toilet strategy and therefore does not feature as a priority in years to come.
Public Toilets	730	Daniel	Rensburg					7/ Toilets must be erected at new sports park, and at Totara park, and at the 2 playgrounds in the new subdivision. Children playing in the park must rush home to use the toilets.	WDC has a toilet strategy which has taken into account what toilets it currently has, where future toilets should go according to visitor and local demand and when this work should happen. This site does not meet the criteria of the Toilet strategy and therefore does not feature as a priority in years to come.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Seal Extension	532	Emerald Vale Ltd	Emerald Vale Ltd					Seal extension in each area.	<p>The Waikato district has an unsealed network of 608 kilometres. It costs approximately \$500,000 to seal one kilometre of unsealed road. Sealing roads within the district would currently be unaffordable (each kilometre of road sealed adds more than one per cent extra to the general rate).</p> <p>Due to the completion of the Waikato Expressway, Waikato District Council will inherit around 100km of roads and four major bridges. The additional maintenance, operating and renewal costs for Waikato district as a result of this are estimated as rising to almost \$1m per year. As a result Council has decided to discontinue seal extensions, which cost 2.5 times that of an unsealed road to maintain, for the foreseeable future. This is so we can focus on maintaining our current roads and not overburden ratepayers with additional roading costs.</p> <p>Council will continue to develop their unsealed road strategy, this strategy will focus on vulnerable road users such as tourists and school bus routes. The intent of the strategy is to create value for money from a very tight budget. This includes undertaking product trails on troublesome sections of unsealed roads in order to provide some longevity in a cost effective manner.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Seal Extension	533	Oakhill Dairy Goats Ltd	Oakhill Dairy Goats Ltd		Morrinsville			Seal extension on existing roads	<p>The Waikato district has an unsealed network of 608 kilometres. It costs approximately \$500,000 to seal one kilometre of unsealed road. Sealing roads within the district would currently be unaffordable (each kilometre of road sealed adds more than one per cent extra to the general rate).</p> <p>Due to the completion of the Waikato Expressway, Waikato District Council will inherit around 100km of roads and four major bridges. The additional maintenance, operating and renewal costs for Waikato district as a result of this are estimated as rising to almost \$1m per year. As a result Council has decided to discontinue seal extensions, which cost 2.5 times that of an unsealed road to maintain, for the foreseeable future. This is so we can focus on maintaining our current roads and not overburden ratepayers with additional roading costs.</p> <p>Council will continue to develop their unsealed road strategy, this strategy will focus on vulnerable road users such as tourists and school bus routes. The intent of the strategy is to create value for money from a very tight budget. This includes undertaking product trails on troublesome sections of unsealed roads in order to provide some longevity in a cost effective manner.</p>



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Seal Extension	578	Anonymous	Submitter		No Address	Option 1	Option 2	Please add seal extension for Te Papatapu Road (near Te Mata) to the Long Term Plan 2018-28. Sealing Te Papatapu Road would create huge tourist potential by encouraging more people to visit Raglan on their way to Kawhia and Waitomo Caves.	<p>The Waikato district has an unsealed network of 608 kilometres. It costs approximately \$500,000 to seal one kilometre of unsealed road. Sealing roads within the district would currently be unaffordable (each kilometre of road sealed adds more than one per cent extra to the general rate).</p> <p>Due to the completion of the Waikato Expressway, Waikato District Council will inherit around 100km of roads and four major bridges. The additional maintenance, operating and renewal costs for Waikato district as a result of this are estimated as rising to almost \$1m per year. As a result Council has decided to discontinue seal extensions, which cost 2.5 times that of an unsealed road to maintain, for the foreseeable future. This is so we can focus on maintaining our current roads and not overburden ratepayers with additional roading costs.</p> <p>Council will continue to develop their unsealed road strategy, this strategy will focus on vulnerable road users such as tourists and school bus routes. The intent of the strategy is to create value for money from a very tight budget. This includes undertaking product trails on troublesome sections of unsealed roads in order to provide some longevity in a cost effective manner.</p>
Animal Control	534	Bruce	Cameron					6/ Dog pound: Please update the Board with any proposals/plans in this area.	At this stage, we have been unable to locate any suitable land to build a dog pound in the northern part of our district. Staff are constantly looking and when land is located and purchased, construction will begin.
Animal Control	730	Daniel	Rensburg					17/ I propose that a Dog Exercise Park that is fenced off be erected in the new subdivision of Pokeno where dogs can be let off leash. It is senseless to load your dog in the car drive to the Tuakau or Pokeno tennis club dog Exercise Park to be able to let them off-leash. You cannot walk the dog under the motorway through a roundabout to fenced dog exercise area by the old church/cenetary or walk to the Tuakau dog exercise area.	In 2017, the need for an off lead exercise area in Pokeno was identified. Discussions were had within Council to find suitable land that could be used for this purpose and the land adjacent to the tennis courts was identified. Consultation occurred and as a result of this, the Bylaw was amended. At this stage, there are no plans to add an additional off lead exercise area to Pokeno.
Arts centre	592	Alex	Ramsay		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	4/ I have also heard that the Art Centre is asking WDC for \$500k this is a small organisation that should be made to use existing facilities that way they may increase the numbers, I understand that they were supported by WDC originally but due to safety the building was demolished and they don't appear to have moved on and are just expecting ratepayers to pay for a building for a small group of people.	This project was identified as a priority through the Ngaruawahia Community board.
Arts centre	602	Donald	Hagenson		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	5/ I believe the Twin Rivers Art Centre have applied for \$500,000.00 for the art centre, again Ngaruawahia ratepayers cannot afford this. In the Community Facility Consultation last year it was voice by many that a expensive are gallery was not the focus for this town. What has chnaged?	This project was identified as a priority through the Ngaruawahia Community board.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Development Contributions	550	Daryl	Smart					Development Contributions for Village Green The Te Kowhai Community Committee encourages Council to implement, where appropriate, Development Contributions that can be applied to the purchase and development of the extended village green and related infrastructure.	Development Contributions are applied where possible in alignment with Council's Development Contributions Policy
Development Contributions	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					New developments - Waikato District Council What percentage of the cost of roading, power, sewerage etc. is paid by the developer and what is paid for by the ratepayer? The developer can claim tax on their expenses, the ratepayer can't. Is this fair?	No response required
Huntly on/off ramp	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby		Huntly	Option 4	Option 2	1) What has WDC planned to help Huntly rebrand itself when the Express way is completed in the next few years, or is Huntly left to sort itself out? Has money been put aside to Help Huntly, without relying on Government, NZ Transit handouts to maximise Huntly's potential, as follows: ie a Mural and graffiti art painting competition like they had recently in Taupo, also in Christchurch inviting local and national artists to come and paint the back of the buildings and some of the walls around town.	No response required
Huntly on/off ramp	713	D&F	Falconer					Our daughter, now at Waikato Hospital, took Maori as an elective to her Bachelor of Medicine & Surgery Degree with Auckland University and her discussions about these studies and her research project on her home town of Rahui Pukeka – Huntly was fascinating to hear. What may be of interest and use with the southbound exit and on ramp is that the time could be right to put a wrong right by diverting as much traffic as possible away from Taupiri Mountain Cemetery. The Maori King, late 1860's, wept when he was informed of the intended route of the main rail trunk line alongside state highway 1 effectively cutting the access to the sacred burial ground. As you will know Mount Taupiri is a sacred mountain and burial ground for Waikato iwi and Kingitanga. Taupiri Te Putu Pa has a long history dating back to the 1600s, where past Maori Kings and Queen are buried in the highest part of the cemetery, on the summit where Te Putu's pa stood. Parking and access became difficult because the State Highway and railway lie largely on gentler sloping land at the foot of the slopes of Taupiri Mountain and parking is required to be on the other side of the North Island transport system in a small constrained area beside the Waikato River and Mangawara Stream. Tangis are normally attended by many mourners often causing disruption to traffic out of necessity. Mourners attending funerals have to dodge 70kph traffic and then when the trains come it is formidable as it is required to blow its horn amidst all the mourners and ceremony. Any reduction in traffic will be a much welcome outcome by a southbound on/exit ramp near Kimihia or McVie Roads. What is of interest to us today, to try to understand the significance of the Taupiri mountain and area, is that early European travellers were obliged by Maori to cross to the other side of the Waikato River to avoid the sacred area of Taupiri Mountain. We feel it would be a valuable and thoughtful addition to include Taupiri Mountain and its significance to the Maori people, as part of the Mayors proposition to gain acceptance of the need for the southbound on/off ramp. We find this a very exciting possibility. Any traffic passage that can give relief to the sacred Taupiri Mountain Cemetery and mourners must be of significance.	Council acknowledge your submission. The central interchange at Huntly is high on Councils agenda as we seek to protect the economic, social and cultural interests of our community.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Manu Bay	580	Roger	Bright		Hamilton			<p>See attachment. Waikato Sport Fishing Club founded in 1987, is based in Hamilton and has 208 paid financial members 2017/2018 year from across the Waikato Region. We are an affiliated club with the New Zealand Sport Fishing Council. Our vision is: "Waikato Sport Fishing Club – sport and recreational fishing for our families, our community and our future". The vision reflects the desire of the Club to promote a strong sense of family unity through fishing, and to grow the fishing base within the local community, and to enhance the fishing experience for future generations. This is further reflected in the Club's core values: Safety First, Working Together and Protecting the Future. We hold three major tournaments each year, the Raglan One Base where all anglers (96 in 2018) fish out of Raglan, and the Snapper Kingi Classic (65 anglers 2017) and the Big Four (81 anglers 2017) where our anglers can fish from any port including Raglan. We would like to make a submission to the Waikato District Council concerning 3 issues: 1. Management of Manu Bay boat ramp. 2. Manu Bay breakwater wall. 3. Inclusion as stakeholders in Council decisions.</p> <p>1. Management of Manu Bay boat ramp We understand the management of the Manu Bay boat ramp is being reviewed and there is a proposal to pass the management of the ramp to local organisations. We would not support this and request the Council maintains management of the boat ramp and associated reserve. We believe the Council can independently represent and respond to the needs of all users of the space and has the appropriate asset management expertise to maintain the boat ramp and reserve. We request that Council retains management of the Manu Bay boat ramp and reserve.</p>	<p>The transfer of the management of Council assets would need to directly benefit the Community. Council would seek community consultation before considering any such proposal.</p>
Manu Bay	580	Roger	Bright		Hamilton			<p>2. Manu Bay breakwater wall In 2014 the Raglan Sport Fishing Club reported to WDC a crack 5.57 meters from the end of the Break Wall. Council has since repaired the breakwater wall resulting in a shorter breakwater. Since the repair of the breakwater wall, we have received feedback from our members whom use the Manu Bay boat ramp that in certain winds and post/pre half tide, the water washes over the breakwater, creating a swirl onto the ramp. This is making launching and retrieving dangerous and difficult for the boat users. A number of our members have been in situations where they have felt their safety is at risk. As our vision states, our club puts 'safety first' and we are concerned the current situation is not safe, not only for our members but also for all other users of the ramp. Council has a responsibility to provide assets to the community that are appropriate for present and future circumstance. Local Government Act 2002 Purpose local government s10(1)(b) to meet the current and future needs of communities for good-quality local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions in a way that is most cost-effective for households and businesses. S10(2) In this Act, good-quality, in relation to local infrastructure, local public services, and performance of regulatory functions, means infrastructure, services, and performance that are—(a) Efficient; and (b) Effective; and (c) Appropriate to present and anticipated future circumstances. We would propose to Council that the Manu Bay boat ramp, in its current state, does not fulfil this requirement. We request the following actions are undertaken to address this issue: 1) Council undertakes an investigation of observing the performance of the breakwater across a range of wind and tide conditions to be concluded by July 2018. 2) Council holds a meeting to</p>	<p>We recommend that you consult to your local community board representatives to ensure they are aware of your concerns and can represent you within Council.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								discuss the findings of the investigation with key stakeholders – that is ourselves, the Waikato Sport Fishing Club, Raglan Sport Fishing Club and the Coast Guard.3) Sufficient budget is provided for in the first year of the Long Term Plan (2018-2019) to provide for remedial work on the breakwater to improve the safety.4) Work to address the issue is completed before the 2018-2019 summer season.	
Refuse	564	David	Whyte					<p>Waste Recovery Centre</p> <p>It is good to see that Huntly got something mentioned in the plan. I actually waded through the 350+ pages of supporting documents written in bureaucratic verbiage and could not find any supporting documentation about this Waste Recovery Centre. Except I did find a comment that TK would get a Waste Recovery Centre and this would serve the needs of the Northern Waikato. That sounds a lot like Huntly's recovery centre would in time be closed down. Forcing Huntly residents to go to TK to dispose of their rubbish. This would be a significant backward step, and result in even higher levels of illicite waste dumping, which is already a problem in Huntly.</p> <p>Recommendation: That Huntly retains ability to dispose of waste</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Refuse	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>W-T notes that although the amount of waste being taken to landfill has reduced in some areas of the district, that the overall quantity of waste being sent to landfill is increasing.</p> <p>Active and innovative waste minimisation and management is critical to improving the environment and associated outcomes. Landfills and the impact of these, even the more modern and well-engineered, is of significant concern to W-T.</p> <p>On the bias of this concern, an area of focus for W-T is how best to minimise the waste being shipped to landfill and how it may be diverted and become a valuable commodity. Whilst we acknowledge that the draft Long-Term Plan begins to set out ways to promote waste minimisation, we submit that other minimisation levers will also be required if a step change is to be achieved.</p> <p>An industry reports which has been publicly supported by Auckland Council advocates for a stepped but significant increase of the National Waste Levy, to a level 10-15 times greater than what is currently imposed. In other countries, this has led to a significant reduction in the amount of waste going to landfills. On a national scale, more diversion of waste per year from landfill would create up to 9,000 jobs and have a monetary benefit of \$500 million. These benefits would positively impact Waikato District as well as waste minimisation improving the district's environmental outcomes.</p> <p>W-T submits that an action to engage with the Ministry of Environment with other local authorities to advocate for significant increases to the National Waste Levy should be incorporated into the Waikato District Waste Management and Minimisation Plan through the up-coming Plan review.</p> <p>W-T requests early engagement with Council to discuss the potential waste minimisation opportunities and strategies, bearing in mind W-T's kaitiaki role within the district. Further, W- T would like to work with Council to investigate future potential partnering opportunities for commercial waste minimisation activities across the district.</p>	No response required. Council is engaging with Waikato Tainui regarding the waste minimisation plan.
Targeted rates	712	Andrew	Feierabend	Meridian Energy				<p>Meridian has reviewed the draft Long Term Plan. We support the principle that rates should be targeted where possible to the beneficiary of the Council's services, or to the properties or any group of properties that cause the Council to incur costs. This is consistent with the legislative requirements of the Local Government Act (s.103) and is acknowledged in the Council's Revenue and Finance Policy (page 207).</p>	No response required
Targeted rates	719	Paul	Le Miere	Federated Farmers				<p>Federated Farmers supports the use of targeted rates. This improves transparency and equity, as those who benefit from the activity pay for that benefit.</p> <p>Federated Farmers understands that WDC uses a range of targeted rates as part of the funding source for activities such as community and leisure facilities, community boards, economic development,</p> <p>5</p> <p>FFNZ submission on the Waikato District Council Long Term Plan 2018-28 stormwater, refuse management, wastewater, and water reticulation, treatment and supply. However Federated Farmers is concerned that none of those activities are fully funded by targeted rates. We can see</p>	No response required



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>that some effort is being made with regards to water and wastewater services but in the main WDC seems comfortable using the general rate as a 'top up' subsidizing option rather than committing fully to the beneficiary pays principle. This defeats the purpose somewhat.</p> <p>In Federated Farmers view accountability is improved and efficiencies achieved when rates can be applied to a specific group of properties that benefit from a particular service. It should ensure those who are paying for the related services are more invested in how their ratepayer contribution is being used.</p> <p>The impact of the general rate back stop is compounded for rural properties who not only contribute more per property type to the general rate funding pool but are within 1% of being the second largest contributor to the fixed targeted rate funding pool as well. Using the figures provided in the consultation document, the average residential property pays only 11% more in targeted rates than farming properties in the Waikato district do.</p> <p>For this extra 11% a residential property receives direct access to wastewater reticulation, wastewater treatment and disposal services, water reticulation, water treatment and supply services, stormwater services, and refuse and recycling collection. The typical rural property has access to none of those services.</p> <p>It is clear that targeted rates are not being applied appropriately or bringing in enough revenue to support the services. Those who are directly connected or have access are arguably not paying enough or there are inefficiencies somewhere. Targeted rates empower ratepayer sectors to pay for projects of value to them without affecting other ratepayers. In addition, the direct correlation between benefits and costs to a particular sector is more likely to accurately determine the appropriate level of spending on that service.</p> <p>If WDC considers that some services include a public good component and warrant wider contribution, then the ratio must be determined. However, our strong opinion is that the ratio must be closer to 90-10, private to public. Over reliance on the general rate reduces important transparency and accountability.</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>4. That Council reassess the Revenue and Finance policy with increased use of fully funded fixed targeted rates for those services with easily identifiable beneficiaries.</p> <p>5. That Council improves transparency and accountability by including the general /targeted rate split ratio for all activities which are funded using a range of funding sources.</p>	
Airport	710	Bob	Macleod	Raglan Community Board		Option 4	Option 3	16/ Fees and Charges; Airport landing fees seem low compared to the wider District fees charged, the question are these charges cost recovered for the up keep.	The airstrip is grass and the fees are to manage costs such as mowing, insurances etc.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Amalgamation	58	Hirendra	Singh		Pokeno	Option 4	Option 3	7) Has the concil considered amalgamation with the surrounding concil to remove middle management and costs? Has the council considered removing pokeno from the waikato district and moving it into the auckland district. Show me a road map of what the council believes needs to occur. At the moment i cannot see a vision. There needs to be change in the way the council operates. I believe there is a waste of money and resources by the council. I want to see transparency over where the target rates are actually being allocated. If target areas are being used for the benefit of other regions, than the target rates should be removed and general rates increased and balanced out across all waikato.	Amalgamation is a very expensive process, not a prudent way to spend ratepayers monies and, often unnecessary. Council is however part of established forms at both the regional and sub-regional levels where is working effectively with other councils (such as Auckland Council, Hamilton City Council and the Regional Council) on addressing cross-boundary issues. Council is also part of the Waikato Local Authority Shared Services Pty - a company owned by 12 councils in the Waikato region - working together, to demonstrably reduce costs, achieve effectiveness and efficiency gains, reduce duplication of effort and eliminate waste through repetition, promote and contribute to the development of best practice, and improve customers' experiences.
Building	649	Mark	Brown	Life Unlimited				<p>Pensioner housing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The population of New Zealand is ageing. As this happens so the number of people with disabilities increase. 20% of the population identify as having a disability. Older people will have increased number of both age-related disabilities and congenial disabilities therefore future housing will need to be accessible (Universal Design) to allow for this need.</li> </ul> <p>1. Note – Housing for both elderly and people with disabilities is increasingly more difficult to find in the region. There is a great lack of accessible houses for these groups. Those houses that are suitable are priced out of reach both to purchase or as a rental especially those on a fixed income. As this situation continues these two groups are forced to move further away from main centres making access to medical and other essential services more difficult and increasing the cost of their travel.</p> <p>2. Planning for housing must take note of ensuring more housing exceed the building code NZS 4121 provision for accessibility. Access to housing meets Barrier Free requirements and standards to be fully accessible especially for wheelchair and mobility aid users and elderly.</p> <p>3. Look at all future housing permits follow the Universal design.</p>	The legislative requirements such as Acts, Codes, Regulations and Standards (ie NZS4121) around the construction of housing are set by Central Government (MBIE) not Local Government. Currently the legislation does not permit Councils to ask or insist on access routes to domestic housing. Any change to these legislative requirements would have to come from MBIE.
Catchment management	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	1. Comments: Page 5 agree with your Community Outcomes and Goals for the Waikato District. On Sustaining our Environment i would like to see the Waikato District Council support organisations who want to look after the Environment. The Primary Stake Holders Trust are one such organisation who are developing a Catchment Management Plan for the Lake Waikare and Whangamarino Wetland which is being developed from the bottom up not from the top down. One of the main issues with Kio Carp is that keep they keep the sediment in suspension which in turns puts the phosphate in the waterways. I would like Waikato District Council support putting into the Waikato Regional Council Plan Change 1 Kio Carp into this plan. They are one of the main species that has a huge effect in this catchment and other catchments in your district too to the quality of the water. Kio Carp in time will start to have an effect on Waikato District Council's infrastructure that you have along streams, rivers, water takes etc.	Thank you for your support and your detailed comments. WDC undertakes and supports ecological enhancement including animal and plant pest control throughout the district.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Crime	543	Anonymous	Anonymous					5/ Also, for God's sake do something about the crime in the area. It is the only thing flourishing here.	Council will continue to actively participate and support the Huntly community to create a liveable, thriving and connected community. Given the feedback from our communities looking to Council to facilitate and encourage more employment opportunities, the draft LTP is proposing to increase Council's economic development resources .
Debt	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				I am also concerned about the level of debt that the Council is proposing. What is the increased borrowing actually for? Based on the limitation of the 1.75 times the revenue of the Council has the Council taken into consideration of the increased revenue from rate increase each year on the borrowing limit? The Council is required to sign into its books, the appropriate Resolution under the relevant act. I have assumed the document has been signed in accordance with the statute. What other avenues has teh Council considered when seeking monies to fund the activities as per teh long term plan 2018-28? I do not see any mention on Bnod issues that can assigned to each type of expense and directly supported by the ratepayer at large and it would give an indication to the level of support and confidence.	Council has reviewed a number of work programmes since the draft LTP was consulted on and believes that there are some changes that will help reduce anticipated debt levels by \$38 million. Without using debt as a funding mechanism it effectively means that existing residents and ratepayers will pay for benefits that will be received by others in future years. Having some debt is an important part of managing intergenerational equity.
Distribution of rates	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				The maintenance of infrastructure assets in Ngaaruawaahia should be the priority use of the targeted rates gathered from within this community. It is unfair that the growth strategy for this LTP relies heavily on the existing ratepayers of the two major towns in district (Ngaaruawaahia and Huntly) to primarily fund the district's growth over the next 25 years.	Targeted rates are set and collected at a district wide level and are spent in a prioritised manner. Council is required to act in the best interests of the district as a whole and having individualised rates would not achieve the purpose stipulated for local government. It is factually incorrect that the major towns in the district will fund growth. For residential properties in the Ngaaruawaahia ward, the proportion of rates charged is 6% of the total rates charged across the district (general and targeted rates). This proportion remains at 6% for what is being proposed for 2018/19. This ward makes up 21% of the districts residential property.
Dog registrations	720	John	Lawson	Whaingaroa Environmental Defence Inc.				Dog licences - 'selected owner' (on a fenced property under 20ha and complying with the Code of Animal Welfare) application fees will drop from \$65 to \$20. It is not clear why when so many other fees are rising.	Staff have recognised that dog owners who are eligible for the selected owner policy have been good dog owners for at least 2 years. This means that they have registered their dogs on time and have not had substantiated complaints made against them. To reward them for this behaviour, we are recommending reducing the fee to \$20.00. These inspections are completed during staffs routine patrols so do not require additional vehicle usage or staff time. There has been minimal changes to other fees to cover the increased cost of petrol, chemicals, etc.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Fees and Charges	18	Peter	Buckley		Te Kauwhata	Option 4	Option 1	9. Page 19 Fees and Charges, you mention the costs because of the changes to the RMA. These reforms now have made it impossible for the average person to be able to apply for a resource consent because of the so called simplification of the RMA. The Waikato District Council should ask through LGNZ for a full review of the RMA so those costs can be reduced to those applying for a resource consent. The person applying for a resource consent needs to cross every t and dot every i and under the RMA reform it says that you have 10 days to turn it around and if you cant you pay a penalty so if All isn't there in the first place you cant accept it.	We note your concern around costs associated with the resource consent process due to recent changes to the RMA. We will pass on your comments to LGNZ as the local government representative to take this matter up with government.
Future Proof	466	Greig	Metcalfe					2/ The submitter is also supportive of Council's proposal to increase the annual budget for sub-regional planning, including further development of the Future Proof growth strategy. This initiative has the potential to drive better regional collaboration by monitoring and identifying land supply needs along with an agreed sequence for development.	<a href="#">Council has noted the submitter's support for the sub regional planning budget to be increased.</a>
Heritage	609	Natasha	Ramsay Clark		Ngaruawahia	Option 1	Option 3	3/ If the WDC is interested in preserving heritage buildings why not the Old Bakery directly across from WDC offices. We appear to be paying for a lack of forward thinking in regards to maintenance of assets by WDC.	WDC Heritage focus is on WDC owned Buildings. The Old Bakery building does not belong to Council.
HIF	717	LR	Scott	Third Age trust				Housing infrastructure fund - \$37million interest free money is always capital efficient, but there is no mention of repayments. There is a debt burden, indly advise when the repayment structure of 25 years will commence.	The repayments of the housing infrastructure loan will come from developers (there is an anchor developer as part of this process). Any benefit to existing residents such as increased water storage, removal of wastewater discharge from Lake Waikere etc. will be excluded from the developers costs. It is expected that the development will take place within the 10 years and that the loan will be fully repaid by the end of year 11. Council is effectively administrating the governments target to bring more house to market sooner, by drawing down loan funds, collecting contributions and passing back to the government.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Housing	656	Rangita	Wilson	Waikato Housing Conference Committee				<p>REQUEST SUMMARY</p> <p>To provide funds for the purpose of supporting the planning activities and promotion of the National Maori Housing Conference to be held 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference being held at Turangawaewae Marae and Claudelands Event Centre</p> <p>To support a member of staff onto the Host Planning Committee.</p> <p>BACKGROUND</p> <p>The National Maaori Housing Conference occurs every two years and is held throughout the regions. The conference provides an opportunity for the regions to showcase their leadership and contribution in the “housing space” context and provides a platform for Maaori and relevant stakeholders to share ideas, information, network, and to formulate strategies to assist Maaori into quality affordable housing. In 2016 at the Tauranga Moana Conference, Waikato-Tainui made a “tono” to bring the 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference to Waikato that was accepted by the conference fraternity.</p> <p>In November 2016, we established the Waikato Housing Conference Committee to plan the Conference. The Committee comprises members of Waikato-Tainui entities, Turner Whanau Trust, Ranga Whanau Trust, Waikato Housing Hub, Waikato District Council, Hamilton City Council, Te Matapihi, Te Puni Kokiri, Nga Rau Tatangi Ltd, and individuals involved in Housing and Papakainga delivery in Waikato-Tainui.</p> <p>PROJECT DESCRIPTION The National Maaori Housing Conference 2018 will deliver an exemplar conference that is strategic and adds value to every participant, stakeholder, and community housing provider.</p> <p>The theme of the Conference is: “Maku anoo e hanga i tooku nei whare. Ko ngaa pou oo roto he maahoe, he patete. Ko te taahuhu, he hiinau. Me whakatupu ke ti hua o te rengarenga. Me whakapakari ki te hua o te kawariki // I myself shall build my house. The ridge-pole will be of hiinau and the supporting posts of maahoe and patatee. Raise the people with the fruit of the rengarenga, strengthened them with the fruits of the kawariki.” - Kiingi Taawhiao</p> <p>The theme of the conference draws on the vision of Kiingi Tawhiao, a vision of Hope – Aspiration – Reality - Rebuilding – revitalisation of his people through self-determination. Maku anoo e hanga tooku nei whare will enable participants to leave the conference with a strong tahuu, foundation in the national services, nga pou pou, a network of regional services and he rengarenga he kawariki, the relationships to set a vision and activate an aspiration.</p> <p>CONCLUSION</p> <p>The Waikato Housing Conference Committee believes that your Council needs to be involved in this Conference, building on the positive relationships and progress that your Council has made in recent times to work with tangata whenua to build on Maaori land in your region, by: providing funds for the purpose of supporting the planning activities and promotion of the National Maori Housing Conference to be held 2018 National Maaori Housing Conference being held at Turangawaewae Marae and Claudelands Event Centre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• supporting a member of staff from your Council onto the Waikato Housing Conference Committee.</li> </ul>	<p>Waikato District Council is supportive of papakainga development as evidence by the ground breaking work that Council has done with partners involved in the Waikato Housing Conference. We are supportive of a staff member being part of the Conference Committee. We will consider a formal request for funding from existing budgets once we have received a formal request.</p>



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								Please refer to email for Conference details.	
Huntly domain	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					<p>Huntly Domain</p> <p>I see some new seats and picnic tables have been built around the domain, and while they are very nice I would like to request a rubbish bin and a couple of picnic tables be placed by the playground at the bottom of Huntly Primary school playground. As a Nana who regularly walks her 7 year old granddaughter and 5 month old granddaughter to the park to play, there is nowhere for people to sit with a baby who is sick of being in the pram, you either have to sit on the edge of the barked area and there is only one seat for the playground, if there are a few families there. Once again this would not take a lot of money to sort out. How about some new or refurbished toilets, both at the Soccer club and by the playground by the pool. When were they last upgraded? Very simple requests, I think.</p>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Huntly mine	713	D&F	Falconer					<p>We would like to suggest Waikato District Council get behind any development of the defunct and bankrupt old Huntly East Mine into a recreational area with cycle tracks, walk ways, boating, diving, and restored native bush areas, etc. It has been on sold to locals Mr &amp; Mrs Allen, whom have an outstanding plan to make this a popular recreational area. We would encourage WDC to get behind their vision and help with any documentation or fast tracking so this environmental disaster can be put right.</p> <p>We had meetings over many years with the Huntly East Mine staff and Manager(s) and discussions with Waikato District Council staff and viewed the Mines Resource Consents. We were assured that the Waikato District Council required the Mine to manage any fumes, dust, coal dust, etc., and later to ensure restoration of any open casting work, releveling the overburden and replanting in native trees. We were satisfied that there would be restoration of the land after the fairly recent open cast mining was completed. We were assured that the man made hill they had formed, causing much dust in summers and majorly rescaled the landscape, would be relevelled once the open cast coal had been removed and the land would be replanted in natives. This has not happened and the hill remains with the open cast mine left which is contrary to their Resource Consent. Does Council have any jurisdiction to ensure that any works agreed to in the Resource Consent etc. is undertaken especially once the company is now bankrupt, and had government involvement? It would be interesting to hear what Council is prepared to be involved with to help restore the mine area since they agreed to allow the mine works and major impact to the land and nearby community of Huntly. It is noble to be planning walkways as far as 'Tamahere to Hamilton, or TeAwa, or the Cambridge to Hamilton walkways but Resource Consents and major disruption to land through mining within our community should be an environmental priority. The former Huntly East Mine runs alongside the newly developing Waikato Expressway and could become a major asset of the area, possibly a service area if an off and on ramp could be formed to the old mine area and planned refilled mine lake. We would see the southbound off/on ramp accessing this area to be a significant asset as a future significant adventure park area with possible service and concessionaire businesses affording much desired occasion for employment and opportunity in the area. The mine boundary abuts directly to Huntly township and is disappointing Resource Consents granted the mine development has been forgotten to restore the land after mining has ceased.</p>	<p>WDC is working with the new landowners to support their vision for the Huntly East mine. The crown have provided funding support to ensure rehabilitation obligations for the mine can be met but this will happen over time. Council and the landowner are in discussions about work programmes to effect this rehabilitation.</p> <p>The Council has also been discussing with the New Zealand Transport Agency, the need for south facing expressway ramps to be installed. Activities such as the Huntly East Mine rehabilitation proposal will strengthen the need for such access.</p>
Infrastructure Strategy	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>W-T supports in principle the inclusion of the draft Infrastructure Strategy as an LTP supporting document as it sets out the District's strategic context, opportunities and asset management approach. We support the use of the strategy as a tool to implement and respond to growth as it transparently sets out the infrastructure Council has identified as required for the next 30 years.</p> <p>W-T requests the opportunity to work with Council to understand the long-term infrastructure requirements of the District. - Transport and three waters services are of importance to W-T's developments (outlined within this submission) and are where W-T could add value and insight to support Council's planning processes. Joint contributions to Infrastructure planning studies are also an option which could be considered upon discussion with Council.</p>	<p>We acknowledge your desire to be included in the development of the Infrastructure Strategy and welcome the input from Waikato Tainui going forward.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Joint Management Agreement	708	Waikato	Tainui					<p>Waikato-Tainui wishes to ensure that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the importance of growing and preserving its Joint-Management Agreement with Waikato District Council to transform the way decision-making takes place within the greater Waikato area. It is incumbent upon W-T to empower our 68 marae to achieve Mana Motuhake, that they may be able to participate more effectively in council decision-making to co-create customised solutions appropriate for that community.</li> <li>2. The Joint-Management Agreement mechanism is a pioneering feature of the Waikato River Settlement and is unique to the region. Both Waikato District Council and W-T would do well to focus our energies, commitment and capabilities on building an effective, high-performing Joint-Management Agreement relationship that will deliver for the region and for the country.</li> </ol>	No response required
Maintenance of infrastructure	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand				<p>Building and Maintenance infrastructure</p> <p>9.1 The Council spend approximately 60 per cent on infrastructure maintenance and renewals and 40 per cent on significant capital projects scheduled over the next ten years. The Property Council recognises the importance of many these key projects such as a Library service centre in Pokeno, bridge replacement in Tuakau and heritage restoration projects.</p> <p>9.2 The Property Council wishes to highlight the importance of regional infrastructure, as the growth of Hamilton and Auckland City will result in growth of the sub-region. The Waikato region will begin to jointly face similar issues regarding the constraints of infrastructure. We support the Council discussing alternative infrastructure funding options with government and co-funding options with other Waikato Councils to secure the region is prepared for growth at both ends of the spectrum.</p>	No response required
Operating surplus	472	Katherine	Wilson	Property Council New Zealand	Auckland			<p>Operating surplus on activity basis</p> <p>4.1 The Property Council has real concern with the table in the draft consultation document called 'operating surpluses on an activity basis'. The operating surplus is \$184m over the 10-year period, however, depreciation is forecasted at \$259m resulting in a \$75m deficit. The Property Council is concerned with the scheduled rate of depreciation for water, wastewater, stormwater and roading, as all are above the operating surplus. This will likely put budgetary pressure on current and future maintenance and risks a widening gap between the quality infrastructure Waikato needs and what is actually in or on the ground. We urge the Council to undertake a needs assessment and prioritise to manage that risk.</p>	A portion of roading depreciation is non-funded based on the assumption that NZTA will continue to co-fund this activity.
Playgrounds	48	John	Marcon		Te Kauwhata			<p>2. Te Kauwhata has only one children's playground (at the Village Green) which while reasonable is poorly placed for the children/parents who live on the northern side of Waerenga Road where considerable development has taken place. A playground on the last section for sale in Ribbonwood Close would serve the children of at least four streets and in particular make them much less of a hazard than is currently the case. The Council be free to re-sell the section should become surplus to community needs.</p>	The current playground strategy allows for an additional playground in the northern area of the Te Kauwhata however, there is currently no funding for additional land in the area.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Raglan Naturally	604	Timothy	Duff					My considerations are as follow; 1/ Raglan Naturally Plan My involvement in Raglan naturally includes being on the original team in 2000 and being on the Steering committee to investigate its revision in 2017. My opinion is it that a revised RNP is the best way to bridge the gap between what the community want and what WDC provide .i.e. Improve relationship and satisfaction of community to WDC.WDC needs to put in its LTP I think a figure of \$5k at a minimum per year towards RNP. That money should be used towards creating a document similar to the LTP Consultation Document or Raglan Chronicle in size and during Winter each year be sent to all Ratepayers and community for feedback which would then be updated into the RN plan.	Staff are in the early stages of working with members of the Raglan Community to update Raglan Naturally. Council does not have any funding budgeted for in the 2018-28 Long Term Plan to contribute toward the development of Raglan Naturally.
Railway crossing	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					Bell Crossing –Memorial and Broken fence, and gardens between State Highway One and railway After two and a half to three months to finally get the Bell Crossing grass area, with the Council and Transit making all sorts of noises but no action, hope the same won't happen with the maintenance needed on the memorial at Bell crossing and the broken fence, together with the sticks (supposedly plants) to make this an area appropriate to the entrance way to town, instead of the scruffy appearance it has now. It was the friends of these youths who made their voices heard to have the barrier arms installed for the safety of Huntly ratepayers and residents. They deserve better than what is there!!!	No response required
Renaming of Huntly	713	D&F	Falconer		Huntly	Option 1	Option 3	Renaming of Huntly - see attachment.	At this stage Council is not looking to rename Huntly
Representation	718	Liam	McGrath	Mercer Residents and Ratepayers Committee				Planning for Growth – Regional Wards. See attachment.	Your concerns regarding having to deal with a number of councillors on matters pertaining to Mercer are noted. Council is currently undertaking a representation review (i.e. a review of its elected membership) and one of the issues that will need to be considered is in which ward should Mercer be located (currently it is in Awaroa ki Tuakau ward but it could also fit within the Onewhero te Akau ward). The representation review needs to consider a number of factors such as a) identification of communities of interest b) how to provide effective representation to those communities of interest (number councillors, community boards etc.) and c) consideration to fairness of representation (each councillor represents about the same number of people within +/- 10%). You will have an opportunity to formal engage on this process when Council notifies a proposal for its Representative Review in June this year.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Reserves	713	D&F	Falconer					Developments in wetland areas need to be immediately ceased in the Huntly areas such as the Hartis Ave area, as they are wetlands and significant in their own right as natural heritage for the future of water quality. We had a meeting with Hamilton City Council regarding several wetland, swamp and gully areas that had been consented by WDC to be developed into housing in the Huntly area, namely Raynor Road, Hartis Ave, Rosser St. Hamilton CC confirm that gullies and wetlands are natural resources that need to be protected and they do not allow any development of these areas on any terms. It is disappointing to belong to a District that does not appear to be aware of the current knowledge about wetlands and their significance never mind not being sustainable as long term housing developments. Waikato District Council needs to cease allowing developments of wetland areas and the potential liability it exposes itself to consenting to housing developments continuing with potential future subsidence issues. We have submitted much correspondence to Council regarding the Hartis Ave wetland development. Huntly is not a dumping ground for cheap housing and unsustainable developments of wetland to make those whom do not live in the district rich.	WDC does have Ecological works being undertaken on a number of its lakes and waterways from plating of native species to installation of floating wetlands and Silt traps in its lakes.
Revaluations	619	James	Whetu	Ngaruawahia Community Board				Additionally, the Ngaaruawaahia Community Board are not happy with the process in how properties in Ngaaruawaahia were valued so as to support Council's reason to increase rates.	The valuations process is complex and managed under the Rating Valuations Act 1998 to ensure consistency of approach across the country. Property valuations are completely independent of local authorities as the data is used to set taxes. The Office of the Valuer General audits and approves district valuations. The objections process is in place to address any concerns individual property owners may have with their assessment.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
River Management	601	Jo	Kukutai		Te Kuiti	Option 3	Option 3	<p>13 April 2018LTP Project TeamWaikato District Council15 Gallileo StreetNgaruawahiaTena koutou katoa,Ko te mauri, ko te waiora o te Waipa ko Waiwaia Ko Waipa te toto o te tangata! Ko Waipa te toto o te whenua, koia hoki he wai Manawa whenua! Ko Waipa teteahi o nga taonga o Maniapoto whanui.The Maniapoto Maori Trust Board (the Board) is constituted under the Maniapoto Maori Trust Board Act 1988 as a body corporate for the purposes of the Maori Trust Boards Act 1955. The beneficiaries of the Board are the members of the Maniapoto tribe and their descendants.The Board holds responsibilities as co-governors and co-managers with the Crown for the Waipa River. These responsibilities are legislated under the Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012 (NWOM) and also the co-governance entity, Waikato River Authority/Waikato River Clean-Up Trust.The Crown and the Board signed a Deed in relation to the co-governance and co-management of the Waipa River in 2010 and a Joint Management Agreement with the Waikato District Council and the Waikato Regional, Waipa District, Otorohanga District and Waitomo Councils on April 3, 2013.The overarching purpose of the Deed and the NWOM Act is: to restore and maintain the quality and integrity of the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa River for present and future generations and the care and protection of the mana tuku iho o Waiwaia. The Board and the Crown also signed an overarching Waiwaia Accord, which has principles contained within.The Board have developed three key documents regarding the Waipa River:1. He Mahere Taiao - The Maniapoto Iwi Environmental Management Plan, 2. He Mahere Ika - Maniapoto Fish Plan for the Waipa River and the 3. Maniapoto Priorities for the Restoration of the Waipa River Catchment Report The Board would like to be heard in support of this submission.WAIKATO DISTRICT COUNCIL AND THE MANIAPOTO MAORI TRUST BOARDThe Waikato District Council is a District Council duly constituted by the Local Government Act 2002 and as such is responsible for promoting social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities. The Council is one of five Joint Management Agreement partners under the Waipa River Act between Local Authorities and the Board. The Local Authorities and the Board agreed to enter into one collective agreement for all of its joint management arrangements which is pursuant to the Waipa River Act.Te Awa o Waipa is a taonga to Maniapoto. Maniapoto have a deep felt obligation and desire to restore, maintain and protect all of the waters that flow and/or fall within the Maniapoto rohe (Nga Wai o Maniapoto), whether the waters are above, on or underground. Te Mana o Te Wai (The quality and integrity of the waters) is paramount. The obligation includes the waters that flow into and form part of the Waipa River. The relationship between Maniapoto and the Waipa River is historic, intellectual, physical and spiritual. Historically, Te Mana o Te Wai was such that it provided all manner of sustenance to Maniapoto including physical and spiritual nourishment that has, over generations, maintained the quality and integrity of Maniapoto marae, whanau, hapu and iwi. To Maniapoto the Waipa River has mana and in turn represents the mana of Maniapoto. The Waipa River is a single indivisible entity that flows from Pekepeke to its confluence with the Waikato River and includes its waters, banks, bed (and all minerals under it) and its streams, waterways, tributaries, lakes, fisheries, vegetation, floodplains, wetlands, islands, springs, geothermal springs, water column, airspace and substratum as well as its metaphysical elements with its own mauri.SIGNED JOINT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT (JMA):The Board and</p>	<p>The 3 Waters Management Options are primarily about running our business more cost effectively. Council can also confirm that we do not take water nor discharge into the Waipa River. We will continue to consult on matters of relevance to Iwi in the same way we always have.</p>

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>the Council signed a Joint Management Agreement (JMA) on 3 April 2013 to achieve the following purpose: To provide for the Local Authorities and MMTB to work together in carrying out the functions, duties and powers provided for in and to give effect to the Waipa River Act. THE SCOPE OF THE JOINT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT (JMA): (a) Covers matters relating to the Waipa River and activities within its catchment affecting the Waipa River as depicted in Schedule One; (b) Covers matters relating to the exercise of shared functions, duties and powers in relation to: (i) Monitoring and enforcement activities as provided for in section 21 of the Waipa River Act; (ii) Preparing, reviewing, changing or varying a RMA Planning Document, as provided for in section 22 of the Waipa River Act; and (iii) Considering applications under Part 6 of the RMA in relation to resource consents as provided for in section 23 of the Waipa River Act. (c) May cover additional duties, functions or powers as agreed between the MMTB and the Local Authorities, whether collectively or individually. This submission provides points to achieve the overarching purpose of the Deed, Waipa River Act and maintain the integrity of the JMA. 2018-2028 LONG TERM PLAN 'Three Waters' Management Water quality is a priority matter is of highest priority for Maniapoto particularly the restoration of the quality and integrity of the Waipa River under the River Legislation and Deed. There is concern that should Council be taking water from or disposing into the Waipa River (or its tributaries), that consultation with Maniapoto as JMA partners, has not yet taken place. The Deed is specific that it did not address nor preclude further discussion about title or ownership of the Waipa River. Maniapoto do not accept they have ever ceded authority or rights of ownership over the Waipa River, or its tributaries, to anybody. They have never agreed to cede their authority over or rights in the Waipa River or its tributaries (Deed - clause 1.12). Maniapoto engage with the Crown and Council (as agent for the Crown) in good faith and in accordance with the principles of the Waiwaia Accord. These principles must also be adhered to by Crown/Council before establishing or conferring management or use rights of a nature and/or duration that in effect create rights of property in the waters of the Waipa River (Deed - 13.2.2) and developing policy or proposing any legislation which in effect amounts to the privatisation of the waters of the Waipa River (Deed - 13.2.3). However, should the waters that Council propose under the 'Three Waters' Management not be those of the Waipa River (or its tributaries), then the Board strongly supports Option 3 to address the 'Three Waters' Management in support of Waikato-Tainui. This option saves \$28.3m over 10 years and creates an independent company representative of River Iwi at the decision-making table. UNIFORM ANNUAL GENERAL CHARGE (UAGC) Of the Maori owned residential or Pa zoned residential land in the Waikato District, the capital value appears to be determined by increasing land values, as opposed to significant improvements on the property itself. The general rate is reliant on the capital value which is likely to be low. Maori are likely to benefit directly from a lower UAGC of \$286.50. The Board strongly supports Option 3 as the best option in support of Maori owned residential or Pa zoned residential land in the Waikato District. CONCLUSION The Nga Wai o Maniapoto (Waipa River) Act 2012, Deed in relation to the co-governance and co-management of the Waipa River, the Vision &amp; Strategy - Te Ture Whaimana o te awa o Waikato, He Mahere Taiao - The Maniapoto Iwi Environmental Management Plan, He Mahere Ika - Maniapoto Fish Plan for the Waipa River and the Maniapoto Priorities for the Restoration of the Waipa River</p>	

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								Catchment Report set the direction for Maniapoto in relation to the Waipa River. It is unclear, however if Council intends to take water from or dispose into the Waipa River (or any of its tributaries) as part of the Three Water's Management, the Board wish to have further discussions with Council. The Joint Management Agreement between the Council and Maniapoto solidifies the existing and future relationship between the two entities. Should Council not be taking or disposing into the Waipa River (or its tributaries) the Board strongly support Option 3 for the 'Three Waters' Management in support of Waikato-Tainui and also Option 3 for the UAGC. The Board acknowledges and supports the wider direction of Council to effectively lead and manage the Waikato District, for the greater community. Naku iti noa, naSonya HetetInterim Chief Executive	
Security cameras	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					How about security cameras for the Railway bridge and the main street of Huntly to curtail troublemakers.	No response required
Shared Services	529	Raewyn	Lambie		Taupiri	Option 4	Option 2	3/ I would never want to see the Waikato District Council join in with the Hamilton City Council for anything at all.	If, by' joining in', you are referring to amalgamation - then this is not something that Council is advocating. However it is important to understand that since Hamilton is the main city in our region and it shares a boundary with us we cannot ignore what is going on the other side of the boundary from a growth, development and sustainable resource management perspective. Similarly, Hamilton is also interested by what is going on immediately adjacent to its boundary so that it can growth in the future. Council has already identified land to transfer to Hamilton city should it need it in future to accommodate growth. Taupiri is not part of this.

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Stormwater	554	Vaughan	Payne	Waikato Regional Council	Hamilton			Waikato Regional Council (WRC) notes there are ongoing issues related to growth and development causing road runoff and other drainage matters that adversely impact water bodies by contributing contaminants and causing erosion. The runoff also adversely impacts WRC administered drainage districts. Growth and development that increases runoff draining to flood schemes is increasing operational costs to these schemes. Historic funding has not fully addressed these issues and they are becoming more common and of greater magnitude. While there are clear user charge fee systems for water and wastewater, stormwater funding largely comes from general rates and is often underfunded. It is essential that the level of investment in stormwater infrastructure allows for the building and maintenance of assets which avoid, remedy or mitigate any impacts on the environment, drainage districts and flood schemes. Therefore WRC request that adequate funding for capital and operating expenditure is included in the LTP and ringfenced for stormwater infrastructure.	No response required
Tamahere Reserve	599	Tamahere Community Committee	Tamahere Community Committee			Option 4	Option 2	6/ TAMAHERE RESERVEa. TCC strongly supports the targeted rate of \$38 per property for the additional funding of the reserve developmentb. This has been strongly supported by the community in consultationc. The enhanced playground will support the commercial development and the community hub currently under construction	No response required
Te Reo	604	Timothy	Duff					2/ Work with IWI and make name corrections Consultation should be undertaken with Iwi to look into where names for streets and parks could be changed. Doing so would help in many ways. Last year for example I attended a Treaty of Waitangi workshop, one of the guest speakers was able to provide concrete evidence to the group that the correct name for Te Kopua is actually Papahua.	As this stage Council is not looking to suggest any park and street names be changed. If there becomes an interest from the community to change the names of specific streets or parks, this could be further explored by Council and the Raglan Community Board in consultation with local Iwi.
Toilets	550	Daryl	Smart					Toilets - Village Green Approximately three years ago Council cancelled the contract with the local supplier of a public toilet. For a short time Council provided a portaloos for winter sports on weekends. At other times the teams used the bushes around the village green or generous neighbour's homes when needing toilet facilities . Recently, Councils Rural Ward Committee granted the sum of \$1800 to the Community Committee to fund a contract between the Te Kowhai Community Committee and the local shop owner to provide a 'public toilet' for the sports teams and their supporters at weekends during the school year. School sports teams play on the village green for 30 of the 40 school weeks of the year. Cricket, Rugby and Soccer are played on the village green. The Rural Ward Committee indicated that the grant was a one off and that the Community Committee should seek funding through the LTP to enable the arrangement to continue until the proposed new toilets are built in the 20/21 financial year. Thus the Committee seeks a grant of \$1,800 per year for two years, a total of \$3,600, to enable the provision of toilets to Sports teams for the next two years. Additional funding in the 20/21 financial year may be required should the toilets not be available from 1 July 2021. Funding = 30 weeks @ \$60/week = \$1800 per annum. Two years minimum but additional years' dependent on when proposed toilets are actually built.	Your proposal will need to be considered post the public consultation round by Councillors against other priority actions in the LTP.



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
TPPA	311	Greg	Rzesniowiecki					<p>Comments: We write as engaged citizens in the New Zealand democracy. Previously in 2014 we wrote to you concerning the Trans Pacific Partnership (TPP) on behalf of the Motueka Renewables where we proposed the TPP Policy Solution. Arising from that a number of Councils engaged with the TPP matter and ultimately 12 Councils adopted the offered policy, many more noted and maintained a watching brief on the negotiations.</p> <p>Presentations were made to over 30 Councils some receiving presentations in multiple forums; workshop, committee and council. It is fair to say a few councils stated that TPP is not a council matter, however most took an active interest and thanked us for bringing it to their attention.</p> <p>In the later part of 2015 LGNZ (Local Government NZ) undertook an assessment on behalf of constituents. The resultant report concluded there were some risks to local government interests and some were down the track. We suggest that trade negotiations are of critical importance to all New Zealanders given the constitutional implications which alter the legal balance between human and property interests and rights. The TPP has been through a tumultuous process, agreed and signed 4 February 2016, then Trumped January 2017. Since then the remaining 11 nations have negotiated a new agreement signed 8 March 2018 in Chile called Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement on the Trans Pacific Partnership (CPTPP). It is substantially the same agreement with 22 suspended provisions pending the return of the United States (US).</p> <p>Civil Society maintain our concern believing that the entrenchment and extension of property rights for foreign corporations will make it difficult for the NZ Government to ensure the wellbeing of all inhabitants. All councils will now appreciate the public concern for clean rivers, quality potable water and indignation at allocations from aquifers for bottled water exporters. Whatever your council's attitude, it is acknowledged by Trade Minister Parker that CPTPP would disallow a tax on exported water as it is deemed discriminatory under the CPTPP regime.</p> <p>1 With regard to Air NZ – Shane Jones public spat regarding regional air services - the State Owned Enterprises (SOE) Chapter 17 of CPTPP, highlights the government must ensure that Air NZ operates on a purely commercial basis when delivering domestic services unless it has issued a public mandate for it to do otherwise. It's great that regional Mayors are proactive on behalf of their regions and provincial cities. Parliament is displaying bipartisan support for Jones' stance. There's no way the NZ Government has anticipated every angle before locking NZ into CPTPP. The attached paper also deals with the unfolding Facebook Cambridge Analytics election hacking scandal which demonstrates the dilemma of losing control of one's personal data – the CPTPP ECommerce Chapter guarantees that the NZ Government will be powerless to prevent misuse of data as NZ will not have any legal right to demand that data is retained in NZ. CPTPP imposes many constraints on NZ governance, entrenches corporation rights (ISDS) and leaves NZ exposed to whatever amendments are negotiated upon the return of the US which appears likely given statements from their corporate sector. LGNZ Conference this year is in Christchurch from 15-17 July 2018.</p> <p>The 2018 conference theme is; We are firmly focused on the future: Future-proofing for a prosperous and vibrant New Zealand. There will be a strong focus on leadership and addressing the big challenges and</p>	At this stage Council is not pursuing any further action in relation to the TPPA



Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
								<p>opportunities facing New Zealand and its communities. Question to LGNZ - How does TPP/CPTPP future proof NZ? We wish you well in your deliberations.</p> <p>Please consider the attached evidence paper and recommendations for your 2018 Annual Plan and Long Term Planning processes. We offer four specific recommendations (detail in the attached paper);</p> <p>Recommendation #1 (page 13 attachment) We suggest that the Council considers formally supporting the 23 principles offered by Alfred de Zayas in his paper to the UNHRC (A/HRC/37/63) in which he "highlights the urgent need to apply human rights principles systematically and uniformly to all entities and endeavours." De Zayas states "What we see is a financial system rigged in favour of powerful individuals and corporations, unequal participation in governments and international organisations, and communities suffering from a reduction of social services, imposed austerity, privatization of public utilities, the misplaced priorities of political leaders and a general absence of genuine representation," - UN Human Rights High Commission press release 2</p> <p>Recommendation #2 (page 20 attachment) Given that de Zayas states "Especially in matters of trade, it is imperative to give all stakeholders the opportunity to weigh in the negotiations so as to ensure transparency and accountability," we urge Council to endorse the model trade and investment treaty process offered in the <a href="http://www.dontdoit.nz">www.dontdoit.nz</a> petition The petition takes the government at it's word where it said to the NZ Parliament in the Speech From The Throne 9 November 2017 that it will exclude investor state dispute mechanisms (from TPP) and avoid their inclusion in all future agreements. The petition acknowledges the Labour Party 2017 Trade election manifesto where it offers "Greater engagement with civil society over trade talks" suggesting a democratic process toward a standing general mandate for New Zealand's future negotiations to guide NZ's trade negotiators.</p> <p>Recommendation #3 (page 21 attachment) We urge the council to support the Local Government (Four Well-beings) Amendment Bill which amends the Local Government Act (LGA)2002 to reinstate references to social, economic, environmental, and cultural well-being that were removed by the National government in 2012. The "four well-beings" were a cornerstone of the LGA 2002 when it was introduced. The "four well-beings" provide the modern focus of local government on serving and being accountable to the communities they serve. It highlights the constitutional role that local governments play in community development and nation building. Recommendation #4 (page 23 attachment) We urge you to read and consider Kate Raworth's "Doughnut Economics" as a framework for thinking about economics in the 21st century given that the challenges we are facing this century are global in scale but local in solution and we need a different mindset from the economics of the past if we are to viably approach these challenges. <a href="https://www.kateraworth.com/doughnut/">https://www.kateraworth.com/doughnut/</a></p>	
Training	649	Mark	Brown	Life Unlimited				<p>NZS 4121: Design for access and mobility: Buildings and associated facilities.</p> <p>Note: Life Unlimited offers to Council the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Disability Responsive Training – ensuring staff can respond and appropriately to people with disabilities and therefore giving staff and customers a better experience</li> </ul>	No response required

Category	Sub #	First Name	Last name	Organisation	City	3 Waters	UAGC	Submission point	Staff Response
Wetlands	655	Phillip & Colleen	Earby					Wetlands – Mahuta Drive What an impression this must make on visitors to Huntly, I know the local: are not too impressed on what was going to be our fantastic wetlands, and instead is a weed infested area, are Tainui involved as well, seeing they we paid huge amounts of money to look after our rivers. This could do with a good clean up and sorting out seeing as it is under water a few times of the year, but it could be made so much better.	No response required
WMMP	552	Richard	Briggs	Hamilton City Council				HCC notes that WDC is in the process of reviewing its Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and will be seeking feedback on its new draft plan in May 2018. Having recently undertaken a similar review, HCC believes there are opportunities to share ideas and lessons in this space for mutual benefit. HCC would like involvement in this project and engage with WDC at appropriate parts of the process as it progresses the review, particularly regarding the relationship and impact on regional waste services.	No response required

These comments have been provided by staff.  
As such they are draft and  
may change following Councillor deliberations.